# ON THE HYRES-ULAM-RASSIAS STABILITY OF A FUNCTIONAL EQUATION IN NON-ARCHIMEDEAN AND RANDOM NORMED SPACES

#### HASSAN AZADI KENARY

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove the Hyres-Ulam-Rassias stability of the following functional equation

$$f(mx + ny) = \frac{(m+n)f(x+y)}{2} + \frac{(m-n)f(x-y)}{2}$$
(1)

where  $m, n \in N$  with  $m + n, m - n \neq 0$ , in non-Archimedean and random normed spaces.

The concept of Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability originated from Th. M. Rassias stability theorem that appeared in his paper: On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1978), 297-300.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B22, 39B52, 39B22, 39B82, 46S10.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

A classical question in the theory of functional equations is the following: When is it true that a function which approximately satisfies a functional equation D must be close to an exact solution of D?.

If the problem accepts a solution, we say that the equation D is stable. The first stability problem concerning group homomorphisms was raised by Ulam [32] in 1940. We are given a group G and a metric group G' with metric d(.,.). Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , dose there exist a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $f: G \to G'$  satisfies  $d(f(xy), f(x)f(y)) < \delta$ , for all  $x, y \in G$ , then a homomorphism  $h: G \to G$ ; exists with  $d(f(x), h(x)) < \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in G$ ?.

In the next year D.H. Hyres [10], gave a positive answer to the above question for additive groups under the assumption that the groups are Banach spaces.

In 1978, Th. M. Rassias [25] proved a generalization of Hyres's theorem for additive mappings in the following way:

**Theorem 1.** Let f be an approximately additive mapping from a normed vector space E into a Banach space E', i.e., f satisfies the inequality

$$||f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)|| \le \varepsilon(||x||^r + ||y||^r)$$
(2)

for all  $x, y \in E$ , where  $\epsilon$ , and r are constants with  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $0 \le r < 1$ . Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $T: E \to F$  such that for all  $x \in E$ 

$$||f(x) - T(x)|| \le \frac{2\epsilon}{2 - 2^r} ||x||^r$$
(3)

for all  $x \in E$ . The result of Th. M. Rassias has influenced the development of what is now called the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability theory for functional equations. In 1994, a generalization of Rassias' theorem was obtained by Găvruta [8] by replacing the bound  $\epsilon(||x||^p + ||y||^p)$  by a general control function  $\phi(x, y)$ .

Several stability results have been recently obtained for various equations, also for mappings with more general domains and ranges (see [1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 24, 27]).

In 1897, Hensel [9] has introduced a normed space which does not have the Archimedean property. It turned out that non-Archimedean spaces have many nice applications [6, 15, 16, 21, 23].

In 2003, Radu[26] proved a generalization of theorem Hyres for Cauchy functional equation in random normed spaces and many authors proved stability of various functional equations in random normed space[3, 28].

## 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 1.** By a non-Archimedean field we mean a field K equipped with a function(valuation)  $|.|: K \to [0, \infty)$  such that for all  $r, s \in K$ , the following conditions hold:

(i) |r| = 0 if and only if r = 0

(*ii*) 
$$|rs| = |r||s|$$

(*iii*)  $|r+s| \le max\{|r|, |s|\}.$ 

**Definition 2.** Let X be a vector space over a scalar field K with a non-Archimedean non-trivial valuation |.|. A function  $||.||: X \to R$  is a *non-Archimedean norm* (valuation) if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i) ||x|| = 0 if and only if x = 0

(*ii*) ||rx|| = |r|||x||  $(r \in K, x \in X)$ 

(*iii*) The strong triangle inequality( ultrametric); namely

$$||x+y|| \le \max\{||x||, ||y||\}. \quad x, y \in X$$

Then (X, ||.||) is called a non-Archimedean space. Due to the fact that

 $||x_n - x_m|| \le \max\{||x_{j+1} - x_j|| : m \le j \le n - 1\} \quad (n > m)$ 

**Definition 3.** A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is *Cauchy* if and only if  $\{x_{n+1} - x_n\}$  converges to

zero in a non-Archimedean space. By a complete non-Archimedean space we mean one in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent. The most important examples

of non-Archimedean spaces are p-adic numbers. A key property of p-adic numbers is that they do not satisfy the Archimedean axiom: for all x, y > 0, there exists an integer n such that x < ny.

**Example 1.** Fix a prime number p. For any nonzero rational number x, there exists a unique integer  $n_x \in Z$  such that  $x = \frac{a}{b}p^{n_x}$ , where a and b are integers not divisible by p. Then  $|x|_p := p^{-n_x}$  defines a non-Archimedean norm on Q. The completion of Q with respect to the metric  $d(x, y) = |x - y|_p$  is denoted by  $Q_p$  which is called the p-adic number field. In fact,  $Q_p$  is the set of all formal series  $x = \sum_{k\geq n_x}^{\infty} a_k p^k$  where  $|a_k| \leq p-1$  are integers. The addition and multiplication between any two elements of  $Q_p$  are defined naturally. The norm  $|\sum_{k\geq n_x}^{\infty} a_k p^k|_p = p^{-n_x}$  is a non-Archimedean norm on  $Q_p$  and it makes  $Q_p$  a locally compact field.

**Definition 4.** A function  $F : R \to [0,1]$  is called a distribution function if it is nondecreasing and left-continuous, with  $sup_{t\in R}F(t) = 1$  and  $inf_{t\in R}F(t) = 0$ . The class of all distribution functions F with F(0) = 0 is denoted by  $D_+$ .

**Example 2.** For every  $a \ge 0$ ,  $H_a$  is the element of  $D_+$  defined by

$$H_a(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \le a \\ 1 & \text{if } t > a \end{cases}$$
(4)

**Definition 5.** Let X be a real vector space,  $\Psi$  be a mapping from X into  $D_+$  (for any  $x \in X$ ,  $\Psi(x)$  is denoted by  $\Psi_x$ ) and T be a t-norm. The triple  $(X, \Psi, T)$  is called a random normed space (briefly RN-space) iff the following conditions are satisfied: (i)  $\Psi_x = H_0(t)$  iff  $x = \theta$ , the null vector;

- (ii)  $\Psi_{\alpha x}(t) = \Psi_x\left(\frac{t}{|\alpha|}\right)$  for all  $\alpha \in R, \ \alpha \neq 0$  and  $x \in X$ .
- (*iii*)  $\Psi_{x+y}(t+s) \ge T(\Psi_x(t), \Psi_y(s))$ , for all  $x, y \in X$  and t, s > 0.

Every normed space (X, ||.||) defines a random normed space  $(X, \Psi, T_M)$  where for every t > 0,

$$\Psi_u(t) = \frac{t}{t + ||u||}$$

and  $T_M$  is the minimum *t*-norm. This space is called the induced random normed space.

If the *t*-norm *T* is such that  $\sup_{0 \le a \le 1} T(a, a) = 1$ , then every *RN*-space  $(X, \Psi, T)$  is a metrizable linear topological space with the topology  $\tau$  (called the  $\Psi$ -topology or the  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -topology) induced by the base of neighborhoods of  $\theta$ ,  $\{U(\epsilon, \lambda) | \epsilon > 0, \lambda \in (0, 1)\}$ , where

$$U(\epsilon, \lambda) = \{x \in X | \Psi_x(\epsilon) > 1 - \lambda\}$$

**Definition 6.** A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in an *RN*-space  $(X, \Psi, T)$  converges to  $x \in X$ , in the topology  $\tau$  (we denote  $limx_n = x$ ) if  $lim_{n\to\infty}\Psi_{x_n-x}(t) = 1, \forall t > 0$ . **Definition 7.** A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is called Cauchy sequence if for all t > 0,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \Psi_{x_n - x_m}(t) = 1.$$

The RN-space  $(X, \Psi, T)$  is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

3. NON-ARCHIMEDEAN STABILITY OF FUNCTIONAL EQUATION (1)

Throughout this section, we prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the following functional equation

$$f(mx + ny) = \frac{(m+n)f(x+y)}{2} + \frac{(m-n)f(x-y)}{2}$$

where  $m, n \in N$  with  $m + n, m - n \neq 0$ , in non-Archimedean normed space. Throughout this section, Let H be an additive semigroup and X is a complete non-Archimedean normed space.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\psi: H^2 \to [0, +\infty)$  be a function such that

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{\psi(m^p x, m^p y)}{|m|^p} = 0; \quad x, y \in H,$$
(5)

and let for each  $x \in H$  the limit

$$\Psi(x) = \lim_{p \to \infty} \max\left\{ \frac{\psi(m^k x, 0)}{|m|^k} \; ; \; 0 \le k (6)$$

exists. Suppose that  $f: H \to X$  be a mapping satisfying

$$\left\| f(mx+ny) - \frac{(m+n)f(x+y)}{2} - \frac{(m-n)f(x-y)}{2} \right\|_X \le \psi(x,y).$$
(7)

Then the limit

$$T(x) = \lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{f(m^p x)}{m^p},$$
(8)

exists for all  $x \in H$  and  $T: H \to X$  is a mapping satisfying

$$\left\|f(x) - T(x)\right\|_{X} \le \frac{1}{|m|}\Psi(x), \quad x \in H$$
(9)

Moreover, if

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \lim_{p \to \infty} \max\left\{ \frac{\psi(m^k x, 0)}{|m|^k} \; ; \; j \le k < j + p \right\} = 0 \tag{10}$$

then T is the unique mapping satisfying (9).

*Proof:* Putting y = 0 in (7), we get

$$\left\|\frac{f(mx)}{m} - f(x)\right\|_{X} \le \frac{1}{|m|}\psi(x,0).$$
(11)

Replacing x by  $m^p x$  in (11) and dividing both sides by  $m^p$ , we get

$$\left\|\frac{f(m^{p+1}x)}{m^{p+1}} - \frac{f(m^px)}{m^p}\right\|_X \le \frac{\psi(m^px,0)}{|m|^{p+1}}$$
(12)

for all  $x \in H$ . It follows from (5) and (12) that the sequence  $\left\{\frac{f(m^p x)}{m^p}\right\}_{p=1}^{+\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since X is complete, so the sequence  $\left\{\frac{f(m^p x)}{m^p}\right\}_{p=1}^{+\infty}$  is convergent. Set

$$T(x) := \lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{f(m^p x)}{m^p}.$$

Using induction we see that

$$\left\|\frac{f(m^p x)}{m^p} - f(x)\right\|_X \le \frac{1}{|m|} \max\left\{\frac{\psi(m^k x, 0)}{|m|^k} \ ; \ 0 \le k < p\right\}.$$
 (13)

Indeed, (13) holds for p = 1 by (11). Let (13) holds for p, then we obtain

$$\left\|\frac{f(m^{p+1}x)}{m^{p+1}} - f(x)\right\|_{X} = \left\|\frac{f(m^{p+1}x)}{m^{p+1}} \pm \frac{f(m^{p}x)}{m^{p}} - f(x)\right\|_{X}$$

$$\leq \max\left\{\left\|\frac{f(m^{p+1}x)}{m^{p+1}} - \frac{f(m^{p}x)}{m^{p}}\right\|_{X}, \left\|\frac{f(m^{p}x)}{m^{p}} - f(x)\right\|_{X}\right\}$$

$$(14)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{|m|} \max\left\{\frac{\psi(m^{p}x,0)}{|m|^{p}}, \max\left\{\frac{\psi(m^{k}x,0)}{|m|^{k}} ; 0 \leq k < p\right\}\right\}$$
$$= \frac{1}{|m|} \max\left\{\frac{\psi(m^{k}x,0)}{|m|^{k}} ; 0 \leq k < p+1\right\}.$$

So for all  $p \in N$  and all  $x \in H$ , (13) holds. By taking p to approach infinity in (14) and using (6) one obtains (9). Replacing x by  $m^p x$  and y by  $m^p y$  respectively, in (7) and using (5), we obtain that

$$T(mx + ny) = \frac{(m+n)T(x+y)}{2} + \frac{(m-n)T(x-y)}{2}.$$

If S is another mapping satisfies (9), then for  $x \in H$ , we get

$$\begin{split} \left\| T(x) - S(x) \right\|_{X} &= \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{|m|^{k}} \left\| T(m^{k}x) - S(m^{k}x) \right\|_{X} \\ &\leq \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{|m|^{k}} max \left\{ \left\| T(m^{k}x) - f(m^{k}x) \right\|, \left\| S(m^{k}x) - f(m^{k}x) \right\|_{X} \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|m|} \lim_{j \to \infty} \lim_{p \to \infty} max \left\{ \frac{\psi(m^{k}x, 0)}{|m|^{k}} \; ; \; j \le k < j + p \right\} = 0. \end{split}$$

Therefore T = S. This completes the proof of uniqueness of T.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\psi: H^2 \to [0, +\infty)$  be a function such that

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} |m|^p \psi\left(\frac{x}{m^p}, \frac{y}{m^p}\right) = 0; \quad x, y \in H,$$
(15)

and let for each  $x \in H$  the limit

$$\Theta(x) = \lim_{p \to \infty} \max\left\{ |m|^{k+1} \psi\left(\frac{x}{m^{k+1}}, 0\right); 0 \le k$$

exists. Suppose that  $f: H \to X$  is a mapping satisfying

$$\left\| f(mx+ny) - \frac{(m+n)f(x+y)}{2} - \frac{(m-n)f(x-y)}{2} \right\|_X \le \psi(x,y).$$
(17)

Then the limit

$$T(x) = \lim_{p \to \infty} m^p f\left(\frac{x}{m^p}\right) \tag{18}$$

exists for all  $x \in H$  and  $T: H \to X$  is a mapping satisfying

$$\left\|f(x) - T(x)\right\|_{X} \le \frac{1}{|m|}\Theta(x); \quad x \in H$$
(19)

Moreover, if

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \lim_{p \to \infty} \max\left\{ |m|^{k+1} \psi\left(\frac{x}{m^{k+1}}, 0\right); j \le k < j+p \right\} = 0,$$
(20)

then T is the unique mapping satisfying (19).

*Proof:* Letting y = 0 in (17), we get

$$\left\|f(mx) - mf(x)\right\|_{X} \le \psi(x, 0),\tag{21}$$

for all  $x \in H$ . If we replace x by  $\frac{x}{m^{p+1}}$  in (21), then we have

$$\left\| m^p f\left(\frac{x}{m^p}\right) - m^{p+1} f\left(\frac{x}{m^{p+1}}\right) \right\|_X \le |m|^p \psi\left(\frac{x}{m^{p+1}}, 0\right),\tag{22}$$

for all  $x \in H$  and all non-negative integer n. It follows from (15) and (22) that the sequence  $\{m^p f\left(\frac{x}{m^p}\right)\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X for all  $x \in H$ . Since X is complete, the sequence  $\{m^p f\left(\frac{x}{m^p}\right)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges for all  $x \in H$ . On the other hand, it follows from (22) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| m^{p} f\left(\frac{x}{m^{p}}\right) - m^{q} f\left(\frac{x}{m^{q}}\right) \right\|_{X} \tag{23} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \left\| \sum_{k=p}^{q-1} m^{k+1} f\left(\frac{x}{m^{k+1}}\right) - m^{k} f\left(\frac{x}{m^{k}}\right) \right\|_{X} \tag{23}$$

$$\leq \max \left\{ \left\| m^{k+1} f\left(\frac{x}{m^{k+1}}\right) - m^{k} f\left(\frac{x}{m^{k}}\right) \right\|_{X} ; p \leq k < q-1 \right\}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{|m|} \max \left\{ |m|^{k+1} \psi\left(\frac{x}{m^{k+1}}, 0\right); p \leq k < q-1 \right\},$$

for all  $x \in H$  and all non-negative integers p, q with  $q > p \ge 0$ . Letting p = 0 and passing the limit  $q \to \infty$  in the last inequality and using (16), we obtain (19). The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\gamma: [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$  be a function satisfying

$$\gamma\left(\frac{t}{|m|}\right) \le \gamma\left(\frac{1}{|m|}\right)\gamma(t) \quad (t\ge 0), \quad \gamma\left(\frac{1}{|m|}\right) < \frac{1}{|m|}.$$
 (24)

Let  $\delta > 0$  and  $f : H \to X$  is a mapping satisfying

$$\left\| f(mx+ny) - \frac{(m+n)f(x+y)}{2} - \frac{(m-n)f(x-y)}{2} \right\|_{X} \le \delta\left(\gamma(|x|) + \gamma(|y|)\right)$$
(25)

for all  $x, y \in H$ . Then there exists a unique mapping  $T: H \to X$  such that

$$\left\|f(x) - T(x)\right\|_{X} \le \frac{\delta\gamma(|x|)}{|m|}; \quad x \in H,$$
(26)

*Proof:* Using induction one can show that for all  $p \in N$ ,

$$\gamma\left(\frac{t}{|m|^p}\right) \le \gamma^p\left(\frac{1}{|m|}\right)\gamma(t) \le \frac{1}{|m|^p}\gamma(t).$$
(27)

Defining  $\psi: H^2 \to [0,\infty)$  by  $\psi(x,y) := \delta(\gamma(|x|) + \gamma(|y|))$ . Since

$$|m|\gamma\left(\frac{1}{|m|}\right) < 1,$$

then we obtain that for all  $x, y \in H$ 

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} |m|^p \psi\left(\frac{x}{m^p}, \frac{y}{m^p}\right) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(|m|\gamma\left(\frac{1}{|m|}\right)\right)^p \psi(x, y) = 0.$$

Also

$$\Theta(x) = \lim_{p \to \infty} \max\left\{ |m|^{k+1} \psi\left(\frac{x}{m^{k+1}}, 0\right); 0 \le k$$

and

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \lim_{p \to \infty} \max\left\{ |m|^{k+1} \psi\left(\frac{x}{m^{k+1}}, 0\right); j \le k < j+p \right\} = \lim_{j \to \infty} |m|^{j+1} \psi\left(\frac{x}{m^{j+1}}, 0\right) = 0.$$

Hence the result follows by Theorem 3.

**Example 3.** Let  $\delta > 0$ ,  $0 and <math>\gamma : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  defined by  $\gamma(t) = t^p$ . If  $f : H \to X$  is a mapping satisfying

$$\left\| f(mx+ny) - \frac{(m+n)f(x+y)}{2} - \frac{(m-n)f(x-y)}{2} \right\|_{X} \le \delta(|x|^{p} + |y|^{p}); \ x, y \in H.$$
(29)

Then there exists a unique mapping  $T: H \to X$  such that

$$\left\|f(x) - T(x)\right\|_{X} \le \frac{\delta |x|^{p}}{|m|}; \quad x \in H,$$
(30)

**Corollary 2.** Let  $\gamma : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  is a function satisfying

$$\gamma(|m|t) \le \gamma(|m|)\gamma(t) \quad (t \ge 0), \quad \gamma(|m|) < |m|$$
(31)

Let  $\delta > 0$  and  $f : H \to X$  is a mapping satisfying

$$\left\| f(mx+ny) - \frac{(m+n)f(x+y)}{2} - \frac{(m-n)f(x-y)}{2} \right\|_{X} \le \delta\left(\gamma(|x|) + \gamma(|y|)\right); \ x, y \in H$$
(32)

Then there exists a unique mapping  $T: H \to X$  such that

$$\left\|f(x) - T(x)\right\|_{X} \le \frac{\delta\gamma(|x|)}{|m|}; \quad x \in H,$$
(33)

*Proof:* Let  $\psi: H^2 \to [0,\infty)$  be defined by  $\psi(x,y) := \delta\Big(\gamma(|x| + \gamma(|y|)\Big).$ 

## 4. RANDOM STABILITY OF FUNCTIONAL EQUATION (1)

Throughout this section, using direct method, we prove Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equation (1) in random normed spaces.

**Theorem 3.** Let X be a vector space,  $(Z, \Psi, min)$  be an RN-space, and  $\psi : X^2 \to Z$  be a function such that for some  $0 < \alpha < m$ ,

$$\Psi_{\psi(mx,my)}(t) \ge \Psi_{\alpha\psi(x,y)}(t). \quad \forall x, y \in X, \ t > 0$$
(34)

Also, for all  $x, y \in X$  and t > 0

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \Psi_{\psi(m^p x, m^p y)}(m^p t) = 1$$

If  $(Y, \mu, min)$  be a complete RN-space and  $f : X \to Y$  is a mapping such that for all  $x, y \in X$  and t > 0

$$\mu_{f(mx+ny)-\frac{(m+n)f(x+y)}{2}-\frac{(m-n)f(x-y)}{2}}(t) \ge \Psi_{\psi(x,y)}(t),\tag{35}$$

then there is a unique mapping  $C:X\to Y$  such that

$$\mu_{f(x)-C(x)}(t) \ge \Psi_{\psi(x,0)}((m-\alpha)t).$$
(36)

*Proof:* Putting y = 0 in (35) we see that for all  $x \in X$ ,

$$\mu_{\frac{f(mx)}{m} - f(x)}(t) \ge \Psi_{\psi(x,0)}(mt).$$
(37)

Replacing x by  $m^p x$  in (37) and using (34), we obtain

$$\frac{\mu_{f(m^{p+1}x)}}{m^{p+1}} - \frac{f(m^{p}x)}{m^{p}}(t) \geq \Psi_{\psi(m^{p}x,0)}(m^{p+1}t) \\
\geq \Psi_{\psi(x,0)}\left(\frac{m^{p+1}t}{\alpha^{p}}\right).$$
(38)

So by (38) we obtain

$$\mu_{\frac{f(m^{p}x)}{m^{p}} - f(x)} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{t\alpha^{k}}{m^{k+1}} \right) = \mu_{\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{f(m^{k+1}x)}{m^{k+1}} - \frac{f(m^{k}x)}{m^{k}}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{t\alpha^{k}}{m^{k+1}} \right)$$

$$\geq T_{k=0}^{p-1} \left( \mu_{\frac{f(m^{k+1}x)}{m^{k+1}} - \frac{f(m^{k}x)}{m^{k}}} \left( \frac{t\alpha^{k}}{m^{k+1}} \right) \right)$$

$$\geq T_{k=0}^{p-1} (\Psi_{\psi(x,0)}(t))$$

$$= \Psi_{\psi(x,0)}(t).$$

This implies that

$$\mu_{\frac{f(m^{p}x)}{m^{p}} - f(x)}(t) \ge \Psi_{\psi(x,0)}\left(\frac{t}{\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\alpha^{k}}{m^{k+1}}}\right).$$
(39)

Replacing x by  $m^q x$  in (39), we obtain

$$\mu_{\frac{f(m^{p+q}x)}{m^{p+q}} - \frac{f(m^{q}x)}{m^{q}}}(t) \geq \Psi_{\psi(m^{q}x,0)}\left(\frac{t}{\sum_{k=0}^{p-1}\frac{\alpha^{k}}{m^{k+q+1}}}\right) \\
\geq \Psi_{\psi(x,0)}\left(\frac{t}{\sum_{k=0}^{p-1}\frac{\alpha^{k+q}}{m^{k+q+1}}}\right) \\
= \Psi_{\psi(x,0)}\left(\frac{t}{\sum_{k=q}^{p+q-1}\frac{\alpha^{k}}{m^{k+1}}}\right).$$
(40)

 $\mathbf{As}$ 

$$\lim_{p,q\to\infty}\Psi_{\psi(x,0)}\left(\frac{t}{\sum_{k=q}^{p+q-1}\frac{\alpha^k}{m^{k+1}}}\right) = 1,$$

then  $\left\{\frac{f(m^p x)}{m^p}\right\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence in complete RN-space  $(Y, \mu, min)$ , so there exist some point  $C(x) \in Y$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{f(m^p x)}{m^p} = C(x)$ . Fix  $x \in X$  and put q = 0 in (40). Then we obtain

$$\mu_{\frac{f(m^{p_{x}})}{m^{p}} - f(x)}(t) \ge \Psi_{\psi(x,0)}\left(\frac{t}{\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\alpha^{k}}{m^{k+1}}}\right).$$
(41)

and so, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \mu_{C(x)-f(x)}(t+\epsilon) &\geq T\left(\mu_{C(x)-\frac{f(m^px)}{m^p}}(\epsilon), \mu_{\frac{f(m^px)}{m^p}-f(x)}(t)\right) \\ &\geq T\left(\mu_{C(x)-\frac{f(m^px)}{m^p}}(\epsilon), \Psi_{\psi(x,0)}\left(\frac{t}{\sum_{k=0}^{p-1}\frac{\alpha^k}{m^{k+1}}}\right)\right). \end{split}$$

Taking the limit as  $p \to \infty$ , we get

$$\mu_{C(x)-f(x)}(t+\epsilon) \ge \Psi_{\psi(x,0)}((m-\alpha)t).$$

$$\tag{42}$$

Since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary by taking  $\epsilon \to 0$  in (42), we obtain

$$\mu_{C(x)-f(x)}(t) \ge \Psi_{\psi(x,0)}((m-\alpha)t).$$
(43)

Replacing x and y by  $m^p x$  and  $m^p y$  respectively, in (35) and using this fact that  $\lim_{p\to\infty} \Psi_{\psi(m^p x, m^p y)}(m^p t) = 1$ , we get for all  $x, y \in X$  and for all t > 0,

$$C(mx + ny) = \frac{(m+n)C(x+y)}{2} + \frac{(m-n)C(x-y)}{2}$$

To prove the uniqueness of the mapping C, assume that there exist another mapping  $D: X \to Y$  which satisfies (36). Since

$$\mu_{C(x)-D(x)}(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_{\frac{C(m^{p_x})}{m^{p}} - \frac{D(m^{p_x})}{m^{p}}}(t).$$
(44)

 $\operatorname{So}$ 

$$\mu_{\frac{C(m^{p}x)}{m^{p}}-\frac{D(m^{p}x)}{m^{p}}}(t) \geq \min\left\{\mu_{\frac{C(m^{p}x)}{m^{p}}-\frac{f(m^{p}x)}{m^{p}}}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right), \mu_{\frac{D(m^{p}x)}{m^{p}}-\frac{f(m^{p}x)}{m^{p}}}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right\} \\
\geq \Psi_{\psi(m^{p}x,0)}\left(\frac{m^{p}(m-\alpha)t}{2}\right) \\
\geq \Psi_{\psi(x,0)}\left(\frac{m^{p}(m-\alpha)t}{2\alpha^{p}}\right).$$
(45)

Since  $\lim_{p\to\infty} \frac{m^p(m-\alpha)}{2\alpha^p} = \infty$ , we get

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \Psi_{\psi(x,0)} \frac{m^p (m-\alpha)t}{2\alpha^p} = 1.$$

Therefore, it follows that for all t > 0,  $\mu_{C(x)-D(x)}(t) = 1$  and so C(x) = D(x). This completes the proof.

**Corollary 3.** Let X be a real linear space,  $(Z, \Psi, min)$  be an RN-space and  $(Y, \mu, min)$  a complete RN-space. Let  $p \in (0, 1)$  and  $z_0 \in Z$ . If  $f : X \to Y$  is a mapping such that for all  $x, y \in X$  and t > 0

$$\mu_{f(mx+ny)-\frac{(m+n)f(x+y)}{2}-\frac{(m-n)f(x-y)}{2}}(t) \ge \Psi_{(||x||^p+||y||^p)z_0}(t),\tag{46}$$

then there is a unique mapping  $C(x): X \to Y$  such that

$$\mu_{f(x)-C(x)}(t) \ge \Psi_{\|x\|^p}\left(\frac{(m-m^p)t}{2}\right).$$
(47)

Proof: Let  $\alpha = m^p$  and  $\psi: X^2 \to Z$  be defined by  $\psi(x, y) = (||x||^p + ||y||^p)z_0$ .

**Corollary 4.** Let X be a real linear space,  $(Z, \Psi, min)$  be an RN-space and  $(Y, \mu, min)$  a complete RN-space. Let  $z_0 \in Z$ . If  $f: X \to Y$  is a mapping such that for all  $x, y \in X$  and t > 0

$$\mu_{f(mx+ny)-\frac{(m+n)f(x+y)}{2}-\frac{(m-n)f(x-y)}{2}}(t) \ge \Psi_{\delta z_0}(t), \tag{48}$$

then there is a unique mapping  $C:X\to Y$  such that for all  $x\in X$  and t>0

$$\mu_{f(x)-C(x)}(t) \ge \Psi_{\delta z_0}((m-1)t).$$
(49)

*Proof:* Let  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\psi: X^2 \to Z$  be defined by  $\psi(x, y) = \delta z_0$ .

#### References

[1] L. M. Arriola and W. A. Beyer, *Stability of the Cauchy functional equation over p-adic fields*, Real Anal. Exchange 31 (2005/06), no. 1, 125-132.

[2] H. Azadi Kenary, *Hyres-Rassias Stability of The Pexiderial Functional Equation*, to appear in Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics.

[3] H. Azadi Kenary, *The Probabilistic Stability of a Pexiderial Functional Equation in Random Normed Spaces*, to appear in Rendiconti Del Circolo Mathematico Di Palermo.

[4]Y. S. Cho and H. M. Kim, *Stability of functional inequalities with Cauchy-Jensen additive mappings*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. (2007), Art. ID 89180, 13 pp.

[5] S. Czerwik, Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, 2002.

[6] D. Deses, On the representation of non-Archimedean objects, Topology Appl. 153 (2005), no. 5-6, 774-785.

[7] W. Fechner, Stability of a functional inequality associated with the Jordan-von Neumann functional equation, Aequationes Math. 71 (2006), no. 1-2, 149-161.

[8] P. Găvruta, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 184 (1994), no. 3, 431-436.

[9] K. Hensel, *Ubereine news Begrundung der Theorie der algebraischen Zahlen*, Jahresber. Deutsch. Math. Verein 6 (1897), 83-88.

[10] D. H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 27 (1941), 222-224.

[11] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac, and Th. M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhauser, Basel, 1998.

[12] K. Jun and H. Kim, On the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability problem for approximately k-additive mappings and functional inequalities, Math. Inequal. Appl. 10 (2007), no. 4, 895-908.

[13] S.-M. Jung, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, 2001.

[14]—-, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Jensen's equation and its application, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 126 (1998), no. 11, 3137-3143.

[15] A. K. Katsaras and A. Beoyiannis, *Tensor products of non-Archimedean weighted spaces of continuous functions*, Georgian Math. J. 6 (1999), no. 1, 33-44.

[16] A. Khrennikov, Non-Archimedean Analysis: quantum paradoxes, dynamical systems and biological models, Mathematics and its Applications, 427. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1997.

[17] Z. Kominek, On a local stability of the Jensen functional equation, Demonstratio Math. 22 (1989), no. 2, 499-507.

[18] L. Li, J. Chung, and D. Kim, *Stability of Jensen equations in the space of generalized functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 299 (2004), no. 2, 578-586.

[19] A. K. Mirmostafaee, M. Mirzavaziri, and M. S. Moslehian, *Fuzzy stability of the Jensen functional equation*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 159 (2008), no. 6, 730-738.

[20] A. K. Mirmostafaee and M. S. Moslehian, *Fuzzy versions of Hyers-Ulam-Rassias theorem*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 159 (2008), no. 6, 720-729.

[21] Alireza Kamel Mirmostafaee, Approximately Additive Mappings in Non-Archimedean Normed Spaces, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 46(2009), No.2, pp. 387-400.

[22] Abbas Najati and Asghar Rahimi, *Homomorphisms Between C\*-Algebras* and *Thier Stabilities*, Acta Universitatis Apulensis, N0 19/2009.

[23] P. J. Nyikos, On some non-Archimedean spaces of Alexandrof and Urysohn, Topology Appl. 91 (1999), 1-23.

[24] J. C. Parnami and H. L. Vasudeva, On Jensen's functional equation, Aequationes Math. 43 (1992), no. 2-3, 211-218.

[25] Th. M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1978), no. 2, 297-300.

[26] V. Radu, On the stability of the additive Cauchy functional functional equation in the random normed spaces, J. Math. Anal. and Appl. 343(2008),567-572.

[27] J. Rätz, On inequalities associated with the Jordan-von Neumann functional equation, Aequationes Math. 66 (2003), no. 1-2, 191-200.

[28] R. Saadati, M. Vaezpour and Y.J. Cho, A note to paper On the stability of cubic mappings and quartic mappings in random normed spaces, J. of Inequalities and Applications, Vol. 2009, Article ID 214530.

[29] M. Sal Moslehian and T. M. Rassias, *Stability of functional equations in non-Archimedean spaces*, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. 1 (2007), no. 2, 325-334.

[30] B. Schewizer and A. Sklar, *Probabilistic Metric Spaces*, North-Holland Series in Probability and Applied Mathematics, North-Holland, New York, NY, USA, 1983.

[31] F. Skof, *Local properties and approximation of operators*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano 53 (1983), 113-129.

[32] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Science Editions, John Wiley and Sons, 1964.

Hassan Azadi Kenary Department of Mathematics Yasouj University Yasouj 75914-353 Iran email:*azadi@mail.yu.ac.ir*