

ITERATIVE SOLUTION OF NONLINEAR EQUATIONS OF THE PSEUDO-MONOTONE TYPE IN BANACH SPACES

A.M. SADDEEK AND SAYED A. AHMED

ABSTRACT. The weak convergence of the iterative generated by $J(u_{n+1} - u_n) = \tau(Fu_n - Ju_n)$, $n \geq 0$, ($0 < \tau = \min \{1, \frac{1}{\lambda}\}$) to a coincidence point of the mappings $F, J: V \rightarrow V^*$ is investigated, where V is a real reflexive Banach space and V^* its dual (assuming that V^* is strictly convex). The basic assumptions are that J is the duality mapping, $J - F$ is demiclosed at 0, coercive, potential and bounded and that there exists a non-negative real valued function $r(u, \eta)$ such that

$$\sup_{u, \eta \in V} \{r(u, \eta)\} = \lambda < \infty$$

$$r(u, \eta) \|J(u - \eta)\|_{V^*} \geq \|(J - F)(u) - (J - F)(\eta)\|_{V^*}, \quad \forall u, \eta \in V.$$

Furthermore, the case when V is a Hilbert space is given. An application of our results to filtration problems with limit gradient in a domain with semipermeable boundary is also provided.

1. INTRODUCTION

A map $\Phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be a *gauge function* if Φ is continuous and strictly increasing, $\Phi(0) = 0$, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \Phi(t) = +\infty$. Suppose V is a real Banach space with a strictly convex dual V^* . A map $J: V \rightarrow V^*$ is said to be a duality map with gauge function Φ if for each $u \in V$, $\langle Ju, u \rangle = \Phi(\|u\|_V) \|u\|_V$ and $\|Ju\|_{V^*} = \Phi(\|u\|_V)$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the duality relation between V and V^* . It is well known that (see, e.g. [7]) if V^* is strictly convex, then J is single-valued and if V^* is uniformly convex and V is a reflexive Banach space, then J is uniformly continuous on bounded sets (see e.g. [5, Chapter 8]).

When $\Phi(t) = t$, J is called a *normalized duality map*. If V is a Hilbert space, then the normalized duality map J is the identity map I .

It is known (see, e.g. [7]) that $Ju = \Phi(\|u\|_V) u_0^*$ where $u_0^* \in V^*$, $\|u_0^*\|_{V^*} = 1$ and $\langle u_0^*, u_0 \rangle = \|u_0\|_V = 1$ ($u_0 = \frac{u}{\|u\|_V}$, $u \neq 0$).

We always use the symbols “ \rightarrow ” and “ \rightharpoonup ” to indicate strong and weak convergence, respectively.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: primary 47H10; secondary 54H25.

Key words and phrases: iteration, coincidence point, demiclosed mappings, pseudo-monotone mappings, bounded Lipschitz continuous coercive mappings, filtration problems.

Received November 19, 2007, revised September 2008. Editor O. Došlý.

A map $F: V \rightarrow V^*$ is called *demiclosed at 0* (see, e.g. [4]) if for any sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ in V the following implication holds: $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ and $Fu_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ implies $u \in V$ and $Fu = 0$.

According to [2] and [11], the mapping $F: V \rightarrow V^*$ is said to be *pseudo-monotone* if it is bounded and

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \in V \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Fu_n, u_n - u \rangle \leq 0$$

imply

$$\langle Fu, u - \eta \rangle \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Fu_n, u_n - \eta \rangle \quad \text{for all } \eta \in V.$$

Recall that a map $A: V \rightarrow V^*$ is said to be *bounded Lipschitz continuous* (see, e.g. [3]) if

$$\|Au - A\eta\|_{V^*} \leq \mu(R)\Phi(\|u - \eta\|_V) \quad \forall u, \eta \in V,$$

where $R = \max\{\|u\|_V, \|\eta\|_V\}$, μ is nondecreasing function on $[0, \infty)$ and Φ is the gauge function.

An operator $A: V \rightarrow V^*$ is said to be *coercive* (see, e.g. [7]) if

$$\langle Au, u \rangle \geq \rho(\|u\|_V)\|u\|_{V^*}; \quad \lim_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \rho(\xi) = +\infty.$$

According to [3] the mapping A is said to be *potential* if

$$\int_0^1 (\langle A(t(u + \eta)), u + \eta \rangle - \langle A(tu), u \rangle) dt = \int_0^1 \langle A(u + t\eta), \eta \rangle dt \quad \forall u, \eta \in V.$$

The main objective of this work is the construction and investigation of approximation methods for solving the nonlinear equation

$$(\star) \quad Au = f$$

in Banach and Hilbert spaces, where A is a bounded Lipschitz continuous, potential coercive, pseudo monotone operator from V into V^* and $f \in V^*$. The problem (\star) arises in the description of steady-state filtration processes (see, e.g. [8]).

2. MAIN RESULTS

We now establish the main results of this section:

Theorem 1. *Let V be a real reflexive Banach space with a strictly convex dual space V^* , and let $F, J: V \rightarrow V^*$ (where J is the duality map) be two mappings. Suppose $J - F$ is demiclosed at 0, coercive, potential and bounded, and there exists a non-negative real valued function $r(u, \eta)$ such that*

$$(1) \quad \sup_{u, \eta \in V} \{r(u, \eta)\} = \lambda < \infty$$

$$(2) \quad r(u, \eta)\|J(u - \eta)\|_{V^*} \geq \|(J - F)(u) - (J - F)(\eta)\|_{V^*}, \quad \forall u, \eta \in V.$$

Then the sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ defined by

$$(3) \quad J(u_{n+1} - u_n) = \tau(Fu_n - Ju_n), \quad n \geq 0,$$

where u_0 is a point in V and $0 < \tau = \min\{1, \frac{1}{\lambda}\}$, is bounded in V and all its weak limit points are elements of $\mathfrak{F} = \{u \in V: Fu = Ju\}$.

Proof. Let us first prove the boundedness of the iterative sequence; more precisely, let us show that

$$(4) \quad \{u_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset S_0, \quad \|u_n\|_V \leq R_0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $R_0 = \sup_{u \in S_0} \|u\|_V$, $S_0 = \{u \in M : F_1(u) \leq F_1(u_0)\}$, and $F_1 : V \rightarrow R \cup \{+\infty\}$ is a functional defined by the formula

$$(5) \quad F_1(u) = \int_0^1 \langle (J - F)(tu), u \rangle dt \quad \forall u \in V.$$

By definition, $u_0 \in S_0$. Let $u_n \in S_0$; we claim that $u_{n+1} \in S_0$.

Indeed, substituting $u = u_{n+1} + t(u_n - u_{n+1})$, $\eta = u_n$ in (2) and writing r for $r(u_{n+1}, u_n)$, we obtain

$$r \|J((t-1)(u_n - u_{n+1}))\|_{V^*} \geq \|(J - F)(u_{n+1} + t(u_n - u_{n+1})) - (J - F)(u_n)\|_{V^*}.$$

Using the definition of J , we get

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} r\Phi(\|u_n - u_{n+1}\|_V) &\geq r\Phi(\|(t-1)(u_n - u_{n+1})\|_V) \\ &\geq \|(J - F)(u_{n+1} + t(u_n - u_{n+1})) - (J - F)(u_n)\|_{V^*}, \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$. Consequently, it follows that

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} &|\langle (J - F)(u_{n+1} + t(u_n - u_{n+1})) - (J - F)(u_n), u_n - u_{n+1} \rangle| \\ &\leq r\Phi(\|u_n - u_{n+1}\|_V) \|u_n - u_{n+1}\|_V. \end{aligned}$$

Or

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} &-\langle (J - F)(u_{n+1} + t(u_n - u_{n+1})) - (J - F)(u_n), u_n - u_{n+1} \rangle \\ &\geq -r\Phi(\|u_n - u_{n+1}\|_V) \|u_n - u_{n+1}\|_V. \end{aligned}$$

Further, following [3], from (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(u_n) - F_1(u_{n+1}) &= \int_0^1 (\langle (J - F)(t(u_n), u_n) \rangle - \langle (J - F)(tu_{n+1}), u_{n+1} \rangle) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \langle (J - F)(u_{n+1} + t(u_n - u_{n+1})), u_n - u_{n+1} \rangle dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \langle (J - F)(u_{n+1} + t(u_n - u_{n+1})) \\ &\quad - (J - F)(u_n), u_n - u_{n+1} \rangle dt + \langle (J - F)(u_n), u_n - u_{n+1} \rangle \\ &\geq - \int_0^1 |\langle (J - F)(u_{n+1} + t(u_n - u_{n+1})) \\ &\quad - (J - F)(u_n), u_n - u_{n+1} \rangle| dt + \langle (J - F)(u_n), u_n - u_{n+1} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This, together with [8] and (3), implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_1(u_n) - F_1(u_{n+1}) &\geq -r\Phi(\|u_n - u_{n+1}\|_V)\|u_n - u_{n+1}\|_V \\
 &\quad + \tau^{-1}\langle J(u_{n+1} - u_n), u_{n+1} - u_n \rangle \\
 &\geq -\lambda\Phi(\|u_{n+1} - u_n\|_V)\|u_{n+1} - u_n\|_V \\
 &\quad + \tau^{-1}\langle J(u_{n+1} - u_n), u_{n+1} - u_n \rangle \\
 (9) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \mu\Phi(\|u_{n+1} - u_n\|_V)\|u_{n+1} - u_n\|_V, \quad \mu = (\tau^{-1} - \lambda) > 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $F_1(u_{n+1}) \leq F_1(u_n) \leq F_1(u_0)$, i.e., $u_{n+1} \in S_0$, which completes the proof of (4).

Since the iterative sequence is bounded and the operator $J - F$ is bounded, it follows from the definition of F_1 that $\{F_1(u_n)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a bounded sequence; by (9), it is monotone. Therefore, the numerical sequence $\{F_1(u_n)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ has a finite limit. Consequently, from (9), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mu\Phi(\|u_n - u_{n+1}\|_V)\|u_n - u_{n+1}\|_V = 0.$$

This, together with the continuity and the strictly monotone growth of Φ , implies that

$$(10) \qquad \qquad \qquad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_n - u_{n+1}\|_V = 0.$$

Using the definition of J again, it follows from (3) and (10) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Ju_n - Fu_n\|_{V^*} = 0.$$

Since V is reflexive and $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is bounded, we find some subsequence $\{u_{n_j}\}_{j=0}^\infty$ of $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ which converges weakly to some $u^* \in V$. Moreover, u^* is a coincidence point of F and J , since $Ju_{n_j} - Fu_{n_j} \rightarrow 0$ and $J - F$ is demiclosed at 0. Hence $Ju^* = Fu^*$. This completes the proof. □

We close this section with the case when the space V is a Hilbert space

Theorem 2. *Let $V = H$ be a real Hilbert space, and let F be a self-mapping of H such that $I - F$ is demiclosed at 0, coercive, potential and bounded and there exists a nonnegative real-valued function $r(u, \eta)$ such that (1) holds,*

$$(11) \qquad r(u, \eta)\|u - \eta\|_H \geq \|(I - F)(u) - (I - F)(\eta)\|_H, \quad \forall u, \eta \in H.$$

Then the sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ of Mann iterates (see, e.g. [9]) defined by

$$u_{n+1} = (1 - \tau)u_n + \tau Fu_n, \quad n \geq 0,$$

where $0 < \tau = \min\{1, \frac{1}{\lambda}\}$, converges weakly to a fixed point of F .

Proof. By Theorem 1, it follows that there exists a subsequence $\{u_{n_j}\}_{j=0}^\infty$ of $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ which converges weakly to a fixed point of F . The rest of the argument now follows exactly as in ([10, p.70]) to yield that $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ converges weakly to a fixed point of F . □

3. AN APPLICATION TO FILTRATION PROBLEMS WITH LIMIT GRADIENT IN A DOMAIN WITH SEMIPERMEABLE BOUNDARY

In this section we apply our results to the stationary problem on the filtration of an incompressible fluid governed by a discontinuous filtration law with the limit gradient (see, e.g. [8]).

We consider the nonlinear stationary problem of filtration theory for the case of a discontinuous law with the limit gradient (see., e.g. [6])

$$\vec{v}(u) = -g(|\nabla u|^2)\nabla u,$$

where $\vec{v}(u)$ is the filtration velocity, u the pressure, $\nabla u = \text{grad}u$, $g(\xi^2)\xi$ is the function describing the filtration law. Let Ω be a bounded domain in R^n , $n \geq 1$, with the Lipschitz continuous boundary Γ .

We assume that $g(\xi^2)\xi = g_0(\xi^2)\xi + g_1(\xi^2)\xi$, where $\xi \rightarrow g_i(\xi^2)\xi$, $i = 0, 1$, are nonnegative functions, equal to zero when $\xi \leq \beta$, ($\beta \geq 0$ is the limit gradient), $\xi \rightarrow g_0(\xi^2)\xi$ is continuous and strictly increasing when $\xi > \beta$,

$$(12) \quad c_1(\xi - \beta)^{p-1} \leq g_0(\xi^2)\xi \leq c_2(\xi - \beta)^{p-1}$$

when $\xi \geq \beta$, $p > 1$, $c_1, c_2 > 0$, and $g_1(\xi^2)\xi = \vartheta > 0$ for $\xi > \beta$. We also assume that

$$(13) \quad \frac{(g_0(\xi^2)\xi - g_0(\eta^2)\eta)}{(\xi - \eta)} \leq c_0(1 + \xi + \eta)^{p-2} \quad \text{for all } \xi, \eta \in R \cup \{+\infty\}.$$

Following [6], we define the solution of stationary filtration problem with a discontinuous law as the function $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, which satisfies the nonlinear equation

$$Au = f,$$

where the operator $A: W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W_q^{-1}(\Omega)$, $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$ is induced by the form

$$Au = -\text{div}(g(|\nabla u|^2)\nabla u),$$

and $f \in W_q^{-1}(\Omega)$ is the density of external sources.

It is known that the operator A is pseudo-monotone potential coercive (see, e.g. [8]).

The following lemma is proved in [1].

Lemma 1 (see [1]). *Let $V = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $p \geq 2$. Then A is bounded Lipschitz continuous with*

$$\mu(\xi) = c_3(1 + 2\xi)^{p(2-q)}, \quad c_3 > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(\xi) = \xi.$$

Remark 1. If we set $p = q = 2$ in Lemma 1, the bounded Lipschitz continuous condition reduces to

$$\|Au - A\eta\|_{V^*} \leq c_3\|u - \eta\|_V \quad \forall u, \eta \in V$$

which is exactly the condition of Lipschitz continuity of the operator A with constant $c_3 > 0$.

Theorem 3. *Let $V = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $p \geq 2$, $V^* = W_q^{-1}(\Omega)$, $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$. Suppose $A: V \rightarrow V^*$ is a bounded Lipschitz continuous pseudo-monotone potential coercive mapping. Then the sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ generated from a suitable $u_0 \in V$ by*

$$(14) \quad J(u_{n+1} - u_n) = \tau(f - Au_n), \quad n \geq 0,$$

where $0 < \tau = \min \{1, \frac{1}{\lambda}\}$, $f \in V^*$, with

$$\sup\{(1 + \|u\|_V + \|\eta\|_V)^{p(2-q)}\} = \lambda < \infty, \quad p \geq 2$$

is bounded in V and all its weak limit points are solutions of the equation

$$(15) \quad Au = f.$$

Proof. Note that (15) has at least one solution because of conditions on A (see, e.g. [7]). We apply Theorem 1 with $F: V \rightarrow V^*$ defined by $Fu = Ju - Au + f$. If we set

$$\Omega_\eta^+ = \{x \in \Omega \mid \nabla\eta(x) \mid > \beta\}, \quad \Omega_\eta^- = \Omega/\Omega_\eta^+$$

and

$$\langle Ju, \eta \rangle = \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2} (\nabla u, \nabla \eta) \, dx.$$

Then taking into account (12) for all $u, \eta \in V$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A_0 u, \eta \rangle &= \int_\Omega [g_0(|\nabla u|^2)(\nabla u, \nabla \eta)] \, dx \\ &\leq c_2 \int_{\Omega_u^+} \frac{(|\nabla u| - \beta)^{p-1}}{|\nabla u|} (\nabla u, \nabla \eta) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A_0 u, \eta \rangle &\leq c_2 \int_{\Omega_u^+} \||\nabla u| - \beta|^{p-1} |\nabla \eta| \, dx \\ &\leq c_2 \left[\int_{\Omega_u^+} \||\nabla u| - \beta|^p \, dx \right]^q \|\nabla \eta\|_V \\ &\leq c_2 \|\nabla u\|_V^{p-1} \|\nabla \eta\|_V \\ \langle A_1 u, \eta \rangle &= \int_\Omega g_1(|\nabla u|^2)(\nabla u, \nabla \eta) \, dx \\ &\leq \vartheta \int_{\Omega_u^+} |\nabla \eta| \, dx \leq \vartheta \int_\Omega |\nabla \eta| \, dx = \vartheta \|\nabla \eta\|_V. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\langle Au, \eta \rangle \leq [c_2 \|\nabla u\|_V^{p-1} + \vartheta] \|\nabla \eta\|_V.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Au - Ju, \eta \rangle &\leq [c_2 \|\nabla u\|_V^{p-1} + \vartheta] \|\nabla \eta\|_V + \beta^{p-1} \int_{\Omega_u^+} |\nabla \eta| dx \\ &\leq [c_2 \|\nabla u\|_V^{p-1} + \vartheta] \|\nabla \eta\|_V + \beta^{p-1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \eta| dx \\ &\leq [c_2 \|\nabla u\|_V^{p-1} + \vartheta + \beta^{p-1}] \|\nabla \eta\|_V \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Au - Ju\|_{V^*} &= \sup_{\eta \neq 0} \frac{\langle Au - Ju, \eta \rangle}{\|\eta\|_V} \\ &\leq [c_2 \|\nabla u\|_V^{p-1} + \vartheta + \beta^{p-1}] \quad \forall u \in V \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Fu\|_{V^*} &\leq \|Ju - Au\|_{V^*} + \|f\|_{V^*} \\ &\leq [c_2 \|\nabla u\|_V^{p-1} + \vartheta + \beta^{p-1} + \|f\|_{V^*}]. \end{aligned}$$

Now we are going to prove that condition (2) is satisfied. Since $p \geq 2$, it follows from Lemma 1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(J - F)u - (J - F)\eta\|_{V^*} &= \|Au - A\eta\|_{V^*} \\ &\leq c_3(1 + \|u\|_V + \|\eta\|_V)^{p(2-q)} \|u - \eta\|_V, \quad \forall u, \eta \in V. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we see that condition (2) is satisfied for

$$r(u, v) = (1 + \|u\|_V + \|v\|_V) \quad \text{and} \quad \|J(u - \eta)\|_{V^*} = c_3 \|u - \eta\|_V.$$

Also from the pseudomonotonicity, coercivity, and the potentiality of A we obtain the boundedness, coercivity and the potentiality of $J - F$.

It remains to show that $J - F$ is demiclosed at 0. Let $\{u_{n_k}\}_{k=0}^\infty$ be a subsequence of $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ such that $u_{n_k} \rightharpoonup u^*$ and $\{Au_{n_k} - f\}_{k=0}^\infty$ converges strongly in V to zero. Suppose

$$(16) \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle Au_{n_k}, u_{n_k} - u^* \rangle \leq 0.$$

Since A is pseudo-monotone, then

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle Au_{n_k}, u_{n_k} - \eta \rangle \geq \langle Au^*, u^* - \eta \rangle \quad \forall \eta \in V.$$

Or

$$(17) \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle Au_{n_k}, \eta - u_{n_k} \rangle \leq \langle Au^*, \eta - u^* \rangle \quad \forall \eta \in V.$$

Now we prove that A satisfies condition (16).

Since $u_{n_k} \rightharpoonup u^*$ in V , then it is bounded, consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \langle Au_{n_k} - f, u_{n_k} - u^* \rangle &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|Au_{n_k} - f\|_{V^*} \|u_{n_k} - u^*\|_V \\ &\leq \text{const} \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|Au_{n_k} - f\|_{V^*} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (16), we have

$$\langle Au^* - f, \eta - u^* \rangle \geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle Au_{n_k} - f, \eta - u_{n_k} \rangle \quad \forall \eta \in V.$$

Analogically to the above argument, we get

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle Au_{n_k} - f, \eta - u_{n_k} \rangle = 0 \quad \forall \eta \in V,$$

that is u^* is the solution of the following variational inequality

$$\langle Au^* - f, \eta - u^* \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall \eta \in V,$$

and consequently (see, e.g. [7]), $Au^* - f = 0$. Therefore $J - F$ is demiclosed at 0 on V . \square

An application of Theorem 1 now completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Remark 2. It follows from Remark 1 that relation (2) is satisfied with $r(u, v) = 1$ and

$$\|J(u - \eta)\|_{V^*} = c_3 \|u - \eta\|_V.$$

It is obvious that all conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied. Therefore, the sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ generated by (14) converges weakly to a solution of (15).

Remark 3. It should be noted that at every step of the iterative process (14) it is necessary to solve the nonlinear problem

$$-\|w\|_V^{2-p} \operatorname{div}(|\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w) = \tau(f - Au_n), \quad w = u_{n+1} - u_n \in V, \quad p > 2$$

which, with the help of the substitution $w = \|w_1\|_V^{p-2} w_1$, reduces to the problem

$$-\operatorname{div}(|\nabla w_1|^{p-2} \nabla w_1) = \tau(f - Au_n).$$

When $p = 2$, (14) reduces to solve

$$-\Delta w = \tau(f - Au_n), \quad w = u_{n+1} - u_n \in V.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Badriev, I. B., Karchevskii, M. M., *On the convergance of the iterative process in Banach spaces*, Issledovaniya po prikladnoi matematike (Investigations in Applied Mathematics) **17** (1990), 3–15, in Russian.
- [2] Brezis, H., Nirenberg, L., Stampacchia, G., *A remark on Ky Fan's minimax principle*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. **6** (1972), 293–300.
- [3] Gajewski, H., Gröger, K., Zacharias, K., *Nichtlineare Operatorgleichungen und Operatordifferentialgleichungen*, Akademie Verlag Berlin, 1974.
- [4] Goebel, K., Kirk, W. A., *Topics in metric fixed point theory*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. **28** (1990).
- [5] Istratescu, V.I., *Fixed Point Theory*, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1981.
- [6] Karchevskii, M. M., Badriev, I. B., *Nonlinear problems of filtration theory with dis continuous monotone operators*, Chislennye Metody Mekh. Sploshnoi Sredy **10** (5) (1979), 63–78, in Russian.
- [7] Lions, J. L., *Quelques Methods de Resolution des Problemes aux Limites Nonlineaires*, Dunod and Gauthier-Villars, 1969.

- [8] Lyashko, A. D., Karchevskii, M. M., *On the solution of some nonlinear problems of filtration theory*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved., Matematika **6** (1975), 73–81, in Russian.
- [9] Mann, W. R., *Mean value methods in iteration*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1953), 506–510.
- [10] Maruster, S., *The solution by iteration of nonlinear equations in Hilbert spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **63** (1) (1977), 69–73.
- [11] Zeidler, E., *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, Nonlinear Monotone Operators, vol. II(B), Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1990.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE
ASSIUT UNIVERSITY, ASSIUT, EGYPT

E-mail: a_m_saddeek@yahoo.com s_a_ahmed2003@yahoo.com