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## Introduction

A continuous complex-valued function $f=u+i v$ which is defined in a simplyconnected complex domain $D$ is said to be harmonic in $D$ if both $u$ and $v$ are real harmonic in $D$. In any simply-connected domain we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=h+\bar{g}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ and $g$ are analytic in $D$. We call $h$ the analytic part and $g$ the co-analytic part of $f$. A necessary and sufficient condition for $f$ to be locally univalent and sense-preserving in $D$ is that $\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|>\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|, z \in D$ (see [3]).
Denote by $S_{H}$ the class of functions $f$ of the form (1.1) that are harmonic univalent and sense-preserving in the unit disc $U=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ for which $f(0)=h(0)=$ $f_{z}^{\prime}(0)-1=0$. Then for $f=h+\bar{g} \in S_{H}$ we may express the analytic functions $h$ and $g$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=z++_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \quad, \quad g(z)==_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k} \quad,\left|b_{1}\right|<1 . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In 1984 Clunie and Shell-Small [3] investigated the class $S_{H}$ as well as its geometric subclasses and obtained some coefficient bounds. Since then, there have been several related papers on $S_{H}$ and its subclasses.
Salagean integral operator $I^{n}$ is defined as follows (see [8]):
(i) $I^{0} f(z)=f(z)$;
(ii) $I^{1} f(z)=I f(z)={ }_{0}^{z} f(t) t^{-1} d t$;
(iii) $I^{n} f(z)=I\left(I^{n-1} f(z)\right)(n \in \mathbb{N}=\{1,2,3, \ldots\})$.

In [4], Cotîrlă defined Salagean integral operator for harmonic univalent functions $f(z)$ such that $h(z)$ and $g(z)$ are given by (1.2) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I^{n} f(z)=I^{n} h(z)+(-1)^{n} \overline{I^{n} g(z)} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
I^{n} h(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{-n} a_{k} z^{k} \text { and } I^{n} g(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-n} b_{k} z^{k}
$$

With the help of the modified Salagean integral operator we let $E_{H}(m, n ; \gamma, \rho)$ be the family of harmonic functions $f=h+\bar{g}$, which satisfy the following condition [6]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\left(1+\rho e^{i \alpha}\right) \frac{I^{n} f(z)}{I^{m} f(z)}-\rho e^{i \alpha}\right\} \geq \gamma  \tag{1.4}\\
\left(\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq \gamma<1, \rho \geq 0, m \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}, m>n, \text { and } z \in U\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

where $I^{n} f$ is defined by (1.3), we note that:
(i) Taking $\alpha=0, E_{H}(n+1, n ; 2 \beta-1,1)=H(n, \beta)(0 \leq \beta<1)$ (see Cotîrlă [4]);
(ii) Taking $m=n+q, E_{H}(n+q, n ; \gamma, \rho)=H_{\rho, q}(n, \gamma)(q \in \mathbb{N})$ (see Güney and Sakar [5]).
Also we note that, by the special choices of $\alpha, \gamma, \rho, m$ and $n$, we obtain:
(i) Taking $\alpha=0$, then $E_{H}(m, n, 2 \beta-1,1)=H(m, n ; \beta)=\left\{f \in S_{H}: \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{I^{n} f(z)}{I^{m} f(z)}\right\}>\beta\right.$

$$
\left.\left(0 \leq \beta<1 ; m \in \mathbb{N} ; n \in \mathbb{N}_{0} ; m>n ; z \in U\right)\right\}
$$

(ii) $E_{H}(n+1, n ; \gamma, \rho)=E_{H}(n ; \gamma, \rho)=\left\{f \in S_{H}: \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left(1+\rho e^{i \alpha}\right) \frac{I^{n} f(z)}{I^{n+1} f(z)}-\rho e^{i \alpha}\right\} \geq \gamma\right.$

$$
\left.\left(\alpha \in \mathbb{R} ; 0 \leq \gamma<1 ; \rho \geq 0 ; n \in \mathbb{N}_{0} ; z \in U\right)\right\}
$$

(iii) $E_{H}(1,0 ; \gamma, \rho)=E_{H}(\gamma, \rho)=\left\{f \in S_{H}: R e\left\{\left(1+\rho e^{i \alpha}\right) \frac{f(z)}{\operatorname{If}(z)}-\rho e^{i \alpha}\right\} \geq \gamma\right.$

$$
(\alpha \in \mathbb{R} ; 0 \leq \gamma<1 ; \rho \geq 0 ; z \in U)\}
$$

Also, we define the subclass $V_{\bar{H}}(m, n ; \gamma, \rho)$ consists of harmonic functions $f_{n}=h+\bar{g}_{n}$ in $E_{H}(m, n ; \gamma, \rho)$ such that $h$ and $g_{n}$ are the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \quad, \quad g_{n}(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there exist a real number $\phi$ such that, $\bmod 2 \pi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\arg \left(a_{k}\right)+(k-1) \phi \equiv \pi, k \geq 2 \text { and } \arg \left(b_{k}\right)+(k+1) \phi \equiv(n-1) \pi, k \geq 1 . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that, by the special choices of $\alpha, \gamma, m$ and $n$, we obtain the following subclasses:
(i) Taking $\alpha=0, V_{\bar{H}}(n+1, n ; 2 \beta-1,1)=V_{\bar{H}}(n, \beta)$;
(ii) Taking $\alpha=0, V_{\bar{H}}(m, n, 2 \beta-1,1)=V_{\bar{H}}(m, n ; \beta)$;
(iii) $V_{\bar{H}}(n+1, n ; \gamma, \rho)=V_{\bar{H}}(n ; \gamma, \rho)$;
(iv) $V_{\bar{H}}(1,0 ; \gamma, \rho)=V_{\bar{H}}(\gamma, \rho)$.

## 2. Coefficient Characterization

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume in the reminder of this paper that, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \leq \gamma<1, \rho \geq 0, m \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, m>n$ and $z \in U$. We begin with a sufficient coefficient condition for functions in the class $E_{H}(m, n ; \gamma, \rho)$.
Theorem 1. Let $f=h+\bar{g}$ be such that $h$ and $g$ are given by (1.2). Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{(1+\rho) k^{-n}-(\gamma+\rho) k^{-m}}{1-\gamma}\left|a_{k}\right|+\frac{(1+\rho) k^{-n}-(-1)^{m-n}(\gamma+\rho) k^{-m}}{1-\gamma}\left|b_{k}\right|\right] \leq 2 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{1}=1$. Then $f$ is sense-preserving, harmonic univalent in $U$ and $f \in$ $E_{H}(m, n ; \gamma, \rho)$.
Proof. If $z_{1} \neq z_{2}$, then by using (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\frac{f\left(z_{1}\right)-f\left(z_{2}\right)}{h\left(z_{1}\right)-h\left(z_{2}\right)}\right| \\
\geq 1-\left|\frac{g\left(z_{1}\right)-g\left(z_{2}\right)}{h\left(z_{1}\right)-h\left(z_{2}\right)}\right|=1-\left|\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k}\left(z_{1}^{k}-z_{2}^{k}\right)}{\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right)+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k}\left(z_{1}^{k}-z_{2}^{k}\right)}\right| \\
\geq 1-\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|b_{k}\right|}{1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k\left|a_{k}\right|} \geq 1-\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\rho) k^{-n}-(-1)^{m-n}(\gamma+\rho) k^{-m}}{1-\gamma}\left|b_{k}\right|}{1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\rho) k^{-n}-(\gamma+\rho) k^{-m}}{1-\gamma}\left|a_{k}\right|} \geq 0,
\end{gathered}
$$

which proves univalence. Also $f$ is sense-preserving in $U$ since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right| & \geq 1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k\left|a_{k}\right||z|^{k-1}>1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\rho) k^{-n}-(\gamma+\rho) k^{-m}}{1-\gamma}\left|a_{k}\right| \\
& \geq{ }_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\rho) k^{-n}-(-1)^{m-n}(\gamma+\rho) k^{-m}}{1-\gamma}\left|b_{k}\right| \geq_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|b_{k}\right||z|^{k-1} \geq\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we show that $f \in E_{H}(m, n ; \gamma, \rho)$. We only need to show that if (2.1) holds then the condition (1.4) is satisfied, then we want to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\left(1+\rho e^{i \alpha}\right) I^{n} f(z)-\rho e^{i \alpha} I^{m} f(z)}{I^{m} f(z)}\right\}=R e \frac{A(z)}{B(z)} \geq \gamma \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the fact that $R e\{w\}>\gamma$ if and only if $|1-\gamma+w|>|1+\gamma-w|$, it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A(z)+(1-\gamma) B(z)|-|A(z)-(1+\gamma) B(z)| \geq 0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A(z)=\left(1+\rho e^{i \alpha}\right) I^{n} f(z)-\rho e^{i \alpha} I^{m} f(z)$ and $B(z)=I^{m} f(z)$. Substituting for $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ in the left side of (2.3) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left(1+\rho e^{i \alpha}\right) I^{n} f(z)-\rho e^{i \alpha} I^{m} f(z)+(1-\gamma) I^{m} f(z)\right|-\mid\left(1+\rho e^{i \alpha}\right) I^{n} f(z)-\rho e^{i \alpha} I^{m} f(z) \\
& -(1+\gamma) I^{m} f(z) \\
& =\mid(2-\gamma) z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left[\left(1+\rho e^{i \alpha}\right) k^{-n}+\left(1-\gamma-\rho e^{i \alpha}\right) k^{-m}\right] a_{k} z^{k} \\
& +(-1)^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\left(1+\rho e^{i \alpha}\right) k^{-n}-(-1)^{m-n}\left(\rho e^{i \alpha}+\gamma-1\right) k^{-m}\right] \overline{b_{k} z^{k}} \mid \\
& -\mid \gamma z-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left[\left(1+\rho e^{i \alpha}\right) k^{-n}-\left(1+\gamma+\rho e^{i \alpha}\right) k^{-m}\right] a_{k} z^{k} \\
& -(-1)^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\left(1+\rho e^{i \alpha}\right) k^{-n}-(-1)^{m-n}\left(1+\gamma+\rho e^{i \alpha}\right) k^{-m}\right] \overline{b_{k} z^{k}} \mid \\
& \geq 2(1-\gamma)|z|-2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left[(1+\rho) k^{-n}-(\gamma+\rho) k^{-m}\right]\left|a_{k}\right||z|^{k} \\
& -2_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[(1+\rho) k^{-n}-(-1)^{m-n}(\gamma+\rho) k^{-m}\right]\left|b_{k}\right||z|^{k} \\
& \geq 2(1-\gamma)|z|\left\{1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\rho) k^{-n}-(\gamma+\rho) k^{-m}}{1-\gamma}\left|a_{k}\right||z|^{k-1}\right. \\
& \left.-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\rho) k^{-n}-(-1)^{m-n}(\gamma+\rho) k^{-m}}{1-\gamma}\left|b_{k}\right||z|^{k-1}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using inequality (2.1), then the last expression is non-negative, then the inequality (2.3) is satisfied.

The harmonic univalent function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1-\gamma}{(1+\rho) k^{-n}-(\gamma+\rho) k^{-m}} x_{k} z^{k}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-\gamma}{(1+\rho) k^{-n}-(-1)^{m-n}(\gamma+\rho) k^{-m}} \overline{y_{k} z^{k}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left|x_{k}\right|+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|y_{k}\right|=1$, shows that the coefficient bound given by (2.1) is sharp. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.
In the following theorem, it is shown that the condition (2.1) is also necessary for function $f_{n}=h+g_{n}$, where $h$ and $g_{n}$ are of the form (1.5).
Theorem 2. Let $f_{n}=h+g_{n}$, where $h$ and $g_{n}$ are given by (1.5). Then $f_{n} \in$ $V_{\bar{H}}(m, n ; \gamma, \rho)$, if and only if the coefficient condition (2.1) holds.
Proof. Since $V_{\bar{H}}(m, n ; \gamma, \rho) \subseteq E_{H}(m, n ; \gamma, \rho)$, we only need to prove the "only if" part of the theorem. For functions $f_{n}=h+g_{n}$, where $h$ and $g_{n}$ are given by (1.5), the inequality (1.4) with $f=f_{n}$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\left(1+\rho e^{i \alpha}\right)\left[z+_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{-n} a_{k} z^{k}+(-1)_{k=1}^{n} \infty k^{-n} \bar{b}_{k} \bar{z}^{k}\right]}{z+{ }_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{-m} a_{k} z^{k}+(-1)_{k=1}^{m} \infty k^{-m} \bar{b}_{k} \bar{z}^{k}}\right\} \\
&-\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\left(\gamma+\rho e^{i \alpha}\right)\left[z+{ }_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{-m} a_{k} z^{k}+(-1)_{k=1}^{m} \infty k^{-m} \bar{b}_{k} \bar{z}^{k}\right]}{z+{ }_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{-m} a_{k} z^{k}+(-1)_{k=1}^{m} \infty k^{-m} \bar{b}_{k} \bar{z}^{k}}\right\}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The above condition holds for all values of $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z \in U$. Upon choosing $\phi$ according (1.6) and substituting $\alpha=0$ and $z=r e^{i \phi}(0<r<1)$, we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E}{1-\left[\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{-m}\left|a_{k}\right|-(-1)_{k=1}^{m+n-1} \infty k^{-m}\left|b_{k}\right|\right] r^{k-1}}>0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
E= & (1-\gamma)-\left(\begin{array}{l}
\infty \\
k=2
\end{array}\left[(1+\rho) k^{-n}-(\gamma+\rho) k^{-m}\right]\left|a_{k}\right|\right) r^{k-1} \\
& \left.-\left(\begin{array}{l}
\infty \\
k=1
\end{array}(1+\rho) k^{-n}-(-1)^{m-n}(\gamma+\rho) k^{-m}\right]\left|b_{k}\right|\right) r^{k-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

If the inequality (2.1) does not hold, then $E$ is negative for $r$ sufficiently close to 1 . Thus there exists $z_{0}=r_{0}$ in $(0,1)$ for which the quotient in $(2.5)$ is negative. But this is a contradiction, then the proof of Theorem 2 is completed.

We now obtain the distortion bounds for functions in $V_{\bar{H}}(m, n ; \gamma, \rho)$.
Theorem 3. Let $f_{n}=h+g_{n}$, where $h$ and $g_{n}$ are given by (1.5) and $f_{n} \in$ $V_{\bar{H}}(m, n ; \gamma, \rho)$. Then for $|z|=r<1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{n}(z)\right| \leq\left(1+\left|b_{1}\right|\right) r+\left[\frac{1-\gamma}{(1+\rho) 2^{-n}-(\gamma+\rho) 2^{-m}}-\frac{(1+\rho)-(-1)^{m-n}(\gamma+\rho)}{(1+\rho) 2^{-n}-(\gamma+\rho) 2^{-m}}\left|b_{1}\right|\right] r^{2} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{n}(z)\right| \geq\left(1+\left|b_{1}\right|\right) r-\left[\frac{1-\gamma}{(1+\rho) 2^{-n}-(\gamma+\rho) 2^{-m}}-\frac{(1+\rho)-(-1)^{m-n}(\gamma+\rho)}{(1+\rho) 2^{-n}-(\gamma+\rho) 2^{-m}}\left|b_{1}\right|\right] r^{2} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We prove the first inequality.
Let $f_{n} \in V_{\bar{H}}(m, n ; \gamma, \rho)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{n}(z)\right| \leq & \left(1+\left|b_{1}\right|\right) r+_{k=2}^{\infty}\left(\left|a_{k}\right|+\left|b_{k}\right|\right) r^{k} \leq\left(1+\left|b_{1}\right|\right) r+_{k=2}^{\infty}\left(\left|a_{k}\right|+\left|b_{k}\right|\right) r^{2} \\
\leq & \left(1+\left|b_{1}\right|\right) r+\frac{1-\gamma}{(1+\rho) 2^{-n}-(\gamma+\rho) 2^{-m}}{ }_{k=2} \frac{(1+\rho) 2^{-n}-(\gamma+\rho) 2^{-m}}{1-\gamma}\left(\left|a_{k}\right|+\left|b_{k}\right|\right) r^{2} \\
\leq & \left(1+\left|b_{1}\right|\right) r+\frac{1-\gamma}{(1+\rho) 2^{-n}-(\gamma+\rho) 2^{-m}} . \\
& \cdot \infty \\
& \infty\left[\frac{(1+\rho) k^{-n}-(\gamma+\rho) k^{-m}}{1-\gamma}\left|a_{k}\right|+\frac{(1+\rho) k^{-n}-(-1)^{m-n}(\gamma+\rho) k^{-m}}{1-\gamma}\left|b_{k}\right|\right] r^{2} \\
\leq & \left(1+\left|b_{1}\right|\right) r+\frac{1-\gamma}{(1+\rho) 2^{-n}-(\gamma+\rho) 2^{-m}}\left[1-\frac{(1+\rho)-(-1)^{m-n}(\gamma+\rho)}{1-\gamma}\left|b_{1}\right|\right] r^{2} \\
\leq & \left(1+\left|b_{1}\right|\right) r+\left[\frac{1-\gamma}{(1+\rho) 2^{-n}-2^{-m}(\gamma+\rho)}-\frac{(1+\rho)-(-1)^{m-n}(\gamma+\rho)}{(1+\rho) 2^{-n}-2^{-m}(\gamma+\rho)}\left|b_{1}\right|\right] r^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of the second inequality is similar, thus it is left.
The bounds given in Theorem 3 for functions $f_{n}=h+\bar{g}_{n}$ such that $h$ and $g_{n}$ are given by (1.6) also hold for functions $f=h+\bar{g}$ such that $h$ and $g$ are given by (1.2) if the coefficient condition (2.1) is satisfied.
Using the same technique used earlier by Aghalary [1] we introduce the extreme points of the class $V_{\bar{H}}(m, n ; \gamma, \rho)$.
Theorem 4. The closed convex hull of the class $V_{\bar{H}}(m, n ; \gamma, \rho)$ (denoted by $\left.c l c o V_{\bar{H}}(m, n ; \gamma, \rho)\right)$ is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}+\overline{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k}} \in E_{H}(m, n ; \gamma, \rho):\right. \\
\left.\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{(1+\rho) k^{-n}-(\gamma+\rho) k^{-m}}{1-\gamma}\left|a_{k}\right|+\frac{(1+\rho) k^{-n}-(-1)^{m-n}(\gamma+\rho) k^{-m}}{1-\gamma}\left|b_{k}\right|\right] \leq 2\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $a_{1}=1$. Set $\lambda_{k}=\frac{1-\gamma}{(1+\rho) k^{-n}-(\gamma+\rho) k^{-m}}$ and $\mu_{k}=\frac{1-\gamma}{(1+\rho) k^{-n}-(-1)^{m-n}(\gamma+\rho) k^{-m}}$. For $b_{1}$ fixed, $\left|b_{1}\right| \leq \frac{1-\gamma}{(1+\rho)-(-1)^{m-n}(\gamma+\rho)}$, the extreme points of the class $V_{\bar{H}}(m, n ; \gamma, \rho)$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{z+\lambda_{k} x z^{k}+\overline{b_{1}} z\right\} \cup\left\{\overline{z+\mu_{k} x z^{k}+b_{1} z}\right\} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k \geq 2$ and $|x|=1-\frac{(1+\rho)-(-1)^{m-n}(\gamma+\rho)}{1-\gamma}$.
Proof. Any function $f \in V_{\bar{H}}(m, n ; \gamma, \rho)$ may be expressed as

$$
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right| e^{i \beta_{k}} z^{k}+\overline{b_{1} z}+\overline{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left|b_{k}\right| e^{i \delta_{k}} z^{k}}
$$

where the coefficients satisfy the inequality (2.1). Set

$$
h_{1}(z)=z, g_{1}(z)=b_{1} z, h_{k}(z)=z+\lambda_{k} e^{i \beta_{k}} z^{k}, g_{k}(z)=b_{1} z+\mu_{k} e^{i \delta_{k}} z^{k}, k=2,3, \ldots
$$

Writing $X_{k}=\frac{\left|a_{k}\right|}{\lambda_{k}}, Y_{k}=\frac{\left|b_{k}\right|}{\mu_{k}}, k=2,3, \ldots$ and $X_{1}=1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} X_{k}, Y_{1}=1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} Y_{k}$, we have

$$
f(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(X_{k} h_{k}(z)+\overline{Y_{k} g_{k}(z)}\right)
$$

In particular, setting

$$
f_{1}(z)=z+\overline{b_{1} z}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{k}(z) & =z+\lambda_{k} x z^{k}+\overline{b_{1} z}+\overline{\mu_{k} y z^{k}} \\
(k \geq 2,|x|+|y| & \left.=1-\frac{(1+\rho)-(-1)^{m-n}(\gamma+\rho)}{1-\gamma}\left|b_{1}\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we see that extreme points of the class $V_{\bar{H}}(m, n ; \gamma, \rho)$ are contained in $\left\{f_{k}(z)\right\}$. To see that $f_{1}(z)$ is not an extreme point, note that $f_{1}(z)$ may be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1}(z)= & \frac{1}{2}\left\{f_{1}(z)+\lambda\left(1-\frac{(1+\rho) k^{-n}-(-1)^{m-n}(\gamma+\rho) k^{-m}}{1-\gamma}\left|b_{1}\right|\right) z^{2}\right\} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\{f_{1}(z)-\lambda\left(1-\frac{(1+\rho) k^{-n}-(-1)^{m-n}(\gamma+\rho) k^{-m}}{1-\gamma}\left|b_{1}\right|\right) z^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

a convex linear combination of functions in the class $V_{\bar{H}}(m, n ; \gamma, \rho)$. Next we will show if both $|x| \neq 0$ and $|y| \neq 0$, then $f_{k}$ is not an extreme point. Without loss of generality, assume $|x| \geq|y|$. Choose $\epsilon>0$ small enough so that $\epsilon<\frac{|x|}{|y|}$. Set $A=1+\epsilon$ and $B=1-\left|\frac{\epsilon x}{y}\right|$, we then see that both

$$
t_{1}(z)=z+\lambda_{k} x A z^{k}+\overline{b_{1} z+\mu_{k} y B z^{k}}
$$

and

$$
t_{2}(z)=z+\lambda_{k} x(2-A) z^{k}+\overline{b_{1} z+\mu_{k} y(2-B) z^{k}}
$$

are in the class $V_{\bar{H}}(m, n ; \gamma, \rho)$ and note that

$$
f_{k}(z)=\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{1}(z)+t_{2}(z)\right)
$$

The extremal coefficient bounds shows that functions of the form (2.8) are the extreme points for the class $V_{\bar{H}}(m, n ; \gamma, \rho)$, then the proof of Theorem 4 is completed.

Now we will examine the closure properties of the class $V_{\bar{H}}(m, n ; \gamma, \rho)$ under the generalized Bernardi-Libera-Livingston integral operator (see [2] and [7]) $L_{c}(f)$ which is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{c}(f(z))={\frac{c+1}{z^{c}}}_{0}^{z} t^{c-1} f(t) d t \quad(c>-1) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 5. Let $f_{n}=h+g_{n} \in V_{\bar{H}}(m, n ; \gamma, \rho)$, where $h$ and $g_{n}$ are given by (1.5). Then $L_{c}\left(f_{n}(z)\right)$ belongs to the class $V_{\bar{H}}(m, n ; \gamma, \rho)$.
Proof. From the representation of $L_{c}\left(f_{n}(z)\right)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{c}\left(f_{n}(z)\right) & =\frac{c+1^{z}}{z^{c}} t^{c-1}\left(h(t)+\bar{g}_{n}(t)\right) d t \\
& =\frac{c+1^{z}}{z^{c}} t^{c-1}\left\{t+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} t^{k}+\overline{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k} t^{k}}\right\} d t \\
& =z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} A_{k} z^{k}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{k} z^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A_{k}=\frac{c+1}{c+k} a_{k}, B_{k}=\frac{c+1}{c+k} b_{k}$. Therefore, we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{(1+\rho) k^{-n}-(\gamma+\rho) k^{-m}}{1-\gamma} \frac{c+1}{c+k}\left|a_{k}\right|+\frac{(1+\rho) k^{-n}-(-1)^{m-n}(\gamma+\rho) k^{-m}}{1-\gamma} \frac{c+1}{c+k}\left|b_{k}\right|\right] \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{(1+\rho) k^{-n}-(\gamma+\rho) k^{-m}}{1-\gamma}\left|a_{k}\right|+\frac{(1+\rho) k^{-n}-(-1)^{m-n}(\gamma+\rho) k^{-m}}{1-\gamma}\left|b_{k}\right|\right] \leq 2
\end{aligned}
$$

and the proof of Theorem 5 is completed.
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