

## A counterexample to a conjecture on linear systems on $\mathbb{P}^3$

Antonio Laface and Luca Ugaglia

(Communicated by R. Miranda)

**Abstract.** In his paper [1] Ciliberto proposes a conjecture in order to characterize special linear systems of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  through multiple base points. In this note we give a counterexample to this conjecture by showing that there is a substantial difference between the speciality of linear systems on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  and those of  $\mathbb{P}^3$ .

Let us take the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^n$  and let us consider the linear system of hyper-surfaces of degree  $d$  having some points of fixed multiplicity. The virtual dimension of such systems is the dimension of the space of degree  $d$  polynomials minus the conditions imposed by the multiple points and the expected dimension is the maximum between the virtual one and  $-1$ . The systems whose dimension is bigger than the expected one are called *special systems*.

There exists a conjecture due to Hirschowitz (see [5]), characterizing special linear systems on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , which has been proved in some special cases [2], [3], [7], [6].

Concerning linear systems on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , in [1] Ciliberto gives a conjecture based on the classification of special linear systems through double points. In this note we describe a linear system on  $\mathbb{P}^3$  that we found in a list of special systems generated with the help of Singular [4] and which turns out to be a counterexample to that conjecture.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 1 we fix some notation and state Ciliberto's conjecture, while Section 2 is devoted to the counterexample. In Section 3 we try to explain speciality of some systems by the Riemann–Roch formula, and we conclude the note with an appendix containing some computations.

### 1 Preliminaries

We start by fixing some notation.

**Notation 1.1.** Let us denote by  $\mathbb{L}_n(d, m_1^{a_1}, \dots, m_r^{a_r})$  the linear system of hypersurfaces of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  of degree  $d$ , passing through  $a_i$  points with multiplicity  $m_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Let  $\mathcal{J}_Z$  be the ideal of the zero-dimensional scheme of multiple points. We denote by  $\mathcal{L}_n(d, m_1^{a_1}, \dots, m_r^{a_r})$  the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d) \otimes \mathcal{J}_Z$ . Given the system  $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{L}_n(d, m_1^{a_1}, \dots, m_r^{a_r})$ , its *virtual dimension* is

$$v(\mathbb{L}) = \binom{d+n}{n} - \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \binom{m_i+n-1}{n} - 1,$$

and the *expected dimension* is

$$e(\mathbb{L}) = \max(v(\mathbb{L}), -1).$$

A linear system will be called *special* if its expected dimension is strictly smaller than the effective one.

**Remark 1.2.** Throughout the paper, if no confusion arises, we will use sometimes the same letter to denote a linear system and the general divisor in the system.

We recall the following definition, see [1].

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $X$  be a smooth, projective variety of dimension  $n$ , let  $C$  be a smooth, irreducible curve on  $X$  and let  $\mathcal{N}_{C|X}$  be the normal bundle of  $C$  in  $X$ . We will say that  $C$  is a *negative curve* if there is a line bundle  $\mathcal{N}$  of negative degree and a surjective map  $\mathcal{N}_{C|X} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ . The curve  $C$  is called a  $(-1)$ -*curve* of size  $a$ , with  $1 \leq a \leq n - 1$ , on  $X$  if  $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{C|X} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)^{\oplus a} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ , where  $\mathcal{N}$  has no summands of negative degree.

The main conjecture stated in [1] is the following.

**Conjecture 1.4.** *Let  $X$  be the blow-up of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  at general points  $p_1, \dots, p_r$  and let  $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{L}_n(d, m_1, \dots, m_r)$  be a linear system with multiple base points at  $p_1, \dots, p_r$ . Then:*

- (i) *the only negative curves on  $X$  are  $(-1)$ -curves;*
- (ii)  *$\mathbb{L}$  is special if and only if there is a  $(-1)$ -curve  $C$  on  $X$  corresponding to a curve  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  containing  $p_1, \dots, p_r$  such that the general member  $D \in \mathbb{L}$  is singular along  $\Gamma$ ;*
- (iii) *if  $\mathbb{L}$  is special, let  $B$  be the component of the base locus of  $\mathbb{L}$  containing  $\Gamma$  according to Bertini's theorem. Then the codimension of  $B$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is equal to the size of  $C$  and  $B$  appears multiply in the base locus scheme of  $\mathbb{L}$ .*

In this note we give a counterexample to points (ii) and (iii) of this conjecture.

## 2 Counterexample

Let us consider the linear system of surfaces of degree nine with one point of degree six and eight points of degree four in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ , i.e. the system  $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{L}_3(9, 6, 4^8)$ . In this section we are going to study this system, showing in particular that it is special but its

general member is not singular along a rational curve. If we denote by  $Q = \mathbb{L}_3(2, 1, 1^8)$  the quadric through the nine simple points, we have the following:

**Claim 1.**  $\mathbb{L}_3(9, 6, 4^8) = Q + \mathbb{L}_3(7, 5, 3^8)$ .

If we denote by  $H_1, H_2$  two generators of  $\text{Pic}(Q)$ , considering the restriction  $\mathbb{L}|_Q$  we get the system of curves in  $|9H_1 + 9H_2|$ , with one point of multiplicity 6 and eight points of multiplicity 4. We denote for short this system by  $|9H_1 + 9H_2| - 6p_0 - \sum 4p_i$ .

Looking at Appendix 4.1, we can see that  $|9H_1 + 9H_2| - 6p_0 - \sum 4p_i$  corresponds to the planar system  $\mathbb{L}_2(12, 3^2, 4^8)$ . This last system cannot be  $(-1)$ -special (see the Appendix 4.2) and  $v(\mathbb{L}_2(12, 3^2, 4^8)) = -2$ . Therefore, by [7] we may conclude that it is empty.

In particular, also  $\mathbb{L}|_Q = \emptyset$ , and hence  $\mathbb{L}$  must contain  $Q$  as a fixed component. By subtracting  $Q$  from  $\mathbb{L}$  we get  $\mathbb{L}_3(7, 5, 3^8)$ , which proves our claim.

This means that the free part of  $\mathbb{L}$  is contained in  $\mathbb{L}_3(7, 5, 3^8)$  which has virtual dimension 4. So  $\mathbb{L}$  is a special system.

In order to show that  $\mathbb{L}$  gives a counterexample to Conjecture 1.4 we are now going to prove that the general member of  $\mathbb{L}$  is singular only along the curve  $C$ , intersection of  $Q$  and  $\mathbb{L}_3(7, 5, 3^8)$ , and that  $C$  does not contain rational components.

We can consider  $C$  as the restriction  $\mathbb{L}_3(7, 5, 3^8)|_Q$ . This is equal to  $|7H_1 + 7H_2| - 5p_0 - \sum 3p_i$  on the quadric  $Q$ , which corresponds to  $\mathbb{L}_2(9, 2^2, 3^8)$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . This system is not special of dimension 0 and it does not contain rational components (see Appendix 4.3).

Clearly the curve  $C$  is contained in  $\mathbb{L}_{\text{sing}}$  (i.e. the singular locus of  $\mathbb{L}$ ). We are going to show that in fact  $C = \mathbb{L}_{\text{sing}}$ . First of all, let us denote by  $\mathbb{L}_3(7, 5, 3^8, 1_Q)$  the subsystem of  $\mathbb{L}_3(7, 5, 3^8)$  obtained by imposing one general simple point on the quadric. Since  $\mathbb{L}_3(7, 5, 3^8, 1_Q)|_Q = \emptyset$ ,  $Q$  is a fixed component of this system and the residual part is given by  $\mathbb{L}_3(5, 4, 2^8)$ . Now  $\mathbb{L}_3(5, 4, 2^8)|_Q$  is the system  $|5H_1 + 5H_2| - 4p_0 - \sum 2p_i$  which corresponds to the non-special system  $\mathbb{L}_2(6, 1^2, 2^8)$ , of dimension 1. Therefore, imposing two general simple points on  $Q$  and restricting we get that the system  $\mathbb{L}_3(5, 4, 2^8, 1_Q^2)|_Q$  is empty, which implies that  $\mathbb{L}_3(5, 4, 2^8, 1_Q^2)$  has  $Q$  as a fixed component. The residual system  $\mathbb{L}_3(3, 3, 1^8)$  is non-special of dimension 1 (because each surface of this system is a cone over a plane cubic through eight fixed points). This implies that the effective dimension of  $\mathbb{L}_3(5, 4, 2^8)$  cannot be greater than 3. Therefore it must be 3 since the virtual dimension is 3. By the same argument one shows that the effective dimension of  $\mathbb{L}_3(7, 5, 3^8)$  is 4.

Observe that  $\text{Bs}(\mathbb{L}_3(7, 5, 3^8)) \subseteq \text{Bs}(2Q + \mathbb{L}_3(3, 3, 1^8))$  since  $2Q + \mathbb{L}_3(3, 3, 1^8) \subseteq \mathbb{L}_3(7, 5, 3^8)$ . So  $\text{Bs}(\mathbb{L}_3(7, 5, 3^8))$  could have only  $Q$  as fixed component, but this is not the case since  $\dim \mathbb{L}_3(7, 5, 3^8) = \dim \mathbb{L}_3(5, 4, 2^8) + 1$ . The only curves that may belong to  $\text{Bs}(\mathbb{L}_3(7, 5, 3^8))$  are the genus 2 curve  $C = \mathbb{L}_3(7, 5, 3^8)|_Q$  and the nine lines of  $\text{Bs}(\mathbb{L}_3(3, 3, 1^8))$  through the vertex of the cone and each one of the nine base points of the pencil of plane cubics.

We can then conclude that the singular locus  $\mathbb{L}_{\text{sing}}$  consists only of the curve  $C$ , since the subsystem  $3Q + \mathbb{L}_3(3, 3, 1^8)$  is not singular along the nine fixed lines.

### 3 Speciality and Riemann–Roch theorem

Let  $Z$  be a zero-dimensional scheme of  $\mathbb{P}^3$  and  $\mathcal{I}_Z$  be its ideal sheaf. We put  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(d) \otimes \mathcal{I}_Z$  and consider the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(d)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(d)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_Z) \\ \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(d)) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}_Z) \rightarrow H^3(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^3(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(d)) \rightarrow H^3(\mathcal{O}_Z), \end{aligned}$$

obtained tensoring by  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(d)$  the sequence defining  $Z$  and taking cohomology. From this sequence we obtain that  $h^i(\mathcal{L}) = h^i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(d)) = 0$  for  $i = 2, 3$  since  $h^i(\mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . We also obtain that the virtual dimension of  $\mathbb{L}$ ,  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(d)) - h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) - 1$  is equal to  $h^0(\mathcal{L}) - h^1(\mathcal{L}) - 1$  and hence to  $\chi(\mathcal{L}) - 1$ .

If  $Z = \sum m_i p_i$  is a scheme of fat points, then on the blow-up  $X \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{P}^3$  along these points we may consider the divisor  $\tilde{L} = \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(d) - \sum m_i E_i$  and the associated sheaf  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{O}_X(\tilde{L})$ . Since  $h^i(X, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}) = h^i(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{L})$ , the virtual dimension of  $\mathbb{L}$  is equal to  $\chi(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}) - 1$ . By the Riemann–Roch formula (see [4]) for a divisor  $\tilde{L}$  on the threefold  $X$ ,

$$\chi(\tilde{L}) = \frac{\tilde{L}(\tilde{L} - K_X)(2\tilde{L} - K_X) + c_2(X) \cdot \tilde{L}}{12} + \chi(\mathcal{O}_X),$$

we obtain the following formula for the virtual dimension of  $\mathbb{L}$ :

$$v(\mathbb{L}) = \frac{\tilde{L}(\tilde{L} - K_X)(2\tilde{L} - K_X) + c_2(X) \cdot \tilde{L}}{12}$$

since  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 1$ .

If the linear system  $\mathbb{L}$  can be written as  $F + \mathbb{M}$ , where  $F$  is the fixed divisor and  $\mathbb{M}$  is a free part, then on  $X$  we have  $|\tilde{L}| = \tilde{F} + |\tilde{M}|$ . Therefore the above formula says that

$$v(\tilde{L}) = v(\tilde{F}) + v(\tilde{M}) + \frac{\tilde{F}\tilde{M}(\tilde{L} - K_X)}{2}.$$

Let us suppose that the residual system  $\mathbb{M}$  is non-special. The system  $\mathbb{L}$  has the same effective dimension as  $\mathbb{M}$ , while their virtual dimensions differ by  $v(\tilde{F}) + \tilde{F}\tilde{M}(\tilde{L} - K_X)/2$ . Therefore we can conclude that  $\mathbb{L}$  is special if  $v(\tilde{F}) + \tilde{F}\tilde{M}(\tilde{L} - K_X)/2$  is smaller than zero.

**Example 3.1.** For instance, let us consider the system  $\mathbb{L} := \mathbb{L}_3(4, 2^9)$ . It is special because its virtual dimension is  $-2$  while it is not empty since it is equal to  $2Q$ , where  $Q$  is the quadric through the nine simple points. In this case  $F = 2Q$  and  $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{C}$ , so  $v(F) = -2$  and  $\tilde{F}\tilde{M}(\tilde{L} - K_X)/2 = 0$ .

**Example 3.2.** Let us consider now the example we described in the previous section,

i.e. the system  $\mathbb{L}_3(9, 6, 4^8)$ . We have seen that it can be written as  $Q + \mathbb{M}$ , where  $Q$  is the quadric through the nine points, while  $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{L}_3(7, 5, 3^8)$  is the residual free part. The Chow ring  $A^*(X)$  (where  $X$  is the blow-up of  $\mathbb{P}^3$  along the nine simple points) is generated by  $\langle H, E_0, E_1, \dots, E_8 \rangle$ , where  $H$  is the pull-back of the hyperplane divisor of  $\mathbb{P}^3$  and the  $E_i$ 's are the exceptional divisors. The second Chow group  $A^2(X)$  is generated by  $\langle h, e_0, e_1, \dots, e_8 \rangle$ , where  $h = H^2$  is the pull-back of a line, while  $e_i = -E_i^2$  is the class of a line inside  $E_i$ , for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, 8$ . Clearly  $H \cdot E_i = E_i \cdot E_j = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . With this notation we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L} &= \left| 9H - 6E_0 - \sum 4E_i \right| \\ \mathbb{M} &= \left| 7H - 5E_0 - \sum 3E_i \right| \\ Q &= 2H - E_0 - \sum E_i \\ K_X &= -4H + 2E_0 + \sum 2E_i. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $Q \cdot \mathbb{M} = 14h - 5e_0 - \sum 3e_i$ ,  $\mathcal{L} - K_X = 13H - 8E_0 - \sum 6E_i$  and hence  $Q\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}} - K_X)/2 = -1$  (while  $v(Q) = 0$ ), which implies the speciality of  $\mathbb{L}$ .

### 4 Appendix

**4.1 Linear systems on a quadric.** In order to study linear systems on a quadric  $Q$  it may be helpful to transform them into planar systems by means of a birational transformation  $Q \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  obtained by blowing up a point and contracting the strict transforms of the two lines through it. Such a transformation gives rise to a 1 : 1 correspondence between linear systems with one multiple point on the quadric and linear systems with two multiple points on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .

In fact, let us consider a linear system  $|aH_1 + bH_2| - mp$  (i.e. a system of curves of kind  $(a, b)$  through one point  $p$  of multiplicity  $m$ ). Blowing up at  $p$ , one obtains the complete system  $|a\pi^*H_1 + b\pi^*H_2 - mE|$  which may be written as  $|(a + b - m) \cdot (\pi^*H_1 + \pi^*H_2 - E) - (b - m)(\pi^*H_1 - E) - (a - m)(\pi^*H_2 - E)|$ . Since the divisors  $\pi^*H_i - E$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are  $(-1)$ -curves, they may be contracted giving a linear system on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  of degree  $a + b - m$  through two points of multiplicity  $b - m$  and  $a - m$  and hence

$$|aH_1 + bH_2| - mp \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_2(a + b - m, b - m, a - m).$$

**4.2  $(-1)$ -curves.** In order to study the speciality of the systems  $\mathbb{L}_2(12, 3^2, 4^8)$ ,  $\mathbb{L}_2(9, 2^2, 3^8)$  and  $\mathbb{L}_2(6, 1^2, 2^8)$ , we need to produce a complete list of all the  $(-1)$ -curves of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  of kind  $\mathbb{L}_2(d, m_1, m_2, m_3, \dots, m_{10})$  which may have an intersection less than  $-1$  with some of these systems. Clearly it is enough to consider the system  $\mathbb{L}_2(12, 3^2, 4^8)$ , whose degree and multiplicities are the biggest. From the condition of

being contained twice in this system we deduce the following inequalities:  $d \leq 6$ ,  $0 \leq m_1, m_2 \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq m_3, \dots, m_{10} \leq 2$ . Moreover let us see that  $m_3 = \dots = m_{10} = m$ . Otherwise the system would contain twice the compound  $(-1)$ -curve given by the union of all the simple  $(-1)$ -curves obtained by permuting the points  $p_3, \dots, p_{10}$ . In this case the multiplicities of the compound curve at these points would be too big. An explicit calculation shows that the only  $(-1)$ -curve of the form  $\mathbb{L}_2(d, m_1, m_2, m^8)$  satisfying the preceding conditions is  $\mathbb{L}_2(1, 1, 1, 0^8)$ , but this has non-negative intersection with any of these systems.

**4.3  $\mathbb{L}_2(9, 2^2, 3^8)$  does not contain rational components.** Let  $S$  be the blow up of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  along the ten points and let  $C$  be the strict transform of the curve given by  $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{L}_2(9, 2^2, 3^8)$ . Suppose that there exists an irreducible rational component  $C_1$  of  $C$ . Observe that  $v(C_1) = 0$  since the system  $|C_1|$  has dimension 0 and it is non-special by [7]. Therefore, from  $g(C_1) = v(C_1) = 0$ , we get that  $C_1$  is a  $(-1)$ -curve.

We are going to see that if this is the case, then  $C \cdot C_1 = -1$ . Let us take the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(C - C_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(C) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(C \cdot C_1) \rightarrow 0.$$

By the subsection above,  $h^1(\mathcal{O}_S(C)) = 0$ . Let us see that also  $h^1(\mathcal{O}_S(C - C_1)) = 0$ . Otherwise the system  $|C - C_1|$  would be special and in particular, by [7] there would exist a  $(-1)$ -curve  $C_2$  such that  $C_2 \cdot (C - C_1) \leq -2$ . Since  $\mathbb{L}$  is non-special,  $C \cdot C_2 \geq -1$  and hence  $C_1 \cdot C_2 \geq 1$ . This implies that  $|C_1 + C_2|$  has dimension at least 1, which is impossible since  $C_1 + C_2$  is contained in the fixed locus of  $\mathbb{L}$ . Since  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(C - C_1)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(C)) = 1$ , the cohomology of the preceding sequence gives  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(C \cdot C_1)) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(C \cdot C_1)) = 0$ , which means that  $C \cdot C_1 = -1$  as claimed before.

Arguing as in the previous subsection, we get  $|C_1| = \mathbb{L}_2(d, m_1, m_2, m^8)$  with  $d \leq 9$ ,  $0 \leq m_1, m_2 \leq 2$ ,  $0 \leq m \leq 3$ . An easy computation shows that the only  $(-1)$ -curve of this form is  $\mathbb{L}_2(1, 1, 1, 0^8)$  and in this case  $C \cdot C_1 = 5$ .

## References

- [1] C. Ciliberto, Geometric aspects of polynomial interpolation in more variables and of Waring's problem. In: *European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. I (Barcelona, 2000)*, 289–316, Birkhäuser 2001. [MR 2003i:14058](#) [Zbl 01944720](#)
- [2] C. Ciliberto, R. Miranda, Degenerations of planar linear systems. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **501** (1998), 191–220. [MR 2000m:14005](#) [Zbl 0943.14002](#)
- [3] C. Ciliberto, R. Miranda, Linear systems of plane curves with base points of equal multiplicity. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **352** (2000), 4037–4050. [MR 2000m:14006](#) [Zbl 0959.14015](#)
- [4] G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister, and H. Schönemann. SINGULAR 2.0. A Computer Algebra System for Polynomial Computations. Centre for Computer Algebra, University of Kaiserslautern (2001). <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de>
- [5] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*. Springer 1977. [MR 57 #3116](#) [Zbl 0367.14001](#)
- [6] A. Hirschowitz, Une conjecture pour la cohomologie des diviseurs sur les surfaces rationnelles génériques. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **397** (1989), 208–213. [MR 90g:14021](#) [Zbl 0686.14013](#)

- [7] E. Laurent, La fonction de Hilbert de la réunion de  $4^h$  gros points génériques de  $\mathbb{P}^2$  de même multiplicité. *J. Algebraic Geom.* **8** (1999), 787–796. [MR 2000e:13023](#)  
[Zbl 0953.14027](#)
- [8] T. Mignon, Systèmes de courbes planes à singularités imposées: le cas des multiplicités inférieures ou égales à quatre. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **151** (2000), 173–195. [MR 2001g:14048](#)  
[Zbl 0977.14015](#)

Received 27 January, 2003; revised 13 February, 2003

A. Laface, L. Ugaglia, Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi di Milano, Via Saldini 50, 20133 Milano, Italy  
Email: {laface;ugaglia}@mat.unimi.it