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# CONDITIONS OF ANALYTICITY FOR FUNCTIONS OF ONE COMPLEX VARIABLE 

T. ROZGONYI AND M. TAR


#### Abstract

In this paper certain necessary and sufficient conditions are considered for the analyticity of nonlinear functions of one complex variable in terms of the their monogenity set.


Let $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function, continuous over the domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$, and let $z \in D$ be its any fixed point. Put

$$
\varphi_{z}(h)=\frac{f(z+h)-f(z)}{h}
$$

defined over the domain $Q_{\varepsilon}=\{h \in \mathbb{C}|0<|h|<\varepsilon\}$ with $\varepsilon=\varepsilon(z)$, where $\varepsilon(z)$ denotes the distance from $z$ to the boundary of $D$.

Recall that for the set of monogenity (the set of differential numbers) $\mathfrak{M}_{z}(f)$ of $f$ at the point $z$ is given by Luzin's equality [1]

$$
\mathfrak{M}_{z}=\cap_{\varepsilon>0} \overline{\mathfrak{M}_{\varepsilon}(z)}
$$

where $\mathfrak{M}_{\varepsilon}(z)=\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{C} \mid \xi=\varphi_{z}(h), h \in Q_{\varepsilon}\right\}$.
The following assertion gives a sufficient condition for analyticity.
Theorem 1. Let $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function which is continuous on the domain $D$ and monogenic in each everywhere dense subset $E$ of $D$. If $f$ satisfies the condition
(a) at any point $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ there are at most a countable family of sets $\mathfrak{M}_{z}$ containing $\xi$,
then $f$ is a nonlinear analytic function over $D$.
Proof. Assume the contrary. Then there exists a perfect subset $P \subset D$, at the points of $P f$ is not analytic.

The condition (a) immediately implies that the set $\mathfrak{M}_{z}$ is not the complete plane for all $z \in D$, with the possible exception of countable set $H \subset D$.

Let $\left\{\xi_{k}\right\}$ be the set of points of the plane $\mathbb{C}$ with rational coordinates, and denote $P_{n, k}(n, k \in \mathbb{N})$ the subset of the points $z \in P \backslash H$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varphi_{z}(h)-\xi_{k}\right| \geq \frac{1}{n} \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $h$ satisfying $0<|h|<\frac{1}{n}$ and $z+h \in D$.
As $\left\{\xi_{k}\right\}$ is an everywhere dense subset of the $\overline{\mathbb{C}}=\mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{z}$ is closed in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$, it is easy to see

$$
P \backslash H=\bigcup_{n, k} P_{n, k}
$$

[^0]Moreover, since $f$ is continuous, all the sets $P_{n, k}$ are closed.
The perfect set $P$ is of second category (in itself), hence there exist indices $n=n_{0}$ and $k=k_{0}$ such that $P_{n_{0}, k_{0}}$ is everywhere dense in some subset $P_{0}$ of $P$. Since $P_{n_{0}, k_{0}}$ is closed, we have $P_{0}=P_{n_{0}, k_{0}}$ and it can be written in the form $P_{0}=P \cap G_{0}$, where $G_{0} \subset D$ is a domain. Consider the function $g(z)=f(z)-c z$, where $c=\xi_{k_{0}}$. By (1) for $z \in P_{0}$ and $0<|h|<\frac{1}{n_{0}}$ the function $g(z)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{g(z+h)-g(z)}{h}\right| \geq \frac{1}{n_{0}} \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we put $z=z_{1}, z+h=z_{2}$ into (2), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g\left(z_{2}\right)-g\left(z_{1}\right)\right| \geq \frac{1}{n_{0}}\left|z_{2}-z_{1}\right| \tag{0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z_{2} \in K_{0}$ and $z_{1} \in K_{0} \cap P_{0}:=P_{1}$, where $K_{0} \subset G_{0}$ is an arbitrary circle of diameter $\frac{1}{n_{0}}$.

Therefore, the function $g(z)$ is single leafed on the perfect set $P_{1}$ and analytical on the open set $K_{0} \backslash P_{1}$ (if it is nonempty). By Theorem 9 [2] there exists a domain $G_{1} \subset K_{0}$ on which the function $g(z)$ single leafed if $G_{1} \cap P_{1}=P_{2}$ is nonempty. Let us consider the inverse $z=g^{-1}(w)$ of the function $w=g(z)$ on the domain $G_{1}^{*}=g\left(G_{1}\right)$.

From (3) it follows that the function $g^{-1}(w)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g^{-1}\left(w_{1}\right)-g^{-1}\left(w_{2}\right)\right| \leq n_{0}\left|w_{1}-w_{2}\right| \tag{0.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $w_{1} \in P_{2}^{*}=g\left(P_{2}\right)$ and $w_{2} \in G_{1}^{*}$. According to (4) the set $\mathfrak{M}_{w}\left(g^{-1}\right)$ for $w \in P_{2}^{*}$ is bounded. By Theorem 2 [2] the function has a complete differential almost everywhere on $P_{2}^{*}$. Let us denote the corresponding subset of $P_{2}^{*}$ by $Q$.

We have two cases to distinquish
Case 1. The set $P_{2}^{*}$ is everywhere dense in a circle $K \subset G_{1}^{*}$. Since $P_{2} \subset P_{1}$, we infer that the function $g(z)$ is single leafed in the domain $G_{2}=g^{-1}(K)$.

We claim that the function $g^{-1}(w)$ is monogenic almost everywhere in $K$, i.e. in $Q \cap K=Q_{1}$.

Suppose the contrary, the function $g^{-1}$ is not monogenic at a point $w_{0} \in Q_{1}$. Then $\mathfrak{M}_{w_{0}}\left(g^{-1}\right)$ is a complete circle ([2], p. 21). Put $E^{*}=g\left(E \cap G_{2}\right)$. Since the function $g(z)$ is continuous and single leafed in the domain $G_{2}$ the set $E^{*}$ is everywhere dense in the circle $K=g\left(G_{2}\right)$.

Write

$$
E_{a}^{*}=\left\{w \in E^{*} \mid\left[g^{-1}(w)\right]^{\prime}=a\right\}
$$

where $a \in S, S$ is a circle with boundary $\mathfrak{M}_{w_{0}}\left(g^{-1}\right)$. It is easy to see that $E^{*} \supset$ $\cup_{a} E_{a}^{*}$, therefore the sets $E_{a}^{*}$ are disjoint. Since $E^{*}$ is a countable set and $S$ is not, there exists $a=a_{0}$ such that $E_{a_{0}}^{*}=\emptyset$, therefore $\left[g^{-1}(w)\right]^{\prime} \neq a_{0}$ for any $w \in E^{*}$.

Let us consider the function $\psi(w)=g^{-1}(w)-a_{0} w(w \in K)$. By our assumption $0 \notin \mathfrak{M}_{w}(\psi)$ for $w \in K \backslash R$, with a countable set $R$. It is easy to see that the function $\psi(w)$ is single leafed in an open set $\Delta$ everywhere which is dense in $K$. To see this it suffices to take

$$
M_{n}=\left\{w \in K| | \frac{\varphi(w+t)-\varphi(w)}{t}\left|\geq \frac{1}{n}, 0<|t|<\frac{1}{n}\right\}\right.
$$

and argue as in the proof of the inequality (3).
Since for any $w \in E^{*}$ there exists $\psi^{\prime}(w) \neq 0$, the mapping $z=\psi(w)$ preserves the orientation of each component $\Delta_{k}(k=1,2, \ldots)$ of the open set $\Delta$.

Now we show that the function $z=\psi(w)$ realizes an inner mapping (in the sense of S. Stoylov) of the circle $K$.

Again, suppose the contrary, and let $\Delta \subset K(\Delta \neq K)$ be the maximal open subset of $K$ on which the mapping $z=\psi(w)$ is inner.

Analogously, to our previous argument let us consider a subset $L_{0}$ of the perfect set $L=K \backslash \Delta$ on which the function $\psi(w)$ is single leafed. Clearly, we may assume $L_{0}=K_{1} \cap L$, where $K_{1} \subset K$ is some circle. Since $\psi(w)$ is single leafed the set $\psi\left(L_{0}\right)$ is nowhere dense. Hence, by Theorem 8 [2], mapping $z=\psi(w)$ is inner in the circle $K_{1}$, which is a contradiction to the maximality of $\Delta$.

Consequently, mapping $z=\psi(w)$ is inner in the circle $K$. It is well-known that an inner mapping either preserves or inverts the orientation at any point of the domain. As it is shown above, $z=\psi(w)$ preserves the orientation of the domains $\Delta_{k}(k=1,2, \ldots)$. On the other hand, at the point $w_{0}$ it inverts the orientation, therefore, the circle

$$
\mathfrak{M}_{w_{0}}(\psi)=\left\{\omega \in \mathbb{C} \mid \omega=\psi_{w_{0}}+\psi_{\overline{w_{0}}} e^{-2 i \beta}, \beta \in[0,2 \pi]\right\}
$$

contains an inner point $\omega=0$. Hence the Jacobian mapping

$$
J\left(w_{0}\right)=\left|\psi_{w_{0}}\right|^{2}-\left|\psi_{\overline{w_{0}}}\right|^{2}
$$

is negative.
We have obtained a contradiction, so the function $f$ is analytical over the domain D.

Case 2. Let $P_{2}^{*}$ nowhere dense in the domain $G_{1}^{*}$. Then the function $\psi(w)$ is analytical on the open set $G_{1}^{*} \backslash P_{2}^{*}$ which is everywhere dense in the domain $G_{1}^{*}$, is single leafed on $P_{2}^{*}$. Hence, by Theorem 9 [2], function $z=\psi(w)$ realizes an inner mapping of the domain $G_{1}^{*}$. The remaining part of the statement can be proved analogously to Case 1.

The nonlinearity of $f$ easily follows from the condition (a), because for a linear function $f(z)=c z+d$ we have $\mathfrak{M}_{z}(f)=\{c\}$ for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Remark 1. We show that the condition (a) is also necessary for the analyticity of a nonlinear function $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined on a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$.

Indeed, for an analytic function $f$ and for $z \in D$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M}_{z}(f)=\left\{f^{\prime}(z)\right\} \tag{0.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that our assertion is not true. Then, by (5), there exist a $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and an uncountable set $M \subset D$ such that $f^{\prime}(z)=c$ for $z \in M$. It is easy to see that there exists a subdomain $\overline{D_{1}} \subset D$ such that $M_{1}=M \cap \overline{D_{1}}$ is an un-countable set. By the Theorem of uniqueness for analytic functions we get $f^{\prime}(z) \equiv c(z \in D)$. It follows that $f(z)=c z+c_{0}(z \in D)$, i.e., $f$ is a linear function, which contradicts our assumption.

We point out another property of analytic functions in the next statement.
Theorem 2. Let $w=f(z)$ be a nonlinear function which is analytic in the domain $D$ and let $S_{0} \subset D$ be a set of points $z \in D$ with $f^{\prime \prime}(z)=0$. Then for any closed domain $\overline{D_{0}} \subset D \backslash S_{0}$ there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z) \notin \mathfrak{M}_{\varepsilon}(z), \tag{0.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{0} \in \overline{D_{0}}$.
Proof. First note that each subdomain $\overline{D_{0}} \subset D$ contains at most a finite set of points of $S_{0}$. In the opposite case by the Theorem of uniqueness for analytic functions we have $f^{\prime \prime}(z) \equiv 0$ for any $z \in D$, i.e., $f$ is linear.

Suppose that the assertion of theorem 2 is false. Then either in some subdomain $\overline{D_{0}} \subset D \backslash S_{0}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}\left(n \geq n_{0}\right)$ there exists a point $z_{n} \in \overline{D_{0}}$ such that
$f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right) \in \mathfrak{M}_{\frac{1}{n}}\left(z_{n}\right)$, or there exists $\xi_{n} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)=\frac{f\left(\xi_{n}\right)-f\left(z_{n}\right)}{\xi_{n}-z_{n}} \tag{0.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $0<\left|\xi_{n}-z_{n}\right|<\frac{1}{n}$.
We shall assume that the sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ converges to a point $z_{0} \in \overline{D_{0}}$. (Otherwise a convergent subsequence of $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ can be considered).

Clearly, $\xi_{n} \rightarrow z_{0}(n \rightarrow \infty)$.
By decomposing the function $f$ into its Taylor series in the neighbourhoods of the points $z_{n},(7)$ can be rewritten as

$$
f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{n}\right)}{2!}\left(\xi_{n}-z_{n}\right)+\frac{f^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(z_{n}\right)}{3!}\left(\xi_{n}-z_{n}\right)^{2}+\cdots
$$

From this we obtain

$$
\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{n}\right)}{2!}+\frac{f^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(z_{n}\right)}{3!}\left(\xi_{n}-z_{n}\right)+\cdots=0
$$

Taking limits we conclude $f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=0$. But this contradicts that $f^{\prime \prime}(z) \neq 0$ for any $z \in \overline{D_{0}}$.

Since for an analytic function $f$ monogenic at the point $z$ we have (5), the condition in Theorem 2 can be reformulated as follows:
(b) for any closed domain $\overline{D_{0}} \subset D \backslash S_{0}$ there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{M}_{z}(f) \cap \mathfrak{M}_{\varepsilon}(z)=\emptyset \tag{0.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{0} \in \overline{D_{0}}$.
Note that the condition (b) (if $\overline{D_{0}}$ is a circle) with certain additional restrictions is also sufficient for the analyticity of a nonlinear function.
Theorem 3. Let $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function in the domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$, monogenic almost everywhere in $D$, and let $H \subset D$ be an countable set.

If for any closed circle $\bar{K} \subset D$ there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that every $z \in \bar{K} \backslash H$ satisfies (8), then $f$ is a nonlinear function which is analytic in the domain $D$.
Proof. Assume the contrary; then there exists a perfect set $P \subset D$, at the points where $f$ is not analytic.

Let $z_{0} \in P$ be an arbitrary point, $K \subset D$ the circle with centre $z_{0}$ of radius $r \leq \frac{1}{2} \rho(z, \partial D), K_{\varepsilon_{0}}$ the concentric circle of radius $\varepsilon_{0} \leq \min \left\{\varepsilon, \frac{r}{2}\right\}$, where $\varepsilon$ is the number in (8). (Note that (8) also holds for any $\varepsilon_{0}$ with $0<\varepsilon_{0}<\varepsilon$ ).

For any fixed $z \in \overline{K_{\varepsilon_{0}}}$ consider the function $\varphi_{z}(h), h \in Q_{\varepsilon_{0}}$. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\varphi_{z}(h+t)-\varphi_{z}(h)}{t}=\frac{1}{t}\left[\frac{f(z+h+t)-f(z)}{h+t}-\frac{f(z+h)-f(z)}{h}\right]= \\
=\frac{1}{t h(h+t)}\{[f(z+h+t)-f(z+h)] h-[f(z+h)-f(z)] t\}= \\
=\frac{f(z+h+t)-f(z+h)}{t(h+t)}-\frac{f(z+h)-f(z)}{h(h+t)}
\end{gathered}
$$

This implies that each differential number $\omega\left(\varphi_{z} ; h\right)$ of the function $\varphi_{z}(h)$ at the point $h$ is determined by the equality

$$
\omega\left(\varphi_{z} ; h\right)=\frac{1}{h} \omega(f ; z+h)-\frac{1}{h} \varphi_{z}(h) .
$$

We show that $0 \notin \mathfrak{M}_{h}\left(\varphi_{z}\right)$ for any $h \in Q_{\varepsilon_{0}} \backslash H_{0}$, where $H_{0}$ is an countable set. Indeed, $0 \in \mathfrak{M}_{h}\left(\varphi_{z}\right)$ implies that there exists $h$ such that

$$
\varphi_{z}(h) \in \mathfrak{M}_{z+h}(f),
$$

where $z+h \in K$, or

$$
\frac{f(z+h)-f(z)}{h} \in \mathfrak{M}_{z+h}(f) .
$$

Putting $z+h=z^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\frac{f(z)-f\left(z^{\prime}\right)}{z-z^{\prime}} \in \mathfrak{M}_{z^{\prime}}(f)
$$

where $z, z^{\prime} \in K$. However, this contradicts the condition of theorem 2.
If the function $\varphi_{z}(h)$ has nonzero differential in a set everywhere dense in $Q_{\varepsilon_{0}}$, and $0 \notin \mathfrak{M}_{h}\left(\varphi_{z}\right)$ for $h \in Q_{\varepsilon_{0}} \backslash H_{0}$, analogously as in the proof of Theorem 1 we claim that $\varphi_{z}(h)$ (for any fixed $z \in \overline{K_{\varepsilon_{0}}}$ ) realizes an inner mapping of the domain $Q_{\varepsilon_{0}}$.

Let $M$ be the set of points for which, in accordance with the conditions of theorem 2, there exists the differential $f^{\prime}(z)=\varphi_{z}(0)$, and $R=\max _{|h|=\varepsilon_{0}}\left|\varphi_{z}(h)\right|$ for any $z \in \overline{D_{0}}$.

Since the mapping $\xi=\varphi_{z}(h)$ is inner in the circle $K_{0}=\left\{h| | h \mid<\varepsilon_{0}\right\}$, we assume $\left|\varphi_{z}(h)\right| \leq R$ for any $h,|h|<\varepsilon_{0}$ and $z \in M$.

Choose an arbitrary point $z_{0} \in K_{\varepsilon_{0}} \backslash M$. By the continuity of the function $\varphi_{z}(h)$ of the variable $z$ (for any fixed $h$ ) we get

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0} z \in M} \varphi_{z}(h)=\varphi_{z_{0}}(h)
$$

It follows that $\left|\varphi_{z}(h)\right| \leq R$ for any $h \in Q_{\varepsilon_{0}}$ and $z \in K_{\varepsilon_{0}}$, i.e. the sets of monogenity $\mathfrak{M}_{z}(f)$ are bounded in the circle $K_{\varepsilon_{0}}$. By Lemma 11 [2] we obtain that $f$ is analytic in the circle $K_{\varepsilon_{0}}$, which contradicts $P_{0}=P \cap K_{\varepsilon_{0}} \neq \emptyset$.

The nonlinearity of $f$ follows from (8), since for a linear function $f(z)=c z+c_{0}$ we have

$$
\mathfrak{M}_{z}(f)=\mathfrak{M}_{\varepsilon}(z)=\{c\}
$$

for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$.
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