

## HIGGS BUNDLES AND REPRESENTATION SPACES ASSOCIATED TO MORPHISMS

INDRANIL BISWAS AND CARLOS FLORENTINO

ABSTRACT. Let  $G$  be a connected reductive affine algebraic group defined over the complex numbers, and  $K \subset G$  be a maximal compact subgroup. Let  $X, Y$  be irreducible smooth complex projective varieties and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  an algebraic morphism, such that  $\pi_1(Y)$  is virtually nilpotent and the homomorphism  $f_*: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$  is surjective. Define

$$\mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X), G) = \{\rho \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X), G) \mid A \circ \rho \text{ factors through } f_*\},$$

$$\mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X), K) = \{\rho \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X), K) \mid A \circ \rho \text{ factors through } f_*\},$$

where  $A: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(\text{Lie}(G))$  is the adjoint action. We prove that the geometric invariant theoretic quotient  $\mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), G)//G$  admits a deformation retraction to  $\mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), K)/K$ . We also show that the space of conjugacy classes of  $n$  almost commuting elements in  $G$  admits a deformation retraction to the space of conjugacy classes of  $n$  almost commuting elements in  $K$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a connected reductive affine algebraic group defined over the complex numbers. Consider an algebraic morphism

$$f: X \rightarrow Y$$

where  $X$  and  $Y$  are irreducible smooth complex projective varieties, and let

$$f_*: \pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y, f(x_0))$$

be the induced morphism of fundamental groups, where  $x_0 \in X$  is a base point. In certain situations, the representations

$$\rho: \pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow G$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: primary 14J60.

*Key words and phrases*: Higgs bundle, flat connection, representation space, deformation retraction.

The first author is supported by a J.C. Bose Fellowship. The second author is partially supported by FCT (Portugal) through the projects EXCL/MAT-GEO/0222/2012, PTDC/MAT/120411/2010 and PTDC/MAT-GEO/0675/2012.

Received July 20, 2015. Editor J. Slovák.

DOI: 10.5817/AM2015-4-191

that factor through  $f_*$  have special geometric properties. See [9], where necessary and sufficient conditions for such a factorization are given in terms of the spectral curve of the  $G$ -Higgs bundle associated to  $\rho$ .

In this article, we are interested in the whole moduli space of representations that factor in a similar way, and in its topological properties. Under some assumptions on  $f$  and  $Y$ , we provide a natural deformation retraction between two such representation spaces, described as follows.

The Lie algebra of  $G$  will be denoted by  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $A: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$  be the homomorphism given by the adjoint action of  $G$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Fix a maximal compact subgroup  $K \subset G$  and define:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), G) &= \{\rho \in \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(X, x_0), G) \mid A \circ \rho \text{ factors through } f_*\}, \\ \mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), K) &= \{\rho \in \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(X, x_0), K) \mid A \circ \rho \text{ factors through } f_*\}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that the group  $G$  (respectively,  $K$ ) acts on  $\mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), G)$  (respectively, on  $\mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), K)$ ) via the conjugation action of  $G$  (respectively,  $K$ ) on itself. The quotient  $\mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), K)/K$  is contained in the geometric invariant theoretic quotient  $\mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), G)//G$ .

We prove the following in Theorem 2.6:

*Suppose that the fundamental group of  $Y$  is virtually nilpotent, and the homomorphism  $f_*$  is surjective. Then  $\mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), G)//G$  admits a deformation retraction to the subset  $\mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), K)/K$ .*

In Section 3, we consider spaces of almost commuting elements in  $K$  and in  $G$ . Define:

$$\mathrm{AC}^n(K) = \{(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in K^n \mid g_i g_j g_i^{-1} g_j^{-1} \in Z_K \quad \forall i, j\},$$

where  $Z_K$  denotes the center of  $K$ . The moduli space of conjugacy classes:

$$\mathrm{AC}^n(K) / K,$$

where  $K$  acts by simultaneous conjugation, was studied in [6], [8], and plenty of information is known in the cases  $n = 2$  and  $n = 3$ . For instance, the number of components of  $\mathrm{AC}^3(K) / K$  has been related in [6] to the Chern-Simons invariants associated to flat connections on a 3-torus.

In a similar fashion, we define  $\mathrm{AC}^n(G)//G$ , the moduli space of conjugacy classes of  $n$  almost commuting elements in  $G$ . For example, if  $G$  has trivial center, then  $\mathrm{AC}^{2n}(G)//G$  coincides with

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(X, x_0), G)//G,$$

where  $X$  is an abelian variety of complex dimension  $n$ . In Proposition 3.1, we show that  $\mathrm{AC}^n(G) / G$  admits a deformation retraction to  $\mathrm{AC}^n(K) / K$ , and that the same holds for  $\mathrm{AC}^n(G)$  and  $\mathrm{AC}^n(K)$ , extending one of the main results in [7] and [4].

2. REPRESENTATION SPACES ASSOCIATED TO A MORPHISM

Let  $X$  be an irreducible smooth complex projective variety. Fix a point  $x_0 \in X$ . Let

$$f: X \rightarrow Y$$

be an algebraic morphism, where  $Y$  is also an irreducible smooth complex projective variety, such that:

- (1) the fundamental group  $\pi_1(Y, f(x_0))$  is virtually nilpotent, and
- (2) the homomorphism of fundamental groups induced by  $f$

$$(2.1) \quad f_*: \pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y, f(x_0))$$

is surjective.

Using the homomorphism  $f_*$  in (2.1), we will consider  $\pi_1(Y, f(x_0))$  as a quotient of the group  $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ .

Let  $G$  be a connected reductive affine algebraic group defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ . The Lie algebra of  $G$  will be denoted by  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let

$$(2.2) \quad A: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$$

be the homomorphism given by the adjoint action of  $G$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The affine algebraic variety (not necessarily irreducible) of representations

$$\rho: \pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow G$$

will be denoted by  $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(X, x_0), G)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\rho \in \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(X, x_0), G)$ . We say that  $A \circ \rho$  factors through  $f_*$  in (2.1) (or that  $A \circ \rho$  factors geometrically through  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , see [9]) if there exists a homomorphism  $\rho' \in \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(Y, f(x_0)), \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{g}))$  such that

$$(2.3) \quad \rho' \circ f_* = A \circ \rho.$$

**Remark 2.2.** (1) Clearly, if  $\rho$  itself factorizes as  $\rho = \tilde{\rho} \circ f_*$  for some  $\tilde{\rho} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(X, x_0), G)$ , then  $A \circ \rho$  factorizes through  $f_*$  as in the definition; the converse is not always true.

(2) It is clear that  $A \circ \rho \in \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(X, x_0), \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{g}))$  factors through  $f_*$  as in (2.3), if and only if  $A \circ \rho$  is trivial on the kernel of  $f_*$ . Moreover, when  $A \circ \rho$  factors through  $f_*$ , a homomorphism  $\rho' \in \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(Y, f(x_0)), \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{g}))$  satisfying equation (2.3) is unique, because  $f_*$  is surjective.

In the framework of non-abelian Hodge theory, there is a correspondence between semistable  $G$ -Higgs bundles over  $X$  and representations in  $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(X, x_0), G)$ , [11], [5]. Denote by  $(E_\rho, \theta_\rho)$  the semistable  $G$ -Higgs bundle on  $X$  associated to  $\rho$  under this correspondence. We note that  $(E_\rho, \theta_\rho)$  is semistable with respect to every polarization on  $X$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $\rho \in \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(X, x_0), G)$  be such that  $A \circ \rho$  factors through  $f_*$ . Then, the above principal  $G$ -bundle  $E_\rho$  on  $X$  is semistable.

**Proof.** Let

$$\text{ad}(E_\rho) := E_\rho \times^A \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow X$$

be the adjoint vector bundle of  $E_\rho$ . The Higgs field on  $\text{ad}(E_\rho)$  induced by  $\theta_\rho$  will be denoted by  $\text{ad}(\theta_\rho)$ .

Let  $\rho' : \pi_1(Y, f(x_0)) \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$  be the unique homomorphism satisfying equation (2.3); the uniqueness of  $\rho'$  is a consequence of the surjectivity of  $f_*$  as remarked above. Let  $(E', \theta')$  be the semistable Higgs vector bundle on  $Y$  associated to this homomorphism  $\rho'$ . Since the fundamental group of  $Y$  is virtually nilpotent, we know that the vector bundle  $E'$  is semistable [3, Proposition 3.1]. Let  $c_i(E')$ ,  $i \geq 0$ , be the sequence of Chern classes of the bundle  $E'$ . Then,  $c_i(E') = 0$  for all  $i > 0$  because the  $C^\infty$  complex vector bundle underlying  $E'$  admits a flat connection (it is isomorphic to the  $C^\infty$  complex vector bundle underlying the flat vector bundle associated to  $\rho'$ ). Therefore, by [2, p. 39, Theorem 5.1], the vector bundle  $E'$  admits a filtration

$$0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_{\ell-1} \subset V_\ell = E'$$

of holomorphic subbundles such that each successive quotient  $V_i/V_{i-1}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ , admits a flat unitary connection. Consider the pulled back filtration

$$(2.4) \quad 0 = f^*V_0 \subset f^*V_1 \subset \cdots \subset f^*V_{\ell-1} \subset f^*V_\ell = f^*E'.$$

A flat unitary connection on  $V_i/V_{i-1}$  pulls back to a flat unitary connection on

$$f^*V_i/(f^*V_{i-1}) = f^*(V_i/V_{i-1}).$$

Since each successive quotient for the filtration of  $f^*E'$  in (2.4) admits a flat unitary connection, we conclude that the holomorphic vector bundle  $f^*E'$  is semistable.

From (2.3) it follows that

$$(2.5) \quad (\text{ad}(E_\rho), \text{ad}(\theta_\rho)) = (f^*E', f^*\theta').$$

Since  $f^*E'$  is semistable, from (2.5) it follows that  $\text{ad}(E_\rho)$  is semistable. This implies that the principal  $G$ -bundle  $E_\rho$  is semistable [1, p. 214, Proposition 2.10].  $\square$

Lemma 2.3 has the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.4.** *For any Higgs field  $\theta$ , the  $G$ -Higgs bundle  $(E_\rho, \theta)$  is semistable.*

Let

$$(2.6) \quad \rho^\lambda : \pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow G$$

be a homomorphism corresponding to the Higgs  $G$ -bundle  $(E_\rho, \lambda \cdot \theta_\rho)$ , which is semistable by Corollary 2.4. We note that although  $\rho^\lambda$  is not uniquely determined by  $(E_\rho, \lambda \cdot \theta_\rho)$ , the point in the quotient space

$$\text{Hom}(\pi_1(X, x_0), G)/G$$

given by  $\rho^\lambda$  does not depend on the choice of  $\rho^\lambda$ . In other words, any two different choices of  $\rho^\lambda$  differ by an inner automorphism of the group  $G$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *For every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , the homomorphism  $A \circ \rho^\lambda$  factors through  $f_*$ , where  $\rho^\lambda$  is defined in (2.6).*

**Proof.** Let  $(\text{ad}(E_\rho)^\lambda, \text{ad}(\theta_\rho)^\lambda)$  be the Higgs vector bundle associated to the homomorphism  $A \circ \rho^\lambda$ . We note that  $(\text{ad}(E_\rho)^\lambda, \text{ad}(\theta_\rho)^\lambda)$  is isomorphic to  $(f^*E', f^*(\lambda \cdot \theta'))$ , because the Higgs bundle  $(E', \theta')$  corresponds to  $\rho'$ , and (2.3) holds. We saw in the proof of Lemma 2.3 that  $E'$  is semistable with  $c_i(E') = 0$  for all  $i > 0$ . Since  $(\text{ad}(E_\rho)^\lambda, \text{ad}(\theta_\rho)^\lambda)$  is isomorphic to the pullback of a semistable Higgs vector bundle on  $Y$  such that all the Chern classes of positive degrees of the underlying vector bundle on  $Y$  vanish, it can be deduced that  $A \circ \rho^\lambda$  factors through the quotient  $\pi_1(Y, f(x_0))$ . In fact, if

$$\delta: \pi_1(Y, f(x_0)) \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$$

is a homomorphism corresponding to the Higgs vector bundle  $(E', \lambda \cdot \theta')$ , then

- the homomorphism  $A \circ \rho^\lambda$  factors through the quotient  $\pi_1(Y, f(x_0))$ , and
- the homomorphism  $\pi_1(Y, f(x_0)) \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$  resulting from  $A \circ \rho^\lambda$  differs from  $\delta$  by an inner automorphism of  $\text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ .

This completes the proof. □

Fix a maximal compact subgroup

$$K \subset G.$$

Define

$$\mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), G) = \{\rho \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X, x_0), G) \mid A \circ \rho \text{ factors through } f_*\},$$

$$\mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), K) = \{\rho \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X, x_0), K) \mid A \circ \rho \text{ factors through } f_*\}.$$

Since  $\pi_1(X, x_0)$  is a finitely presented group, the affine algebraic structure of  $G$  produces an affine algebraic structure on  $\mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), G)$ . The group  $G$  acts on  $\mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), G)$  via the conjugation action of  $G$  on itself. Let

$$\mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), G) // G$$

be the corresponding geometric invariant theoretic quotient. We note that this geometric invariant theoretic quotient  $\mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), G) // G$  is a complex affine algebraic variety. Let

$$\mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), K) / K$$

be the quotient of  $\mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), K)$  for the adjoint action of  $K$  on itself.

The inclusion of  $K$  in  $G$  produces an inclusion of  $\mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), K)$  in  $\mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), G)$ , which, in turn, gives an inclusion

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), K) / K \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), G) // G.$$

Instead of working with the Zariski topology on  $\mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), G) // G$ , we consider on it the Euclidean topology which is induced from an embedding of this space in a complex affine space. Indeed, such an embedding can always be obtained by considering a finite set of generators of the algebra of  $G$ -invariant regular functions on  $\mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), G)$ . Moreover, this topology is independent of the choice of such embedding, and compatible with the inclusion (2.7).

**Theorem 2.6.** *The topological space  $\mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), G) // G$  admits a deformation retraction to the above subset  $\mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), K) / K$ .*

**Proof.** Two elements of  $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(X, x_0), G)$  are called equivalent if they differ by an inner automorphism of  $G$ . Points of  $\mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), G)//G$  correspond to the equivalence classes of homomorphisms  $\rho \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X, x_0), G)$  such that the action of  $\pi_1(X, x_0)$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  given by  $A \circ \rho$  is completely reducible, meaning that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a direct sum of irreducible  $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ -modules. Let  $(E_\rho, \theta_\rho)$  be the semistable  $G$ -Higgs bundle corresponding to the above homomorphism  $\rho$ , and let  $(\text{ad}(E_\rho), \text{ad}(\theta_\rho))$  be the semistable adjoint Higgs vector bundle associated to  $(E_\rho, \theta_\rho)$ . The above condition that the action of  $\pi_1(X, x_0)$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  given by  $A \circ \rho$  is completely reducible is equivalent to the condition that the semistable Higgs vector bundle  $(\text{ad}(E_\rho), \text{ad}(\theta_\rho))$  is polystable.

Let

$$\phi: (\mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), G)//G) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), G)//G$$

be the map defined by  $(\rho, \lambda) \mapsto \rho^{1-\lambda}$  (defined in (2.6)), where  $\rho \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X, x_0), G)$  satisfies the condition that the action of  $\pi_1(X, x_0)$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  given by  $A \circ \rho$  is completely reducible. It is easy to see that  $\phi$  is well-defined. We note that the point in the geometric invariant theoretic quotient  $\mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), G)//G$  given by  $\rho$  lies in the subset  $\mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), K)/K$  if and only if the Higgs field  $\theta_\rho$  on the principal  $G$ -bundle  $E_\rho$  vanishes identically (as before,  $(E_\rho, \theta_\rho)$  is the Higgs  $G$ -bundle corresponding to  $\rho$ ).

The following are straightforward to check:

- $\phi(z, 0) = z$  for all  $z \in \mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), G)//G$ ,
- $\phi(z, 1) \in \mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), K)/K$  for all  $z \in \mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), G)//G$ , and
- $\phi(z, \lambda) = z$  for all  $z \in \mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), K)/K$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ .

Therefore, the above map  $\phi$  produces a deformation retraction of  $\mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), G)//G$  to  $\mathcal{R}^f(\pi_1(X, x_0), K)/K$ . □

**Remark 2.7.** Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.6 are also valid for morphisms  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  in the category of compact Kähler manifolds, under the same assumptions on  $Y$  and  $f_*$ . The proofs of these results are analogous, by replacing semistability with the notion of *pseudostability* (see [5], [3]).

### 3. DEFORMATION RETRACTION OF THE SPACE OF ALMOST COMMUTING ELEMENTS

Again, let  $G$  be a connected complex reductive group, and  $K$  be a maximal compact subgroup. Let

$$Z_G \subset G$$

be the center of  $G$  and let

$$PG := G/Z_G$$

be the quotient group. We note that the center of  $PG$  is trivial. Let

$$(3.1) \quad q: G \rightarrow PG$$

be the quotient map. The image

$$PK := q(K) \subset PG$$

is a maximal compact subgroup of  $PG$ . We have  $q^{-1}(PK) = K$ .

Fix a positive integer  $n$ . Define

$$AC^n(G) = \{(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in G^n \mid g_i g_j g_i^{-1} g_j^{-1} \in Z_G \ \forall i, j\}.$$

It is a subscheme of the affine variety  $G^n$ . The group  $G$  acts on  $AC^n(G)$  as simultaneous conjugation of the  $n$  factors. Let

$$ACE^n(G) := AC^n(G) // G$$

be the geometric invariant theoretic quotient. Also, define

$$AC^n(K) = \{(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in K^n \mid g_i g_j g_i^{-1} g_j^{-1} \in Z_G \ \forall i, j\}.$$

So  $AC^n(K) = AC^n(G) \cap K^n$ . Let

$$ACE^n(K) := AC^n(K) / K$$

be the quotient for the simultaneous conjugation action of  $K$  on the  $n$  factors. Note that the inclusion of  $K$  in  $G$  produces an inclusion

$$ACE^n(K) \hookrightarrow ACE^n(G).$$

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be semisimple. Then, the topological space  $ACE^n(G)$  admits a deformation retraction to the above subset  $ACE^n(K)$ .*

**Proof.** When  $G$  is semisimple,  $Z_G$  is a finite subgroup of  $G$ , so that the map (3.1) is a Galois covering. Also,  $Z_G \subset K$ . Define  $AC^n(PG)$  and  $ACE^n(PG)$  by substituting  $PG$  in place of  $G$  in the above constructions. Note that  $AC^n(PG)$  parametrizes commuting  $n$  elements of  $PG$  because the center of  $PG$  is trivial. Similarly, define  $AC^n(PK)$  and  $ACE^n(PK)$  by substituting  $PK$  in place of  $K$ . So  $AC^n(PK)$  parametrizes commuting  $n$  elements of  $PK$ . The projection

$$(3.2) \quad \beta: ACE^n(G) \rightarrow ACE^n(PG)$$

constructed using the the projection  $q$  in (3.1) is a Galois covering with Galois group  $Z_G^n$ . However it should be mentioned that  $ACE^n(G)$  need not be connected. Let

$$\gamma: ACE^n(K) \rightarrow ACE^n(PK)$$

be the projection constructed similarly using  $q$ . Clearly,  $\gamma$  coincides with the restriction of  $\beta$  to  $ACE^n(K) \subset ACE^n(G)$ .

There is a deformation retraction of  $ACE^n(PG)$  to  $ACE^n(PK)$

$$\varphi: ACE^n(PG) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow ACE^n(PG)$$

[7, Theorem 1.1] (see also [4]). In particular,  $\varphi|_{ACE^n(PG) \times \{0\}}$  is the identity map of  $ACE^n(PG)$ .

Applying the homotopy lifting property to the covering  $\beta$  in (3.2), there is a unique map

$$\tilde{\varphi}: ACE^n(G) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow ACE^n(G)$$

such that

- (1)  $\beta \circ \tilde{\varphi} = \varphi \circ (\beta \times \text{Id}_{[0,1]})$ , and
- (2)  $\tilde{\varphi}|_{ACE^n(G) \times \{0\}}$  is the identity map of  $ACE^n(G)$ .

This map  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is a deformation retraction of  $\text{ACE}^n(G)$  to  $\text{ACE}^n(K)$ , because  $\varphi$  is a deformation retraction.  $\square$

Proposition 3.1 remains valid in the more general situation when  $G$  is reductive.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be a connected reductive affine algebraic group over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then,  $\text{ACE}^n(G)$  admits a deformation retraction to the subset  $\text{ACE}^n(K)$ .*

**Proof.** First, note that Proposition 3.1 is clearly valid if  $G$  is a product of copies of the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . Hence it remains valid for any  $G$  which is a product of a semisimple group and copies of  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . For a general connected reductive group  $G$ , consider the natural homomorphism

$$\eta: G \rightarrow PG \times (G/[G, G]).$$

It is a surjective Galois covering map, the quotient  $PG := G/Z_G$  is semisimple, while the quotient  $G/[G, G]$  is a product of copies of  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . As mentioned above Proposition 3.1 is valid for  $PG \times (G/[G, G])$ . Using this and the above homomorphism  $\eta$  it follows that Proposition 3.1 is valid for  $G$ .  $\square$

**3.1. Deformation retraction of the space of  $n$  commuting elements.** Finally, we note that the analogous result is also verified for the space of  $n$  commuting elements,  $\text{AC}^n(G)$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $G$  be a connected reductive affine algebraic group over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then, the space  $\text{AC}^n(G)$  admits a deformation retraction to the subset  $\text{AC}^n(K)$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $PG$  and  $PK$  have trivial center, the spaces  $\text{AC}^n(PG)$  and  $\text{AC}^n(PK)$  consist of  $n$  commuting elements: If  $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \text{AC}^n(PG)$ , then

$$g_i g_j = g_j g_i, \quad \text{for all } i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Therefore, it is known that  $\text{AC}^n(PG)$  admits a deformation retraction to  $\text{AC}^n(PK)$  [10, p. 2514, Theorem 1.1]. In view of this, imitating the proof of Proposition 3.1 it follows that  $\text{AC}^n(G)$  admits a deformation retraction to  $\text{AC}^n(K)$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Anichouche, B., Biswas, I., *Einstein–Hermitian connections on polystable principal bundles over a compact Kähler manifold*, Amer. J. Math. **123** (2001), 207–228.
- [2] Biswas, I., Bruzzo, U., *On semistable principal bundles over a complex projective manifold. II*, Geom. Dedicata **146** (2010), 27–41.
- [3] Biswas, I., Florentino, C., *Character varieties of virtually nilpotent Kähler groups and  $G$ -Higgs bundles*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), to appear, [arXiv:1405.0610](https://arxiv.org/abs/1405.0610).
- [4] Biswas, I., Florentino, C., *Commuting elements in reductive groups and Higgs bundles on Abelian varieties*, J. Algebra **388** (2013), 194–202.
- [5] Biswas, I., Gómez, T.L., *Connections and Higgs fields on a principal bundle*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **33** (2008), 19–46.
- [6] Borel, A., Friedman, R., Morgan, J.W., *Almost commuting elements in compact Lie groups*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **157** (2002), no. 747.
- [7] Florentino, C., Lawton, S., *Topology of character varieties of Abelian groups*, preprint [arXiv:1301.7616](https://arxiv.org/abs/1301.7616).

- [8] Kac, V.G., Smilga, A.V., *Vacuum structure in supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories with any gauge group*, preprint arXiv hep-th/9902029, 1999.
- [9] Katzarkov, L., Pantev, T., *Representations of fundamental groups whose Higgs bundles are pullbacks*, J. Differential Geom. **39** (1994), 103–121.
- [10] Pettet, A., Souto, J., *Commuting tuples in reductive groups and their maximal compact subgroups*, Geom. Topol. **17** (2013), 2513–2593.
- [11] Simpson, C.T., *Higgs bundles and local systems*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **75** (1992), 5–95.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS,  
 TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH,  
 HOMI BHABHA ROAD, BOMBAY 400005, INDIA  
*E-mail: indranil@math.tifr.res.in*

DEPARTAMENTO MATEMÁTICA, IST,  
 UNIVERSITY OF LISBON,  
 AV. ROVISCO PAIS, 1049-001 LISBON, PORTUGAL  
*E-mail: carlos.florentino@tecnico.ulisboa.pt*