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#### Abstract

We consider the integrals of functions in the $\alpha$-Bloch space $\mathscr{B}^{\alpha}$, in the Besov space $B_{p}$, in the Möbius invariant subspace $Q_{p}$ of the weighted Dirichlet space, and we show that they form algebras with respect to the multiplication.


## Introduction

Let $X$ be a Banach space of analytic functions in the unit disk $D=\{z \in \mathbf{C}$, $|z|<1\}$. For $f \in X$ we denote by $F$ the function

$$
F(z)=\int_{0}^{z} f(\zeta) d \zeta, \quad z \in D
$$

The Banach spaces $X$ we are considering in this paper are the following.
The $\alpha$-Bloch space $\mathscr{B}^{\alpha}, \alpha>0$, is defined to be the space of all functions $f$ with

$$
\|f\|_{\mathscr{B}^{\alpha}}=|f(0)|+\sup _{z \in D}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|<\infty .
$$

By $\mathscr{B}_{0}^{\alpha}$ we denote the space of all functions $f$ with

$$
\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|=0
$$

([10], [11], [13]). For $\alpha=1$ we get the well-known Bloch space, denoted by $\mathscr{B}$, and the little Bloch space, denoted by $\mathscr{B}_{0}$ ([1], [2]).

The Besov space $B_{p}, 1<p<\infty$, consists of all functions $f$ with

$$
\|f\|_{B_{p}}=|f(0)|+\left\{\iint_{D}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{p-2}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{p} d x d y\right\}^{1 / p}<\infty
$$

$z=x+i y$. It is clear that $\mathscr{B}=B_{\infty}$. The space $B_{1}$ is defined as the space of all functions $f$ with

$$
\|f\|_{B_{1}}=|f(0)|+\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|+\iint_{D}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right| d x d y<\infty
$$

It is known that $B_{1} \subset \mathscr{A}$, where $\mathscr{A}$ denotes the disk algebra of $D$, that is, all functions analytic in $D$ and continuous on $\bar{D}$. Also $B_{p} \subset B_{q} \subset \mathscr{B}$ if $1 \leq p<q$. Note that $B_{2}$ is the classical Dirichlet space $\mathscr{D}$ of the functions $f$ with $\iint_{D}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d x d y<\infty$ (for the basic theory of $B_{p}$ spaces see [3] or [12, p. 88-93]).

Finally we denote by $Q_{p}, 0<p<\infty$, the space of all functions $f$ with

$$
\|f\|_{Q_{p}}=|f(0)|+\left\{\sup _{\zeta \in D} \iint_{D}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \log ^{p}\left|\frac{1-\bar{\zeta} z}{\zeta-z}\right| d x d y\right\}^{1 / 2}<\infty
$$

and by $Q_{p, 0}, 0<p<\infty$, the space of all functions $f$ with

$$
\lim _{|\zeta| \rightarrow 1} \iint_{D}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \log ^{p}\left|\frac{1-\bar{\zeta} z}{\zeta-z}\right| d x d y=0
$$

It is known ([4]) that for $1<p<\infty$ the spaces $Q_{p}\left(Q_{p, 0}\right)$ are all the same and equal to the Bloch space $\mathscr{B}\left(\mathscr{B}_{0}\right)$. We note that in [8] a boundary value criterion for functions in $Q_{p}\left(Q_{p, 0}\right)$ is given, and in [9] a Corona type theorem is proved for $Q_{p}, 0<p<1$.

For $p=1$ we have $Q_{1}=\mathrm{BMOA}, Q_{1,0}=\mathrm{VMOA}$, where BMOA and VMOA are the classical spaces of analytic functions of bounded mean oscillation and vanishing mean oscillation ([12, p. 179]). For $0<p<q$ it is known $Q_{p} \subset Q_{q}$ ([5]). The space $Q_{1,0}=\mathrm{VMOA}$ contains all $B_{p}$ functions for $1 \leq p<\infty([12, \mathrm{p} .188])$.

For the integrals $F$ of Bloch and BMOA functions it is known that they form algebras with respect to the multiplication ([2], [6]).

## 1. The main result

In this paper we prove that the integrals $F$ of functions in $\mathscr{B}^{\alpha}$ and in $\mathscr{B}_{0}^{\alpha}$ for $0<\alpha<2$, in $B_{p}$ for $1 \leq p<\infty$, in $Q_{p}$ and in $Q_{p, 0}$ for $0<p<\infty$ form algebras with respect to the multiplication.

Our proposition is formulated as follows.
Theorem 1. Let $f_{1}$, $f_{2}$ be two functions in $X$, where $X$ is one of the following Banach spaces of analytic functions in the unit disk $D: \mathscr{B}^{\alpha}$, $\mathscr{B}_{0}^{\alpha}$ for $0<\alpha<2, B_{p}$ for $1 \leq p<\infty$, and $Q_{p}, Q_{p, 0}$ for $0<p<\infty$. If

$$
F_{j}(z)=\int_{0}^{z} f_{j}(\zeta) d \zeta, \quad j=1,2, z \in D
$$

then

$$
F_{1}(z) F_{2}(z)=\int_{0}^{z} h(\zeta) d \zeta, \quad z \in D
$$

where $h \in X$ and $\|h\|_{X} \leq C\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{X}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{X}$, where $C$ depends only on $X$.
Revising the proof of Theorem 1 we are able to prove a slightly different version.

Theorem 2. Let $X$ be one of the Banach spaces in Theorem 1. If

$$
\Phi_{j}(z)=\frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} f_{j}(\zeta) d \zeta, \quad j=1,2, z \in D
$$

then

$$
\Phi_{1}(z) \Phi_{2}(z)=\frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} h(\zeta) d \zeta, \quad z \in D
$$

where $h \in X$. Furthermore, $\|h\|_{X} \leq C\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{X}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{X}$, where $C$ depends only on $X$.

## 2. Proofs of the theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. First we show that the functions $F(z)=\int_{0}^{z} f(\zeta) d \zeta$, $z \in D$, belong to the disk algebra $\mathscr{A}$ if $f \in X$, where $X$ is one of the Banach spaces in our theorem. If $X \subset \mathscr{B}$, we have (2.3) below which is a sufficient condition for $F$ to be in $\mathscr{A}$ (cf. [7, Theorem 5.5.2]). This covers all cases except $\mathscr{B}^{\alpha}, 1<\alpha<2$ : here we have to use [7, Theorem 5.5.1] and estimate (2.2) below.

We show that if $X$ is one of our Banach spaces, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|F\|_{\infty} \leq C\|f\|_{X} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a function $f \in \mathscr{B}^{\alpha}$ we have $\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\|f\|_{\mathscr{B}^{\alpha}} /\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha}$. By integration we get

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
|f(z)| & \leq C\|f\|_{\mathscr{B}^{\alpha}}, & 0<\alpha<1 \\
|f(z)| \leq C \frac{\|f\|_{\mathscr{B}^{\alpha}}}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha-1}}, & 1<\alpha<2 \tag{2.2}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(z)| \leq\|f\|_{\mathscr{B}}\left(1+\log \frac{1}{1-|z|}\right) \quad \text { for } \alpha=1 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating again, we obtain (2.1) in the case $X=\mathscr{B}^{\alpha}$.
In the cases $X=B_{p}, 1 \leq p<\infty$, or $X=Q_{p}, 0<p<\infty$, we have $X \subset \mathscr{B}$ and we know that $F$ must be in $\mathscr{A}$. Furthermore,

$$
\|F\|_{\infty} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathscr{B}} \leq C\|f\|_{X}
$$

which is (2.1).
Next, we consider separately the various cases of our Banach spaces $X$.
(i) $X=\mathscr{B}^{\alpha}, 0<\alpha<2$. First we note that $\left(F_{1} F_{2}\right)^{\prime}(0)=0$. Further it follows from (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left(F_{1} F_{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right| & =\left|F_{1}^{\prime \prime} F_{2}+F_{2}^{\prime \prime} F_{1}+2 F_{1}^{\prime} F_{2}^{\prime}\right| \\
& \leq\left|f_{1}^{\prime}\right|\left|F_{2}\right|+\left|f_{2}^{\prime}\right|\left|F_{1}\right|+2\left|f_{1}\right|\left|f_{2}\right|  \tag{2.4}\\
& \leq C\left|f_{1}^{\prime}\right|\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{\mathscr{B}^{\alpha}}+C\left|f_{2}^{\prime}\right|\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{\mathscr{B}^{\alpha}}+C\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{\mathscr{B}^{\alpha}}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{\mathscr{B}^{\alpha}}
\end{align*}
$$

for $0<\alpha<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(F_{1} F_{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq C\left|f_{1}^{\prime}\right|\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{\mathscr{B}^{\alpha}}+C\left|f_{2}^{\prime}\right|\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{\mathscr{B}^{\alpha}}+C\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{\mathscr{B}^{\alpha}}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{\mathscr{B}^{\alpha}} \frac{1}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2(\alpha-1)}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1<\alpha<2$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(F_{1} F_{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq C\left|f_{1}^{\prime}\right|\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{\mathscr{B}}+C\left|f_{2}^{\prime}\right|\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{\mathscr{B}}+C\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{\mathscr{B}}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{\mathscr{B}}\left(1+\log \frac{1}{1-|z|}\right)^{2} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\alpha=1$.
By the above estimates and an easy calculation we get

$$
\left\|\left(F_{1} F_{2}\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{\mathscr{B}^{\alpha}} \leq C\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{\mathscr{B}^{\alpha}}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{\mathscr{B}^{\alpha}}
$$

for $0<\alpha<2$.
(ii) $X=B_{p}, 1 \leq p<\infty$. First we assume that $1<p<\infty$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left(F_{1} F_{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right|^{p} & =\left|f_{1}^{\prime} F_{2}+f_{2}^{\prime} F_{1}+2 f_{1} f_{2}\right|^{p} \\
& \leq C\left|f_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{p}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{B_{p}}^{p}+C\left|f_{2}^{\prime}\right|^{p}\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{B_{p}}^{p}+C\left|f_{1}\right|^{p}\left|f_{2}\right|^{p} \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C$ depends only on $p$. Since $f_{j} \in B_{p}$ implies $f_{j} \in \mathscr{B}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{j}(z)\right| & \leq\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{\mathscr{B}} \log \left(1+\frac{1}{1-|z|}\right) \\
& \leq C\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{B_{p}} \log \left(1+\frac{1}{1-|z|}\right), \quad z \in D, j=1,2
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(F_{1} F_{2}\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{B_{p}}^{p}= & \iint_{D}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{p-2}\left|\left(F_{1} F_{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right|^{p} d x d y \\
\leq & C\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{B_{p}}^{p}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{B_{p}}^{p} \\
& +C\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{B_{p}}^{p}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{B_{p}}^{p} \iint_{D}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{p-2}\left(1+\log \frac{1}{1-|z|}\right)^{2 p} d x d y \\
\leq & C\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{B_{p}}^{p}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{B_{p}}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\|h\|_{B_{p}} \leq C\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{B_{p}}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{B_{p}} .
$$

Keeping track of the constants, we can let $p \longrightarrow \infty$ to obtain the algebra property of integrals of functions in $\mathscr{B}$ (cf. [2, Section 3.5]).

We consider now the case $X=B_{1}$. By definition

$$
\left\|\left(F_{1} F_{2}\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{B_{1}}=\left|\left(F_{1} F_{2}\right)^{\prime}(0)\right|+\left|\left(F_{1} F_{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|+\iint_{D}\left|\left(F_{1} F_{2}\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}\right| d x d y
$$

We observe that

$$
\left(F_{1} F_{2}\right)^{\prime}(0)=0
$$

and

$$
\left|\left(F_{1} F_{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|=2\left|f_{1}(0)\right|\left|f_{2}(0)\right| \leq 2\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{B_{1}}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{B_{1}}
$$

A simple calculation shows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(F_{1} F_{2}\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}\right| \leq C\left|F_{1}\right|\left|f_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right|+C\left|F_{2}\right|\left|f_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right|+C\left|f_{1}\right|\left|f_{2}^{\prime}\right|+C\left|f_{2}\right|\left|f_{1}^{\prime}\right| . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the functions $f_{j}, j=1,2$, are in the disk algebra $\mathscr{A}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{B_{1}}, \quad j=1,2 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F_{j}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{B_{1}} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by [12, p. 58, Remark]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{D}\left|f_{j}^{\prime}(z)\right| d x d y \leq C\left|f_{j}^{\prime}(0)\right|+C \iint_{D}\left|f_{j}^{\prime \prime}(z)\right| d x d y \leq C\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{B_{1}} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.8), (2.9), (2.10) and (2.11) it follows immediately that

$$
\left\|\left(F_{1} F_{2}\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{B_{1}} \leq C\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{B_{1}}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{B_{1}}
$$

(iii) $X=Q_{p}, 0<p<\infty$. For a function $f \in Q_{p}, 0<p<\infty$, we have $f \in \mathscr{B}$, so that

$$
|f(z)| \leq\|f\|_{\mathscr{B}}\left(1+\log \frac{1}{1-|z|}\right) \leq C\|f\|_{Q_{p}}\left(1+\log \frac{1}{1-|z|}\right), \quad z \in D
$$

and $\|F\|_{\infty} \leq C\|f\|_{Q_{p}}$, where $C$ is a constant depending only on $p$.

By using the same notation as in (i) and (ii) we get again

$$
\left(F_{1} F_{2}\right)^{\prime}(0)=0
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(F_{1} F_{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2} \leq & C\left|f_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{2}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{Q_{p}}^{2}+C\left|f_{2}^{\prime}\right|\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{Q_{p}}^{2} \\
& +C\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{Q_{p}}^{2}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{Q_{p}}^{2}\left(1+\log \frac{1}{1-|z|}\right)^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

We will use here the equivalent norm for functions in $Q_{p}$, which involves the Möbius transformation $\varphi_{\zeta}(z)=(\zeta-z) /(1-\bar{\zeta} z), \zeta, z \in D$, and obtain

$$
\|f\|_{Q_{p}} \sim\|f\|_{Q_{p}}=|f(0)|+\sup _{\zeta \in D}\left\{\iint_{D}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-\left|\varphi_{\zeta}(z)\right|^{2}\right)^{p} d x d y\right\}^{1 / 2}
$$

(see [5, Proposition 1]).
We have now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(F_{1} F_{2}\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{Q_{p}}^{2} & \leq C \sup _{\zeta \in D} \iint_{D}\left|\left(F_{1} F_{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2}\left(1-\left|\varphi_{\zeta}(z)\right|^{2}\right)^{p} d x d y \\
& \leq C\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{Q_{p}}^{2}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{Q_{p}}^{2}+C\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{Q_{p}}^{2}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{Q_{p}}^{2} \iint_{D}\left(1+\log \frac{1}{1-|z|}\right)^{4} d x d y \\
& \leq C\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{Q_{p}}^{2}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{Q_{p}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

(iv) $X=\mathscr{B}_{0}^{\alpha}, 0<\alpha<2$ and $X=Q_{p, 0}, 0<p<\infty$. We consider first the case $X=\mathscr{B}_{0}^{\alpha}, 0<\alpha<2$. It suffices to prove that if $f_{1}, f_{2} \in \mathscr{B}_{0}^{\alpha}$, then $h \in \mathscr{B}_{0}^{\alpha}$.

By (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|= & \left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left|F^{\prime \prime}(z)\right| \\
\leq & C\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{B_{\alpha}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left|f_{1}^{\prime}(z)\right|+C\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{B_{\alpha}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left|f_{2}^{\prime}(z)\right| \\
& +C\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{B_{\alpha}}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{B_{\alpha}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $z \in D, 0<\alpha<1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq & C\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{B_{\alpha}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left|f_{1}^{\prime}(z)\right|+C\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{B_{\alpha}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left|f_{2}^{\prime}(z)\right| \\
& +C\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{B_{\alpha}}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{B_{\alpha}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2-\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $z \in D, 0<\alpha<2$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq & C\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{B}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f_{1}^{\prime}(z)\right|+C\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{B}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|f_{2}^{\prime}(z)\right| \\
& +C\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{B}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{B}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left(1+\log \frac{1}{1-|z|}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $z \in D, \alpha=1$.
We see immediately that in all cases

$$
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as }|z| \rightarrow 1
$$

(v) If $X=Q_{p, 0}, 0<p<\infty$, we have to prove that

$$
\iint_{D}\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-\left|\varphi_{\zeta}(z)\right|^{2}\right)^{p} d x d y \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as }|\zeta| \rightarrow 1
$$

Inequality (2.7) for $p=2$ and the definition of $Q_{p, 0}$ imply that it suffices to show that

$$
\iint_{D}\left|f_{1}\right|^{2}\left|f_{2}\right|^{2} B(\zeta, z) d x d y \rightarrow 0
$$

where $B(\zeta, z)=\left(1-\left|\varphi_{\zeta}(z)\right|^{2}\right)^{p} \leq 1, \zeta, z \in D$, and $f_{1}, f_{2} \in Q_{p, 0}$.
From the estimate

$$
\left|f_{j}(z)\right| \leq C\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{Q_{p}}\left(1+\log \frac{1}{1-|z|}\right), \quad z \in D
$$

(cf. the proof of case (iii)) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{1}(z)\right|^{2}\left|f_{2}(z)\right|^{2} B(z, \zeta) & \leq C M(z) \\
& =C\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{Q_{p}}^{2}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{Q_{p}}^{2}\left(1+\log \frac{1}{1-|z|}\right)^{4}, \quad z, \zeta \in D
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously,

$$
\iint_{D} M(z) d x d y<\infty
$$

and $\left|f_{1}(z)\right|^{2}\left|f_{2}(z)\right|^{2} B(z, \zeta) \rightarrow 0$ for every $z \in D$ when $|\zeta| \rightarrow 1$.
Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem implies that

$$
\iint_{D}\left|f_{1}(z)\right|^{2}\left|f_{2}(z)\right|^{2} B(z, \zeta) d x d y \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as }|\zeta| \rightarrow 1
$$

The proof of our theorem for $X=Q_{p, 0}, 0<p<\infty$, is now complete.
After this, by minor changes, we are able to prove Theorem 2.
Proof of Theorem 2. We have $\Phi(z)=\int_{0}^{1} f(z t) d t$. We start with the case $X \subset \mathscr{B}$. If $L(z)=1+\log (1 /(1-|z|))$, then

$$
\left|\Phi^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|\int_{0}^{1} t f^{\prime}(t z) d t\right| \leq C\|f\|_{\mathscr{B}} L(z) \leq C\|f\|_{X} L(z), \quad\|\Phi\|_{\infty} \leq C\|f\|_{X}
$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1, we see that $\Phi \in \mathscr{A}$. It is clear that $h^{\prime}=\left(z \Phi_{1} \Phi_{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ is a sum of terms of type $\left(z \Phi_{1}\right)^{\prime \prime} \Phi_{2}=f_{1}^{\prime} \Phi_{2}, z \Phi_{1}^{\prime} \Phi_{2}^{\prime}$ and $\Phi_{1}\left(z \Phi_{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}=\Phi_{1} f_{2}^{\prime}$. The contributions to the estimate of $\|h\|_{X}$ from terms of the first and third type are of the form $C\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{X}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{X}$.

A term of the second type is majorized by

$$
C\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{X}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{X} L(z)^{2}
$$

The same computation as in the proof of Theorem 1 will prove Theorem 2. There are some slight differences in the case $X=B_{1}$, which we leave to the reader.

If $X=\mathscr{B}^{\alpha}, 1<\alpha<2$, we have

$$
\left|\Phi^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\|f\|_{\mathscr{B}^{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{1}\left(1-|z|^{2} t^{2}\right)^{-\alpha} d t \leq C\|f\|_{X}(1-|z|)^{1-\alpha}, \quad\|\Phi\|_{\infty} \leq C\|f\|_{X}
$$

omitting again the details.
Question. If we consider Möbius invariant function spaces, we always have $X \subset \mathscr{B}$. If $\|f\|_{X}$ is essentially $\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|$ for some norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that $\left\|L^{2}\right\|<\infty$, then the argument above works both for the $F$ - and the $\Phi$-transforms. Does it work for any other transforms?

## 3. Remark

If we consider the $\alpha$-Bloch space $\mathscr{B}^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha \geq 2$, then we do not have the algebra property, since in this case $h \notin \mathscr{B}^{\alpha}$ in general.

To see this we take for example $f_{1}(z)=f_{2}(z)=(1-z)^{1-\alpha}, \alpha \geq 2, z \in D$. Then

$$
F_{1}(z)=F_{2}(z)=\log \frac{1}{1-z} \quad \text { if } \alpha=2
$$

and

$$
F_{1}(z)=F_{2}(z)=\frac{1}{\alpha-2}\left((1-z)^{2-\alpha}-1\right) \quad \text { if } \alpha>2
$$

It is easy to check that the function $h(z)=\left(F_{1}^{2}(z)\right)^{\prime}$ does not belong to $\mathscr{B}^{\alpha}$.
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