

LOCALLY FUNCTIONALLY COUNTABLE SUBALGEBRA OF $\mathcal{R}(L)$

M. ELYASI, A. A. ESTAJI, AND M. ROBAT SARPOUSHI

ABSTRACT. Let $L_c(X) = \{f \in C(X) : \overline{C_f} = X\}$, where C_f is the union of all open subsets $U \subseteq X$ such that $|f(U)| \leq \aleph_0$. In this paper, we present a pointfree topology version of $L_c(X)$, named $\mathcal{R}_{\ell c}(L)$. We observe that $\mathcal{R}_{\ell c}(L)$ enjoys most of the important properties shared by $\mathcal{R}(L)$ and $\mathcal{R}_c(L)$, where $\mathcal{R}_c(L)$ is the pointfree version of all continuous functions of $C(X)$ with countable image. The interrelation between $\mathcal{R}(L)$, $\mathcal{R}_{\ell c}(L)$, and $\mathcal{R}_c(L)$ is examined. We show that $L_c(X) \cong \mathcal{R}_{\ell c}(\mathfrak{D}(X))$ for any space X . Frames L for which $\mathcal{R}_{\ell c}(L) = \mathcal{R}(L)$ are characterized.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, all spaces are assumed to be Tychonoff, all frames are completely regular, and all rings are commutative with an identity element.

The notation $C(X)$ denotes the ring of all real-valued continuous functions on a topological space X (see [12]). Let $C_c(X)$ (resp. $C^F(X)$) denote the ring of all continuous functions of $C(X)$ with the countable (resp. finite) image. The ring $C_c(X)$ was introduced and studied in [10]. This subalgebra has more attendance recently; see, for example, [1, 4, 11, 14, 17, 18]. In [16], the authors introduced and studied the ring $\mathcal{R}_c(L)$ as the pointfree topology version of $C_c(X)$ (see also [6, 8, 9]). By $L_c(X)$, we mean the ring of all continuous functions that C_f is dense in X for $f \in C(X)$, where $C_f = \bigcup\{U : U \in \mathfrak{D}(X) \text{ and } |f(U)| \leq \aleph_0\}$; see [15]. Note that $C_c(X)$ is the largest subring of $C(X)$ whose elements have the countable image and that the subring $L_c(X)$ of $C(X)$ lies between $C_c(X)$ and $C(X)$. This motivates us to introduce this subring in a pointfree topology, named, $\mathcal{R}_{\ell c}(L)$.

A brief outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we review, some definitions and results of frames and continuous functions.

In Section 3, we present a new subring of $\mathcal{R}(L)$ that contains $\mathcal{R}_c(L)$. We define $\mathcal{R}_{\ell c}(L)$ the set of all $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L)$ such that $(C_\alpha)^* = \perp$, where C_α is the join of all elements $a \in L$ with $\alpha|_a \in \mathcal{R}_c(\downarrow a)$ (see Definition 3.1). We show that $\mathcal{R}_{\ell c}(L)$ is a subring of $\mathcal{R}(L)$. We observe that $\mathcal{R}_{\ell c}(L)$ enjoys most of the important properties

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: primary 06D22; secondary 54C05, 54C30.

Key words and phrases: functionally countable subalgebra, locally functionally countable subalgebra, sublocale, frame.

Received June 30, 2019, revised March 2020. Editor A. Pultr.

DOI: 10.5817/AM2020-3-127

that are shared by $\mathcal{R}(L)$ and $\mathcal{R}_c(L)$. Next, we introduce other subrings of $\mathcal{R}(L)$ (see Definition 3.18) and study their relations with $\mathcal{R}(L)$, $\mathcal{R}_c(L)$, and $\mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}(L)$ (see Proposition 3.21).

In Section 4, we prove the equality of $\mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}(L)$ and $\mathcal{R}(L)$ under certain conditions (see Propositions 4.5 and 4.7). Analogous to the main objective of research in the context $\mathcal{R}(L)$, we will try to study some useful facts about $\mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}(L)$ and algebraic properties of $\mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}(L)$ (see Proposition 4.13).

In the final section, we study the constant functions that are obtained from the restriction of a frame map $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L)$ to the codomain M for every sublocale M of L , and we denote $\mathcal{R}_{(M, \text{constant})}(L)$ to be the set of all $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L)$ such that $\alpha|_M \in \mathcal{R}^1(M)$. A relation between $\mathcal{R}_{(M, \text{constant})}(L)$ and $\mathcal{R}_c(L)$ is investigated.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Functionally and locally functionally countable subalgebra of $C(X)$.

We know $L_c(X) = \{f \in C(X) : \overline{C_f} = X\}$, where $C_f = \bigcup\{U : U \in \mathfrak{D}(X) \text{ and } |f(U)| \leq \aleph_0\}$. In [15], it was proved that $L_c(X)$ is a subalgebra as well as a sublattice of $C(X)$ containing $C_c(X)$, and this subring is called *the locally functionally countable subalgebra* of $C(X)$. The properties of the subalgebra $L_c(X)$ were mentioned in [15]. Similar to the above definition, $L_F(X)$ and $L_1(X)$ are the locally functionally finite and constant, respectively.

2.2. Frames and their homomorphism. Our notation and terminology for frames and locales will be that of [13] and [19]. We shall not discourse at length upon the rudiments of pointfree topology here, however, we recall some basic notion.

A *frame* (or *locale*) is a complete lattice L in which the infinite distributive law

$$a \wedge \bigvee S = \bigvee \{a \wedge s : s \in S\}$$

holds for all $a \in L$ and $S \subseteq L$. We denote by \perp and \top , respectively, the bottom and the top elements of L . The frame of open subsets of a topological space X is denoted by $\mathfrak{D}(X)$. An element $p \neq \top$ is a *prime* in a frame L if $x \wedge y \leq p$ implies that $x \leq p$ or $y \leq p$. The set of all prime elements of L is denoted by ΣL .

Every frame is a complete Heyting algebra with the Heyting implication given by

$$a \rightarrow b = \bigvee \{x \in L : a \wedge x \leq b\}.$$

The *pseudocomplement* of $a \in L$ is the element $a^* = a \rightarrow \perp = \bigvee \{x \in L : x \wedge a = \perp\}$. If $a \vee a^* = \top$, then a is said to be *complemented*.

Recall from [3] (see also [2]) that the frame of reals $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R})$ is obtained by taking the ordered pairs (p, q) of rational numbers as generators and imposing the following relations:

- (R1) $(p, q) \wedge (r, s) = (p \vee r, q \wedge s)$.
- (R2) $(p, q) \vee (r, s) = (p, s)$ whenever $p \leq r < q \leq s$.
- (R3) $(p, q) = \bigvee \{(r, s) : p < r < s < q\}$.
- (R4) $\top = \bigvee \{(p, q) : p, q \in \mathbb{Q}\}$.

For every $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$, put

$$\langle p, q \rangle := \{x \in \mathbb{Q} : p < x < q\} \quad \text{and} \quad \llbracket p, q \rrbracket := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : p < x < q\}.$$

Corresponding to every operation $\diamond : \mathbb{Q}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ (in particular $\diamond \in \{+, \cdot, \wedge, \vee\}$) we define an operation on $\mathcal{R}(L)$, denoted by the same symbol \diamond , by

$$\alpha \diamond \beta(p, q) = \bigvee \{ \alpha(r, s) \wedge \beta(u, w) : \langle r, s \rangle \diamond \langle u, w \rangle \subseteq \langle p, q \rangle \},$$

where $\langle r, s \rangle \diamond \langle u, w \rangle \subseteq \langle p, q \rangle$ means that for each $r < x < s$ and $u < y < w$, we have $p < x \diamond y < q$. For every $r \in \mathbb{R}$, define the constant frame map $\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R}(L)$ by $\mathbf{r}(p, q) = \top$, whenever $p < r < q$, and otherwise $\mathbf{r}(p, q) = \perp$. An element α of $\mathcal{R}(L)$ is said to be bounded if there exist $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\alpha(p, q) = \top$. The set of all bounded elements of $\mathcal{R}(L)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{R}^*(L)$, which is a sub- f -ring of $\mathcal{R}(L)$. The *cozero map* is the map $\text{coz} : \mathcal{R}(L) \rightarrow L$, defined by

$$\text{coz}(\alpha) = \bigvee \{ \alpha(p, 0) \vee \alpha(0, q) : p, q \in \mathbb{Q} \}.$$

A *cozero element* of L is an element of the form $\text{coz}(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L)$ (see [3]). The cozero part of L , denoted by $\text{Coz}(L)$, is the set of all cozero elements. It is well known that L is completely regular if and only if $\text{Coz}(L)$ generates L . The homomorphism $\tau : \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{R})$ given by $(p, q) \mapsto \llbracket p, q \rrbracket$ is an isomorphism (see [3, Proposition 2]).

For a topology space X and every $A \subseteq X$ and $f \in C(X)$, we have $(f|_A)^{-1} : \mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(A)$ with $(f|_A)^{-1}(U) = f^{-1}(U) \cap A$ for every $U \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{R})$. Also, for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L)$ and every $a \in L$, we have $\alpha|_a : \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \downarrow a$ with $\alpha|_a(p, q) = \alpha(p, q) \wedge a$.

An element $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L)$ is said to have the *pointfree countable image* if there is a countable subset S of \mathbb{R} with $\alpha \blacktriangleleft S$ (we say α *overlap* of S), where $\alpha \blacktriangleleft S$ means that $\tau(u) \cap S = \tau(v) \cap S$ implies $\alpha(u) = \alpha(v)$ for any $u, v \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R})$. In [16], it is shown that for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L)$ and any $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $\alpha \blacktriangleleft S$,
- (2) $\tau(p, q) \cap S = \tau(v) \cap S$ implies $\alpha(p, q) = \alpha(v)$, for any $v \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R})$ and any $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$, and
- (3) $\tau(p, q) \cap S \subseteq \tau(v) \cap S$ implies $\alpha(p, q) \leq \alpha(v)$, for any $v \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R})$ and any $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$.

For any frame L , we put

$$\mathcal{R}_c(L) := \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L) : \alpha \text{ has the pointfree countable image} \}.$$

For any completely regular frame L , the set $\mathcal{R}_c(L)$ is a sub- f -ring of $\mathcal{R}(L)$. The ring $\mathcal{R}_c(L)$ is introduced as the pointfree version of $C_c(X)$ (see [16]). Also, $\mathcal{R}^F(L)$ is the pointfree version of $C^F(X)$. We denote the set of all constant functions of $\mathcal{R}(L)$ by $\mathcal{R}^1(L)$.

2.3. Sublocales. A *sublocale* of a locale L is a subset $S \subseteq L$ such that

- (i) for every $A \subseteq S$, $\bigwedge A \in S$, and
- (ii) for every $a \in L$ and $s \in S$, $a \rightarrow s \in S$.

The lattice of all sublocales of L is denoted by $\mathfrak{S}(L)$. The meet in this lattice is intersection. The join of any collection $\{S_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \mathfrak{S}(L)$ is given by

$$\bigvee_i S_i = \left\{ \bigwedge M : M \subseteq \bigcup_i S_i \right\}.$$

The lattice $\mathfrak{S}(L)$, partially ordered by inclusion, is a *coframe*. The smallest sublocale of L is $\mathbf{0} = \{\top\}$, which is called the *void* sublocale. Indeed the largest is L .

3. THE SUBALGEBRA $\mathfrak{R}_{lc}(L)$ OF $\mathfrak{R}(L)$

In this section, we introduce the pointfree topology version of the ring $L_c(X)$. We begin with the following definition.

Definition 3.1. For every $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}(L)$, we put

$$\mathcal{C}_\alpha = \{a \in L : \alpha|_a \in \mathfrak{R}_c(\downarrow a)\} \quad \text{and} \quad C_\alpha = \bigvee \mathcal{C}_\alpha.$$

We say that an element α of $\mathfrak{R}(L)$ has the *pointfree locally countable image* if $(C_\alpha)^* = \perp$. We put

$$\mathfrak{R}_{lc}(L) := \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}(L) : \alpha \text{ has the pointfree locally countable image}\}.$$

Also, $\mathfrak{R}_{lc}(L)$ is called the pointfree locally functionally countable image subring of $\mathfrak{R}(L)$.

We show that this definition is a conservative extension for continuous functions on topological spaces. Throughout this article, for $f \in C(X)$ and the isomorphism $\tau : \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{R})$, the frame map $f^{-1} \circ \tau : \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(X)$ is denoted by f_τ . Note that for any $p < q$ in \mathbb{Q} , $f_\tau(p, q) = f^{-1}(\llbracket p, q \rrbracket)$ and $f_\tau|_U = (f|_U)_\tau$ for every $U \in \mathfrak{D}(X)$. Therefore, $C_f = C_{f_\tau}$ for every $f \in C(X)$. By this fact, the following proposition holds.

Proposition 3.2. *If $f \in C(X)$, then $f \in L_c(X)$ if and only if $f_\tau \in \mathfrak{R}_{lc}(\mathfrak{D}(X))$.*

Recall from [3] that for any space X , there is a one-one onto map $\mathbf{Frm}(\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{D}(X)) \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}(X, \mathbb{R})$ given by the correspondence $\varphi \mapsto \tilde{\varphi}$ such that

$$p < \tilde{\varphi}(x) < q \quad \text{if and only if} \quad x \in \varphi(p, q)$$

whenever $p < q$ in \mathbb{Q} (also, see [5]). This means that $\mathfrak{R}(\mathfrak{D}(X)) \cong C(X)$ for any topological space X . Here, we give a counterpart of this result.

Proposition 3.3. *For any space X , $\mathfrak{R}_{lc}(\mathfrak{D}(X)) \cong L_c(X)$.*

Proof. We define $\theta : L_c(X) \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_{lc}(\mathfrak{D}(X))$ by $\theta(g) = g_\tau$. By Proposition 3.2, θ is well defined and injective. Let $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_{lc}(\mathfrak{D}(X))$. Then $\alpha \circ \tau^{-1} : \mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(X)$ is a frame map, and hence by [5, Theorem 1], there exists a unique continuous function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that $f^{-1} = \alpha \circ \tau^{-1}$. Therefore, $\theta(f) = f^{-1} \circ \tau = \alpha$. Now let $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$ and let $U \in \mathfrak{D}(X)$. Then

$$(f^{-1}|_U)(\tau(p, q)) = f^{-1}(\llbracket p, q \rrbracket) \wedge U = \alpha(\tau^{-1}(\llbracket p, q \rrbracket)) \wedge U = \alpha(p, q) \wedge U = \alpha|_U(p, q).$$

Therefore, $(f^{-1}|_U) \circ \tau = \alpha|_U$. Now, since $f|_U$ has the countable image, then $(f^{-1}|_U)^{-1} \circ \tau = \alpha|_U$ has a pointfree countable image ([16, Proposition 3.11]). Therefore, $C_\alpha = C_f$ and hence $f \in L_c(X)$. \square

Lemma 3.4. *For every $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L)$ and every $a \in L$, if $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_c(L)$, then $\alpha|_a \in \mathcal{R}_c(\downarrow a)$.*

Proof. It is evident. \square

By this lemma, it manifests that $\mathcal{R}^F(L) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_c(L) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{lc}(L) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(L)$.

Remark 3.5. Note that the equality between these objects may not necessarily hold. For example, let the basic neighborhood of x be the set $\{x\}$, for each point $x \geq \sqrt{2}$ and for the rest of the real numbers (i.e., $x < \sqrt{2}$), let the basic neighborhoods be the usual open intervals containing x . This is a topology τ on \mathbb{R} and in this case, we put $X = \mathbb{R}$. Clearly, X is a completely regular Hausdorff space, which is finer than the usual topology of \mathbb{R} . Consider the function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = x$ for $x \geq \sqrt{2}$ and $f(x) = \sqrt{2}$, otherwise, so we have $f \in L_c(X) \setminus C_c(X)$ (for more details, see [15]). Proposition 3.3 implies $f_\tau \in \mathcal{R}_{lc}(\mathfrak{D}(X)) \setminus \mathcal{R}_c(\mathfrak{D}(X))$ (because $C_c(X) \cong \mathcal{R}_c(\mathfrak{D}(X))$, by [6, Lemma 3.16]). Now, consider the identity function $\text{id}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is continuous. Then $\text{id} \in C(X) \setminus L_c(X)$. It follows from Proposition 3.3 that $\text{id}_\tau \in \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{D}(X)) \setminus \mathcal{R}_{lc}(\mathfrak{D}(X))$.

We need the following lemmas to show that $\mathcal{R}_{lc}(L)$ is a sub- f -ring and \mathbb{R} -subalgebra of $\mathcal{R}(L)$. The proof is routine, so we omit it.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{R}(L)$ and $a, b \in L$ be given. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) *If $\diamond \in \{+, \cdot, \wedge, \vee\}$, then $\alpha \diamond \beta|_a = \alpha|_a \diamond \beta|_a$.*
- (2) *If $a \leq b$ and $\alpha|_b \in \mathcal{R}_c(\downarrow b)$, then $\alpha|_a \in \mathcal{R}_c(\downarrow a)$.*

Lemma 3.7. *If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{R}(L)$, then $C_{\alpha \diamond \beta} \geq C_\alpha \wedge C_\beta$ for every $\diamond \in \{+, \cdot, \wedge, \vee\}$.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in L$ such that $\alpha|_a \in \mathcal{R}_c(\downarrow a)$ and $\beta|_b \in \mathcal{R}_c(\downarrow b)$. By part (2) of Lemma 3.6, we have $\alpha|_{a \wedge b}, \beta|_{a \wedge b} \in \mathcal{R}_c(\downarrow a \wedge b)$. Now, by part (1) of Lemma 3.6, we have

$$\alpha \diamond \beta|_{a \wedge b} = \alpha|_{a \wedge b} \diamond \beta|_{a \wedge b} \in \mathcal{R}_c(\downarrow (a \wedge b))$$

for every $\diamond \in \{+, \cdot, \wedge, \vee\}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} C_\alpha \wedge C_\beta &= \bigvee \{a \wedge b: a, b \in L, \alpha|_a \in \mathcal{R}_c(\downarrow a), \beta|_b \in \mathcal{R}_c(\downarrow b)\} \\ &\leq \bigvee \{c \in L: \alpha \diamond \beta|_c \in \mathcal{R}_c(\downarrow c)\} \\ &= C_{\alpha \diamond \beta}, \end{aligned}$$

for every $\diamond \in \{+, \cdot, \wedge, \vee\}$. \square

It is evident that for every $0 \neq r \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha|_a \blacktriangleleft S$ if and only if $r\alpha|_a \blacktriangleleft \{rx: x \in S\}$ for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L)$ and every $a \in L$. By this fact and Lemma 3.7, the following proposition holds.

Proposition 3.8. *It follows that $\mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}(L)$ is a sub-f-ring and an \mathbb{R} -subalgebra of $\mathcal{R}(L)$.*

Remark 3.9. Recall that $|\alpha| = \alpha \vee (-\alpha)$ for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L)$. For every $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$, we have

$$|\alpha|(p, q) = |\alpha|(p, -) \wedge |\alpha|(-, q) = \begin{cases} \perp & \text{if } q \leq 0, \\ -\alpha(p, q) \vee \alpha(p, q) & \text{if } p \geq 0, \\ \alpha(-q, q) & \text{if } p < 0 < q. \end{cases}$$

Now, let us state the results in relation to the absolute value function and the rings $\mathcal{R}_c(L)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}(L)$.

Proposition 3.10. *If S is a subset of \mathbb{R} and $|\alpha| \blacktriangleleft S$, then $|\alpha| \blacktriangleleft S \cap [0, \infty)$ for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L)$.*

Proof. Put $S_1 := S \cap [0, \infty)$. Let $(p, q), v \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\tau(p, q) \cap S_1 \subseteq \tau(v) \cap S_1$ be given. We show that $|\alpha|(p, q) \leq |\alpha|(v)$ by considering several cases. Therefore, $|\alpha| \blacktriangleleft S_1$.

First case. If $p \geq 0$, then $\tau(p, q) \cap S = \tau(p, q) \cap S_1 \subseteq \tau(v) \cap S_1 = \tau(v) \cap S$, which follows that $|\alpha|(p, q) \leq |\alpha|(v)$.

Second case. If $q \leq 0$, then $\perp = |\alpha|(p, q) \leq |\alpha|(v)$.

Third case. If $0 \in \tau(p, q) \cap S_1$, then $0 \in \tau(v) \cap S_1$. Therefore, there exists an element $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\tau(\frac{-1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}) \subseteq \tau(v) \cap \tau(p, q)$. On the other hand, we have

$$\tau(p, q) = \tau\left(p, \frac{-1}{n+1}\right) \cup \tau\left(\frac{-1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}\right) \cup \tau\left(\frac{1}{n+1}, q\right),$$

and so $|\alpha|(p, q) = |\alpha|(p, \frac{-1}{n+1}) \vee |\alpha|(\frac{-1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}) \vee |\alpha|(\frac{1}{n+1}, q)$.

- Since $\tau(\frac{-1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}) \subseteq \tau(v)$, then $|\alpha|(\frac{-1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}) \leq |\alpha|(v)$.
- Since $\tau(p, \frac{-1}{n+1}) \cap S_1 \subseteq \tau(p, q) \cap S_1 \subseteq \tau(v) \cap S_1$, case (2) implies $|\alpha|(p, \frac{-1}{n+1}) \leq |\alpha|(v)$.
- Since $\tau(\frac{1}{n+1}, q) \cap S_1 \subseteq \tau(p, q) \cap S_1 \subseteq \tau(v) \cap S_1$, case (1) implies $|\alpha|(\frac{1}{n+1}, q) \leq |\alpha|(v)$.

Therefore, $|\alpha|(p, q) \leq |\alpha|(v)$.

Fourth case. If $0 \in \tau(p, q)$ and $0 \notin S_1$, then $0 \notin S$. Since $((-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)) \cap S = \mathbb{R} \cap S$, then $|\alpha|((-, 0) \vee (0, -)) = |\alpha|(\top) = \top$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha|(p, q) &= |\alpha|(p, q) \wedge \top \\ &= |\alpha|(p, q) \wedge (|\alpha|(-, 0) \vee |\alpha|(0, -)) \\ &= |\alpha|((p, q) \wedge (-, 0)) \vee |\alpha|((p, q) \wedge (0, -)) \\ &= |\alpha|(p, 0) \vee |\alpha|(0, q). \end{aligned}$$

- Since $\tau(p, 0) \cap S_1 \subseteq \tau(p, q) \cap S_1 \subseteq \tau(v) \cap S_1$, case (2) implies $|\alpha|(p, 0) \leq |\alpha|(v)$.
 - Since $\tau(0, q) \cap S_1 \subseteq \tau(p, q) \cap S_1 \subseteq \tau(v) \cap S_1$, case (1) implies $|\alpha|(0, q) \leq |\alpha|(v)$.
- So, given the above relations, it follows that $|\alpha|(p, q) \leq |\alpha|(v)$. \square

Proposition 3.11. *If $S \subseteq [0, \infty)$ and $|\alpha| \blacktriangleleft S$, then $\alpha \blacktriangleleft S \cup \{-x : x \in S\}$ for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L)$.*

Proof. Put $S_1 := S \cup \{-x : x \in S\}$, and let $(p, q), v \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\tau(p, q) \cap S_1 \subseteq \tau(v) \cap S_1$ be given. For every $v \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R})$, set $v^+ = \tau^{-1}(\tau(v) \cap (0, \infty))$ and $v^- = \tau^{-1}(\tau(v) \cap (-\infty, 0))$. We show that $|\alpha|(p, q) \leq |\alpha|(v)$ by considering several cases. Therefore, $|\alpha| \blacktriangleleft S_1$.

First case. If $p \geq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(p, q) \cap S &= \tau(p, q) \cap S_1 \\ &= \tau(p, q) \cap S_1 \cap (0, \infty) \\ &\subseteq \tau(v) \cap S_1 \cap (0, \infty) \\ &= \tau(v) \cap S \cap (0, \infty) \\ &= \tau(v^+) \cap S. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $|\alpha|(p, q) \leq |\alpha|(v^+)$. Therefore, Remark 3.9 implies

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(p, q) &= \alpha(p, q) \wedge (-\alpha(p, q) \vee \alpha(p, q)) \\ &= \alpha(p, q) \wedge |\alpha|(p, q) \\ &\leq \alpha(p, q) \wedge |\alpha|(v^+) \\ &= \alpha(p, q) \wedge \left(\alpha(\tau^{-1}(\{-x : x \in v^+\})) \vee \alpha(v^+) \right) \\ &= \alpha((p, q) \wedge \tau^{-1}(\{-x : x \in v^+\})) \vee (\alpha(p, q) \wedge \alpha(v^+)) \\ &= \alpha(p, q) \wedge \alpha(v^+) \\ &\leq \alpha(v^+) \\ &\leq \alpha(v). \end{aligned}$$

Second case. If $q \leq 0$, then by Remark 3.9,

$$\alpha(p, q) = \alpha(p, q) \wedge (-\alpha(p, q) \vee \alpha(p, q)) = \alpha(p, q) \wedge |\alpha|(p, q) = \alpha(p, q) \wedge \perp \leq \alpha(v).$$

The proofs of parts (3) and (4) are similar to those in parts (3) and (4) of the previous proposition. \square

Proposition 3.12. *For every $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L)$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_c(L)$ if and only if $|\alpha| \in \mathcal{R}_c(L)$.*

Proof. *Necessary.* If $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_c(L)$, then $(-\alpha) \in \mathcal{R}_c(L)$ and hence $|\alpha| = \alpha \vee (-\alpha) \in \mathcal{R}_c(L)$, because $\mathcal{R}_c(L)$ is an f -ring.

Sufficiency. By Propositions 3.10 and 3.11, it is clear. \square

For the proof of the next lemma, see [6, Lemma 3.7].

Lemma 3.13. *Let α be a unit element of $\mathcal{R}(L)$. Then $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_c(L)$ if and only if $\alpha^{-1} \in \mathcal{R}_c(L)$.*

The previous propositions lead to the next result.

Proposition 3.14. *For every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{R}(L)$, the following statements hold:*

- (1) $C_{|\alpha|} = C_\alpha$.
- (2) If α is a unit element in $\mathcal{R}(L)$, then $C_{\alpha^{-1}} = C_\alpha$.
- (3) $C_{-\alpha} = C_\alpha$.
- (4) If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{R}_{lc}(L)$, then $(C_\alpha \wedge C_\beta)^* = \perp$.

Proof. (1) It is evident.

(2) Let α be a unit element $\mathcal{R}(L)$. It is enough to show that $\mathcal{C}_\alpha = \mathcal{C}_{\alpha^{-1}}$.

First, we show that for every $\perp \neq a \in L$ and the unit element $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L)$, we have $\alpha|_a$ is unit and $(\alpha|_a)^{-1} = \alpha^{-1}|_a$. Clearly, $\alpha|_a$ is unit, because

$$\text{coz}(\alpha|_a) = \alpha|_a(-, 0) \vee \alpha|_a(0, -) = a \wedge \text{coz}(\alpha) = a \wedge \top = a = \top_{\downarrow a}.$$

For every $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{-1}|_a(p, q) &= \alpha^{-1}(p, q) \wedge a \\ &= \alpha\left(\tau^{-1}\left(\left\{\frac{1}{x} : x \in \tau(p, q), x \neq 0\right\}\right)\right) \wedge a \\ &= \alpha|_a\left(\tau^{-1}\left(\left\{\frac{1}{x} : x \in \tau(p, q), x \neq 0\right\}\right)\right) \\ &= (\alpha|_a)^{-1}(p, q). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(\alpha|_a)^{-1} = \alpha^{-1}|_a$. Now, by this relation and Lemma 3.13, we have

$$a \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha \Leftrightarrow \alpha|_a \in \mathcal{R}_c(\downarrow a) \Leftrightarrow (\alpha|_a)^{-1} \in \mathcal{R}_c(\downarrow a) \Leftrightarrow \alpha^{-1}|_a \in \mathcal{R}_c(\downarrow a) \Leftrightarrow a \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha^{-1}}.$$

- (3) It is clear, because $(-\alpha)|_a = -(\alpha|_a)$ for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L)$ and every $a \in L$.
- (4) The following relation completes the proof:

$$\begin{aligned} (C_\alpha \wedge C_\beta)^* &= (C_\alpha \wedge C_\beta)^{***} = ((C_\alpha \wedge C_\beta)^{**})^* \\ &= ((C_\alpha)^{**} \wedge (C_\beta)^{**})^* = (\top \wedge \top)^* = \top^* = \perp. \end{aligned}$$

□

We need the following lemma to show that $\mathcal{R}_{lc}(L)$ is a sublattice of $\mathcal{R}(L)$.

Proposition 3.15. For every $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L)$, the following statements hold:

- (1) $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_{lc}(L)$ if and only if $|\alpha| \in \mathcal{R}_{lc}(L)$.
- (2) Let α be a unit element in $\mathcal{R}(L)$. Then $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_{lc}(L)$ if and only if $\alpha^{-1} \in \mathcal{R}_{lc}(L)$.
- (3) If $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_{lc}(L)$, then $-\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_{lc}(L)$.

Proof. It is clear by Proposition 3.14. □

Corollary 3.16. It follows that $\mathcal{R}_{lc}(L)$ is a sublattice of $\mathcal{R}(L)$.

Corollary 3.17. For every $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_{lc}(L)$, $\text{coz}(\alpha) = \top$ if and only if α is a unit element in $\mathcal{R}_{lc}(L)$.

Here, we introduce another subring of $\mathcal{R}(L)$.

Definition 3.18. For every $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L)$, we put

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha = \{a \in L : \alpha|_a \in \mathcal{R}^F(\downarrow a)\} \quad \text{and} \quad F_\alpha = \bigvee \mathcal{F}_\alpha.$$

An element α of $\mathcal{R}(L)$ has the *pointfree locally finite image* if $(F_\alpha)^* = \perp$. We define

$$\mathcal{R}_\ell^F(L) := \{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L) : \alpha \text{ has the pointfree locally finite image}\}.$$

Also, for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L)$, we put

$$\iota_\alpha = \{a \in L : \alpha|_a \in \mathcal{R}^1(\downarrow a)\} \quad \text{and} \quad 1_\alpha = \bigvee \iota_\alpha.$$

An element α of $\mathcal{R}(L)$ has the *pointfree locally constant image* if $(1_\alpha)^* = \perp$. We define

$$\mathcal{R}_\ell^1(L) := \{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L) : \alpha \text{ has the pointfree locally constant image}\}.$$

One can easily see that $\mathcal{R}^1(L) \cong \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 3.19. Similar to Proposition 3.3, we can see that $C_\ell^F(X) \cong \mathcal{R}_\ell^F(\mathfrak{D}(X))$ and $C_\ell^1(X) \cong \mathcal{R}_\ell^1(\mathfrak{D}(X))$ for any space X . We note that Proposition 3.8 and Corollary 3.16 are also valid for $\mathcal{R}_\ell^F(L)$ and $\mathcal{R}_\ell^1(L)$.

Proposition 3.20. For any frame L , $\mathcal{R}^F(L) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_\ell^F(L)$ and $\mathcal{R}^1(L) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_\ell^1(L)$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}^F(L)$ be given. Then there exists a finite subset S of \mathbb{R} such that $\alpha \blacktriangleleft S$. Suppose that $a \in L$ and that $u, v \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\tau(u) \cap S = \tau(v) \cap S$. Since $\alpha \blacktriangleleft S$, we have $\alpha(u) = \alpha(v)$, and so $\alpha(u) \wedge a = \alpha(v) \wedge a$, which implies that $\alpha|_a(u) = \alpha|_a(v)$. Thus, $\alpha|_a \in \mathcal{R}^F(\downarrow a)$, and so $(F_\alpha)^* = (\bigvee L)^* = (\top)^* = \perp$. Hence, $\alpha|_a \in \mathcal{R}_\ell^F(\downarrow a)$. \square

For every $r \in \mathbb{R}$, in [16], it is shown that $\alpha = \mathbf{r}$ if and only if $\alpha \blacktriangleleft \{r\}$. By this fact, we end this section with the next result.

Proposition 3.21. For any frame L , we have $\mathcal{R}_\ell^1(L) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_\ell^F(L) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{\ell c}(L) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(L)$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_\ell^1(L)$ and let $a \in \iota_\alpha$. Then $\alpha|_a \in \mathcal{R}^1(\downarrow a)$. Hence, $\alpha|_a = \mathbf{r}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{R}$, which implies that $\alpha|_a \blacktriangleleft \{r\}$. This shows that $\alpha|_a \in \mathcal{R}^F(\downarrow a)$ and so $a \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$. Therefore, $\iota_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\alpha$. By the assumptions, we have $(F_\alpha)^* \leq (1_\alpha)^* = \perp$, which shows that $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_\ell^F(L)$. The inclusion $\mathcal{R}_\ell^F(L) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{\ell c}(L)$ is clear, because every finite set is countable. \square

4. $\mathcal{R}_{\ell c}(L)$ VERSUS $\mathcal{R}(L)$ AND $\mathcal{R}_c(L)$

We are interested in characterization frames L for which $\mathcal{R}_{\ell c}(L) = \mathcal{R}(L)$. First, we give some definitions and notations.

Definition 4.1. [7] Let L be a lattice. Then the element $\perp < p \in L$ is called a *particle* if $p \leq \bigvee_i a_i$, whenever $\bigvee_i a_i$ exists, implies $p \leq a_i$ for some i .

For any frame L , we put $P(L) := \{p \in L : p \text{ is a particle of } L\}$.

Lemma 4.2 ([20]). We have $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{2}) \cong \mathbb{R}$, where $\mathbf{2} = \{\perp, \top\}$.

Remark 4.3. Recall from [15, Proposition 2.11] that if $(X, \mathfrak{D}(X))$ is a completely regular and Hausdorff topology space such that $I(X)$, the set of isolated points of X , is dense in X , then $L_1(X) = L_F(X) = L_c(X) = C(X)$. Now, we study this result in frames. Also, note that $U \in \mathfrak{D}(X)$ is a particle if and only if $|U| = 1$; therefore $\overline{I(X)} = X$ if and only if $(\bigvee P(\mathfrak{D}(X)))^* = \perp$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let L be a Boolean algebra and let $(\bigvee P(L))^* = \perp$. Then*

$$\mathcal{R}_\ell^1(L) = \mathcal{R}_\ell^F(L) = \mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}(L) = \mathcal{R}(L).$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.21, we have $\mathcal{R}_\ell^1(L) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_\ell^F(L) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}(L) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(L)$.

Conversely, it is enough to show that $\mathcal{R}(L) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_\ell^1(L)$. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L)$ be given. If p is a particle element, then p is an atom and by Lemma 4.2, we have $\mathcal{R}(\downarrow p) = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{2}) \cong \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, $\alpha|_p \in \mathcal{R}(\downarrow p) \cong \mathbb{R}$ implies that there is an element $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha|_p = \mathbf{r}$, which more implies $p \in \iota_\alpha$. This shows that $P(L) \subseteq \iota_\alpha$ and so $(\bigvee \iota_\alpha)^* \leq (\bigvee P(L))^* = \perp$. Therefore, $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_\ell^1(L)$. \square

Here, we give a condition that is $\mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}(L) = \mathcal{R}(L)$.

Proposition 4.5. *For every frame L , $\mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}(L) = \mathcal{R}(L)$ if and only if for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L)$ and every $\perp \neq a \in L$, there exists an element $b \neq \perp$ such that $b \leq a$ and $\alpha|_b \in \mathcal{R}_c(\downarrow b)$.*

Proof. *Necessity.* Assume that $\mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}(L) = \mathcal{R}(L)$, that $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L)$, and that $\perp \neq a \in L$. Then $(C_\alpha)^* = \perp$ and we conclude $a \wedge c_\alpha \neq \perp$. Therefore, there exists an element $x \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ such that $x \wedge a \neq \perp$. Now, Lemma 3.6 implies $\alpha|_{(x \wedge a)} \in \mathcal{R}_c(\downarrow(x \wedge a))$.

Sufficiency. Assume that $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L)$ and that $\perp \neq a \in L$. Then there exists an element $\perp \neq x_a \in L$ such that $x_a \leq a$ and $\alpha|_{x_a} \in \mathcal{R}_c(\downarrow x_a)$. Hence, for any $a \in L$, we have $(C_\alpha)^* \leq \bigwedge_{a \in L} x_a^*$. If $t = \bigwedge_{a \in L} x_a^* \neq \perp$, then, there exists an element $\perp \neq x_t \in L$ such that $x_t \leq t = \bigwedge_{a \in L} x_a^*$ and $\alpha|_{x_t} \in \mathcal{R}_c(\downarrow x_t)$. Therefore $x_t \leq x_t^* \wedge x_t = \perp$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\bigwedge_{a \in L} x_a^* = \perp$, which implies that $(C_\alpha)^* = \perp$ and we conclude $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}(L)$. \square

Proposition 4.6. *Consider the following conditions:*

- (1) $\mathcal{R}_c(L) = \mathcal{R}(L)$.
- (2) *For every $a \in \Sigma L$, there exists an element $b \in L$ such that $a \leq b$ and $\mathcal{R}_c(\downarrow b) = \mathcal{R}(\downarrow b)$.*

Then (1) implies (2), and if L is Lindelöf and $\bigvee \Sigma L = \top$, then (2) implies (1).

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) It is sufficient to take $b = \top$ for every $a \in \Sigma L$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let L be Lindelöf and let $\bigvee \Sigma L = \top$. For every $a \in \Sigma L$, there exists an element $x_a \in L$ such that $a \leq x_a$ and $\mathcal{R}(\downarrow x_a) = \mathcal{R}_c(\downarrow x_a)$. The assumptions imply that $\bigvee_{a \in \Sigma L} x_a = \top$ and so there is a family $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \Sigma L$ such that $\bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_{a_n} = \top$, because L is Lindelöf. Now, let $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L)$ be given. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, since $\alpha|_{x_{a_n}} \in \mathcal{R}(\downarrow x_{a_n}) = \mathcal{R}_c(\downarrow x_{a_n})$, we infer that there exists a countable subset $S_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha|_{x_{a_n}} \blacktriangleleft S_n$. Put $S := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n$. Suppose that $(p, q), v \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R})$ and that $\tau(p, q) \cap S \subseteq \tau(v) \cap S$. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\tau(p, q) \cap S_n \subseteq \tau(v) \cap S_n$,

which follows that $\alpha|_{x_{a_n}}(p, q) \leq \alpha|_{x_{a_n}}(v)$. Here

$$\alpha(p, q) = \alpha(p, q) \wedge \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_{a_n} = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha|_{x_{a_n}}(p, q) \leq \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha|_{x_{a_n}}(v) = \alpha(v) \wedge \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_{a_n} = \alpha(v).$$

Therefore, $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_c(L)$. □

Proposition 4.7. *Let L be a frame such that for every $a \in \Sigma L$, there exists an element $b \in L$ such that $a \leq b$ and $\mathcal{R}(\downarrow b) = \mathcal{R}_c(\downarrow b)$ and moreover $\bigvee \Sigma L = \top$. Then $\mathcal{R}_{\ell c}(L) = \mathcal{R}(L)$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L)$ and let $p \in \Sigma L$. Then there exists an element $a \in L$ such that $p \leq a$ and $\mathcal{R}(\downarrow a) = \mathcal{R}_c(\downarrow a)$. Since $\alpha|_a \in \mathcal{R}(\downarrow a) = \mathcal{R}_c(\downarrow a)$, then $a \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$. Therefore, $\top = \bigvee_{p \in \Sigma L} p \leq \bigvee \mathcal{C}_\alpha$, and so $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_{\ell c}(L)$. □

We finish this section with some results on ring homomorphisms on $\mathcal{R}_{\ell c}(L)$.

Definition 4.8. A frame L is said to be locally countably pseudocompact (briefly, *lc-pseudocompact*) if $\mathcal{R}_{\ell c}^*(L) = \mathcal{R}_{\ell c}(L)$, where $\mathcal{R}_{\ell c}^*(L) = \mathcal{R}_{\ell c}(L) \cap \mathcal{R}^*(L)$.

In what follows, by [9], for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L)$, we put

$$R_\alpha := \{r \in \mathbb{R} : \text{coz}(\alpha - \mathbf{r}) \neq \top\}.$$

Proposition 4.9. [9] *If $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_c(L)$, then R_α is a countable subset of \mathbb{R} .*

Let us remind the reader that although apparently $C_c(X)$ and $C^F(X)$ are not defined algebraically, but they are in fact algebraic objects, in the sense that if $C(X) \cong C(Y)$, then $C_c(X) \cong C_c(Y)$ and $C^F(X) \cong C^F(Y)$. For this, it is easy to see that whenever $\varphi: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$ is a nonzero homomorphism, then $\varphi(C_c(X)) \subseteq C_c(Y)$.

Proposition 4.10. *If $\varphi: \mathcal{R}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(M)$ is a ring homomorphism such that $\varphi(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_c(L)$, then $R_{\varphi(\alpha)}$ is countable.*

Proof. Since φ preserves order and $\varphi(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$, we conclude that $\varphi(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{r}$ for every $r \in \mathbb{R}$. By Proposition 4.9, it is enough to show, $R_{\varphi(\alpha)} \subseteq R_\alpha$. Let $r \in R_{\varphi(\alpha)} \setminus R_\alpha$ be given. Therefore, $\text{coz}(\alpha - \mathbf{r}) = \top$, which follows that there exists an element $\beta \in \mathcal{R}(L)$ such that $(\alpha - \mathbf{r})\beta = \mathbf{1}$. Thus $\varphi(\alpha - \mathbf{r})\varphi(\beta) = \varphi(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$ and hence $\text{coz}(\varphi(\alpha - \mathbf{r})) = \top$, which is a contradiction. □

Remark 4.11. Note that the converse of Proposition 4.9 is not true, in general. For example, we consider the isomorphism $\varphi: \mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{R})$ given by $\varphi(\tau(p, q) \cap \mathbb{Q}) = \tau(p, q)$. Then $\psi: \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{Q})) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{R}))$ given by $\psi(\alpha) = \varphi \circ \alpha$ is an isomorphism. We assume that $\alpha: \mathcal{L}\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{Q})$ is given by $\alpha(p, q) = \tau(p, q) \cap \mathbb{Q}$. Then

$$\psi(\alpha)(p, q) = \varphi \circ \alpha(p, q) = \varphi(\tau(p, q) \cap \mathbb{Q}) = \tau(p, q)$$

for every $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$. It is clear $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_c(\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{Q}))$. Indeed $\psi(\alpha) \notin \mathcal{R}_c(\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{R}))$, because $\psi(\alpha)$ is not an overlap of S for every $S \subsetneq \mathbb{R}$.

In [3], Banaschewski showed that any $\mathbf{0} \leq \alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L)$ is a square. It is shown that this result holds for $\mathcal{R}_c(L)$, that is if $\mathbf{0} \leq \alpha \in \mathcal{R}_c(L)$, then there exists an element $\beta \in \mathcal{R}_c(L)$ such that $\alpha = \beta^2$. Here, we study this result for $\mathcal{R}_{\ell c}(L)$.

Proposition 4.12. *If $\mathbf{0} \leq \alpha \in \mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}(L)$ and $\alpha = \beta^2$, then $\beta \in \mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}(L)$.*

Proof. Since $\alpha|_a = \beta^2|_a = (\beta|_a)^2$ and $\alpha|_a \in \mathcal{R}_c(\downarrow a)$ for every $a \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$, then $\beta|_a \in \mathcal{R}_c(\downarrow a)$. Therefore

$$C_\alpha = \bigvee \{a \in L : \alpha|_a \in \mathcal{R}_c(\downarrow a)\} \leq \bigvee \{a \in L : \beta|_a \in \mathcal{R}_c(\downarrow a)\} = C_\beta,$$

which implies that $(C_\beta)^* = \perp$, then $\beta \in \mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}(L)$. □

Now, an interesting function is introduced as below; see [2]. For a complemented element a of L , define the frame map $e_a : \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L$ given by

$$e_a(p, q) = \begin{cases} \top & \text{if } p < 0 < 1 < q, \\ a' & \text{if } p < 0 < q \leq 1, \\ a & \text{if } 0 \leq p < 1 < q, \\ \perp & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for each $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Proposition 4.13. *Every homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}(M)$, takes $\mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}^*(L)$ into $\mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}^*(M)$.*

Proof. If $\varphi = \mathbf{0}$, then it is trivial. Let $\varphi \neq \mathbf{0}$; then $\varphi(\mathbf{1}) \neq \mathbf{0}$. Since $\varphi(\mathbf{1})$ is an idempotent element in $\mathcal{R}(M)$, then $\text{coz}(\varphi(\mathbf{1}))$ is complemented and

$$\varphi(\mathbf{1})(p, q) = \begin{cases} \top & 0, 1 \in \tau(p, q), \\ \text{coz}(\varphi(\mathbf{1})) & 0 \notin \tau(p, q), 1 \in \tau(p, q), \\ \text{coz}(\varphi(\mathbf{1}))' & 0 \in \tau(p, q), 1 \notin \tau(p, q), \\ \perp & 0, 1 \notin \tau(p, q). \end{cases}$$

Therefore $\varphi(\mathbf{1}) \leq \mathbf{1}$, which implies that $\varphi(\mathbf{n}) \leq \mathbf{n}$. Let $\mathbf{0} \leq \alpha \in \mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}(L)$ be given. Then, by Proposition 4.12, there exists an element $\beta \in \mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}(L)$ such that $\alpha = \beta^2$. Therefore, $\varphi(\alpha) = \varphi(\beta)^2 \geq \mathbf{0}$. Now, if $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}^*(L)$, then $|\alpha| \leq n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which implies that $\varphi(|\alpha|) \leq \varphi(\mathbf{n})$, and so $|\varphi(\alpha)| \leq \mathbf{n}$. Therefore, $\varphi(\alpha) \in \mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}^*(M)$. □

Corollary 4.14. *If M is not an lc-pseudocompact frame, then $\mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}(M)$ cannot be a homomorphic image of $\mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}^*(L)$ for any frame L .*

Proof. Suppose that there is a frame map $\varphi : \mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}(M)$ such that $\varphi(\mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}^*(L)) = \mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}(M)$. By Proposition 4.13, we have

$$\varphi(\mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}^*(L)) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}^*(M) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}(M) = \varphi(\mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}^*(L)),$$

which shows that $\mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}^*(M) = \mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}(M)$. That is a contradiction. □

Corollary 4.15. *If φ is a homomorphism from $\mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}(L)$ into $\mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}(M)$ whose image contains $\mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}^*(M)$, then $\varphi(\mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}^*(L)) = \mathcal{R}_{\ell_c}^*(M)$.*

5. CONSTANT FUNCTIONS AND SUBLOCALES

First, we recall some concepts of sublocales. For more information on locales, see [19]. If M is a sublocale of L , then the *associated frame surjection* is the surjective frame homomorphism $\nu_M: L \rightarrow M$ given by

$$\nu_M(a) = \bigwedge \{m \in M : a \leq m\} = \bigwedge (M \cap \mathbf{c}_L(a)).$$

Let M be a sublocale of L and let $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L)$. We define the frame map $\alpha|^M: \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow M$ given by

$$\alpha|^M(p, q) = \nu_M(\alpha(p, q)) = \bigwedge \{m \in M : \alpha(p, q) \leq m\},$$

and we denote $\mathcal{R}_{(M, \text{constant})}(L)$ to be the set of all $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(L)$ such that $\alpha|^M \in \mathcal{R}^1(M)$.

Remark 5.1. Let $\nu_M: L \rightarrow M$ be the associated frame surjection to M and let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{R}(L)$. Then $\nu_M \circ (\alpha \diamond \beta) = (\nu_M \circ \alpha) \diamond (\nu_M \circ \beta)$ for $\diamond \in \{+, \cdot, \wedge, \vee\}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{R}_{(M, \text{constant})}(L)$ is a sub- f -ring and an \mathbb{R} -subalgebra of $\mathcal{R}(L)$. Moreover, note that for any frame L , it is clear that $\mathcal{R}_{(L, \text{constant})}(L) = \mathcal{R}(L)$ if and only if every function in $\mathcal{R}(L)$ is constant.

Proposition 5.2. *Let L be a completely regular frame. Then $\mathcal{R}_{(L, \text{constant})}(L) = \mathcal{R}(L)$ if and only if $L = \mathbf{2}$, where $\mathbf{2} = \{\perp, \top\}$.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists an element $a \in L$ such that $\top \neq a \neq \perp$. Since L is a completely regular frame, there exists a subset $\{\alpha_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Lambda} \subseteq \mathcal{R}(L)$ such that $a = \bigvee_{\gamma \in \Lambda} \text{coz}(\alpha_\gamma)$. Hence for every $\gamma \in \Lambda$, we have $\perp \leq \text{coz}(\alpha_\gamma) \leq a < \top$, which follows that $a = \perp$, a contradiction. The converse is evident. \square

Proposition 5.3 ([9]). *If L is a connected frame, then $\mathcal{R}_c(L) \cong \mathbb{R}$. In fact, $|R_\alpha| = 1$ and $\alpha \blacktriangleleft R_\alpha$ for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_c(L)$.*

Proposition 5.4 ([16, Proposition 3.19]). *Let $\alpha: \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L$ and $\beta: L \rightarrow M$ be frame maps.*

- (1) *If $\alpha \blacktriangleleft S$, then $\beta \circ \alpha \blacktriangleleft S$.*
- (2) *If β is monomorphism and $\beta \circ \alpha \blacktriangleleft S$, then $\alpha \blacktriangleleft S$.*

By these propositions, we have the next result. We conclude this section with the following fact.

Proposition 5.5. *Let M be a connected sublocale of L . Then $\mathcal{R}_c(L) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{(M, \text{constant})}(L)$. In particular, if M is a connected sublocale of L and $\nu_M: L \rightarrow M$ is a monomorphism, then $\mathcal{R}_c(L) = \mathcal{R}_{(M, \text{constant})}(L)$.*

Proof. Since M is connected, by Proposition 5.3, we have $\mathcal{R}_c(M) \cong \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_c(L)$. Then, there exists a countable subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha \blacktriangleleft S$. Therefore, Proposition 5.4 implies $\alpha|^M = \nu_M \circ \alpha \blacktriangleleft S$, which follows $\alpha|^M \in \mathcal{R}_c(M) \cong \mathbb{R}$. Then $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_{(M, \text{constant})}(L)$, as desired. \square

Acknowledgement. We appreciate the referee for his thorough comments and for taking the time and effort to review our manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] Azarpanah, F., Karamzadeh, O.A.S., Keshtkar, Z., Olfati, A.R., *On maximal ideals of $C_c(X)$ and the uniformity of its localizations*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **48** (2) (2018), 354–384, <http://doi.org/10.1216/RMJ-2018-48-2-345>.
- [2] Ball, R.N., Walters-Wayland, J., *C - and C^* -quotients in pointfree topology*, Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) **412** (2002), 354–384.
- [3] Banaschewski, B., *The real numbers in pointfree topology*, Textos de Matemática (Séries B), Universidade de Coimbra, Departamento de Matemática, Coimbra **12** (1997), 1–96.
- [4] Bhattarjee, P., Knox, M.L., Mcgovern, W.W., *The classical ring of quotients of $C_c(X)$* , Appl. Gen. Topol. **15** (2) (2014), 147–154, <https://doi.org/10.4995/agt.2014.3181>.
- [5] Dowker, C.H., *On Urysohn's lemma*, General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis, Proceedings of the second Prague topological symposium, 1966, Academia Publishing House of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Praha, 1967, pp. 111–114.
- [6] Estaji, A.A., Karimi Feizabadi, A., Robat Sarpoushi, M., *z_c -ideals and prime ideals in the ring \mathcal{R}_cL* , Filomat **32** (19) (2018), 6741–6752, <https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1819741E>.
- [7] Estaji, A.A., Karimi Feizabadi, A., Zarghani, M., *Zero elements and z -ideals in modified pointfree topology*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. **43** (7) (2017), 2205–2226.
- [8] Estaji, A.A., Robat Sarpoushi, M., *On CP -frames*, submitted.
- [9] Estaji, A.A., Robat Sarpoushi, M., Elyasi, M., *Further thoughts on the ring $\mathcal{R}_c(L)$ in frames*, Algebra Universalis **80** (4) (2019), 14, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00012-019-0619-z4>.
- [10] Ghadermazi, M., Karamzadeh, O.A.S., Namdari, M., *On the functionally countable subalgebra of $C(X)$* , Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova **129** (2013), 47–69, <https://doi.org/10.4171/RSMUP/129-4>.
- [11] Ghadermazi, M., Karamzadeh, O.A.S., Namdari, M., *$C(X)$ versus its functionally countable subalgebra*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. **45** (2019), 173–187, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41980-018-0124-8>.
- [12] Gillman, L., Jerison, M., *Rings of Continuous Functions*, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [13] Johnstone, P.T., *Stone Spaces*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1982.
- [14] Karamzadeh, O.A.S., Keshtkar, Z., *On c -realcompact spaces*, Quaest. Math. **42** (8) (2018), 1135–1167, <https://doi.org/10.2989/16073606.2018.1441919>.
- [15] Karamzadeh, O.A.S., Namdari, M., Soltanpour, S., *On the locally functionally countable subalgebra of $C(X)$* , Appl. Gen. Topol. **16** (2015), 183–207, <https://doi.org/10.4995/agt.2015.3445>.
- [16] Karimi Feizabadi, A., Estaji, A.A., Robat Sarpoushi, M., *Pointfree version of image of real-valued continuous functions*, Categ. Gen. Algebr. Struct. Appl. **9** (1) (2018), 59–75.
- [17] Mehri, R., Mohamadian, R., *On the locally countable subalgebra of $C(X)$ whose local domain is c countable*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. **46** (6) (2017), 1053–1068, <http://doi.org/10.15672/HJMS.2017.435>.
- [18] Namdari, M., Veisi, A., *Rings of quotients of the subalgebra of $C(X)$ consisting of functions with countable image*, Int. Math. Forum **7** (12) (2012), 561–571.
- [19] Picado, J., Pultr, A., *Frames and Locales: Topology without Points*, Frontiers in Mathematics, Birkhäuser/Springer, Basel AG, Basel, 2012.
- [20] Robat Sarpoushi, M., *Pointfree topology version of continuous functions with countable image*, Hakim Sabzevari University, Sabzevar, Iran (2017), Phd. Thesis.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: ALI AKBAR ESTAJI,

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCES,

HAKIM SABZEVARI UNIVERSITY,

SABZEVAR, IRAN

E-mail: mahtab.elyasi@gmail.com

aaestaji@hsu.ac.ir

m.sarpooshi@yahoo.com