No. 72/2022 pp. 59-78

doi: 10.17114/j.aua.2022.72.05

EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS THEOREMS FOR INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH CAB-FRACTIONAL DERIVATIVE

A.A.SHARIF, M.M. HAMOOD AND K.P. GHADLE

ABSTRACT. In this study, we take into account a class of fractional Caputo-Atangana-Baleanu (CAB) integro-differential equations. We develop certain standards for determining the existence and uniqueness of solutions using the Banach contraction principle, Arzela-Ascoli theorem, and Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem. Finally, a few instances are provided to highlight our key findings.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34A08, 34A12, 26A33.

Keywords: Fractional integro-differential equation, fixed point technique, initial condition.

1. Introduction

The area of mathematics that focuses on the integration and differentiation of real or complex orders is called fractional calculus. Even though this calculus is ancient, it has only recently become incredibly popular. This is because of its many seemingly diverse applications [1, 3, 4, 15, 31, 32, 33, 35, 36]. The most interesting speciality of the fractional operators is that there are many of these operators. This enables a researcher to choose the most suitable operator in order to describe the dynamics in a real world problem.

Researches on fractional integro-differential equations have witnessed an unprecedented boom in current years on account of the far-reaching application in various subjects, such as chemistry, physics, nuclear dynamics, biology, etc., for more details, see [2, 4, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 30] and the references therein.

It is undeniable that existence and uniqueness theorems are crucial for initial value problems requiring the classical derivative operator because without them, it is impossible to correctly understand modelled systems and forecast how they will behave. Numerous mathematicians have also claimed that fractional integrals and derivatives are more practical for simulating some disorder zones and inherited

characteristics of a variety of complex phenomena than integer order integrals and derivatives [19].

To reduce this problem, Atangana and Baleanu, a non-positional kernel hands a non-singularity a more general memory solution under different configuration scales. Several researchers have made their contributions to the development of FDEs related to the ABC derivative see [2, 5, 7, 14].

To describe complex problems, the concept of derivative of the fractional degree and integral partial differential equations is used. One of the difficulties in solving such equations is to predict the future behavior of a physical problem, the general model with ML and exponential laws has been proposed by Atangana and Gomez-Aguilar [10]. Koca and Atangana arrived at the results of the basic equation for ML elastic thermal conductivity.

There have been many works related to fractional differential integral equations, for more information on FDEs, the reader can see [7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, 18, 20, 33, 35].

Motivated by the research going on in this direction, in this paper, we study existence and uniqueness of solutions for a new class of system of CAB sequential fractional integro-differential equation with the initial condition:

$$\int_{0}^{\mathcal{ABC}} \mathcal{D}^{\gamma} \left[\hbar(\theta) + \lambda^{*}(\theta, \hbar(\theta)) \right] =$$

$$\phi \left(\theta, \hbar(\theta), \int_{0}^{\theta} \kappa_{1}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}((\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \right), \ \theta \in [0, 1],$$

$$\hbar(0) = \hbar_{0}, \tag{1}$$

where ${}_0^{\mathcal{ABC}}\mathcal{D}^{\gamma}$ be the left CAB-derivative of fractional order γ , $0 < \gamma \le 1, \theta, \zeta, \Phi \in [0, 1]$. $\lambda^* : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\phi : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions satisfying some assumptions that will be specified later.

2. Auxiliary Results

We now gather some definitions and preliminary facts which will be used throughout this paper.

Definition 1. [31] For $\gamma > 0$, Riemann-Liouville (R-L) fractional integral of order $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$I^{\gamma} \hbar(\theta) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^{\theta} (t - \theta)^{\gamma - 1} d\theta, \ , \ x > 0, R(\alpha) > 0$$
 (2)

Definition 2. [31] For $0 < \gamma \le 1$, the R-L fractional derivative and Caputo fractional derivative are defined as

$$D^{\gamma} \hbar(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_0^t (t-\theta)^{-\gamma} d\theta \right)$$

$${}^{\mathsf{c}}D^{\gamma}\hbar(\theta) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} \int_0^x (t-\theta)^{-\gamma} \hbar'(\theta) dx$$

respectively.

Definition 3. [9] Let $0 < \gamma \le 1$ and $\hbar \in C^1[a,b], \hbar' \in L^1[a,b]$ where $0 \le a < b$, the Caputo AB-fractional derivative and the R-L AB- fractional derivative of order γ are defined by

$$\mathcal{ABCD}^{\gamma} \hbar(t) = \frac{\Psi(\gamma)}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} \int_0^t \hbar'(\theta) \mathbb{E}_{\gamma} \left[-\gamma \frac{(t-\theta)^{\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \right] dx$$

and

$$\mathcal{ABC}\mathcal{D}^{\gamma}\hbar(t) = \frac{\Psi(\gamma)}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)}\frac{d}{dt}\Big(\int_0^t \hbar'(\theta)\mathbb{E}_{\gamma}\Bigg[-\gamma\frac{(t-\theta)^{\gamma}}{1-\gamma}\Bigg]d\theta\Big)$$

respectively, where \mathbb{E}_{γ} is called the Mittag-Leffter function and given by

$$\mathbb{E}_{\gamma}(\hbar) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\hbar^{j}}{\Gamma(j\gamma + 1)}$$

and $\Psi(\gamma)$ is a normalizing positive function satisfying $\Psi(0) = \Psi(1) = 1$.

Definition 4. [9] Let $0 < \gamma \le 1$ and $\hbar \in C[a,b], \hbar' \in L^1[a,b]$ where $0 \le a < b$, the Caputo AB-fractional derivative and the R-L AB-fractional derivative of order γ are defined by

$$^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{I}^{\gamma}\hbar(\theta) = \frac{(1-\gamma)}{\Psi(\gamma)}\hbar(\theta) + \frac{(\gamma)}{\Psi(\gamma)}\mathcal{I}^{\gamma}\hbar(\theta)$$

where \mathcal{I}^{γ} is the R-L fractional integral defined in 1.

The following results are based on the fixed point technique for the system (1). The following assumptions are needed for establish the EU results.

Let $\Upsilon = C([0,1],\mathbb{R})$ be the Banach space of continuous functions $\hbar : [0,1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with the norm $\|\hbar\| = \sup_{\theta \in [0,1]} |\hbar(\theta)|$

• (\mathfrak{L}_1) Suppose that $\phi \in ([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$ there exist positive constants \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 such that

$$|\phi(\theta, \hbar_1, \nu_1, \mathsf{z}_1) - \phi(\theta, \hbar_2, \nu_2, \mathsf{z}_2)| \le \mathcal{M}_1(\|\hbar_1 - \hbar_2\| + \|\nu_1 - \nu_2\| + \|\mathsf{z}_1 - \mathsf{z}_2\|)$$
for all $\hbar_1, \nu_1, \mathsf{z}_1, \hbar_2, \nu_2, \mathsf{z}_2 \in \Upsilon, \theta \in [0, 1]$ and $\mathcal{M}_2 = \max_{\theta \in [0, 1]} \|\phi(\theta, 0, 0, 0)\|.$

• (\mathfrak{L}_2) Let $x \in [0,1]$ and $\lambda^* \in ([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ there exist positive constants μ_1 and μ_2 such that

$$\begin{split} |\lambda^*(\theta,\hbar_1) - \lambda^*(\theta,\hbar_2)| &\leq \mu_1(\|\hbar_1 - \hbar_2\| \\ \text{for all } & \hbar_1, \hbar_2 \in \varUpsilon \ \text{ and } \ \mu_2 = \max_{\theta,\zeta \in [0,1]} \|\lambda^*(\theta,0)\|. \end{split}$$

• (\mathfrak{L}_3) If $\theta, \zeta \in [0, 1]$ and $\kappa_1 \in ([0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ there exist positive constants ξ_1 and ξ_2 such that

$$|\kappa_1(\theta,\zeta,\hbar_1) - \kappa_1(\theta,\zeta,\hbar_2)| \le \xi_1(\|\hbar_1 - \hbar_2\|$$
 for all $\hbar_1, \hbar_2 \in \Upsilon$ and $\xi_2 = \max_{\theta,\zeta \in [0,1]} \|\kappa_1(\theta,\zeta,0)\|$.

• (\mathfrak{L}_4) There exist positive constants Ω_1 , and Ω_2 for $\kappa_2 \in ([0,1] \times [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\begin{split} |\kappa_2(\theta,\zeta,\hbar_1) - \kappa_2(\theta,\zeta,\hbar_2)| &\leq \Omega_1(\|\hbar_1 - \hbar_2\| \\ \text{for all} \ \ \theta,\zeta \in [0,1], \ \hbar_1,\hbar_2 \in \varUpsilon \ \text{and} \ \ \Omega_2 = \max_{\theta,\zeta \in [0,1]} \|\kappa_1(\theta,\zeta,0)\|. \end{split}$$

• (\mathfrak{L}_5) For any positive \overline{r} we take $\mathfrak{B}_{\overline{r}} = \{\hbar \in \Upsilon : \|\hbar\| \leq \overline{r}\} \subset \Upsilon$. where

$$\overline{r} \ge \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{(1-\mathcal{P})}$$

where

$$\mathcal{P} = \mu_1 + \mathcal{M}_1(1 + \xi_1 + \Phi\Omega_1) \left(\frac{(1 - \gamma)}{\Psi(\gamma)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)\Psi(\gamma)} \right)$$

and

$$Q = \mu_2 + \mathcal{M}_2(1 + \xi_2 + \Phi\Omega_2) \left(\frac{(1 - \gamma)}{\Psi(\gamma)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)\Psi(\gamma)} \right)$$

hen $\mathfrak{B}_{\overline{r}}$ is bounded, closed and convex subset in $C([0,1],\mathbb{R})$

Lemma 1. If (\mathfrak{L}_3) and (\mathfrak{L}_4) are satisfied, then the estimate

$$||I_1\hbar(\theta)|| \le \theta(\xi_1||\hbar|| + \xi_2)$$

and

$$||I_2\hbar(\theta)|| \le \Phi(\Omega_1||\hbar|| + \Omega_2)$$

are hold true for any $\theta \in [0,1]$ and $\hbar \in \Upsilon$.

Corollary 2. [6, 14]

If $0 < \gamma \le 1$, then

Theorem 3. (Krasnoselkii's fixed point theorem) [32] Let S is a nonempty, closed, bounded and convex subset of a Banach space E. Let A_1, A_2 be the operators from Ω to E such that:

- (i) $A_1u_1 + A_2u_2 \in \Omega$ whenever $u_1, u_2 \in \Omega$
- (ii) A_1 continuous and compact;
- (iii) A_2 is a contraction map. Then there exists $z \in \Omega$ such that $z = A_1z + A_2z$

3. Main Results

Theorem 4. Let $0 < \gamma \le 1$ and there exists $\phi \in ([0;1] \times \mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R})$ with $\phi^*(0, \hbar(0), 0, \int_0^{\Phi} \kappa_2(0, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta = \lambda^*(0, \hbar(0)) = 0$. A function $\hbar \in C[0, 1]$ be a solution of the integral equation

$$\hbar(\theta) = \lambda^*(\theta, \hbar(\theta)) - \lambda^*(0, \hbar(0)) + \hbar_0
+ \int_0^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{I}^{\gamma} \phi\Big(\theta, \hbar(\theta), \int_0^x \kappa_1(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_0^{\Phi} \kappa_2(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta\Big)$$
(4)

iff $h(\theta)$ is a solution of the ABC-problem (1)

Proof. Let $\hbar(\theta)$ satisfy (1). Applying the \mathcal{AB} -fractional integard of (1) we get

$$({}_{0}^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{I}^{\gamma}({}_{0}^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{D}^{\gamma})(|\hbar(t) - \lambda^{*}(\theta, \hbar(\theta))|) =$$

$${}_{0}^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{I}^{\gamma}\phi\Big(\theta, \hbar(\theta), \int_{0}^{x} \kappa_{1}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta))d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta))d\zeta\Big)$$

By using Proposition 2, we obtain

$$h(\theta) - \lambda^*(\theta, h(\theta)) - (h(0) - \lambda^*(0, h(0))) = \\
\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{I}^{\gamma}\phi\Big(\theta, h(\theta), \int_0^x \kappa_1(\theta, \zeta, h(\zeta))d\zeta, \int_0^{\Phi} \kappa_2(\theta, \zeta, h(\zeta))d\zeta\Big).$$

Since $\hbar(0) = \hbar_0$, then (4) is satisfied. Now, consider $\hbar(\theta)$ satisfies the (4), then by

$$\phi^*(0, \hbar(0), 0, \int_0^{\Phi} \kappa_2(0, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta = \lambda^*(0, \hbar(0)) = 0,$$

it is visible that $\hbar(0) = \hbar_0$ using Applying \mathcal{AB} -derivative in R-L sense of (4) and by using $\binom{\mathcal{AB}}{0}\mathcal{D}^{\gamma}\binom{\mathcal{AB}}{0}\mathcal{D}^{\gamma}\hbar(\theta) = \hbar(\theta)$, we get

$$\begin{split} &(_0^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}\mathcal{R}}\mathcal{D}^{\gamma}\hbar)(\theta) = (\hbar_0)(_0^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}\mathcal{R}}\mathcal{D}^{\gamma})I)(\theta) + (_0^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}\mathcal{R}}\mathcal{D}^{\gamma})\lambda^*(\theta,\hbar(\theta)) \\ &+ (_0^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}\mathcal{R}}\mathcal{D}^{\gamma}{}_0^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{I}^{\gamma})\phi\Big(\theta,\hbar(\theta),\int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta,\zeta,\hbar(\zeta))d\zeta,\int_0^\Phi \kappa_2(\theta,\zeta,\hbar(\zeta))d\zeta\Big) \end{split}$$

Thus

$$({}_{0}^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}\mathcal{R}}\mathcal{D}^{\gamma})(\hbar)(\theta) - \lambda^{*}(\theta, \hbar(\theta))) = (\hbar_{0})\mathbb{E}_{\gamma}\left(\frac{-\gamma}{1-\gamma}\theta\gamma\right) + \phi\left(\theta, \hbar(\theta), \int_{0}^{x} \kappa_{1}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta))d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta))d\zeta\right)$$

Hence, the equation (1) can be obtained by the Theorem 1 in [9]. Now, let us define the operator \mathcal{F} on $\mathfrak{B}_{\overline{r}}$ as follows

$$\mathcal{F}\hbar(\theta) = \lambda^*(\theta, \hbar(\theta)) + \hbar_0$$

$$+ \int_0^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{D}^{\gamma} \phi\left(\theta, \hbar(\theta), \int_0^{\theta} \kappa_1(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_0^{\Phi} \kappa_2(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta\right)$$

It is observed that $h(\theta)$ is the solution of (1) iff the operator \mathcal{F} has a fixed point.

Theorem 5. Assume that $\mathfrak{L}_1 - \mathfrak{L}_5$ are satisfied and

$$\rho(\theta_{2} - \theta_{1}) = \mathcal{M}1[\|(\theta, \upsilon(\theta), \int_{0}^{\theta} \kappa_{1}(\theta, \zeta, \upsilon(\zeta))d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta, \zeta, \upsilon(\zeta))d\zeta)\|]
+ x(\xi_{1}\|(\theta, \upsilon(\theta), \int_{0}^{\theta} \kappa_{1}(\theta, \zeta, \upsilon(\zeta))d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta, \zeta, \upsilon(\zeta))d\zeta))\|)
+ \Phi(\Omega_{1}\|(\theta, \upsilon(\theta), \int_{0}^{x} \kappa_{1}(\theta, \zeta, \upsilon(\zeta))d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta, \zeta, \upsilon(\zeta))d\zeta))\|).$$

If $\mu_1 \leq 1$ Then problem (1) has a solution.

Proof. Let us define the operators \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 on $\mathfrak{B}_{\overline{r}}$ such that

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 + \mathcal{F}_2$$
$$\mathcal{F}_1 \hbar(\theta) = \lambda^*(\theta, \hbar(\theta)) + \hbar_0$$

 $\mathcal{F}_2 \hbar(\theta) =_0^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{I}^{\gamma} \phi \Big(\theta, \hbar(\theta), \int_0^{\theta} \kappa_1(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_0^{\Phi} \kappa_2(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \Big).$

The following three steps are required to apply the Theorem 2.

1) $\|\mathcal{F}_1 \hbar + \mathcal{F}_2 \mathbf{z}\| \leq \overline{r}$ where $\overline{r} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\overline{r}}$ For any $\hbar, \mathbf{z} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\overline{r}}$

$$\begin{split} &\|\mathcal{F}_{1}\hbar + \mathcal{F}_{2}\mathbf{z}\| = \sup_{\theta \in [0,1]} \left\{ \left| \lambda^{*}(\theta, \hbar(\theta)) + \hbar_{0} \right. \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{1 - \gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} \phi \left(\theta, \mathbf{z}(\theta), \int_{0}^{\theta} \kappa_{1}(\theta, \zeta, \mathbf{z}(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta, \zeta, \mathbf{z}(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{\gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} {}_{0}\mathcal{I}^{\gamma} \phi \left(\theta, \mathbf{z}(\theta), \int_{0}^{\theta} \kappa_{1}(\theta, \zeta, \mathbf{z}(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta, \zeta, \mathbf{z}(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \right| \right\} \\ &\leq \sup_{\theta \in [0,1]} \left\{ \left| \lambda^{*}(\theta, \hbar(\theta)) \right| + \left| \hbar_{0} \right| \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{1 - \gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} \left| \phi \left(\theta, \mathbf{z}(\theta), \int_{0}^{\theta} \kappa_{1}(\theta, \zeta, \mathbf{z}(\zeta)) d\zeta, \mathbf{z}(\theta) \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta, \zeta, \mathbf{z}(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \right| \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{\gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} {}_{0}\mathcal{I}^{\gamma} \left| \phi \left(\theta, \mathbf{z}(\theta), \int_{0}^{\theta} \kappa_{1}(\theta, \zeta, \mathbf{z}(\zeta)) d\zeta, \mathbf{z}(\theta) \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \right| \right\} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &\leq \sup_{\theta \in [0,1]} \left\{ |\lambda^*(\theta,\hbar(\theta)) - \lambda^*(\theta,\hbar(0)) + \lambda^*(\theta,\hbar(0))| + |\hbar_0| \right. \\ &+ \frac{1-\gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} |\phi\left(\theta,\mathbf{z}(\theta),\int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta,\zeta,\mathbf{z}(\zeta))d\zeta,\int_0^\Phi \kappa_2(\theta,\zeta,\mathbf{z}(\zeta))d\zeta\right) \\ &- \phi\left(\theta,\mathbf{z}(0),\int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta,\zeta,\mathbf{z}(0))d\zeta,\int_0^\Phi \kappa_2(\theta,\zeta,\mathbf{z}(0))d\zeta\right) \\ &+ \phi\left(\theta,\mathbf{z}(0),\int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta,\zeta,\mathbf{z}(0))d\zeta,\int_0^\Phi \kappa_2(\theta,\zeta,\mathbf{z}(0))d\zeta\right) \\ &+ \frac{\gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} \sigma^{2\gamma} |\phi\left(\theta,\mathbf{z}(\theta),\int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta,\zeta,\mathbf{z}(\zeta))d\zeta,\int_0^\Phi \kappa_2(\theta,\zeta,\mathbf{z}(\zeta))d\zeta\right) \\ &- \phi\left(\theta,\mathbf{z}(0),\int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta,\zeta,\mathbf{z}(0))d\zeta,\int_0^\Phi \kappa_2(\theta,\zeta,\mathbf{z}(0))d\zeta\right) \\ &+ \phi\left(\theta,\mathbf{z}(0),\int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta,\zeta,\mathbf{z}(0))d\zeta,\int_0^\Phi \kappa_2(\theta,\zeta,\mathbf{z}(0))d\zeta\right) \\ &\leq \mu_1 \|h\| + \frac{1-\gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} \left(\mathcal{M}_1[|h\| + \theta(\xi_1\|h\| + \xi_2) + \Phi(\Omega_1\|\mathbf{z}\| + \Omega_2)]\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Psi(\gamma)\Gamma(\gamma)} \left(\mathcal{M}_1[|h\| + \theta(\xi_1\|h\| + \xi_2) + \Phi(\Omega_1\|\mathbf{z}\| + \Omega_2)]\right) \\ &+ \frac{1-\gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} \mathcal{M}_2 + \left(\frac{\gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} \mathcal{M}_2\right) \frac{(1)^\gamma}{\gamma\Gamma(\gamma)} \\ &\leq \mu_1 \|h\| + \left[\left(\frac{1-\gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} + \frac{1}{\gamma\Gamma(\gamma)}\right) \mathcal{M}_1[|1 + \xi_1 + \Phi(\Omega_1]\right] \|\mathbf{z}\| \\ &\leq \mu_2 + \left[\left(\frac{1-\gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} + \frac{1}{\gamma\Gamma(\gamma)}\right) \mathcal{M}_2[|1 + \xi_2 + \Phi(\Omega_2]\right] \\ &= \|\mathbf{z}\| \mathcal{P} + \mathcal{Q} \leq \mathcal{P}\overline{r} + \mathcal{Q} \leq \overline{r}. \end{split}$$

2) \mathcal{F}_1 is the contraction on $\mathfrak{B}_{\overline{r}}$ For any $\hbar, z \in \mathfrak{B}_{\overline{r}}$ by using \mathfrak{L}_2 and \mathfrak{L}_5 .

$$\begin{split} \|\mathcal{F}_1 \hbar(\theta) - \mathcal{F}_1 \mathbf{z}(\theta)\| &= \sup_{\theta \in [0,1]} \left\{ \left| \lambda^*(\theta, \hbar(\theta)) + \hbar_0 - \lambda^*(\theta, \mathbf{z}(\theta)) - \hbar_0 \right| \right\} \\ &\leq \sup_{\theta \in [0,1]} \left\{ \left| \lambda^*(\theta, \hbar(\theta)) - \lambda^*(\theta, \mathbf{z}(\theta)) \right| \right\} \\ &\leq \mu_1 \|\hbar - \mathbf{z}\|. \end{split}$$

As $\mu_1 < 1$. Thus \mathcal{F}_1 is a contraction operator.

3) We prove that \mathcal{F}_1 is completely continuous operator.

For completeness of \mathcal{F}_2 , firstly we prove that \mathcal{F}_2 is continuous.

With $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|h_n - h\| = 0$, for any $h_n, h \in \mathfrak{B}_{\overline{r}}, n = 1, 2, ...$

Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} h_n(\theta) = h(\theta)$, $\forall \theta \in [0,1]$.

Therefore

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi \Big(\theta, \hbar_n(\theta), \int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta, \zeta, \hbar_n(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_0^\Phi \kappa_2(\theta, \zeta, \hbar_n(\zeta)) d\zeta \Big)$$
$$= \phi \Big(\theta, \hbar(\theta), \int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_0^\Phi \kappa_2(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \Big).$$

Now, for $\theta \in [0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathcal{F}_{2}\hbar_{n}(\theta) - \mathcal{F}_{2}\hbar(\theta)\| \\ &= \sup_{\theta \in [0,1]} \left\{ \left| \frac{(1-\gamma)}{\Psi(\gamma)} \phi\left(\theta, \hbar_{n}(\theta), \int_{0}^{\theta} \kappa_{1}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar_{n}(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar_{n}(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \right. \\ &- \phi\left(\theta, \hbar(\theta), \int_{0}^{\theta} \kappa_{1}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \\ &+ \frac{\gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} {}_{0}\mathcal{I}^{\gamma} \phi\left(\theta, \hbar_{n}(\theta), \int_{0}^{\theta} \kappa_{1}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar_{n}(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar_{n}(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \\ &- \phi\left(\theta, \hbar(\theta), \int_{0}^{\theta} \kappa_{1}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \right\} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{(1-\gamma)}{\Psi(\gamma)} + \frac{1}{\Psi(\gamma)\Gamma(\gamma)} \right) \\ &\times \sup_{\theta \in [0,1]} \|\phi\left(\theta, \hbar_{n}(\theta), \int_{0}^{\theta} \kappa_{1}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar_{n}(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar_{n}(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \\ &- \phi\left(\theta, \hbar(\theta), \int_{0}^{\theta} \kappa_{1}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|\mathcal{F}_2 \hbar_n(\theta) - \mathcal{F}_2 \hbar(\theta)\| \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \longrightarrow \infty.$

Now, we prove that \mathcal{F}_2 is compact.

$$\begin{split} \|\mathcal{F}_{2}\hbar(\theta)\| &= \sup_{\theta \in [0,1]} \left\{ \left| \frac{(1-\gamma)}{\Psi(\gamma)} \phi\left(\theta, \hbar(\theta), \int_{0}^{\theta} \kappa_{1}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{\gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} _{0} \mathcal{I}^{\gamma} \phi\left(\theta, \hbar_{n}(\theta), \int_{0}^{\theta} \kappa_{1}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar_{n}(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar_{n}(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \right| \right\} \\ &\leq \left. \left(\frac{(1-\gamma)}{\Psi(\gamma)} + \frac{1}{\Psi(\gamma)\Gamma(\gamma)} \right) \\ &\times \sup_{\theta \in [0,1]} \|\phi\left(\theta, \hbar(\theta), \int_{0}^{\theta} \kappa_{1}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \| \\ &\leq \left. \left(\frac{(1-\gamma)}{\Psi(\gamma)} + \frac{1}{\Psi(\gamma)\Gamma(\gamma)} \right) \right. \\ &\times \left. \left(\mathcal{M}_{1}[\|\hbar\| + \theta(\xi_{1}\|\hbar\| + \xi_{2}) + \Phi(\Omega_{1}\|\hbar\| + \Omega_{2})] + \mathcal{M}_{2} \right) \\ &\leq \left. \left(\frac{(1-\gamma)}{\Psi(\gamma)} + \frac{1}{\Psi(\gamma)\Gamma(\gamma)} \right) \right. \\ &\times \left. \left[\mathcal{M}_{1}[1 + \xi_{1} + \Phi(\Omega_{1})\|\hbar\| + \mathcal{M}_{2}[1 + \xi_{1} + \Phi\Omega_{2}] \right] \\ &\leq \left. \left[(\mathcal{P} - \mu_{1}) + (\mathcal{Q} - \mu_{2}) \right] < \infty, \end{split}$$

which shows that \mathcal{F}_2 is bounded on $\mathfrak{B}_{\overline{r}}$.

Next, we prove that \mathcal{F}_2 is equicontinuous. For any $0 < \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \theta < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathcal{F}_{2}\hbar(\theta_{2}) - \mathcal{F}_{2}\hbar(\theta_{1})\| \\ &= \sup_{\theta \in [0,1]} \left\{ \left| \frac{(1-\gamma)}{\Psi(\gamma)} \phi\left(\theta_{2}, \hbar(\theta_{2}), \int_{0}^{\theta_{2}} \kappa_{1}(\theta_{2}, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta_{2}, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{\gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} {}_{0} \mathcal{I}^{\gamma} \phi\left(\theta_{2}, \hbar(\theta_{2}), \int_{0}^{\theta_{2}} \kappa_{1}(\theta_{2}, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta_{2}, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \\ &- \left. \frac{(1-\gamma)}{\Psi(\gamma)} \phi\left(\theta_{1}, \hbar(\theta_{1}), \int_{0}^{\theta_{1}} \kappa_{1}(\theta_{1}, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta_{1}, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \right. \\ &- \left. \frac{\gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} {}_{0} \mathcal{I}^{\gamma} \phi\left(\theta_{1}, \hbar(\theta_{1}), \int_{0}^{\theta_{1}} \kappa_{1}(\theta_{1}, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta_{1}, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \right| \right\} \\ &\leq \left. \frac{(1-\gamma)}{\Psi(\gamma)} \|\phi\left(\theta_{2}, \hbar(\theta_{2}), \int_{0}^{\theta_{2}} \kappa_{1}(\theta_{2}, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta_{2}, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \right. \\ &- \left. \phi\left(\theta_{1}, \hbar(\theta_{1}), \int_{0}^{\theta_{1}} \kappa_{1}(\theta_{1}, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta_{1}, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \right\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} &+ \frac{\gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} _{0} \mathcal{I}^{\gamma} \| \phi \left(\theta_{2}, \hbar(\theta_{2}), \int_{0}^{\theta_{2}} \kappa_{1}(\theta_{2}, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta_{2}, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \\ &- \phi \left(\theta_{1}, \hbar(\theta_{1}), \int_{0}^{\theta_{1}} \kappa_{1}(\theta_{1}, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta_{1}, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \| \\ &\leq \frac{(1-\gamma)}{\Psi(\gamma)} \left(\mathcal{M}_{1} \left[\left\| \left(\theta, \hbar(\theta), \int_{0}^{\theta} \kappa_{1}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta, \kappa(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \right\| \right) \\ &+ \theta \left(\xi_{1} \left\| \left(\theta, \hbar(\theta), \int_{0}^{\theta} \kappa_{1}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \right\| \right) \\ &+ \Phi \left(\Omega_{1} \left\| \left(\theta, \hbar(\theta), \int_{0}^{\theta} \kappa_{1}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \right\| \right) \\ &+ \frac{(\gamma)}{\Psi(\gamma)} \left(\mathcal{M}_{1} \left[\left\| \left(\theta, \hbar(\theta), \int_{0}^{\theta} \kappa_{1}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \right\| \right) \\ &+ \theta \left(\xi_{1} \left\| \left(\theta, \hbar(\theta), \int_{0}^{\theta} \kappa_{1}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta, \zeta, \kappa(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \right\| \right) \\ &+ \Phi \left(\Omega_{1} \left\| \left(\theta, \hbar(\theta), \int_{0}^{\theta} \kappa_{1}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \right\| \right) \right] \frac{(\theta_{2} - \theta_{1})^{\gamma}}{\gamma \Gamma(\gamma)} \\ &\leq \rho (\theta_{2} - \theta_{1}) + \frac{\gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} \rho (\theta_{2} - \theta_{1}) \frac{(\theta_{2} - \theta_{1})^{\gamma}}{\gamma \Gamma(\gamma)} \\ &\leq \rho \left(\frac{(1-\gamma)}{\Psi(\gamma)} + \frac{(\theta_{2} - \theta_{1})^{\gamma}}{\gamma \Gamma(\gamma)} \right) (\theta_{2} - \theta_{1}) \end{split}$$

$$\|\mathcal{F}_2 \hbar(\theta_2) - \mathcal{F}_2 \hbar(\theta_1)\| \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } \theta_2 \longrightarrow \theta_1$$

Consequently, \mathcal{F}_2 is equicontinuous operator on $\mathfrak{B}_{\overline{r}}$. Therefore by the Arzela-Ascoli theorem \mathcal{F}_2 is relatively compact on $\mathfrak{B}_{\overline{r}}$. Hence by the Theorem 3 \mathcal{F} has at least one fixed point. Thus \hbar is that fixed point of \mathcal{F} . Consequently, \hbar is solution of the system (1).

4. Uniqueness Result

Theorem 6. Assume that $\mathfrak{L}_1 - \mathfrak{L}_5$ are satisfied. If

$$\phi^* \Big(0, \hbar(0).0. \int_0^{\Phi} \varphi(0, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \Big) = \lambda^*(0, \hbar(0)) = 0$$

and

$$\mu_1 + \mathcal{M}_1(1 + \xi_1 + \Phi\Omega_1) \left(\frac{(1 - \gamma)}{\Psi(\gamma)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)\Psi(\gamma)} \right) \le 1$$

Then problem (1) has unique solution on [0,1].

Proof. For any $\hbar \in \mathfrak{B}_{\overline{r}}$

$$\begin{split} &\|\mathcal{F}\hbar\| = \sup_{\theta \in [0,1]} \left\{ \left| \lambda^*(\theta, \hbar(\theta)) + \hbar_0 \right. \right. \\ &+ \frac{1 - \gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} \phi \left(\theta, \hbar(\theta), \int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_0^\Phi \kappa_2(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \\ &+ \frac{\gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} {}_0 \mathcal{I}^{\gamma} \phi \left(\theta, \hbar(\theta), \int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_0^\Phi \kappa_2(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \right| \right\} \\ &\leq \sup_{\theta \in [0,1]} \left\{ \left| \lambda^*(\theta, \hbar(\theta)) \right| + \left| \hbar_0 \right| \\ &+ \frac{1 - \gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} |\phi \left(\theta, \hbar(\theta), \int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_0^\Phi \kappa_2(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) | \right. \\ &+ \frac{\gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} {}_0 \mathcal{I}^{\gamma} |\phi \left(\theta, \hbar(\theta), \int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_0^\Phi \kappa_2(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) | \right. \\ &\leq \sup_{\theta \in [0,1]} \left\{ \left| \lambda^*(\theta, \hbar(\theta)) - \lambda^*(\theta, \hbar(0)) + \lambda^*(\theta, \hbar(0)) \right| + \left| \hbar_0 \right| \right. \\ &+ \frac{1 - \gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} |\phi \left(\theta, \hbar(\theta), \int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_0^\Phi \kappa_2(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \\ &- \phi \left(\theta, \hbar(0), \int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta, \zeta, (\theta, \zeta, \hbar(0)) d\zeta, \int_0^\Phi \kappa_2(\theta, \zeta, (\theta, \zeta, \hbar(0)) d\zeta \right) \\ &+ \phi \left(\theta, \hbar(0), \int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta, \zeta, \hbar((\theta, \zeta, \hbar(0)) d\zeta, \int_0^\Phi \kappa_2(\theta, \zeta, (\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \\ &+ \frac{\gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} {}_0 \mathcal{I}^{\gamma} |\phi \left(\theta, \hbar(\theta), \int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_0^\Phi \kappa_2(\theta, \zeta, (\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \\ &- \phi \left(\theta, \hbar(0), \int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta, \zeta, (\theta, \zeta, \hbar(0)) d\zeta, \int_0^\Phi \kappa_2(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \\ &+ \phi \left(\theta, \hbar(0), \int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta, \zeta, (\theta, \zeta, \hbar(0)) d\zeta, \int_0^\Phi \kappa_2(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(0)) d\zeta \right) \\ &+ \phi \left(\theta, \hbar(0), \int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta, \zeta, (\theta, \zeta, \hbar(0)) d\zeta, \int_0^\Phi \kappa_2(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(0)) d\zeta \right) \\ &+ \phi \left(\theta, \hbar(0), \int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta, \zeta, (\theta, \zeta, \hbar(0)) d\zeta, \int_0^\Phi \kappa_2(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(0)) d\zeta \right) \\ &+ \phi \left(\theta, \hbar(0), \int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta, \zeta, (\theta, \zeta, \hbar(0)) d\zeta, \int_0^\Phi \kappa_2(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(0)) d\zeta \right) \\ &+ \phi \left(\theta, \hbar(0), \int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta, \zeta, (\theta, \zeta, \hbar(0)) d\zeta, \int_0^\Phi \kappa_2(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(0)) d\zeta \right) \\ &+ \phi \left(\theta, \hbar(0), \int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta, \zeta, (\theta, \zeta, \hbar(0)) d\zeta, \int_0^\Phi \kappa_2(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(0)) d\zeta \right) \\ &+ \phi \left(\theta, \hbar(0), \int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta, \zeta, (\theta, \zeta, \hbar(0)) d\zeta, \int_0^\Phi \kappa_2(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(0)) d\zeta \right) \right] \\ &+ \phi \left(\theta, \hbar(0), \int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta, \zeta, (\theta, \zeta, \hbar(0)) d\zeta, \int_0^\Phi \kappa_2(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(0)) d\zeta \right) \\ &+ \phi \left(\theta, \hbar(0), \int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta, \zeta, (\theta, \zeta, \hbar(0)) d\zeta, \int_0^\Phi \kappa_2(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(0)) d\zeta \right) \right] \\ &+ \phi \left(\theta, \hbar(0), \int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta, \zeta, (\theta, \zeta, \hbar(0)) d\zeta, \int_0^\Phi \kappa_2(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(0)) d\zeta \right) \right) \\ &+ \phi \left(\theta, \hbar(0), \int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta, \zeta, (\theta, \zeta, \hbar(0)) d\zeta, \int_0^\Phi \kappa_2(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(0)) d\zeta \right) \right) \\ &+ \phi \left(\theta, \hbar(0), \int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta, \zeta, (\theta, \zeta, \hbar(0)) d\zeta, \int_0^\Phi \kappa_2(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(0)) d\zeta \right) \right) \\ &+ \phi \left(\theta, \hbar(0), \int_0^\theta \kappa_1(\theta,$$

$$\leq \mu_{1} \|\hbar\| + \frac{1-\gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} \left(\mathcal{M}_{1}[|\hbar\| + \theta(\xi_{1}\|\hbar\| + \xi_{2}) + \Phi(\Omega_{1}\|\hbar\| + \Omega_{2})] \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\Psi(\gamma)\Gamma(\gamma)} \left(\mathcal{M}_{1}[|\hbar\| + \theta(\xi_{1}\|\hbar\| + \xi_{2}) + \Phi(\Omega_{1}\|\hbar\| + \Omega_{2})] \right) \frac{1}{\gamma\Gamma(\gamma)}$$

$$+ \frac{1-\gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} \mathcal{M}_{2} + \left(\frac{\gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} \mathcal{M}_{2} \right) \frac{1}{\gamma\Gamma(\gamma)}$$

$$\leq \mu_{1} \|\hbar\| + \left[\left(\frac{1-\gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} + \frac{1}{\gamma\Gamma(\gamma)} \right) \mathcal{M}_{1}[|1 + \xi_{1} + \Phi(\Omega_{1})] \|\mathbf{y}\|$$

$$+ \mu_{2} + \left[\left(\frac{1-\gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} + \frac{1}{\gamma\Gamma(\gamma)} \right) \mathcal{M}_{2}[|1 + \xi_{2} + \Phi(\Omega_{2})] \right]$$

$$= \|\hbar\|\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{Q} \leq \mathcal{P}\overline{r} + \mathcal{Q} \leq \overline{r}$$

which shows that \mathcal{F} is bounded on $\mathfrak{B}_{\overline{r}}$: Now to prove uniqueness

$$\begin{split} &\|\mathcal{F}\hbar_{1}(\theta) - \mathcal{F}\hbar_{2}(\theta)\| = \sup_{\theta \in [0,1]} \left\{ \left| \lambda^{*}(\theta, \hbar_{1}(\theta)) + \hbar_{0} \right. \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{1 - \gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} \phi \left(\theta, \hbar_{1}(\theta), \int_{0}^{\theta} \kappa_{1}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar_{1}(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar_{1}(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{\gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} {}_{0} \mathcal{I}^{\gamma} \phi \left(\theta, \hbar_{1}(\theta), \int_{0}^{\theta} \kappa_{1}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar_{1}(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar_{1}(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \right| \right\} \\ &\left. - \lambda^{*}(\theta, \hbar_{2}(\theta)) - \hbar_{0} - \frac{1 - \gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} \phi \left(\theta, \hbar_{2}(\theta), \int_{0}^{\theta} \kappa_{1}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar_{2}(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar_{2}(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \right| \right\} \\ &\left. - \frac{\gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} {}_{0} \mathcal{I}^{\gamma} \phi \left(\theta, \hbar_{2}(\theta), \int_{0}^{\theta} \kappa_{1}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar_{2}(\zeta)) d\zeta, \int_{0}^{\Phi} \kappa_{2}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar_{2}(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \right| \right\} \\ &\leq \mu_{1} \|\hbar_{1} - \hbar_{2}\| \\ &\left. + \frac{1 - \gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} \left(\mathcal{M}_{1}[\|\hbar_{1}(\theta) - \hbar_{2}(\theta)\| + \theta(\xi_{1}\|\hbar_{1}(\theta) - \hbar_{2}(\theta)\|) + \Phi(\Omega_{1}[\|\hbar_{1}(\theta) - \hbar_{2}(\theta)\|)] \right) \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{\gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} \left(\mathcal{M}_{1}[\|\hbar_{1}(\theta) - \hbar_{2}(\theta)\| + \theta(\xi_{1}\|\hbar_{1}(\theta) - \hbar_{2}(\theta)\|) + \Phi(\Omega_{1}[\|\hbar_{1}(\theta) - \hbar_{2}(\theta)\|)] \right) \frac{(1)^{\gamma}}{\gamma \Gamma(\gamma)} \right. \\ &\leq \left[\mu_{1} + \left(\frac{1 - \gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} + \frac{1}{\gamma \Gamma(\gamma)} \right) \mathcal{M}_{1}[1 + \xi_{1} + \Phi\Omega_{1}] \right] \|\hbar_{1} - \hbar_{2}\| \end{split}$$

Since

$$\mu_1 + \left(\frac{1-\gamma}{\Psi(\gamma)} + \frac{1}{\gamma\Gamma(\gamma)}\right) \mathcal{M}_1[1+\xi_1 + \Phi\Omega_1] \le 1$$

Consequently, \mathcal{F} is a contraction mapping. Therefore by the Banach contraction principle, the operator \mathcal{F} has a unique fixed point. Hence the system (1) has a unique solution.

5. Examples

This section of the article produce examples related to EU of solutions of the discussed problem.

Example 1. Consider the following ABC-fractional initial value problem:

$$\frac{\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{BC}}\mathcal{D}^{\frac{3}{4}}}{0} \left[\hbar(\theta) + \frac{1}{100} e^{2\Phi} \hbar(\theta) \right] = \frac{\zeta^4 + 2}{35} \left(\frac{1}{45} \int_0^{\theta} (\theta^4 + 2) \frac{|\hbar(\zeta)|}{|1 + \hbar(\zeta)|} d\zeta \right) + \frac{1}{65} \int_0^{\frac{3}{4}} e^{\theta^2 + \zeta} \sin(\hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta , \qquad \theta \in [0, 1],$$

$$\hbar(0) = \hbar_0$$

where $\gamma = \frac{3}{4}$ and

$$\lambda^*(\theta, \hbar(\theta)) = \frac{1}{100} e^{2\Phi} \hbar(\theta)$$

$$\kappa_1(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) = \frac{1}{45} (\theta^4 + 2) \frac{|\hbar(\zeta)|}{|1 + \hbar(\zeta)|}$$

$$\kappa_2(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) = \frac{1}{65} e^{\theta^2 + \zeta} \sin(\hbar(\zeta))$$

Now

$$|\lambda^*(\theta, \hbar(\theta)) - \lambda^*(\theta, \hbar_1(\theta))| = |\frac{1}{100}e^{2\Phi}\hbar(\theta) - \frac{1}{100}e^{2\Phi}\hbar_1(\theta)|$$

$$\leq \frac{e^{2\Phi}}{100}||\hbar - \hbar_1||$$

$$|\kappa_{1}(\theta,\zeta,\hbar(\zeta)) - \kappa_{1}(\theta,\zeta,\hbar_{1}(\zeta))| = |\frac{1}{45}(\theta^{4} + 2)\frac{|\hbar(\zeta)|}{|1 + \hbar(\zeta)|} - \frac{1}{45}(\theta^{4} + 2)\frac{|\hbar_{1}(\zeta)|}{|1 + \hbar_{1}(\zeta)|} \\ \leq \frac{1}{15}||\hbar - \hbar_{1}||$$

$$|\kappa_{2}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) - \kappa_{2}(\theta, \zeta, \hbar_{1}(\zeta))| = |\frac{1}{65}e^{\theta^{2} + \zeta}\sin(\hbar(\zeta))) - \frac{1}{65}e^{\theta^{2} + \zeta}\sin(\hbar_{1}(\zeta)))|$$

$$\leq \frac{e^{2}}{65}||\hbar - \hbar_{1}||$$

$$\left| \frac{\zeta^4 + 2}{35} \left(\frac{1}{45} \int_0^{\theta} (\theta^4 + 2) \frac{|\hbar(\zeta)|}{|1 + \hbar(\zeta)|} d\zeta + \frac{1}{65} \int_0^{\Phi} e^{\theta^2 + \zeta} \sin(\hbar(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) - \frac{\zeta^4 + 2}{35} \left(\frac{1}{45} \int_0^{\theta} (\theta^4 + 2) \frac{|\hbar_1(\zeta)|}{|1 + \hbar_1(\zeta)|} d\zeta + \frac{1}{65} \int_0^{\Phi} e^{\theta^2 + \zeta} \sin(\hbar_1(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \right| \\
\leq \frac{3}{35} \left(\frac{1}{15} + \frac{e(e^{\Phi} - 1)}{65} \right) \|\hbar - \hbar_1\|,$$

thus assumptions (\mathfrak{L}_1) ; (\mathfrak{L}_2) ; (\mathfrak{L}_3) and (\mathfrak{L}_4) are hold true. Hence, Consequently,

$$\mu_1 = \frac{e^{2\Phi}}{100}, \quad \Omega_1 = \frac{e^2}{65}, \quad \xi_1 = \frac{1}{15}, \quad \mathcal{M}_1 = 0.00972, \quad \Psi(\frac{3}{4}) = 1$$

the Theorem 5 implies that the system (5) has a solution. In addition,

$$\mu_1 + \mathcal{M}_1(1 + \xi_1 + \Phi\Omega_1) \left(\frac{(1 - \gamma)}{\Psi(\gamma)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)\Psi(\gamma)} \right) = 0.05676 < 1$$

hence using the Theorem 6, then the system (5) has a unique solution.

Example 2. Consider the following ABC-fractional initial value problem:

$$\frac{\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{BC}}\mathcal{D}^{\frac{3}{4}}}{0} \left[\hbar(\theta) + \frac{1}{150 + \theta^4} \hbar(\theta) \right] = \frac{1}{\theta^4 + 30} \left(\frac{1}{60} \int_0^{\theta} (\theta^4 + \zeta^4) \hbar(\zeta) d\zeta \right) + \frac{1}{20} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} (\theta^4 \cos(\zeta) \hbar(\zeta) d\zeta \right), \qquad \theta \in [0, 1],$$

$$\hbar(0) = \hbar_0, \qquad (7)$$

where $\gamma = \frac{3}{4}$, and

$$\lambda^*(\theta, \hbar(\theta)) = \frac{1}{150 + \theta^4} \hbar(\theta)$$
$$\kappa_1(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) = \frac{1}{60} (\theta^4 + \zeta^4) \hbar(\zeta)$$
$$\kappa_2(\theta, \zeta, \hbar(\zeta)) = \frac{1}{20} (\theta^4 \cos(\zeta)) \hbar(\zeta)$$

Now

$$|\lambda^{*}(\theta, \hbar(\theta)) - \lambda^{*}(\theta, \hbar_{1}(\theta))| = |\frac{1}{150 + \theta^{4}} \hbar(\theta) - \frac{1}{150 + \theta^{4}} \hbar_{1}(\theta)|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{150} ||\hbar - \hbar_{1}||$$

$$|\kappa_{1}(\theta,\zeta,\hbar(\zeta)) - \kappa_{1}(\theta,\zeta,\hbar_{1}(\zeta))| = |\frac{1}{60}(\theta^{4} + \zeta^{4})\hbar(\zeta) - \frac{1}{60}(\theta^{4} + \zeta^{4})\hbar_{1}(\zeta)|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{30}||\hbar - \hbar_{1}||$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\kappa_2(\theta,\zeta,\hbar(\zeta)) - \kappa_2(\theta,\zeta,\hbar_1(\zeta))| &= |\frac{1}{20}(\theta^4\cos(\zeta))\hbar(\zeta) - \frac{1}{20}(\theta^4\cos(\zeta))\hbar_1(\zeta)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{20}||\hbar - \hbar_1|| \end{aligned}$$

$$\left| \frac{1}{\theta^4 + 30} \left(\frac{1}{60} \int_0^{\theta} (\theta^4 + \zeta^4) \hbar(\zeta) d\zeta + \frac{1}{20} \int_0^{\Phi} \theta^4 \cos(\zeta) \hbar(\zeta) d\zeta \right) - \frac{1}{\theta^4 + 30} \left(\frac{1}{60} \int_0^{\theta} (\theta^4 + \zeta^4) \hbar_1(\zeta) d\zeta + \frac{1}{20} \int_0^{\Phi} \theta^4 \cos(\zeta) \hbar_1(\zeta) d\zeta \right) \right| \\
\leq \frac{1}{10} \left(\frac{1}{50} + \frac{\sin(\Phi)}{20} \right) \|\hbar - \hbar_1\|,$$

thus assumptions (\mathfrak{L}_1) ; (\mathfrak{L}_2) ; (\mathfrak{L}_3) and (\mathfrak{L}_4) are hold true. Hence, Consequently,

$$\mu_1 = \frac{1}{150}, \quad \Omega_1 = \frac{1}{20}, \quad \xi_1 = \frac{1}{30}, \quad \mathcal{M}_1 = 0.002615, \quad \Psi(\frac{3}{4}) = 1$$

the Theorem 5 implies that the system (6) has a solution. In addition,

$$\mu_1 + \mathcal{M}_1(1 + \xi_1 + \Phi\Omega_1) \left(\frac{(1 - \gamma)}{\Psi(\gamma)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)\Psi(\gamma)} \right) = 0.00904 < 1,$$

hence using the Theorem 6, the system (6) has a unique solution.

6. Conclusion

In this research, we investigate the existence and uniqueness of fractional order integro-differential equations in Banach spaces with initial conditions and CAB-derivative of fractional order. By using fractional calculus, Banach's contraction principle, and other techniques, existence and uniqueness results of solutions are established, the theorem of Krasnoselskii fixed points. A few applications are provided to highlight the key findings.

References

- [1] A.A. Sharif, A.A. Hamoud, On Ψ-Caputo fractional nonlinear Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations, Discontinuity, Nonlinearity, and Complexity, 11, 1 (2022) 97-106. DOI:10.5890/DNC.2022.03.008
- [2] B. Ahmad , S. Sivasundaram, Some existence results for fractional integrodifferential equations with nonlinear conditions , Communications Appl. Anal. 12 (2008), 107-112.
- [3] T. Abdeljawad, Fractional operators with generalized Mittag-Leffler kernels and their iterated differintegrals, Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science, 29 (2019), 023102.
- [4] T. Abdeljawad, Fractional difference operators with discrete generalized Mittag-Leffler kernels, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 126 (2019), 315-324.
- [5] T. Abdeljawad, D. Baleanu, On fractional derivatives with exponential kernel and their discrete versions, Reports on Mathematical Physics, 80 (2017), 11-27.
- [6] A. Aghajani, Y. Jalilian, J. J. Trujillo, On the existence of solutions of fractional integro-differential equations. Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. 1 (2012), 44-69.
- [7] T. Abdeljawad, Q.M. Al-Mdallal, Discrete Mittag-Leffler kernel type fractional difference initial value problems and gronwalls inequality, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 339 (2018), 218-230.
- [8] S. Alizadeh, D. Baleanu, S. Rezapour, Analyzing transient response of the parallel RCL circuit by using the Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative, Advances in Difference Equations, 1 (2020), 1-19.
- [9] A. Atangana, D. Baleanu, New fractional derivatives with non-local and non-singular kernel: theory and application to heat transfer model, arXiv preprint arXiv:1602.03408.
- [10] A. Atangana, J. Gomez-Aguilar, Hyperchaotic behaviour obtained via a non-local operator with exponential decay and Mittag-Leffler laws, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 102 (2017), 285-294.

- [11] D. Baleanu, S. Etemad, S. Rezapour, A hybrid Caputo fractional modeling for thermostat with hybrid boundary value conditions, Boundary Value Problem, 64 (2020), 1-16.
- [12] P. Bedi, A. Kumar, T. Abdeljawad, A. Khan, Existence of mild solutions for impulsive neutral Hilfer fractional evolution equations, Advances in Difference Equations, 1(2020), 1-16.
- [13] M. Bohner, O. Tunc, C. Tunc, Qualitative analysis of Caputo fractional integrodifferential equations with constant delays, Computational and Applied Mathematics, 40214(2021), 1-17.
- [14] T. Debnath, D. Abdeljawad, D. Baleanu, Discrete fractional differences with non singular discrete Mittag-Leffler kernels, Advances in Difference Equations, 1 (2016), 1-18.
- [15] M. Dalir, M. Bashour, Applications of fractional calculus, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 4, 21 (2010), 1021-1032.
- [16] A. Devi, A. Kumar, Existence of solutions for fractional Langevin equation involving generalized Caputo derivative with periodic boundary conditions, in: AIP Conference Proceedings, 2214 (2020), p. 020026.
- [17] A. Devi, A. Kumar, T. Abdeljawad, A. Khan, Existence and stability analysis of solutions for fractional Langevin equation with nonlocal integral and anti-periodic-type boundary conditions, Fractals, (2020), 2040006.
- [18] A. Devi, A. Kumar, T. Abdeljawad, A. Khan, Stability analysis of solutions and existence theory of fractional lagevin equation, Alexandria Engineering Journal, **60** (2021), 3641-3647.
- [19] J. R. Graef, C. Tunc, Continuability and boundedness of multi-delay functional integro-differential equations of the second order, Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Fisicas y Naturales. Serie A, Matematicas, 109, 1 (2015), 169-173.
- [20] A.A. Hamoud, A.A. Sharif, K.P. Ghadle, Existence and uniqueness of solutions for the neutral fractional integro-differential equations with fractional integral boundary conditions, Journal of Vibration Testing and System Dynamics, 6, 1 (2022), 1-11.
- [21] A. Hamoud, Existence and uniqueness of solutions for fractional neutral Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations, Advances in the Theory of Nonlinear Analysis and its Application, 4 (2020), 321-331.
- [22] A.Hamoud, K.Ghadle, The approximate solutions of fractional Volterra-Fredholm integrodifferential equations by using analytical techniques, Probl. Anal. Issues Anal., 7(25), 1 (2018), 41-58. DOI: 10.15393/j3.art.2018.4350

- [23] A.Hamoud, K.Ghadle, Existence and uniqueness of the solution for Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations, Journal of Siberian Federal University. Math. Phys., 11, 6 (2018), 692-701. DOI: 10.17516/1997-1397-2018-11-6-692-701
- [24] A.Hamoud, K.Ghadle, Existence and uniqueness of solutions for fractional mixed VolterraFredholm integro-differential equations, Indian J. Math., 60, 3 (2018), 375-395. DOI:10.31197/atnaa.799854
- [25] A.Hamoud, K.Ghadle, M.Bani Issa, Giniswamy, Existence and uniqueness theorems for fractional Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations, Int. J. Appl. Math., 31, 3 (2018), 333-348. DOI:10.12732/ijam.v31i3.3
- [26] A.Hamoud, K.Ghadle, Some new existence, uniqueness and convergence results for fractional Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations, J. Appl. Comput. Mech., 5, 1 (2019), 58-69. DOI: 10.22055/jacm.2018.25397.1259
- [27] A.A. Hamoud, N.M. Mohammed, R. Shah, Theoretical analysis for a system of nonlinear ϕ -Hilfer fractional Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations, J. Sib. Fed. Univ. Math. Phys., 16, 2 (2023), 1-14.
- [28] F. Jarad, T. Abdeljawad, D. Baleanu, On the generalized fractional derivatives and their Caputo modification, Journal of Non-linear Sciences and Applications, 10 (2017), 2607-2619.
- [29] U.N. Katugampola, A new approach to generalized fractional derivatives, arXiv preprint arXiv:1106.0965.
- [30] I. Karim, I. Alasadi, A. Hamoud, On the Hilfer fractional Volterra-Fredholm integro differential equations, IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics, 52, 2 (2022), 1-6.
- [31] A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava, J.J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, Elsevier Science Limited, (2006).
- [32] M. Krasnoselsky, $Two\ remarks\ on\ the\ method\ of\ successive\ approximation$, Usp. Mat. Nauk, 10 (1955), 123-127.
- [33] A. Kumar, P. Bedi, On the mild solutions of impulsive semilinear fractional evolution equations, In: Proceedings of International Conference on Trends in Computational and Cognitive Engineering, Springer, (2020), 119-128.
- [34] S. M. Momani, Local and global, Uniqueness theorems on differential equations of non-integer order via Bihari's and Gronwall's inequalities, Revista Tecnica. J., 23 (2000), 66-69.
- [35] R. Magin, Fractional calculus models of complex dynamics in biological tissues, Comput. Math. Appl. 59 (2010), 1586-1593
- [36] V.F. Morales-Delgado, J.F. Gomez-Aguilar, A. Atangana, Modelling the oxygen diffusion equation within the scope of fractional calculus, Thermal Science, 23 (2019), 1279-1287.

[37] D. Prakasha, P. Veeresha, H.M. Baskonus, Analysis of the dynamics of hepatitis e virus using the Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivative, The European Physical Journal Plus, 134 (2019), 1-11.

Abdulrahman A. Sharif
Department of Mathematics,
Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University,
Aurangabad, India;
Department of Mathematics,
Hodeidah University,
AL-Hudaydah, Yemen.
email: abdul.sharef1985@gmail.com

Maha M. Hamood
Department of Mathematics,
Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University,
Aurangabad, India;
Department of Mathematics,
Taiz University,
Taiz-380 015, Yemen.
email: mahamgh1@gmail.com

Kirtiwant P. Ghadle Department of Mathematics, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, Aurangabad, India. email: drkp.ghadle@gmail.com