COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES FOR CERTAIN SUBCLASSES OF SPIRALLIKE FUNCTIONS

T. YAVUZ

ABSTRACT. In this article, we derive a sharp estimates for the Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients of functions in a certain subclass of spirallike functions. Also, we give several corollaries and consequences of the main results.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

Keywords: Univalent Functions, Spirallike Functions, Coefficient Bounds, Ruscheweyh Derivative.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{D} be the unit disk $\{z : |z| < 1\}$, \mathcal{A} be the class of functions analytic in \mathbb{D} , satisfying the conditions

$$f(0) = 0$$
 and $f'(0) = 1.$ (1)

Then each function f in \mathcal{A} has the Taylor expansion

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \tag{2}$$

because of the conditions (1). Let S denote class of analytic and univalent functions in \mathbb{D} with the normalization conditions (1).

Definition 1. For $0 \le \alpha < 1$ let $S^*(\alpha)$ and $S^c(\alpha)$ denote the class of starlike and convex univalent functions of order α , which are defined as the following, respectively

$$S^{*}(\alpha) = \left\{ f(z) \in S : \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \alpha, \ z \in \mathbb{D} \right\}$$

and

$$S^{c}(\alpha) = \left\{ f(z) \in S : \operatorname{Re}\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) > \alpha, \ z \in \mathbb{D} \right\}.$$

Observe that $S^*(0) = S^*$ represent standard starlike functions. A notation of α _starlikeness and α _convexity were generalized onto a complex order α by Nasr and Aouf [7]. Spaĉek [10] extend the class of starlike functions by introducing the class of spirallike functions of type β in \mathbb{D} and gave the following analytical characterization of spirallikeness functions of type β in \mathbb{D} .

Theorem 1. (Spacek [10]) Let the function f(z) be in the normalized analytic function class \mathcal{A} . Also let $\beta \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$. Then f(z) is a spirallike function of type β in \mathbb{D} if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i\beta}\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > 0, \ z \in \mathbb{D}.$$
(3)

We denote the the class of spirallike functions of type β in in \mathbb{D} by \widetilde{S}^{β} . Libera [6] unified and extended the classes $S^*(\alpha)$ and \widetilde{S}^{β} by introducing the analytic function class $\widetilde{S}^{\beta}_{\alpha}$ in \mathbb{D} as follows.

Definition 2. (Libera [6]) Let the function f(z) ben in the normalized analytic function class \mathcal{A} . Also let $\beta \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. We say that $f \in \widetilde{S}^{\beta}_{\alpha}$ if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i\beta}\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \alpha\cos\beta \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}; 0 \le \alpha < 1).$$

$$\tag{4}$$

From Definition 1 and 2, we have the following inclusions:

$$\widetilde{S}^{0}_{\alpha} = S^{*}\left(\alpha\right) \text{ and } \widetilde{S}^{\beta}_{0} = \widetilde{S}^{\beta}$$

Libera [6] also proved the following coefficients bounds for the functions in the class $\widetilde{S}^{\beta}_{\alpha}$.

Theorem 2. (Libera [6]) If the function $f \in \widetilde{S}^{\beta}_{\alpha}$ is given by (2), then

$$|a_n| \le \prod_{j=0}^{n-2} \left(\frac{|2(1-\alpha)e^{-i\beta}\cos\beta + j|}{j+1} \right) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}; \ \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \cdots\}).$$
(5)

The coefficient estimates in (5) are sharp.

Let $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ be analytic functions in \mathbb{D} . The Hadamard product (convolution) of f and g, denoted by f * g is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n, \ z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$. The Ruscheweyh derivative [8] of the n^{th} order of f, denoted by $D^n f(z)$, is defined by

$$D^{n}f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{n+1}} * f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+k)}{\Gamma(n+1)(k-1)!} a_{k} z^{k}.$$
 (6)

The Ruscheweyh derivative gave an impulse for various generalization of well known classes of functions. Exemplary, for α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, Ahuja [1, 2] defined the class of functions, denoted $R_n(\alpha)$, which consist of univalent functions of the form (2) that satisfying the condition

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z\left(D^{n}f\left(z\right)\right)'}{D^{n}f\left(z\right)}\right) > \alpha, \ z \in \mathbb{D}.$$
(7)

We denote that $R_0(\alpha) = S^*(\alpha)$. The class $R_n(0) = R_n$ was studied by Singh and Singh [9]. With the aid of Ruscheweyh derivative we can generalize the spirallike functions as follows.

Definition 3. Let the function f(z) be in the normalized analytic function class \mathcal{A} . Also let $\beta \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$. Then f(z) is in the class \widetilde{R}_n^β if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i\beta}\frac{z\left(D^{n}f\left(z\right)\right)'}{D^{n}f\left(z\right)}\right) > 0, \ z \in \mathbb{D}.$$
(8)

Note that $\widetilde{R}_0^\beta = \widetilde{S}_\beta$.

Definition 4. Let the function f(z) be in the normalized analytic function class \mathcal{A} . Also, let $\beta \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. Then f(z) is in the class $\widetilde{R}_n^\beta(\alpha)$ if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i\beta}\frac{z\left(D^{n}f\left(z\right)\right)'}{D^{n}f\left(z\right)}\right) > \alpha\cos\beta, \ z \in \mathbb{D}.$$
(9)

Also, note that $\widetilde{R}_{0}^{\beta}\left(\alpha\right) = \widetilde{S}_{\alpha}^{\beta}, \ \widetilde{R}_{0}^{\beta}\left(0\right) = \widetilde{S}^{\beta}$ and $\widetilde{R}_{0}^{0}\left(\alpha\right) = S^{*}\left(\alpha\right)$.

Definition 5. Let $\alpha \in [0,1)$, $\beta \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ and let f be an univalent function of the form (2) such that $D^n f(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$. We say that f belongs to $\widetilde{R}_n^\beta(\alpha, \lambda)$ if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i\beta}\frac{z\left(D^{n}f\left(z\right)\right)'}{\left(1-\lambda\right)D^{n}f\left(z\right)+\lambda z\left(D^{n}f\left(z\right)\right)'}\right) > \alpha\cos\beta, \ z \in \mathbb{D}.$$
(10)

Definition 6. Let f(z) and g(z) are analytic functions in \mathbb{D} . We say that f(z) is subordinate to g(z) in \mathbb{D} and we denote

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

if there exists a Schwarz function w(z) analytic in \mathbb{D} , with

$$w(0) = 0 \text{ and } |w(z)| < 1 \ (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

such that

$$f(z) = g(w(z)) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

In particular, if the function g is univalent in \mathbb{D} , the above subordination is equivalent to

$$f(0) = g(0)$$
 and $f(\mathbb{D}) \subset g(\mathbb{D})$.

After the proof of the Bieberbach Conjecture [3] (which is also known as de Branges Theorem [4]), many authors were interested in other interesting subclasses of normalized analytic function class \mathcal{A} . In this paper, we obtain sharp coefficient bounds for functions in the class $\widetilde{R}_n^\beta(\alpha, \lambda)$ and we give a necessary and sufficient condition such that $f \in \mathcal{A}$ belongs to $\widetilde{R}_n^\beta(\alpha, \lambda)$.

2. Main Results

In this section, we obtain coefficient conditions for functions in the class given by Definition 5. Also, we get sharp estimates for functions belong to $\widetilde{R}_n^{\beta}(\alpha, \lambda)$.

Theorem 3. Let $\alpha \in [0,1)$ and $\beta \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ and let f(z) is in the form (2) such that $D^n f(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$. Then, f(z) belongs to the class $\widetilde{R}_n^\beta(\alpha, \lambda)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ (k-1)\left(1 - \lambda\left(\alpha + i\tan\beta\right)\right) + 2e^{2i\beta} - \lambda\left(1 - \alpha\right)\left(1 - e^{2i\beta}\right)(k-1) \right\} A_k z^k \neq 0$$
(11)

$$(z \in z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}),\$$

where

$$A_{k} = (1 + (k-1)\lambda) \frac{\Gamma(n+k)}{\Gamma(n+1)(k-1)!} a_{k}, \ k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}.$$

Proof. Let the function $f \in S$ be defined by (2). Define a function

$$h(z) = D^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} A_k z^k, \ z \in \mathbb{D}.$$
 (12)

Consider the function

$$p(z) = \frac{e^{i\beta}\sec\beta\left(\frac{h(z)}{(1-\lambda)h(z)+\lambda zh'(z)}\right) - i\tan\beta - \alpha}{1-\alpha}$$

is an analytic function which satisfies p(0) = 1 and $\operatorname{Re}(p(z)) > 0$, then $f \in \widetilde{R}_n^\beta(\alpha, \lambda)$ if and only if

$$p\left(z\right) \neq \frac{1 - e^{2i\beta}}{1 + e^{2i\beta}}$$

or,

$$\frac{e^{i\beta}\sec\beta zh'(z) - (\alpha + i\tan\beta)\left((1-\lambda)h(z) + \lambda zh'(z)\right)}{(1-\alpha)\left((1-\lambda)h(z) + \lambda zh'(z)\right)} \neq \frac{1 - e^{2i\beta}}{1 + e^{2i\beta}}$$

By using the series expansion of h(z) which is given by (12), we get the following

$$\left(1+e^{2i\beta}\right)\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\left(k-1\right)\left(1-\alpha\lambda-i\lambda\tan\beta\right)+\left(1-\alpha\right)\right]A_{k}z^{k}$$

$$\neq \left(1-\alpha\right)\left(1-e^{2i\beta}\right)\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1+\left(k-1\right)\lambda\right)A_{k}z^{k}$$

for $z \neq 0$. It is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ (k-1)\left(1 - \lambda\left(\alpha + i\tan\beta\right)\right) + 2e^{2i\beta} - (1-\alpha)\left(1 - e^{2i\beta}\right)(k-1)\lambda \right\} A_k z^k \neq 0,$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 3. \blacksquare

Now, we prove our coefficient estimates for functions which belong to the class $\widetilde{R}_n^\beta\left(\alpha,\lambda\right).$

Theorem 4. Let $\alpha \in [0,1)$ and $\beta \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ and let f(z) is in the form (2) such that $D^n f(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$. If f(z) belongs to the class $\widetilde{R}_n^\beta(\alpha, \lambda)$ then

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+k)(1-\lambda)^{k-1}} \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} \left| j(1-\lambda) + 2(1-\alpha) e^{i\beta} \cos\beta (1+\lambda j) \right| \qquad (13)$$
$$(n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}; \ \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \cdots\}).$$

This result is sharp.

Proof. Since $f \in \widetilde{R}_n^{\beta}(\alpha, \lambda)$ there exists a Schwarz function w(z), which is already introduced in Definition 6, such that

$$e^{i\beta}\sec\beta\left(\frac{z\left(D^{n}f\left(z\right)\right)'}{\left(1-\lambda\right)D^{n}f\left(z\right)+\lambda z\left(D^{n}f\left(z\right)\right)'}\right)-i\tan\beta=\frac{1+\left(1-2\alpha\right)w(z)}{1-w(z)}.$$

Consider the function h(z) defined by (12). Then, we get

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[k e^{i\beta} \sec\beta - (1+i\tan\beta) \left(1-(k-1)\lambda\right) \right] A_k z^k$$
$$= \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[k e^{i\beta} \sec\beta + (1-2\alpha-i\tan\beta) \left(1+(k-1)\lambda\right) \right] A_k z^k \right) w(z). \quad (14)$$

The last equation (14) may be written (for $n \in \mathbb{N}$) as follows:

$$\sum_{k=2}^{m} \left[ke^{i\beta} \sec\beta - (1+i\tan\beta) \left(1 - (k-1)\lambda\right) \right] A_k z^k + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \\ = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \left[ke^{i\beta} \sec\beta + (1-2\alpha - i\tan\beta) \left(1 + (k-1)\lambda\right) \right] A_k z^k \right) w(z).$$
(15)

The last sum on the left-hand side of (15) is convergent in \mathbb{D} for $m = 2, 3, \cdots$.

Since, by hypothesis, $|w\left(z\right)|<1\ (z\in\mathbb{D})\,,$ it is not difficult to find by appealing to Parseval's Theorem that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \left| k e^{i\beta} \sec\beta \left(1 - 2\alpha - i \tan\beta \right) \left(1 + (k-1)\lambda \right) \right|^2 |A_k|^2 \\ \geq \sum_{k=2}^m \left| k e^{i\beta} \sec\beta - \left(1 + i \tan\beta \right) \left(1 - (k-1)\lambda \right) \right|^2 |A_k|^2 \end{split}$$

or

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} 4 \left(1-\alpha\right) \left(k-\alpha \left(1+\left(k-1\right)\lambda\right)\right) |A_k|^2 \ge \frac{(m-1)^2 \left(1-\lambda\right)^2}{\cos^2 \beta} |A_m|^2 \tag{16}$$

where $A_1 = 1$.

We claim that

$$|A_m| \le \frac{1}{(m-1)! (1-\lambda)^{m-1}} \prod_{j=0}^{m-2} \left| j (1-\lambda) + 2 (1-\alpha) \cos \beta e^{i\beta} (1+j\lambda) \right|.$$
(17)

For m = 2, we get from (16)

$$|A_2| \le \frac{2(1-\alpha)\cos\beta}{1-\lambda},$$

which is equivalent to (17). (17) is obtained for larger m from inequality (16) by the principle of the mathematical induction.

Fix $m, m \ge 3$, and suppose that (13) holds for $k = 2, 3, \dots, m-1$. Then from (16) we get the following inequality

$$|A_m|^2 \le \frac{4(1-\alpha)\cos^2\beta}{(m-1)^2(1-\lambda)^2} \left\{ 1 - \alpha + \sum_{k=2}^{m-1} B(k,j,\alpha) \right\}$$
(18)

where

$$B(k,j,\alpha) = \frac{(1+(k-1)\lambda)(k-\alpha(k-1)\lambda)}{((k-1)!(1-\lambda)^{k-1})^2} \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} |j(1-\lambda)+2(1-\alpha)\cos\beta e^{i\beta}(1+j\lambda)|^2.$$

We must show that the square of the right side of (17) is equal to the right side of (18); that is

$$\frac{\prod_{j=0}^{m-2} \left| j \left(1 - \lambda \right) + 2 \left(1 - \alpha \right) \cos \beta e^{i\beta} \left(1 + j\lambda \right) \right|^2}{\left[(m-1)! \left(1 - \lambda \right)^{m-1} \right]^2} = \frac{4 \left(1 - \alpha \right) \cos^2 \beta}{(m-1)^2 \left(1 - \lambda \right)^2} \left\{ 1 - \alpha + \sum_{k=2}^{m-1} B \left(k, j, \alpha \right) \right\} \quad (19)$$

for $m = 3, 4, \cdots$. After necessary calculations we can show that (19) is true for m = 3 and proves our claim for m = 3. Assume that (19) is valid for all $k, 3 < k \le m - 1$; then from (16) and (18) we obtain

$$|A_m|^2 \le \frac{4(1-\alpha)\cos^2\beta}{(m-1)^2(1-\lambda)^2} \left\{ 1 - \alpha + \sum_{k=2}^{m-2} B(k,j,\alpha) + B(m-1,j,\alpha) \right\}$$

$$\begin{split} |A_{m}|^{2} &\leq \frac{4\left(1-\alpha\right)\cos^{2}\beta}{\left(m-1\right)^{2}\left(1-\lambda\right)^{2}}\left\{1-\alpha\right.\\ &+ \sum_{k=2}^{m-2} \frac{\left(1+\left(k-1\right)\lambda\right)\left(k-\alpha\left(k-1\right)\lambda\right)}{\left(\left(k-1\right)!\left(1-\lambda\right)^{k-1}\right)^{2}} \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} \left|j\left(1-\lambda\right)+2\left(1-\alpha\right)\cos\beta e^{i\beta}\left(1+j\lambda\right)\right|^{2} \\ &+ \frac{\left(1+\left(m-2\right)\lambda\right)\left(m-1-\alpha\left(m-2\right)\lambda\right)}{\left(\left(m-2\right)!\left(1-\lambda\right)^{m-2}\right)^{2}} \prod_{j=0}^{m-3} \left|j\left(1-\lambda\right)+2\left(1-\alpha\right)\cos\beta e^{i\beta}\left(1+j\lambda\right)\right|^{2} \right\} \end{split}$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{m-3} \left| j \left(1-\lambda\right)+2 \left(1-\alpha\right) \cos \beta e^{i\beta} \left(1+j\lambda\right) \right|^2}{\left((m-2)! \left(1-\lambda\right)^{m-2}\right)^2} \left\{ \frac{(m-2)^2}{(m-1)^2} +4 \left(1-\alpha\right) \cos^2 \beta \frac{\left(1+(m-2)\lambda\right) \left(m-1-\alpha \left(m-2\right)\lambda\right)}{(m-1)^2 \left(1-\lambda\right)^2} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{m-3} \left| j \left(1 - \lambda \right) + 2 \left(1 - \alpha \right) \cos \beta e^{i\beta} \left(1 + j\lambda \right) \right|^2}{\left((m-1)! \left(1 - \lambda \right)^{m-1} \right)^2} \left\{ (m-2)^2 \left(1 - \lambda \right)^2 + 4 \left(1 - \alpha \right) \cos^2 \beta \left(1 + (m-2)\lambda \right) (m-1 - \alpha \left(m - 2 \right) \lambda \right) \right\}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\left((m-1)! \left(1 - \lambda \right)^{m-1} \right)^2} \prod_{j=0}^{m-2} \left| j \left(1 - \lambda \right) + 2 \left(1 - \alpha \right) \cos \beta e^{i\beta} \left(1 + j\lambda \right) \right|^2.$$

From equality (6) we get the desired result. \blacksquare

3. COROLLARIES AND CONSEQUENCES

By choosing appropriate values of values of n, λ , β and α in Theorem 4, we obtain the corresponding results for several subclasses of S.

Corollary 5. If $\lambda = 0$, we get the following result for function $f \in \widetilde{R}_{n}^{\beta}(\alpha)$

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+k)} \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} \left| j + 2(1-\alpha) e^{i\beta} \cos\beta \right|.$$

Corollary 6. If n = 0 and $\lambda = 0$, we obtain (5) which is stated in Theorem 2.

Corollary 7. If n = 0, $\beta = 0$ and $\lambda = 0$, we obtain the following result for functions belong to $S^*(\alpha)$

$$|a_k| \le \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} \frac{|j+2(1-\alpha)|}{j+1}$$

Corollary 8. If $\lambda = 0$ and $\alpha = 0$, we get the following result for function $f \in \widetilde{R}_n^\beta$

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+k)} \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} \left| j + 2e^{i\beta} \cos\beta \right|.$$

Corollary 9. If n = 0, $\lambda = 0$ and $\alpha = 0$, we get the following result for spirallike functions of type β in \mathbb{D}

$$|a_k| \le \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} \frac{|j+2e^{i\beta}\cos\beta|}{j+1}.$$

References

[1] O. P. Ajuha, Integral operator of certain univalent functions, Int. J. Math. Sci. 8, 4 (1985), 653-662.

[2] O. P. Ajuha, On the radius problems of certain analytic functions, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 22, 1 (1985), 31-36.

[3] L. Biebrbach, Über die Koeffizienten der einigen Potenzreihen welche eine schlichte Abbildung des Einheitskreises vermitten, S. B. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. 1: Sitzungsb. Berlin 38, (1916), 940-955.

[4] L. de Branges, A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture, Acta Math. 154, (1985), 137-152.

[5] V. Kumar, S. L. Shukla, A. M. Chaudhary, On a class of certain analytic functions of complex order, Tamkang J. Math. 21, 2 (1990), 101-109.

[6] R. J. Libera, Univalent α -spiral functions, Canad. J. Math. 19, (1967), 449-456.

[7] M. A. Nasr, M. K. Aouf, *Radius of convexity for the class of starlike functions of complex order*, Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ. Sect. A 12, (1983), 153-159.

[8] S. Ruscheweyh, New criteria for univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 49, (1975,) 109-115.

[9] R. Sing, S. Sing, Integrals of certain univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 77, (1979,) 336-340.

[10] L. Spacek, Contribution à la théorie des fonctions univalentes, Časopis Pěst. Mat. 62, (1932), 12-19.

Tugba YAVUZ Gebze Technical University, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Department of Mathematics, Kocaeli, TURKEY email: tyavuz@gtu.edu.tr