Acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogicae Nyíregyháziensis **27** (2011), 257–265 www.emis.de/journals ISSN 1786-0091

ON THE CONVERGENCE ALMOST EVERYWHERE OF DOUBLE SERIES WITH RESPECT TO DIAGONAL **BLOCK-ORTHONORMAL SYSTEMS**

GIVI NADIBAIDZE

Abstract. The diagonal double block-orthonormal system is introduced. The two-dimensional generalization of Menshov-Rademacher's and V.F. Gaposhkin's theorems on the almost everywhere convergence of series with respect to block-orthonormal systems is proved.

Block-orthonormal systems were introduced by Gaposhkin [2]. He proved, that the Menshov-Rademacher's theorem [1] and the strong law of large numbers are valid for such systems in certain conditions. In [3] were obtained some results on convergence and summability of series with respect to blockorthonormal systems. In particular, Menshov-Rademacher's and Gaposhkin's theorems were generalized and the exact Weyl multipliers for the convergence and summability almost everywhere of series with respect to blockorthogonal systems were established in the cases, when Menshov-Rademacher's and Gaposhkin's theorems are not true.

The two-dimensional analog of Menshov-Rademacher's theorem was obtained in [4]. In [5] was considered the almost everywhere convergence of multiple orthogonal series.

In the present paper it will be introduced a diagonal block-orthonormal systems and it will be considered the almost everywhere convergence of double series with respect to diagonal block-orthonormal systems.

Definition 1. Let $\{M_k\}$ and $\{N_k\}$ be the increasing sequences of natural numbers and $\Delta_k = ([1, M_{k+1}] \times [1, N_{k+1}]) \setminus ([1, M_k] \times [1, N_k]), (k \ge 1)$. Let $\{\varphi_{mn}\}$ be a system of functions from $L^2((0,1)^2)$. The system $\{\varphi_{mn}\}$ will be called a diagonal Δ_k -orthonormal system (Δ_k -ONS) if:

- 1. $\|\varphi_{mn}\|_{2} = 1$, m = 1, 2, ..., n = 1, 2, ...; 2. $(\varphi_{ij}, \varphi_{pq}) = 0$, for $(i, j), (p, q) \in \Delta_{k}, (i, j) \neq (p, q), (k \geq 1)$.

Key words and phrases. block-orthonormal systems, diagonal block-orthonormal systems. The designated research has been fulfilled by financial support of the Georgian National Science Foundation, Grant GNSF/ST08/3-393.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 42C20.

Let the sequences $\{M_k\}$, $\{N_k\}$ be fixed and $\{\varphi_{mn}\}$ be a diagonal Δ_k -ONS. Let the double series

(1)
$$\sum_{m.n=1}^{\infty} a_{mn} \varphi_{mn}(x,y)$$

Is given, where $\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} a_{mn}^2 < \infty$.

Under the convergence of the series (1) it is understood the convergence in Pringscame's sense, that is the existence of the limit

(2)
$$\lim_{M,N\to\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_{mn} \varphi_{mn}(x,y),$$

as M and N independently approaches infinity.

Definition 2. Let $\{\omega(m,n)\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers, for which $\omega(m,n) \leq \omega(m,n+1)$ and $\omega(m,n) \leq \omega(m+1,n)$ $(m,n=1,2,\ldots)$. The sequence $\{\omega(m,n)\}$ will be called the Weyl multiplier for the convergence almost everywhere (a. e.) of series (1) with respect to diagonal Δ_k -ONS $\{\varphi_{mn}\}$ if the convergence of the series $\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} a_{mn}^2 \omega(m,n) < \infty$ guarantees the existence of the limit (2) a. e. on $(0,1)^2$.

In this paper, the logarithms are to the base 2.

Theorem. Let the sequences $\{M_k\}$, $\{N_k\}$ be fixed and $\{\omega_1(m)\}$, $\{\omega_2(n)\}$ be the nondecreasing sequences of positive numbers. In order that a double sequence $\{\omega_1(m)\omega_2(n)\}$ be the Weyl multiplier for the convergence a. e. of series (1) with respect to all diagonal Δ_k -ONS $\{\varphi_{mn}\}$, it is necessary and sufficient that the following two conditions be fulfilled:

(3)
$$\sum_{p,q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\omega_1(M_p)\omega_2(N_q)} < \infty,$$

(4)
$$\log^2 m = O(\omega_i(m)), i = 1, 2, (m \to \infty).$$

Below we shall use the following lemma, which is the two-dimensional analog of well-known lemma: (see [1, Lemma 2.3.1], [4, lemma 1]).

Lemma 1. Let $\{\varphi_{mn}\}$ be a orthonormal system from $L^2((0,1)^2)$. Then for all numbers $\{a_{mn}\}_{0 \le m \le M, 0 \le n \le N}$ are fulfilled:

(5)
$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \max_{\substack{0 \le m \le M \\ 0 \le n \le N}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{ij} \varphi_{ij}(x, y) \right|^{2} dx dy$$

$$\leq c \log^{2}(M+2) \log^{2}(N+2) \sum_{i=0}^{M} \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_{ij}^{2}$$

(6)
$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \max_{0 \le m \le M} \left| \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^N a_{ij} \varphi_{ij}(x, y) \right|^2 dx dy \le c \log^2(M+2) \sum_{i=0}^M \sum_{j=0}^N a_{ij}^2,$$

(7)
$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \max_{0 \le n \le N} \left| \sum_{i=0}^M \sum_{j=0}^n a_{ij} \varphi_{ij}(x,y) \right|^2 dx dy \le c \log^2(N+2) \sum_{i=0}^M \sum_{j=0}^N a_{ij}^2.$$

For (5), (6) and (7) we have generalizations of Kantorovich ([1, p. 89]):

(8)
$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \max_{\substack{0 \le m \le M, \\ 0 \le n \le N}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{ij} \varphi_{ij}(x, y) \right|^{2} dx dy$$

$$\leq c \sum_{i=0}^{M} \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_{ij}^{2} \log^{2}(i+2) \log^{2}(j+2),$$

(9)
$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \max_{0 \le m \le M} \left| \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^N a_{ij} \varphi_{ij}(x, y) \right|^2 dx dy \le c \sum_{i=0}^M \sum_{j=0}^N a_{ij}^2 \log^2(i+2),$$

(10)
$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \max_{0 \le n \le N} \left| \sum_{i=0}^M \sum_{j=0}^n a_{ij} \varphi_{ij}(x,y) \right|^2 dx dy \le c \sum_{i=0}^M \sum_{j=0}^N a_{ij}^2 \log^2(i+2).$$

Proof of Theorem. Sufficiency. Let for sequence $\{\omega_1(m)\omega_2(n)\}$ the conditions (3), (4) are fulfilled and let for sequence $\{a_{mn}\}$ have:

$$\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} a_{mn}^2 \omega_1(m) \omega_2(n) < \infty.$$

Let $\{\varphi_{mn}\}$ be arbitrary diagonal Δ_k -ONS. In first we shall prove that the limit

(11)
$$\lim_{p,q\to\infty} S_{M_p,N_q}(x,y) = \sum_{m=1}^{M_p} \sum_{n=1}^{N_q} a_{mn} \varphi_{mn}(x,y)$$

exists almost everywhere on $(0,1)^2$.

Without loss of generality it can be assumed that $M_0 = N_0 = 0$ and $\omega_1(0) = \omega_2(0) = 1$. Then

$$\left| S_{M_{p+s},N_{q+r}}(x,y) - S_{M_{p},N_{q}}(x,y) \right|$$

$$= \left| \sum_{m=M_{p}+1}^{M_{p+s}} \sum_{n=1}^{N_{q+r}} a_{mn} \varphi_{mn}(x,y) + \sum_{m=1}^{M_{p}} \sum_{n=N_{q}+1}^{N_{q+r}} a_{mn} \varphi_{mn}(x,y) \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=p}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{m=M_i+1}^{M_{i+1}} \sum_{n=N_j+1}^{N_{j+1}} a_{mn} \varphi_{mn}(x,y) \right| + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=q}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{m=M_i+1}^{M_{i+1}} \sum_{n=N_j+1}^{N_{j+1}} a_{mn} \varphi_{mn}(x,y) \right| = I_p(x,y) + J_q(x,y).$$

We shall prove that the double series

(12)
$$\sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{m=M_i+1}^{M_{i+1}} \sum_{n=N_j+1}^{N_{j+1}} a_{mn} \varphi_{mn}(x,y) \right|$$

converges a. e. on $(0,1)^2$. Indeed, we have

$$\sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \left| \sum_{m=M_{i}+1}^{M_{i+1}} \sum_{n=N_{j}+1}^{N_{j+1}} a_{mn} \varphi_{mn}(x,y) \right| dx dy$$

$$\leq \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \left| \sum_{m=M_{i}+1}^{M_{i+1}} \sum_{n=N_{j}+1}^{N_{j+1}} a_{mn} \varphi_{mn}(x,y) \right|^{2} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m=M_{i}+1}^{M_{i+1}} \sum_{n=N_{i}+1}^{N_{j+1}} a_{mn}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} a_{mn}^{2} \omega_{1}(m) \omega_{2}(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$$

Hence Levi's theorem implies, that series (12) converges a. e. on $(0,1)^2$. Then almost everywhere on $(0,1)^2$ we have

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} I_p(x, y) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{q \to \infty} J_q(x, y) = 0.$$

Therefore the limit (11) exists almost everywhere on $(0,1)^2$.

Let k, l be the natural numbers, for which

$$M_p < k \le M_{p+1}, \quad N_q < l \le N_{q+1}.$$

We have

$$\max_{\substack{M_{p} < k \leq M_{p+1} \\ N_{q} < l \leq N_{q+1}}} \left| S_{k,l}(x,y) - S_{M_{p},N_{q}}(x,y) \right| \\
\leq \max_{\substack{N_{q} < l \leq N_{q+1} \\ N_{p} < k \leq M_{p+1}}} \left| \sum_{m=1}^{M_{p}} \sum_{n=N_{q}+1}^{l} a_{mn} \varphi_{mn}(x,y) \right| + \max_{\substack{M_{p} < k \leq M_{p}+1 \\ N_{p} < l \leq N_{q+1}}} \left| \sum_{m=M_{p}+1}^{k} \sum_{n=N_{q}+1}^{l} a_{mn} \varphi_{mn}(x,y) \right| \\
+ \max_{\substack{M_{p} < k \leq M_{p+1} \\ N_{p} < l \leq N_{p+1}}} \left| \sum_{m=M_{p}+1}^{k} \sum_{n=N_{q}+1}^{l} a_{mn} \varphi_{mn}(x,y) \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sup_{N_q \leq l_1 < l_2 < \infty} \left| \sum_{m=M_i+1}^{M_{i+1}} \sum_{n=l_1+1}^{l_2} a_{mn} \varphi_{mn}(x, y) \right|$$

$$+ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sup_{M_p \leq k_1 < k_2 < \infty} \left| \sum_{m=k_1+1}^{k_2} \sum_{n=N_j+1}^{N_{j+1}} a_{mn} \varphi_{mn}(x, y) \right|$$

$$+ \max_{\substack{M_p < k \leq M_{p+1} \\ N_q < l \leq N_{q+1}}} \left| \sum_{m=M_p+1}^{k} \sum_{n=N_q+1}^{l} a_{mn} \varphi_{mn}(x, y) \right|$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i^q(x, y) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta_j^p(x, y) + \delta_{p,q}(x, y).$$

It's clear, that the sequences

$$\alpha_q(x,y) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i^q(x,y)$$
 and $\beta_p(x,y) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \beta_j^p(x,y)$

are increasing sequences. Show that a. e. on $(0,1)^2$

$$\lim_{q \to \infty} \alpha_q(x, y) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{p \to \infty} \beta_p(x, y) = 0.$$

Indeed, using lemma we have:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \alpha_{i}^{q}(x,y) dx dy \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \left[\alpha_{i}^{q}(x,y) \right]^{2} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \sup_{N_{q} \leq l_{1} < l_{2} < \infty} \left| \sum_{m=M_{i}+1}^{M_{i+1}} \sum_{n=N_{q}+1}^{l_{2}} a_{mn} \varphi_{mn}(x,y) \right|^{2} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$- \sum_{m=M_{i}+1}^{M_{i+1}} \sum_{n=N_{q}+1}^{l_{1}} a_{mn} \varphi_{mn}(x,y) \left|^{2} dx dy \right|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq c \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \sup_{N_{q} < l} \left| \sum_{m=M_{i}+1}^{M_{i+1}} \sum_{n=N_{q}+1}^{l} a_{mn} \varphi_{mn}(x,y) \right|^{2} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq c \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \left(\sum_{j=q}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{m=M_{i}+1}^{M_{i+1}} \sum_{n=N_{j}+1}^{N_{j+1}} a_{mn} \varphi_{mn}(x,y) \right| \right)^{2} dx dy \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$+ c \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{j=q}^{\infty} \max_{N_{j} < l \leq N_{j}+1} \left| \sum_{m=M_{i}+1}^{M_{i+1}} \sum_{n=N_{j}+1}^{l} a_{mn} \varphi_{mn}(x,y) \right|^{2} dx dy \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq c \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[\left(\sum_{j=q}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \left| \sum_{m=M_{i}+1}^{M_{i+1}} \sum_{n=N_{j}+1}^{N_{j+1}} a_{mn} \varphi_{mn}(x,y) \right|^{2} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right.$$

$$+ \left(\sum_{j=q}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \max_{N_{j} < l \leq N_{j+1}} \left| \sum_{m=M_{i}+1}^{M_{i+1}} \sum_{n=N_{j}+1}^{l} a_{mn} \varphi_{mn}(x,y) \right|^{2} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]$$

$$\leq c \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{i=q}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m=M_{i}+1}^{M_{i+1}} \sum_{n=N_{j}+1}^{N_{j+1}} \alpha_{mn}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\sum_{i=q}^{\infty} \sum_{m=M_{i}+1}^{M_{i+1}} \sum_{n=N_{j}+1}^{N_{j+1}} \alpha_{mn}^{2} \log^{2}(n+2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]$$

$$\leq c \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=q}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m=M_{i}+1}^{M_{i+1}} \sum_{n=N_{j}+1}^{N_{j+1}} \alpha_{mn}^{2} \log^{2}(n+2) \omega_{1}(M_{i}) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=q}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\omega_{1}(M_{i}) \omega_{2}(N_{j})} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq c \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=N_{q}+1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=N_{j}+1}^{N_{j+1}} \alpha_{mn}^{2} \log^{2}(n+2) \omega_{1}(M_{i}) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\omega_{1}(M_{i})} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq c \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=N_{q}+1}^{\infty} \alpha_{mn}^{2} \omega_{1}(m) \omega_{2}(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ,$$

hence

$$\lim_{q \to \infty} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \alpha_q(x, y) \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y = 0.$$

Then by Fatou's theorem

(13)
$$\lim_{q \to \infty} \alpha_q(x, y) = 0 \text{ a. e. on } (0, 1)^2.$$

Similarly we obtain

(14)
$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \beta_p(x, y) = 0 \text{ a. e. on } (0, 1)^2.$$

Now we prove that

(15)
$$\lim_{p,q\to\infty} \delta_{p,q}(x,y) = 0 \text{ a. e. on } (0,1)^2.$$

Indeed, using inequality (8) we get

$$\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \delta_{p,q}^{2}(x,y) dx dy$$

$$\leq c \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=M_{p}+1}^{M_{p+1}} \sum_{n=N_{q}+1}^{N_{q+1}} a_{mn}^{2} \log^{2}(m+2) \log^{2}(n+2)$$

$$\leq c \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} a_{mn}^2 \omega_1(m) \omega_2(n) < \infty,$$

hence $\sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} \delta_{p,q}^2(x,y) < \infty$ almost everywhere on $(0,1)^2$. Then we obtain (15). Therefore taking into account (13), (14) and (15) we get

$$\max_{M_p < k \le M_{p+1}, \ N_q < l \le N_{q+1}} |S_{k,l}(x,y) - S_{M_p,N_q}(x,y)| = 0$$

almost everywhere on $(0,1)^2$. Finally taking into account (11) we finished proof of sufficiency.

Necessity. a) Let

$$\sum_{p,q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\omega_1(M_p)\omega_2(N_q)} = \infty.$$

Without loss of generality it can be assumed that

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\omega_1(M_p)} = \infty.$$

Then there exist numbers $c_p > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{p,q=1}^{\infty} c_p^2 \, \omega_1(M_p) < \infty \text{ and } \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} c_p = \infty.$$

Take $a_{M_p,N_1}=c_p, \ (p=1,2,\ldots), \ a_{mn}=0, \ ((m,n)\neq (M_p,N_1), \ m\in\mathbb{N}, \ n\in\mathbb{N}, \ p\in\mathbb{N}).$ Let $\varphi_{M_pN_1}(x,y)=1, \ (p=1,2,\ldots), \ (x,y)\in (0,1)^2$ and choose as other functions an arbitrary ONS orthogonal to 1. The system $\{\varphi_{mn}\}$ is diagonal Δ_k -ONS, for which

$$\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} a_{mn} \varphi_{mn}(x,y) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} c_p = \infty \ (x,y) \in (0,1)^2$$

Though

$$\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} a_{mn}^2 \omega_1(m) \omega_2(n) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} c_p^2 \omega_1(M_p) \omega_2(N_1) < \infty.$$

b) Let condition (4) is not fulfilled. Without loss of generality it can be assumed that the condition $\log^2 m = O(\omega_1(m))$, $(m \to \infty)$ is not fulfilled. Then there exist (see [3, Theorem 1.]), numbers b_m and $(M_p, M_{p+1}]$ -ONS $\{\varphi_m\}$ such that

(16)
$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m^2 \omega_1(m) < \infty,$$

though

(17)
$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m \varphi_m(x)$$

diverges a. e. on (0,1).

Take $a_{m,1} = b_m$, (m = 1, 2, ...), $a_{mn} = 0$, $(m \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2)$. Let $\{\psi_n\}$ be an ONS from $L^2(0,1)$ such that $\psi_1(y) = 1$, $y \in (0,1)$. The system $\varphi_{mn}(x,y) = \varphi_m(x)\psi_n(y)$ is a diagonal Δ_k -orthonormal system. Then taking into account (16), (17) we have

$$\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} a_{mn}^2 \omega_1(m) \omega_2(n) = \omega_2(1) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m^2 \omega_1(m) < \infty,$$

though the series

$$\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} a_{mn}\varphi_{mn}(x,y) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m\varphi_m(x)\psi_1(y)$$

diverges a. e. on $(0,1)^2$.

Corollary. If we take $\omega_1(m) = \omega_2(m) = \log^2 m$ then we obtain the following theorem:

a) If

(18)
$$\sum_{p,q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\log^2(M_p) \log^2(N_q)} < \infty,$$

then for every diagonal Δ_k -ONS $\{\varphi_{mn}\}$ the condition

$$\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} a_{mn}^2 \log^2 m \log^2 n < \infty$$

guarantees the convergence a. e. on $(0,1)^2$ of the series (1).

b) If however

$$\sum_{p,q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\log^2 M_p \log^2 N_q} = \infty \,,$$

then there exist numbers b_{mn} and diagonal Δ_k -ONS $\{\psi_{mn}\}$ such that the series

$$\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} b_{mn} \psi_{mn}(x,y)$$

diverges a. e. on $(0,1)^2$ though

$$\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} b_{mn}^2 \log^2 m \log^2 n < \infty.$$

Remark 1. For example if we take $M_p = \left[2^{p^{\alpha}}\right]$, $N_q = \left[2^{q^{\alpha}}\right]$, $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$, then the condition (18) is fulfilled. Therefore the two-dimensional analog of Menshov-Rademacher's Theorem (see [4], theorem 1) is fulfilled for any Δ_k -ONS $\{\varphi_{mn}\}$. If $M_p = \left[2^{p^{\alpha}}\right]$, $N_q = \left[2^{q^{\alpha}}\right]$, $0 < \alpha \le \frac{1}{2}$, then $\{\log^2 m \log^2 n\}$ will be the Weyl multiplier for the convergence a. e. not for each Δ_k -ONS. From proved

Theorem it follows that in that case $\left\{\log^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+\varepsilon} m \log^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+\varepsilon} n\right\}$ $(\varepsilon > 0)$ is the Weyl multiplier.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Alexits. Convergence problems of orthogonal series. Translated from the German by I. Földer. International Series of Monographs in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 20. Pergamon Press, New York, 1961.
- [2] V. F. Gaposhkin. Series of block-orthogonal and block-independent systems. *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat.*, (5):12–18, 1990.
- [3] G. Nadibaidze. On the convergence and summability of series with respect to block-orthonormal systems. *Georgian Math. J.*, 2(5):517–534, 1995.
- [4] S. G. Pandgakidze. On the menshov-rademacher's theorem for the double orthogonal series. *Bull. Acad. Sci. Georg. SSR.*, 39(2):277–282, 1965.
- [5] S. G. Pandgakidze. On the convergence of multiple orthogonal series. *Bull. Acad. Sci. Georg. SSR.*, 89(3):553–555, 1978.

Received April 03, 2011.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TBILISI STATE UNIVERSITY, CHAVCHAVADZE AV. 1, 0128, TBILISI, GEORGIA E-mail address: g.nadibaidze@gmail.com