## RADIUS OF STARLIKE AND PARTIAL SUM PROPERTY FOR HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY KOMATU OPERATOR

### A. TEHRANCHI, A. MOUSAVI AND N. VEZVAEI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we investigate some important properties of a holomorphic functions with negative coefficients by using Komatu operator. We provide necessary and sufficient conditions, radius of starlikeness, convexity and close-toconvexity for this class.

Key Words: Holomorphic, Convex, Starlike functions, Komatu operator.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45 - 30C50

#### 1. INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  denotes the class of functions analytic in the unit disk  $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and let  $\tau$  denotes the subclass of  $\mathcal{B}$  consisting holomorphic functions of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$
(1)

which are analytic in the unit disc  $\Delta$ .

**Definition 1.1.** The operator  $k_c^{\delta}$  is the komatu operator ([2],[5]) defined by

$$k_c^{\delta} = \int_0^1 \frac{(c+1)^{\delta}}{\Gamma(\delta)} t^c \left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right)^{\delta-1} \frac{f(tz)}{t} \mathrm{d}t.$$

By applying a simple calculation for  $f \in \tau$  we get

$$k_c^{\delta} = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k}\right)^{\delta} a_k z^k.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

From now on in this paper let

$$\xi_k(c,\delta) = \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k}\right)^{\delta} \Rightarrow k_x^{\delta} = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \xi_k(c,\delta) a_k z^k,$$
(3)

**Definition 1.2.** A function f(z) in  $\tau$  is said to be in class of  $\tau(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$  if

$$Re\left\{\frac{k_c^{\delta}(f)}{z\left[k_c^{\delta}(f)\right]'}\right\} > \left|\frac{k_c^{\delta}(f)}{z\left[k_c^{\delta}(f)\right]'} - 1\right| + \beta,\tag{4}$$

where  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \beta < 1$ ,  $c \geq -1$  and  $\delta > 0$ .

**Definition 1.3.** A function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}$  is said to be convex of order  $\mu(0 \le \mu < 1)$  if and only if  $\operatorname{Re}\left\{1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right\} > \mu, \ z \in \Delta \ (see \ [4]).$ 

A function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}$  is said to be starlike of order  $\mu(0 \le \mu < 1)$  if and only if  $\operatorname{Re}\left\{1 + \frac{zf'(z)}{f'(z)}\right\} > \mu, \ z \in \Delta$  (see [1], [4]).

The family  $\tau(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$  is a special interest for it contains many well-known as well as new classes of analytic univalent functions. This family is reviewed by Sh. Najafzadeh and A. Ebadian in [3], and also A. Tehranchi and S.R. Kulkarni in [5], [6].

#### 2. A Necessary and Sufficient Conditions for f to belong to $\tau(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$

The following theorem gives a necessary and sufficient condition for a function to be in  $\tau(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$ . Before proving the theorem we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $0 \le \alpha < 1$ ,  $0 \le \beta < 1$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $Re(w) > \alpha |w-1| + \beta$  if and only if

$$Re[w(1 + \alpha e^{i\gamma}) - \alpha^{i\gamma}] > \beta.$$
(5)

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $0 \leq \beta < 1$  and  $w \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $Re(w) > \beta$  if and only if  $|w - (1 + \beta)| < |w + (1 - \beta)|$ .

There is a mistake in the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [3], which is corrected as in the following:

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then  $f(z) \in \tau(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left[(1+\alpha) - k(\alpha+\beta)\right]}{1-\beta} \xi_k(c,\delta) a_k < 1.$$
(6)

*Proof.* Let us assume that  $f(z) \in \tau(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$ . So by Lemma 2.1 and letting  $w = \frac{k_c^{\delta}(f)}{z[k_c^{\delta}(f)]'}$  in (4) we obtain

$$Re[w(1+\alpha e^{i\gamma}) - \alpha e^{i\gamma}] > \beta.$$

 $\operatorname{So}$ 

$$Re\left[\frac{z-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\xi_k(c,\delta)a_kz^k}{z\left(1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}k\xi_k(c,\delta)a_kz^{k-1}\right)}(1+\alpha e^{i\gamma})-\alpha e^{i\gamma}-\beta\right]>0$$

then

$$Re\left[\frac{1-\beta-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(1-\beta k)\xi_{k}(c,\delta)a_{k}z^{k-1}-\alpha e^{i\gamma}\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(1-k)\xi_{k}(c,\delta)a_{k}z^{k-1}}{1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}k\xi_{k}(c,\delta)a_{k}z^{k-1}}\right].$$

The above inequality must hold for all z in  $\Delta$ . Letting  $z = re^{-i\theta}$  where  $0 \le r < 1$  we obtain

$$Re\left[\frac{1-\beta-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(1-\beta k)+\alpha e^{i\gamma}(1-k)\xi_{k}(c,\delta)a_{k}r^{k-1}}{1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}k\xi_{k}(c,\delta)a_{k}r^{k-1}}\right] > 0.$$

By letting  $r \to 1$  through half line  $z = re^{-i\theta}$  and the mean value theorem we have

$$Re\left[(1-\beta) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(1-\beta k) + \alpha(1-k)]\xi_k(c,\delta)a_k r^{k-1}\right] > 0,$$

so we get

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left[(1+\alpha) - k(\alpha+\beta)\right]}{1-\beta} \xi_k(c,\delta) a_k < 1.$$

Conversely, let (6) holds. We will show that (4) is satisfied and so  $f(z) \in \tau(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$ . By Lemma 2.2 it is enough to show that

$$|w(1 + \alpha |w1| + \beta)| < |w + (1\alpha |w1|\beta)|,$$

If

$$R = |w + 1 - \beta - \alpha |w - 1||$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{|z[k_c^{\delta}(f)]'|} \left| 2z - \beta z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + (1 - \beta) + \alpha - \alpha k] \xi_k(c, \delta) a_k z^k \right|.$ 

This implies that

$$R > \frac{|z|}{|z[k_c^{\delta}(f)]'|} \left[ 2 - \beta - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k + (1+\alpha) - k(\alpha+\beta)]\xi_k(c,\delta)a_k \right].$$

Similarly, if  $L = |w - 1 - \beta - \alpha |w - 1||$  we get

$$L < \frac{|z|}{|z[k_c^{\delta}(f)]'|} \left[\beta + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [-K + (1+\alpha) - k(\alpha+\beta)]\xi_k(c,\delta)a_k\right].$$

It is easy to verify that R - L > 0 and so the proof is completed.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let  $f \in \tau(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$  then

$$a_k < \frac{1-\beta}{[(1+\alpha)-k(\alpha+\beta)]\xi_k(c,\delta)}, \quad n=2,3,4,\cdots.$$

**Theorem 2.5.** if  $c_1 < c_2$ , then  $\tau(\alpha, \beta, c_2, \delta) \subset \tau(\alpha, \beta, c_1, \delta)$ . *Proof.* Let  $f(z) \in \tau(\alpha, \beta, c_2, \delta)$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[(1+\alpha) - k(\alpha+\beta)]}{1-\beta} \xi_k(c_2,\delta) a_k < 1.$$

But  $\xi_k(c, \delta)$  is an increasing function of c, so  $\xi_k(c_1, \delta) < \xi_k(c_2, \delta)$ , and hence we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left[(1+\alpha) - k(\alpha+\beta)\right]}{1-\beta} \xi_k(c_1,\delta) a_k < \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} \frac{\left[(1+\alpha) - k(\alpha+\beta)\right]}{1-\beta} \xi_k(c_2,\delta) a_k < 1,$$

therefore  $f(z) \in \tau(\alpha, \beta, c_1, \delta)$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** (Growth Theorem) If  $f(z) \in \tau(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$ , then

$$|z| - \frac{(1-\beta)}{(1-\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)} |z|^2 \le |k_c^{\delta}(f)| \le |z| + \frac{(1-\beta)}{(1-\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)} |z|^2.$$
(7)

*Proof.* Let  $f(z) \in \tau(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$ . In view of Theorem 2.3 we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \xi_k(c,\delta) < \frac{1-\beta}{(1-\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |k_c^{\delta}(f)| &\leq |z| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \xi_k(c,\delta) |z|^k \\ &\leq |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \xi_k(c,\delta) \\ &< |z| + \frac{1-\beta}{(1-\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)} |z|^2. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} k_c^{\delta}(f)| &\geq |z| - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \xi_k(c,\delta) |z|^k \\ &\geq |z| - |z|^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \xi_k(c,\delta) \\ &< |z| - \frac{1-\beta}{(1-\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)} |z|^2. \end{aligned}$$

3. RADIUS OF STARLIKENESS, CONVEXITY AND CLOSE-TOCONVEX

In this section we will calculate Radius of Starlikeness, Convexity and Close-toconvexity for the class  $\tau(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$ . **Theorem 3.1.** Let  $f \in \tau(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$ . Then f(z) is starlike of order  $\mu(0 \le \mu < 1)$ in  $|z| < r = r_1(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta, \mu)$  where

$$r_1(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta, \mu) = \inf_k \left[ \frac{(1-\mu)[(1+\alpha) - k(\alpha+\beta)]}{(k-\mu)(1-\beta)} \xi_k(c, \delta) \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}}.$$
 (8)

*Proof.* For  $0 \le \mu < 1$  we need to show that  $\left|\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1\right| < 1 - \mu$ . We have to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{zf'(z) - f(z)}{f(z)} \right| &= \left| \frac{-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1} (k-1)}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k |z|^{k-1} (k-1)}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k |z|^{k-1}} < 1 - \mu, \\ &\Rightarrow \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k |z|^{k-1} \left( \frac{k - \mu}{1 - \mu} \right) < 1. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.3, it is enough to consider

$$|z|^{k-1} < \frac{(1-\mu)[(1+\alpha) - k(\alpha+\beta)]}{(k-\mu)(1-\beta)} \xi_k(c,\delta).$$

This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $f \in \tau(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$ . Then f(z) is convex of order  $\mu(0 < \mu < 1)$ in  $|z| < r = r_2(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta, \mu)$  where

$$r_2(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta, \mu) = \inf_k \left[ \frac{(1-\mu)[(1+\alpha) - k(\alpha+\beta)]}{k(k-\mu)(1-\beta)} \xi_k(c, \delta) \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}}.$$
 (9)

*Proof.* We show that  $\left|\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right| < 1 - \mu$ ,

i.e. 
$$\left| \frac{-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1)a_k z^{k-1}}{1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k z^{k-1}} \right| \le \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1)a_k |z|^{k-1}}{1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k |z|^{k-1}} < 1-\mu,$$
$$\Rightarrow \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k |z|^{k-1} k\left(\frac{k-\mu}{1-\mu}\right) < 1.$$

By Theorem 2.3, it is enough letting

$$|z|^{k-1} \le \frac{(1-\mu)[(1+\alpha) - k(\alpha+\beta)]}{k(k-\mu)(1-\beta)} \xi_k(c,\delta).$$

This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.3.** if  $f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in \tau(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$ , then f(z) is close-toconvex of order  $\mu(0 \le \mu < 1)$  in  $|z| < r = r_3(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta, \mu)$  where

$$r_{3}(\alpha,\beta,c,\delta,\mu) = \inf_{k} \left[ \frac{(1-\mu)[(1++\alpha)-k(\alpha+\beta)]}{k(1-\beta)} \xi_{k}(c,\delta) \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}}.$$
 (10)

*Proof.* We must show that  $|f'(z) - 1| \le 1 - \mu$  for  $|z| < r = r_3(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta, \mu)$  when  $r_3(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta, \mu)$  is given by (10). Now

$$|f'(z) - 1| = \left| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k a_k z^{k-1} \right| \le \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} k a_k |z|^{k-1} \le 1 - \mu$$
$$\Rightarrow \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k a_k}{1 - \mu} |z|^{k-1} < 1.$$

By Theorem 2.3, above inequality holds true if

$$|z|^{k-1} < \frac{(1-\mu)[(1+\alpha) - k(\alpha+\beta)]}{k(1-\beta)}\xi_k(c,\delta).$$

This completes the proof.

4 Partial Sum property of  $\tau(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$ 

**Theorem 4.1.** The  $\tau(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$  is convex set.

*Proof.* Let f(z) and g(z) be the arbitrary elements of  $\tau(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$ . Then for every t(0 < t < 1) we show that  $(1 - t)f(z) + tg(z) \in \Omega(\alpha, \beta, \lambda)$ . Thus, we have

$$(1-t)f(z) + tg(z) = z \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(1-t)a_k + tb_k] z^k$$

and hence

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left[(1+\alpha) - k(\alpha+\beta)\right]}{1-\beta} \xi_k(c,\delta) \left[(1-t)a_k + tb_k\right] = (1-t) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left[(1+\alpha) - k(\alpha+\beta)\right]}{1-\beta} \xi_k(c,\delta)a_k + t \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left[(1+\alpha) - k(\alpha+\beta)\right]}{1-\beta} \xi_k(c,\delta)b_k < 1.$$

**Corollary 4.2.** Suppose the f(z) and g(z) belong to  $\tau(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$ . Then the function h(z) defined by  $h(z) = \frac{1}{2}(f(z) + g(z))$  also belongs to  $\tau(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$ .

We say that g is subordinate of f denoted by  $g \prec f$ , if g(z) = f(w(z)), where w is an analytic Schwarz function with w(0) = 0,  $|w(z)| \leq 1$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $f(z) \in \tau(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$  and g(z) be an arbitrary element of B, such that  $g \prec f$ , g is subordinate to f; and if

$$g_k = \frac{1}{k!} \left[ \frac{d^k(f(w(z)))}{dz^k} \right]_{z=0},$$
(11)

also if

$$\frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(1+\alpha) - k(\alpha+\beta)] |g_k|}{|g_1|} \xi_k(c,\delta) < (1-\beta),$$
(12)

then  $g \in \tau(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $g \prec f$ , by definition, there is an analytic function w(z) such that  $|w(z)| \leq |z|$  and g(z) = f(w(z)). But g is the composition of two analytic functions in the unit disk, therefore we can expand this function in terms of Taylor series at origin as below:

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n z^n,$$

where gn is defined in (11). Hence

$$g_0 = \frac{f(w(0))}{0!} = 0, \quad g_1 = \frac{w'(0)f'(w(0))}{1!} = w'(0).$$

Therefore, we can write

$$g(z) = g_1(z) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} g_k z^k,$$

and

$$k_c^{\delta}(g(z)) = g_1(z) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \xi_k(c,\delta) g_k z^k.$$

We must prove  $g(z) \in \tau(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$  or

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left[(1+\alpha) - k(\alpha+\beta)\right]}{(1-\beta)} \xi_k(c,\delta) g_k < 1.$$

By Theorem 2.3 we have

$$Re\left[(1-\beta)g_1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(1-\beta k) + \alpha(1-k)]\xi_k(c,\delta)g_k r^{k-1}\right] > 0.$$

Letting  $r \to 1$  and by (12) the last inequality is true and the result can be obtained.

# References

- S. Kanas and A. Wisniowska, *Conic domains and starlike functions*, Rev. Roumaine Math, Pures Appl 45(3) (2000), 647-657.
- [2] Y. Komatu, On analytic prolongation of family of operators, Mathematica (Cluj)39(55) (1990), 141-145.
- [3] Sh. Najafzadeh and A. Ebadian, Neighborhood and partial sum property for univalent holomorphic functions in terms of Komatu operator, Acta Universitatis Apulensis 19 (2009), 81 - 89.
- [4] H. Silverman, Partial sum of starlike and convex functions, J. Math. Anal and Appl 209 (1997), 221 - 227.
- [5] A. Tehranchi and S.R. Kulkarni, Some integral operators defined on p-valent functions by using hypergeometric functions, Studia Univ. Babes, Bolyai Mathematica (Cluj) 1 (2006), 525-532.
- [6] A. Tehranchi and S.R. Kulkarni, Study of the class of univalent functions with negative coefficients defined by Ruscheweyh derivative, J. Rajasthan academy of Physical Science 5(1) (2006), 169 - 180.

Abdolreza Tehranchi\*, Ahmad Mousavi\*\*

Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University, South Tehran Branch, Tehran, Iran.

\* E-mail: Tehranchi@azad.ac.ir, Tehranchiab@gmail.com

\*\*E-mail:  $a\_mousavi@azad.ac.irmoussavi.a@gmail.com$ 

Nazanin Vezvaei

Department of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, Tarbiat Modares University, P.O.Box:14115-134, Tehran, Iran. E-mail: nucruaci@uahoo.com

E-mail: nvezvaei@yahoo.com