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## 1 Introduction, Definitions and Notations

Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental entire functions defined in the open complex plane $C$, it is well known [1] that $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f o g)}{T(r, f)}=\infty$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f o g)}{T(r, g)}=$ 0 . Later on Singh [11 investigated some comparative growth of $\log T(r, f o g)$ and $T(r, f)$. Further in [11] he raised the problem of investing the comparative growth of $\log T(r, f o g)$ and $T(r, g)$. However some results on the comparative growth of $\log T(r, f o g)$ and $T(r, g)$ are proved in [6]. Also in [7] Lahiri and Datta made close investigation on comparative growth properties of $\log T(r, f o g)$ and $T(r, g)$ together with that of $\log \log T(r, f o g)$ and $T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)$.

Recently Banerjee and Dutta [2] made close investigation on comparative growth properties of iterated entire functions. In this paper, we study growth of iterated entire functions to generalist some results of Banerjee and Dutta [2] in terms of p-th order and lower p-th order.

The following definitions are well known.
Definition 1.1 The order $\rho_{f}$ and the lower order $\lambda_{f}$ of a meromorphic function is defined as

$$
\rho_{f}=\lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r} \text { and } \lambda_{f}=\lim \inf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r} .
$$

If $f$ is entire then

$$
\rho_{f}=\lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f)}{\log r} \text { and } \lambda_{f}=\lim \inf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f)}{\log r} .
$$

Definition 1.2 The hyper order $\overline{\rho_{f}}$ and the hyper lower order $\bar{\lambda}_{f}$ of a meromorphic function is defined as

$$
\overline{\rho_{f}}=\lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log T(r, f)}{\log r}
$$

and

$$
\bar{\lambda}_{f}=\lim \inf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log T(r, f)}{\log r} .
$$

If $f$ is entire then

$$
\overline{\rho_{f}}=\lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[3]} M(r, f)}{\log r}
$$

and

$$
\bar{\lambda}_{f}=\lim \inf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[3]} M(r, f)}{\log r} .
$$

Notation 1.3 [10] $\log ^{[0]} x=x$, $\exp ^{[0]} x=x$ and for positive integer $m, \log ^{[m]} x=$ $\log \left(\log { }^{[m-1]} x\right), \exp ^{[m]} x=\exp \left(\exp ^{[m-1]} x\right)$.

Definition 1.4 The p-th order $\rho_{f}^{p}$ and the lower $p$-th order $\lambda_{f}^{p}$ of a meromorphic function $f$ is defined as

$$
\rho_{f}^{p}=\lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[p]} T(r, f)}{\log r}
$$

and

$$
\lambda_{f}^{p}=\lim \inf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[p]} T(r, f)}{\log r} .
$$

If $f$ is an entire function then

$$
\rho_{f}^{p}=\lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[p+1]} M(r, f)}{\log r}
$$

and

$$
\lambda_{f}^{p}=\lim \inf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[p+1]} M(r, f)}{\log r} .
$$

Clearly $\rho_{f}^{p} \leq \rho_{f}^{p-1}$ and $\lambda_{f}^{p} \leq \lambda_{f}^{p-1}$ for all $p$ and when $p=1$ then p -th order and lower p -th order coincides with classical order and lower order respectively.

Definition 1.5 The hyper p-th order $\overline{\rho_{f}^{p}}$ and the hyper lower $p$-th order $\overline{\lambda_{f}^{p}}$ of a meromorphic function $f$ is defined as

$$
\overline{\rho_{f}^{p}}=\lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[p+1]} T(r, f)}{\log r}
$$

and

$$
\overline{\lambda_{f}^{p}}=\lim \inf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[p+1]} T(r, f)}{\log r} .
$$

If $f$ is an entire function then

$$
\overline{\rho_{f}^{p}}=\lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[p+2]} M(r, f)}{\log r}
$$

and

$$
\overline{\lambda_{f}^{p}}=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{\log ^{[p+2]} M(r, f)}{\log r} .
$$

Clearly $\overline{\rho_{f}^{p}} \leq \overline{\rho_{f}^{p-1}}$ and $\overline{\lambda_{f}^{p}} \leq \overline{\lambda_{f}^{p-1}}$ for all $p$ and when $p=1$ then hyper p -th order and hyper lower p -th order coincides with hyper order and hyper lower order respectively.

Definition 1.6 A function $\lambda_{f}(r)$ is called a lower proximate order of a meromorphic function $f$ if
(i) $\lambda_{f}(r)$ is nonnegative and continuous for $r \geq r_{0}$, say;
(ii) $\lambda_{f}(r)$ is differentiable for $r \geq r_{0}$ except possibly at isolated points at which $\lambda_{f}^{\prime}(r-0)$ and $\lambda_{f}^{\prime}(r+0)$ exist;
(iii) $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{f}(r)=\lambda_{f}<\infty$;
(iv) $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r \lambda_{f}^{\prime}(r) \log r=0$; and
(v) $\liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{r^{\prime} f(r)}=1$.

According to Lahiri and Banerjee [4], $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two entire functions then the iteration of $f$ with respect to $g$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{rlcl}
f_{1}(z) & = & f(z) \\
f_{2}(z) & = & f(g(z))=f\left(g_{1}(z)\right) \\
f_{3}(z) & = & f(g(f(z)))=f\left(g_{2}(z)\right)=f\left(g\left(f_{1}(z)\right)\right) \\
& \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \cdot \\
& \cdots & \ldots & \ldots \cdot \\
f_{n}(z) & = & f(g(f \ldots \ldots . .(f(z) \text { or } g(z)) \ldots \ldots .)),
\end{array}
$$

$$
\text { according as } n \text { is odd or even, }
$$

$$
=f\left(g_{n-1}(z)\right)=f\left(g\left(f_{n-2}(z)\right)\right),
$$

and so are

$$
\begin{array}{rlc}
g_{1}(z) & = & g(z) \\
g_{2}(z) & = & g(f(z))=g\left(f_{1}(z)\right) \\
& \cdots & \ldots \\
& \cdots & \ldots \\
g_{n}(z) & = & g\left(f_{n-1}(z)\right)=g\left(f\left(g_{n-2}(z)\right)\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Clearly all $f_{n}(z)$ and $g_{n}(z)$ are entire functions.
Throughout the paper we assume $f, g$ etc. are non constant entire functions having respective p-th orders $\rho_{f}^{p}, \rho_{g}^{p}$ and respective lower p-th orders $\lambda_{f}^{p}, \lambda_{g}^{p}$. Also we do not explain the standard notations and definitions of the theory of entire and meromorphic functions because those are available in [3], [12] and [13].

## 2 Lemmas

The following lemmas will be needed in the sequel.
Lemma 2.1 [3] Let $f(z)$ be an entire function. For $0 \leq r<R<\infty$, we have

$$
T(r, f) \leq \log ^{+} M(r, f) \leq \frac{R+r}{R-r} T(R, f) .
$$

Lemma 2.2 [1] If $f$ and $g$ are any two entire functions, for all sufficiently large values of $r$,

$$
M\left(\frac{1}{8} M\left(\frac{r}{2}, g\right)-|g(0)|, f\right) \leq M(r, f o g) \leq M(M(r, g), f)
$$

Lemma 2.3 [9] Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two entire functions. Then we have

$$
T(r, f(g)) \geq \frac{1}{3} \log M\left(\frac{1}{8} M\left(\frac{r}{4}, g\right)+O(1), f\right)
$$

Lemma 2.4 [5] Let $f$ be an entire function. Then for $k>2$,

$$
\lim \inf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[k-1]} M(r, f)}{\log ^{[k-2]} T(r, f)}=1
$$

Lemma 2.5 [7] Let $f$ be a meromorphic function. Then for $\delta(>0)$ the function $r^{\lambda_{f}+\delta-\lambda_{f}(r)}$ is an increasing function of $r$.

Lemma 2.6 [8] Let $f$ be an entire function of finite lower order. If there exist entire functions $a_{i}(i=1,2,3 \ldots \ldots \ldots . . n ; n \leq \infty)$ satisfying $T\left(r, a_{i}\right)=o\{T(r, f)\}$ and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta\left(a_{i}, f\right)=1 \text { then } \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{\log M(r, f)}=\frac{1}{\pi} .
$$

Lemma 2.7 Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two non constant entire functions such that $0<$ $\rho_{f}^{p}<\infty$ and $0<\rho_{g}^{p}<\infty$. Then for all sufficiently large $r$ and $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\log ^{[(n-1) p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\rho_{f}^{p}+\varepsilon\right) \log M(r, g)+O(1) \quad \text { when } n \text { is even } \\
\left(\rho_{g}^{p}+\varepsilon\right) \log M(r, f)+O(1) \quad \text { when } n \text { is odd }
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $p \geq 1$.
Proof. First suppose that n is even. Then from Lemma 2.1 and second part of Lemma 2.2 also from definition of p-th order, it follows that for all sufficiently large values of $r$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(r, f_{n}\right) & \leq \log M\left(r, f_{n}\right) \\
& \leq \log M\left(M\left(r, g_{n-1}\right), f\right) \\
\text { i.e., } \log ^{[p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) & \leq \log { }^{[p+1]} M\left(M\left(r, g_{n-1}\right), f\right) \\
& \leq \log \left[M\left(r, g_{n-1}\right)\right]_{f}^{p}+\varepsilon \\
\text { So, } \log { }^{[p+1]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) & \leq \log { }^{[2]} M\left(r, g\left(f_{n-2}\right)\right)+O(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking repeated logarithms ( $\mathrm{p}-1$ ) times, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log ^{[2 p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) & \leq \log ^{[p+1]} M\left(M\left(r, f_{n-2}\right), g\right)+O(1) \\
& \leq \log \left[M\left(r, f_{n-2}\right)\right]^{\rho_{g}^{p}+\varepsilon}+O(1) \\
\text { i.e., } \log ^{[2 p+1]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) & \leq \log ^{[2]} M\left(r, f_{n-2}\right)+O(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Again taking repeated logarithms ( $\mathrm{p}-1$ ) times, we get

$$
\log ^{[3 p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) \leq \log \left[M\left(r, g_{n-3}\right)\right]^{\rho_{f}^{p}+\varepsilon}+O(1)
$$

Finally, after taking repeated logarithms ( $\mathrm{n}-4$ )p times more, we have for all sufficiently large values of $r$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log ^{[(n-1) p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) \leq \log [M(r, g)]^{\rho_{f}^{p}+\varepsilon}+O(1) \\
& \text { i.e., } \log ^{[(n-1) p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) \leq\left(\rho_{f}^{p}+\varepsilon\right) \log M(r, g)+O(1) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly if $n$ is odd then for all sufficiently large values of $r$

$$
\log ^{[(n-1) p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) \leq\left(\rho_{g}^{p}+\varepsilon\right) \log M(r, f)+O(1)
$$

This proves the lemma.

Lemma 2.8 Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two non constant entire functions such that $0<$ $\lambda_{f}^{p}<\infty$ and $0<\lambda_{g}^{p}<\infty$. Then for any $\varepsilon\left(0<\varepsilon<\min \left\{\lambda_{f}^{p}, \lambda_{g}^{p}\right\}\right)$ and $p \geq 1$,

$$
\log [(n-1) p] T\left(r, f_{n}\right) \geq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\lambda_{f}^{p}-\varepsilon\right) \log M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g\right)+O(1) \text { when } n \text { is even } \\
\left(\lambda_{g}^{p}-\varepsilon\right) \log M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, f\right)+O(1) \quad \text { when } n \text { is odd }
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all sufficiently large values of $r$.
Proof. To prove this lemma we first consider $n$ is even. Then from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3 we get for $\varepsilon\left(0<\varepsilon<\min \left\{\lambda_{f}^{p}, \lambda_{g}^{p}\right\}\right)$ and for all large values of $r$

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(r, f_{n}\right) & =T\left(r, f\left(g_{n-1}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{3} \log M\left(\frac{1}{8} M\left(\frac{r}{4}, g_{n-1}\right)+O(1), f\right) . \\
\therefore \quad \log ^{[p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) & \geq \log ^{[p+1]} M\left(\frac{1}{8} M\left(\frac{r}{4}, g_{n-1}\right)+O(1), f\right)+O(1) \\
& \geq \log \left[\frac{1}{8} M\left(\frac{r}{4}, g_{n-1}\right)+O(1)\right]^{\lambda_{f}^{p}-\varepsilon}+O(1) \\
& \geq \log \left[\frac{1}{9} M\left(\frac{r}{4}, g_{n-1}\right)\right]^{\lambda_{f}^{p}-\varepsilon}+O(1) \\
& \geq\left(\lambda_{f}^{p}-\varepsilon\right) \log M\left(\frac{r}{4}, g_{n-1}\right)+O(1) \\
& \geq\left(\lambda_{f}^{p}-\varepsilon\right) T\left(\frac{r}{4}, g_{n-1}\right)+O(1) \\
& \geq\left(\lambda_{f}^{p}-\varepsilon\right) \frac{1}{3} \log M\left(\frac{1}{8} M\left(\frac{r}{4^{2}}, f_{n-2}\right)+O(1), g\right)+O(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { that is, } \log ^{[2 p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) \geq \log ^{[p+1]} M\left(\frac{1}{8} M\left(\frac{r}{4^{2}}, f_{n-2}\right)+O(1), g\right)+O(1)
$$

$$
\geq \log \left[\frac{1}{8} M\left(\frac{r}{4^{2}}, f_{n-2}\right)+O(1)\right]^{\lambda_{g}^{p}-\varepsilon}+O(1)
$$

$$
\geq \log \left[\frac{1}{9} M\left(\frac{r}{4^{2}}, f_{n-2}\right)\right]^{\lambda_{g}^{p}-\varepsilon}+O(1)
$$

$$
\text { i.e., } \log ^{[2 p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) \geq\left(\lambda_{g}^{p}-\varepsilon\right) \log M\left(\frac{r}{4^{2}}, f_{n-2}\right)+O(1)
$$

Therefore, $\log ^{[(n-2) p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) \geq\left(\lambda_{g}^{p}-\varepsilon\right) \log M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-2}}, f(g)\right)+O(1)$.
So, $\quad \log ^{[(n-1) p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) \geq\left(\lambda_{f}^{p}-\varepsilon\right) \log M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g\right)+O(1) \quad$ when $n$ is even.

Similarly

$$
\log ^{[(n-1) p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) \geq\left(\lambda_{g}^{p}-\varepsilon\right) \log M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, f\right)+O(1) \quad \text { when } n \text { is odd. }
$$

This proves the lemma.

## 3 Theorems

Theorem 3.1 Let $f$ and $g$ be two non-constant entire functions having finite lower orders. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (i) } \quad \lim \inf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log { }^{[(n-1) p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{T(r, g)} \leq 3 \rho_{f}^{p} 2^{\lambda_{g}} \\
& \text { (ii) } \lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[(n-1) p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{T(r, g)} \geq \frac{\lambda_{f}^{p}}{\left(4^{n-1}\right)^{\lambda_{g}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

when $n$ is even and
(iii) $\lim \inf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[(n-1) p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{T(r, f)} \leq 3 \rho_{g}^{p} 2^{\lambda_{f}}$,
(iv) $\lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[(n-1) p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{T(r, f)} \geq \frac{\lambda_{g}^{p}}{\left(4^{n-1}\right)^{\lambda_{f}}}$
when $n$ is odd.
Proof. We may clearly assume $0<\lambda_{f}^{p} \leq \rho_{f}^{p}<\infty$ and $0<\lambda_{g}^{p} \leq \rho_{g}^{p}<\infty$. Now from Lemma 2.7 for arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log ^{[(n-1) p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) \leq\left(\rho_{f}^{p}+\varepsilon\right) \log M(r, g)+O(1) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $n$ is even.
Let $0<\varepsilon<\min \left\{1, \lambda_{f}^{p}, \lambda_{g}^{p}\right\}$. Since

$$
\lim \inf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, g)}{r^{\lambda_{g}(r)}}=1
$$

there is a sequence of values of $r$ tending to infinity for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, g)<(1+\varepsilon) r^{\lambda_{g}(r)} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for all large values of $r$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, g)>(1-\varepsilon) r^{\lambda_{g}(r)} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus for a sequence of values of $r$ tending to infinity we get for any $\delta(>0)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\log M(r, g)}{T(r, g)} & \leq \frac{3 T(2 r, g)}{T(r, g)} \leq \frac{3(1+\varepsilon)}{1-\varepsilon} \frac{(2 r)^{\lambda_{g}+\delta}}{(2 r)^{\lambda_{g}+\delta-\lambda_{g}(2 r)}} \frac{1}{r^{\lambda_{g}(r)}} \\
& \leq \frac{3(1+\varepsilon)}{1-\varepsilon} 2^{\lambda_{g}+\delta}
\end{aligned}
$$

because $r^{\lambda_{g}+\delta-\lambda_{g}(r)}$ is an increasing function of $r$.
Since $\varepsilon, \delta>0$ be arbitrary, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim \inf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r, g)}{T(r, g)} \leq 3.2^{\lambda_{g}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore from (3.1) and (3.4) we get

$$
\lim \inf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[(n-1) p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{T(r, g)} \leq 3 \rho_{f}^{p} 2^{\lambda_{g}}
$$

when $n$ is even.
Again for even $n$ we have from Lemma 2.8

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log ^{[(n-1) p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) & \geq\left(\lambda_{f}^{p}-\varepsilon\right) \log M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g\right)+O(1) \\
& \geq\left(\lambda_{f}^{p}-\varepsilon\right) T\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g\right)+O(1) \\
& \geq\left(\lambda_{f}^{p}-\varepsilon\right)(1-\varepsilon)(1+O(1)) \frac{\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}\right)^{\lambda_{g}+\delta}}{\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}\right)^{\lambda_{g}+\delta-\lambda_{g}\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}\right)}}, \text { by (3.3). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $r^{\lambda_{g}+\delta-\lambda_{g}(r)}$ is an increasing function of $r$, we have

$$
\log { }^{[(n-1) p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) \geq\left(\lambda_{f}^{p}-\varepsilon\right)(1-\varepsilon)(1+O(1)) \frac{r^{\lambda_{g}(r)}}{\left(4^{n-1}\right)^{\lambda_{g}+\delta}}
$$

for all large values of $r$.
So by (3.2) for a sequence of values of $r$ tending to infinity

$$
\log ^{[(n-1) p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) \geq\left(\lambda_{f}^{p}-\varepsilon\right) \frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}(1+O(1)) \frac{T(r, g)}{\left(4^{n-1}\right)^{\lambda_{g}+\delta}} .
$$

Since $\varepsilon$ and $\delta$ are arbitrary, it follows from the above that

$$
\lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[(n-1) p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{T(r, g)} \geq \frac{\lambda_{f}^{p}}{\left(4^{n-1}\right)^{\lambda_{g}}}
$$

Similarly for odd $n$ we get the second part of the theorem.
This proves the theorem.

Theorem 3.2 Let $f$ and $g$ be two non-constant entire functions such that $\lambda_{f}^{p}$ and $\lambda_{g}^{p}(>0)$ are finite. Also there exist entire functions $a_{i}(i=1,2,3 \ldots \ldots . . . . . n ; n \leq \infty)$ satisfying $T\left(r, a_{i}\right)=o\{T(r, g)\}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta\left(a_{i}, g\right)=1
$$

Then

$$
\frac{\pi \lambda_{f}^{p}}{\left(4^{n-1}\right)^{\lambda_{g}}} \leq \lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[(n-1) p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{T(r, g)} \leq \pi \rho_{f}^{p}
$$

when $n$ is even.
Proof. If $\lambda_{f}^{p}=0$ then the first inequality is obvious. Now we suppose that $\lambda_{f}^{p}>0$. For $0<\varepsilon<\min \left\{1, \lambda_{f}^{p}, \lambda_{g}^{p}\right\}$ we have from Lemma 2.8 for all large values of $r$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\log g^{[(n-1) p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{T(r, g)} & \geq\left(\lambda_{f}^{p}-\varepsilon\right) \frac{\log M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g\right)}{T(r, g)}+O(1) \quad \text { when } n \text { is even } \\
& \geq\left(\lambda_{f}^{p}-\varepsilon\right) \frac{\log M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g\right)}{T\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g\right)} \frac{T\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g\right)}{T(r, g)}+O(1) . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Also from (3.2) and (3.3) we get for a sequence of values of $r \rightarrow \infty$ and for $\delta>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{T\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g\right)}{T(r, g)} & >\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon} \frac{\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}\right)^{\lambda_{g}+\delta}}{\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}\right)^{\lambda_{g}+\delta-\lambda_{g}\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}\right)} \frac{1}{r^{\lambda_{g}(r)}}} \\
& \geq \frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\left(4^{n-1}\right)^{\lambda_{g}+\delta}}
\end{aligned}
$$

because $r^{\lambda_{g}+\delta-\lambda_{g}(r)}$ is an increasing function of $r$.
Since $\varepsilon, \delta>0$ be arbitrary, so using Lemma 2.6. we have from (3.5)

$$
\lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[(n-1) p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{T(r, g)} \geq \frac{\pi \lambda_{f}^{p}}{\left(4^{n-1}\right)^{\lambda_{g}}} .
$$

If $\rho_{f}^{p}=\infty$, the second inequality is obvious. So we may assume $\rho_{f}^{p}<\infty$. Then the second inequality follows from Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.7 .
This proves the theorem.
Theorem 3.3 Let $f$ and $g$ be two non-constant entire functions such that $\lambda_{f}^{p}(>0)$ and $\lambda_{g}^{p}$ are finite. Also there exist entire functions $a_{i}(i=1,2,3 \ldots . . . . . . . n ; n \leq \infty)$ satisfying $T\left(r, a_{i}\right)=o\{T(r, f)\}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta\left(a_{i}, f\right)=1
$$

Then

$$
\frac{\pi \lambda_{g}^{p}}{\left(4^{n-1}\right)^{\lambda_{f}}} \leq \lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[(n-1) p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{T(r, f)} \leq \pi \rho_{g}^{p}
$$

when $n$ is odd.
Theorem 3.4 Let $f$ and $g$ be two non-constant entire functions such that $0<\lambda_{f}^{p} \leq$ $\rho_{f}^{p}<\infty$ and $0<\lambda_{g}^{p} \leq \rho_{g}^{p}<\infty$. Then for $k=0,1,2,3, \ldots \ldots$.

$$
\frac{\overline{\lambda_{g}^{p}}}{\rho_{g}^{p}} \leq \lim \inf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[n p+1]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T\left(r, g^{(k)}\right)} \leq \lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[n p+1]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T\left(r, g^{(k)}\right)} \leq \frac{\overline{\rho_{g}^{p}}}{\lambda_{g}^{p}}
$$

when $n$ is even and

$$
\frac{\overline{\lambda_{f}^{p}}}{\overline{\rho_{f}^{p}}} \leq \lim \inf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[n p+1]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)} \leq \lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[n p+1]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)} \leq \frac{\overline{\rho_{f}^{p}}}{\lambda_{f}^{p}}
$$

when $n$ is odd, where $f^{(k)}$ denote the $k$-th derivative of $f$.
Proof. First suppose that $n$ is even. Then for given $\varepsilon\left(0<\varepsilon<\min \left\{\lambda_{f}^{p}, \lambda_{g}^{p}\right\}\right)$ we get from Lemma 2.8 for all large values of $r$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log ^{[(n-1) p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) & \geq\left(\lambda_{f}^{p}-\varepsilon\right) \log M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g\right)+O(1) \\
& \geq\left(\lambda_{f}^{p}-\varepsilon\right) T\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g\right)+O(1) \\
\text { i.e., } \quad \log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) & \geq \log ^{[p]} T\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g\right)+O(1) . \\
\text { So, } \quad \log ^{[n p+1]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) & \geq \log ^{[p+1]} T\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g\right)+O(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So for all large values of $r$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\log ^{[n p+1]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T\left(r, g^{(k)}\right)} \geq \frac{\log ^{[p+1]} T\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g\right)}{\log \frac{r}{4^{n-1}} \cdot \frac{\log \frac{r}{4^{n-1}}}{\log ^{[p]} T\left(r, g^{(k)}\right)}+o(1) . . ~ . ~ . ~} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[p]} T\left(r, g^{(k)}\right)}{\log r}=\rho_{g}^{p},
$$

so for all large values of $r$ and arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log ^{[p]} T\left(r, g^{(k)}\right)<\left(\rho_{g}^{p}+\varepsilon\right) \log r . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary, so from (3.6) and (3.7) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{\inf _{r \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{\log [n p+1]}{\log ^{[p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)} T\left(r, g^{(k)}\right) & \geq \lim _{\inf _{r \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{\log { }^{[p+1]} T\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g\right)}{\log \frac{n}{4^{n-1}}} \cdot\left(\frac{\log r-\log 4^{n-1}}{\rho_{g}^{p} \log r}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{\overline{\lambda_{g}^{p}}}{\rho_{g}^{p}} . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Again from Lemma 2.7 we get for all large values of $r$

$$
\begin{align*}
\log ^{[(n-1) p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) & \leq\left(\rho_{f}^{p}+\varepsilon\right) \log M(r, g)+O(1) \\
\text { i.e. } \frac{\log ^{[n p+1]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T\left(r, g^{(k)}\right)} & \leq \frac{\log ^{[p+2]} M(r, g)}{\log ^{[p]} T\left(r, g^{(k)}\right)}+o(1) . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\lim _{\inf _{r \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{\log ^{[p]} T\left(r, g^{(k)}\right)}{\log r}=\lambda_{g}^{p},
$$

so for all large values of $r$ and arbitrary $\varepsilon\left(0<\varepsilon<\lambda_{g}^{p}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log ^{[p]} T\left(r, g^{(k)}\right)>\left(\lambda_{g}^{p}-\varepsilon\right) \log r . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary, so from (3.9) and (3.10) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[n p+1]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)} \leq \frac{\overline{\rho_{g}^{p}}}{\lambda_{g}^{p}} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.8) and (3.11) we obtain the first part of the theorem.
Similarly when $n$ is odd then we have the second part of the theorem.
This proves the theorem.
Theorem 3.5 Let $f$ and $g$ be two non-constant entire functions such that $0<\lambda_{f}^{p} \leq$ $\rho_{f}^{p}<\infty$ and $0<\lambda_{g}^{p} \leq \rho_{g}^{p}<\infty$. Then

$$
\text { (i) } \frac{\lambda_{g}^{p}}{\rho_{g}^{p}} \leq \lim \inf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T(r, g)} \leq 1 \leq \lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T(r, g)} \leq \frac{\rho_{g}^{p}}{\lambda_{g}^{p}}
$$

when $n$ is even and
(ii) $\frac{\lambda_{f}^{p}}{\rho_{f}^{p}} \leq \lim \inf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T(r, f)} \leq 1 \leq \lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T(r, f)} \leq \frac{\rho_{f}^{p}}{\lambda_{f}^{p}}$
when $n$ is odd.

Proof. First suppose that $n$ is even. Then for given $\varepsilon\left(0<\varepsilon<\min \left\{\lambda_{f}^{p}, \lambda_{g}^{p}\right\}\right)$ we get from Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.8 for all large values of $r$

$$
\begin{align*}
\log ^{[(n-1) p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) & \leq\left(\rho_{f}^{p}+\varepsilon\right) \log M(r, g)+O(1) \\
\text { i.e. } \quad \log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) & \leq \log ^{[p+1]} M(r, g)+O(1) \\
\text { i.e. } \quad \frac{\log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T(r, g)} & \leq \frac{\log ^{[p+1]} M(r, g)}{\log ^{[p]} T(r, g)}+o(1) \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

i.e. $\lim \inf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T(r, g)} \leq 1 \quad$ [by Lemma 2.4.

Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log ^{[(n-1) p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) & \geq\left(\lambda_{f}^{p}-\varepsilon\right) \log M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g\right)+O(1) \\
\text { i.e. } \quad \log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) & \geq \log ^{[p+1]} M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g\right)+O(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T(r, g)} & \geq \frac{\log { }^{[p]} T\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g\right)}{\log \frac{r}{4^{n-1}}} \cdot\left(\frac{\log r-\log 4^{n-1}}{\rho_{g}^{p} \log r}\right)+o(1) \\
\text { i.e. } \quad \lim \inf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T(r, g)} & \geq \frac{\lambda_{g}^{p}}{\rho_{g}^{p}} . \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Also from (3.12), we get for all large values of $r$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T(r, g)} & \leq \frac{\log ^{[p+1]} M(r, g)}{\log r} \frac{\log r}{\log ^{[p]} T(r, g)}+o(1) \\
\therefore \lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T(r, g)} & \leq \frac{\rho_{g}^{p}}{\lambda_{g}^{p}} . \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Again from Lemma 2.8,

$$
\begin{align*}
\quad \log ^{[(n-1) p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) & \geq\left(\lambda_{f}^{p}-\varepsilon\right) \log M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g\right)+O(1) \\
\text { i.e. } \quad \log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) & \geq \log ^{[p+1]} M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g\right)+O(1) . \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.3) we obtain for all large values of $r$ and for $\delta>0$ and $\varepsilon(0<\varepsilon<1)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g\right) & >(1-\varepsilon) \frac{\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}\right)^{\lambda_{g}+\delta}}{\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}\right)^{\lambda_{g}+\delta-\lambda_{g}\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}\right)}} \\
& \geq \frac{1-\varepsilon}{\left(4^{n-1}\right)^{\lambda_{g}+\delta}} r^{\lambda_{g}(r)}
\end{aligned}
$$

because $r^{\lambda_{g}+\delta-\lambda_{g}(r)}$ is an increasing function of $r$.
So by (3.2) we get for a sequence of values of $r$ tending to infinity

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g\right) & \geq \frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\left(4^{n-1}\right)^{\lambda_{g}+\delta}} T(r, g) \\
\text { i.e. } \log ^{[2]} M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g\right) & \geq \log T(r, g)+O(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking repeated logarithms (p-1) times, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log ^{[p+1]} M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g\right) \geq \log ^{[p]} T(r, g)+O(1) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now from (3.16) and (3.17)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T(r, f)} \geq 1 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

So the theorem follows from (3.13), (3.14), (3.15) and (3.18) when $n$ is even. Similarly when n is odd we get (ii).

Corollary 3.6 Using the hypothesis of Theorem 3.5 if $f$ and $g$ are of regular growth then

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T(r, g)}=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T(r, f)}=1
$$

Remark 3.7 The conditions $\lambda_{f}^{p}, \lambda_{g}^{p}>0$ and $\rho_{f,}^{p} \rho_{g}^{p}<\infty$ are necessary for Theorem 3.5 and Corollary 3.6, which are shown by the following examples.

Example 3.8 Let $f=z, g=\exp ^{[p]} z$. Then $\lambda_{f}^{p}=\rho_{f}^{p}=0$ and $0<\lambda_{g}^{p}=\rho_{g}^{p}<\infty$.
Now when $n$ is even then

$$
f_{n}=\exp ^{\left[\frac{n p}{2}\right]} z
$$

Therefore,

$$
T\left(r, f_{n}\right) \leq \log M\left(r, f_{n}\right)=\exp ^{\left[\frac{n p}{2}-1\right]} r .
$$

So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) & \leq \log ^{[n p]}\left(\exp ^{\left[\frac{n p}{2}-1\right]} r\right) \\
& =\log ^{\left[n p-\frac{n p}{2}+1\right]} r \\
& =\log ^{\left[\frac{[p}{2}+1\right]} r .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also when $n$ is odd

$$
f_{n}=\exp ^{\left[\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) p\right]} z
$$

Therefore,

$$
T\left(r, f_{n}\right) \leq \log M\left(r, f_{n}\right)=\exp ^{\left[\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) p-1\right]} r .
$$

So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) & \leq \log ^{[n p]}\left(\exp ^{\left[\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) p-1\right]} r\right) \\
& =\log ^{\left[n p-\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) p+1\right]} r \\
& =\log ^{\left[\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) p+1\right]} r
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\log ^{[p]} T(r, f)=\log ^{[p+1]} r
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
3 T(2 r, g) & \geq \log M(r, g)=\exp ^{[p-1]} r \\
\text { i.e. } \quad \log ^{[p]} T(r, g) & \geq \log r+O(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore when $n$ is even

$$
\frac{\log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T(r, g)} \leq \frac{\log ^{\left[\frac{n p}{2}+1\right]} r}{\log r+O(1)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow \infty,
$$

and when $n$ is odd

$$
\frac{\log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T(r, f)} \leq \frac{\log ^{\left[\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) p+1\right]} r}{\log ^{[p+1]} r} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty
$$

Example 3.9 Let $f=\exp ^{[2 p]} z, g=\exp ^{[p]} z$. Then $\lambda_{f}^{p}=\rho_{f}^{p}=\infty, \quad \lambda_{g}^{p}=\rho_{g}^{p}=1$.
Now when $n$ is even

$$
f_{n}=\exp ^{\left[\frac{3 n p}{2}\right]} z
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
3 T\left(2 r, f_{n}\right) & \geq \log M\left(r, f_{n}\right)=\exp ^{\left[\frac{3 n p}{2}-1\right]} r \\
\text { i.e. } \quad T\left(r, f_{n}\right) & \geq \frac{1}{3} \exp ^{\left[\frac{3 n p}{2}-1\right]} \frac{r}{2} \\
\therefore \quad \log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) & \geq \log ^{[n p]}\left(\exp ^{\left[\frac{3 n p}{2}-1\right]} \frac{r}{2}\right)+o(1) \\
& =\exp ^{\left[\frac{n p}{2}-1\right]} \frac{r}{2}+o(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Also when $n$ is odd

$$
f_{n}=\exp ^{\left[\left(\frac{3 n+1}{2}\right) p\right]} z
$$

## Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
3 T\left(2 r, f_{n}\right) & \geq \log M\left(r, f_{n}\right)=\exp ^{\left[\left(\frac{3 n+1}{2}\right) p-1\right]} r \\
\text { i.e. } \quad T\left(r, f_{n}\right) & \geq \frac{1}{3} \exp ^{\left[\left(\frac{3 n+1}{2}\right) p-1\right]} \frac{r}{2} \\
\therefore \quad \log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) & \geq \log ^{[n p]}\left(\exp ^{\left[\left(\frac{3 n+1}{2}\right) p-1\right]} \frac{r}{2}\right)+o(1) \\
& =\exp ^{\left[\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) p-1\right]} \frac{r}{2}+o(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also

$$
\log ^{[p]} T(r, f) \leq \exp ^{[p-1]} r \text { and } \log ^{[p]} T(r, g) \leq \log r .
$$

Therefore when $n$ is even

$$
\frac{\log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T(r, g)} \geq \frac{\exp ^{\left[\frac{n p}{2}-1\right]} \frac{r}{2}+o(1)}{\log r} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow \infty
$$

and when $n$ is odd

$$
\frac{\log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T(r, f)} \geq \frac{\exp ^{\left[\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) p-1\right]} \frac{r}{2}+o(1)}{\exp ^{[p-1]} r} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Theorem 3.10 Let $f$ and $g$ be two entire functions such that $0<\lambda_{f}^{p} \leq \rho_{f}^{p}<\infty$ and $0<\lambda_{g}^{p} \leq \rho_{g}^{p}<\infty$. Then for $k=0,1,2,3, \ldots \ldots$.

$$
\text { (i) } \frac{\lambda_{g}^{p}}{\rho_{f}^{p}} \leq \lim \inf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)} \leq \lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)} \leq \frac{\rho_{g}^{p}}{\lambda_{f}^{p}}
$$

when $n$ is even.

$$
\text { (ii) } \frac{\lambda_{f}^{p}}{\rho_{g}^{p}} \leq \lim \inf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T\left(r, g^{(k)}\right)} \leq \lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T\left(r, g^{(k)}\right)} \leq \frac{\rho_{f}^{p}}{\lambda_{g}^{p}}
$$

when $n$ is odd.
Proof. First suppose that $n$ is even. Then for given $\varepsilon\left(0<\varepsilon<\min \left\{\lambda_{f}^{p}, \lambda_{g}^{p}\right\}\right)$ we have from Lemma 2.7 for all large values of $r$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log ^{[(n-1) p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) & \leq\left(\rho_{f}^{p}+\varepsilon\right) \log M(r, g)+O(1) \\
\text { i.e. } \quad \log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) & \leq \log ^{[p+1]} M(r, g)+O(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also we know that

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \inf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[p]} T\left(r, g^{(k)}\right)}{\log r}=\lambda_{g}^{p}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)} & \leq \lim \sup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[p+1]} M(r, g)}{\log ^{[p]} T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)} \\
& \leq \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{\operatorname{sog}^{[p+1]} M(r, g)}{\log r} \cdot \frac{\log r}{\log ^{[p]} T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)}\right] \\
& =\frac{\rho_{g}^{p}}{\lambda_{f}^{p}} \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Again from Lemma 2.8 we have for all large values of $r$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log ^{[(n-1) p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) & \geq\left(\lambda_{f}^{p}-\varepsilon\right) \log M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g\right)+O(1) \\
\text { i.e., } \log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right) & \geq \log ^{[p+1]} M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g\right)+O(1) \\
& \geq\left(\lambda_{g}^{p}-\varepsilon\right) \log r+O(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also

$$
\log ^{[p]} T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)<\left(\rho_{f}^{p}+\varepsilon\right) \log r .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\frac{\log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)} \geq \frac{\left(\lambda_{g}^{p}-\varepsilon\right) \log r+O(1)}{\left(\rho_{f}^{p}+\varepsilon\right) \log r}
$$

Since $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim \inf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log ^{[n p]} T\left(r, f_{n}\right)}{\log ^{[p]} T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)} \geq \frac{\lambda_{g}^{p}}{\rho_{f}^{p}} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore from (3.19) and (3.20) we have the result for even $n$.
Similarly for odd $n$ we have (ii).
This proves the theorem.
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