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Abstract. In this paper, we obtained some condition on Poisson distribution series and some related series to be in subclasses of analytic function. Also, we investigate some mapping properties for these subclasses.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc $\mathbb{U}=\{z: z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|z|<1\}$, and let $\mathcal{S}$ be the subclass of all functions in $\mathcal{A}$, which are univalent. For $g(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ of the form

$$
g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}
$$

the Hadamard product (or convolution) of two power series $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ is given by (see [3])

$$
(f * g)(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} b_{n} z^{n}=(g * f)(z)
$$

Definition 1. For two functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ analytic in $\mathbb{U}$, we say that the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in $\mathbb{U}$ and written $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $w(0)=0$ and $w(z)<1$ such that $f(z)=g(w(z))(z \in \mathbb{U})$. Furthermore, if the function $g(z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, then we have the following equivalence (see [9]):

$$
f(z) \prec g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0)=g(0) \text { and } f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}) \text {. }
$$

Let $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ denote the subclasses of starlike and convex functions of order $\alpha$, respectively. We note that $\mathcal{S}^{*}(0)=\mathcal{S}^{*}$ and $\mathcal{K}(0)=\mathcal{K}$, the subclasses of starlike and convex functions (see $[8,11,13,15,16]$ and $[19]$ ).

Kanas and Wisniowska $[6,7]$ introduced the classes $k-S T$ and $k-U C V$ are uniformly starlike functions and uniformly convex functions, respectively, as following:

Definition 2. A function $f(z)$ of the form (1) is in the class $k-S T$ if it satisfies the following condition:

$$
\Re\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\} \geq k\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right| \quad(k \geq 0 ; z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

Definition 3. A function $f(z)$ of the form (1) is in the class $k-U C V$ if it satisfies the following condition:

$$
\Re\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\} \geq k\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right| \quad(k \geq 0 ; z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

Definition 4. [1] with $p=1$ For $-1 \leq A<B \leq 1,|\theta|<\frac{\pi}{2}$ and $0 \leq \alpha<1$, the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is in the class $\mathcal{L}(A, B, \theta ; \alpha)$ if it satisfies the subordination condition

$$
e^{i \theta} f^{\prime}(z) \prec \cos \theta\left[(1-\alpha) \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}+\alpha\right]+i \sin \theta .
$$

Using the principle of subordination, $f(z) \in \mathcal{L}(A, B, \theta ; \alpha)$ if and only if there exists function $w(z)$ satisfying $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1(z \in \mathbb{U})$ such that

$$
e^{i \theta} f^{\prime}(z)=\cos \theta\left[(1-\alpha) \frac{1+A w(z)}{1+B w(z)}+\alpha\right]+i \sin \theta
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\left|\frac{e^{i \theta}\left(f^{\prime}(z)-1\right)}{B e^{i \theta} f^{\prime}(z)-\left[B e^{i \theta}+(A-B)(1-\alpha) \cos \theta\right]}\right|<1(z \in \mathbb{U}) .
$$

For suitable choices of $A, B$ and $\alpha$, we obtain some subclasses as following:

1. Let $A=-1$ and $B=1$, we obtain $\mathcal{L}(-1,1, \theta ; \alpha)=\mathcal{L}(\theta ; \alpha)(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ the class of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the condition

$$
\left|\frac{e^{i \theta}\left(f^{\prime}(z)-1\right)}{e^{i \theta} f^{\prime}(z)-\left[e^{i \theta}-2(1-\alpha) \cos \theta\right]}\right|<1,
$$

which introduce by [5].
2. Let $\alpha=0$, we obtain $\mathcal{L}(A, B, \theta ; 0)=\mathcal{L}(A, B, \theta)\left(-1 \leq A<B \leq 1,|\theta|<\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ the class of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the condition

$$
\left|\frac{e^{i \theta}\left(f^{\prime}(z)-1\right)}{B e^{i \theta} f^{\prime}(z)-\left[B e^{i \theta}-(A-B) \cos \theta\right]}\right|<1,
$$

which introduce by [17].
3. Let $A=-\beta, B=\beta$ and $\theta=0$, we obtain $\mathcal{L}(-\beta, \beta, 0 ; \alpha)=\mathcal{R}(\beta, \alpha)$ the class of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the condition

$$
\left|\frac{f^{\prime}(z)-1}{f^{\prime}(z)+1-2 \alpha}\right|<\beta \quad(0<\beta \leq 1,0 \leq \alpha<1 ; z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

which introduce by [4].
4. Let $A=-\beta, B=\beta, \theta=0$ and $\alpha=0$, we obtain $\mathcal{L}(-\beta, \beta, 0 ; 0)=\mathcal{D}(\beta)$ the class of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the condition

$$
\left|\frac{f^{\prime}(z)-1}{f^{\prime}(z)+1}\right|<\beta \quad(0<\beta \leq 1 ; z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

which introduce by $[2,10]$.
Very recently, Porwal [12] introduce a power series whose coefficients are probabilities of Poisson distribution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}(m ; z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-m} z^{n}, \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, we define the function

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(m, \mu ; z) & =(1-\mu) \mathcal{H}(m ; z)+\mu z(\mathcal{H}(m ; z))^{\prime} \\
& =z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[1+\mu(n-1)] \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-m} z^{n}(\mu \geq 0) .
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}(m, \mu, \lambda ; z) & =(1-\mu+\lambda) \mathcal{H}(m ; z)+(\mu-\lambda) z(\mathcal{H}(m ; z))^{\prime} \\
& +\mu \lambda z^{2}(\mathcal{H}(m ; z))^{\prime \prime}(z \in \mathcal{U} ; \mu, \lambda \geq 0 ; \mu \geq \lambda) \\
& =z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[1+(n-1)(\mu-\lambda+n \mu \lambda)] \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-m} z^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The properties of a function $\psi(m, \mu ; z)$ was studied by Shukla and Shukla [18] and Tang and Deng [20] with $p=1$.

Now, we defined the linear operator $\mathcal{X}_{m}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\mathcal{X}_{m}(f)\right](z) } & =\mathcal{M}(m, z) * f(z) \\
& =z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} a_{n} e^{-m} z^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In this paper, we obtained some condition on Poisson distribution series and some related series to be in subclasses of analytic function.

## 2. Main Results

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume that $0 \leq \alpha<1, k \geq 0,|\theta|<\frac{\pi}{2},-1 \leq A<$ $B \leq 1, m>0, \mu, \lambda \geq 0$ and $\mu \geq \lambda$. To prove our results, we will need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. [1] [Theorem 4, with $p=1]$ A sufficient condition for $f(z)$ defined by (1) to be in the class $\mathcal{L}(A, B, \theta ; \alpha)$ is:

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(1+|B|)\left|a_{n}\right| \leq(B-A)(1-\alpha) \cos \theta
$$

Lemma 2. [1] [Theorem 1, with $p=1]$ A sufficient condition for $f(z)$ defined by (1) to be in the class $\mathcal{L}(A, B, \theta ; \alpha)$ is:

$$
\left|a_{n}\right| \leq \frac{(B-A)(1-\alpha) \cos \theta}{n}(n \geq 2)
$$

Lemma 3. [7] Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$. For some $k$, the following inequality

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)\left|a_{n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{k+2}
$$

holds, then $f \in \mathcal{U C V}(k)$. The number $\frac{1}{k+2}$ cannot be increased.
Lemma 4. [6] Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$. For some $k$, the following inequality

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n+k(n-1))\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1
$$

holds, then $f \in \mathcal{T S}(k)$.

Theorem 5. The sufficient condition for $\mathcal{H}(m ; z)$ to be in the class $\mathcal{L}(A, B, \theta ; \alpha)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
m-e^{-m}+1 \leq \frac{(B-A)(1-\alpha) \cos \theta}{1+|B|} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since

$$
\mathcal{H}(m ; z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-m} z^{n}, \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) .
$$

By applying Lemma 1, we need to prove that

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(1+|B|)\left|\frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-m}\right| \leq(B-A)(1-\alpha) \cos \theta
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(1+|B|) \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-m} \\
& =(1+|B|) e^{-m}\left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1) \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\right] \\
& =(1+|B|) e^{-m}\left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-2)!}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\right] \\
& =(1+|B|) e^{-m}\left[m \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n}}{n!}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n}}{n!}\right] \\
& =(1+|B|) e^{-m}\left[m \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n}}{n!}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n}}{n!}-1\right] \\
& =(1+|B|)\left[m+1-e^{-m}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

But this last equation is bounded by $(B-A)(1-\alpha) \cos \theta$ if Eq. (3) is holds. This completes the prove of theorem 5.

Corollary 6. Let $A=-1$ and $B=1$ in Theorem 5, then the sufficient condition for $\mathcal{H}(m ; z)$ to be in the class $\mathcal{L}(\theta ; \alpha)$ is

$$
m-e^{-m}+1 \leq(1-\alpha) \cos \theta
$$

Corollary 7. Let $\alpha=0$ in Theorem 5, then the sufficient condition for $\mathcal{H}(m ; z)$ to be in the class $\mathcal{L}(A, B, \theta)$ is

$$
m-e^{-m}+1 \leq \frac{(B-A) \cos \theta}{1+|B|}
$$

Corollary 8. Let $A=-\beta, B=\beta, \theta=0$ and $\alpha=0$ in Theorem 5, then the sufficient condition for $\mathcal{H}(m ; z)$ to be in the class $\mathcal{L}(-\beta, \beta, 0 ; 0)=\mathcal{D}(\beta)$ is

$$
m-e^{-m}+1 \leq \frac{2 \beta}{1+|\beta|}
$$

Corollary 9. Let $A=-\beta, B=\beta$ and $\theta=0$ in Theorem 5, then the sufficient condition for $\mathcal{H}(m ; z)$ to be in the class $\mathcal{R}(\beta ; \alpha)$ is

$$
m-e^{-m}+1 \leq \frac{2 \beta(1-\alpha)}{1+|\beta|} .
$$

Theorem 10. The sufficient condition for $\psi(m, \mu ; z)$ to be in the class $\mathcal{L}(A, B, \theta ; \alpha)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu m^{2}+(1+2 \mu) m-e^{-m}+1 \leq \frac{(B-A)(1-\alpha) \cos \theta}{1+|B|} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since

$$
\psi(m, \mu ; z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[1+\mu(n-1)] \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-m} z^{n}, \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) .
$$

By applying Lemma 1, we need to prove that

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(1+|B|)\left|[1+\mu(n-1)] \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-m}\right| \leq(B-A)(1-\alpha) \cos \theta
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & =\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(1+|B|)[1+\mu(n-1)] \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-m} \\
& =(1+|B|) e^{-m}\left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(1+2 \mu)(n-1) \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu(n-1)(n-2) \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\right] \\
& =(1+|B|) e^{-m}\left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(1+2 \mu) \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-2)!}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}+\mu \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-3)!}\right] \\
& =(1+|B|) e^{-m}\left[m(1+2 \mu) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n}}{n!}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n}}{n!}+\mu m^{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n}}{n!}\right] \\
& =(1+|B|) e^{-m}\left[m(1+2 \mu) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n}}{n!}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n}}{n!}-1+\mu m^{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n}}{n!}\right] \\
& =(1+|B|)\left[\mu m^{2}+(1+2 \mu) m+1-e^{-m}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

But this last equation is bounded by $(B-A)(1-\alpha) \cos \theta$ if Eq. (4) is holds. This completes the prove of theorem 10 .

Corollary 11. Let $A=-1$ and $B=1$ in Theorem 10, then the sufficient condition for $\mathcal{H}(m ; z)$ to be in the class $\mathcal{L}(\theta ; \alpha)$ is

$$
\mu m^{2}+(1+2 \mu) m-e^{-m}+1 \leq(1-\alpha) \cos \theta
$$

Corollary 12. Let $\alpha=0$ in Theorem 10, then the sufficient condition for $\mathcal{H}(m ; z)$ to be in the class $\mathcal{L}(A, B, \theta)$ is

$$
\mu m^{2}+(1+2 \mu) m-e^{-m}+1 \leq \frac{(B-A) \cos \theta}{1+|B|}
$$

Corollary 13. Let $A=-\beta, B=\beta, \theta=0$ and $\alpha=0$ in Theorem 10, then the sufficient condition for $\mathcal{H}(m ; z)$ to be in the class $\mathcal{L}(-\beta, \beta, 0 ; 0)=\mathcal{D}(\beta)$ is

$$
\mu m^{2}+(1+2 \mu) m-e^{-m}+1 \leq \frac{2 \beta}{1+|\beta|}
$$

Corollary 14. Let $A=-\beta, B=\beta$ and $\theta=0$ in Theorem 10, then the sufficient condition for $\mathcal{H}(m ; z)$ to be in the class $\mathcal{R}(\beta ; \alpha)$ is

$$
\mu m^{2}+(1+2 \mu) m-e^{-m}+1 \leq \frac{2 \beta(1-\alpha)}{1+|\beta|}
$$

Theorem 15. The sufficient condition for $\mathcal{N}(m, \mu, \lambda ; z)$ to be in the class $\mathcal{L}(A, B, \theta ; \alpha)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu \lambda m^{3}+(\mu-\lambda+5 \mu \lambda) m^{2}+(2 \mu-2 \lambda+1) m+4 \mu \lambda-e^{-m}+1 \leq \frac{(B-A)(1-\alpha) \cos \theta}{1+|B|} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since

$$
\mathcal{N}(m, \mu, \lambda ; z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[1+(n-1)(\mu-\lambda+n \mu \lambda)] \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-m} z^{n}, \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

By applying Lemma 1 , we need to prove that

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(1+|B|)\left|[1+(n-1)(\mu-\lambda+n \mu \lambda)] \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-m}\right| \leq(B-A)(1-\alpha) \cos \theta
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & =\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(1+|B|)[1+(n-1)(\mu-\lambda+n \mu \lambda)] \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-m} \\
& =(1+|B|) e^{-m}\left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(1+2 \mu-2 \lambda)(n-1) \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(\mu-\lambda+5 \mu \lambda)(n-1)(n-2) \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu \lambda(n-1)(n-2)(n-3) \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\right] \\
& =(1+|B|) e^{-m}\left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(1+2 \mu-2 \lambda) m \frac{m^{n-2}}{(n-2)!}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}+\sum_{n=3}^{\infty}(\mu-\lambda+5 \mu \lambda) m^{2} \frac{m^{n-3}}{(n-3)!}\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu \lambda m^{3} \frac{m^{n-4}}{(n-4)!}\right] \\
& =(1+|B|) e^{-m}\left[(1+2 \mu-2 \lambda) m \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n}}{n!}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n}}{n!}+(\mu-\lambda+5 \mu \lambda) m^{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n}}{n!}+\mu \lambda m^{3} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n}}{n!}\right] \\
& =(1+|B|) e^{-m}\left[m(1+2 \mu-2 \lambda) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n}}{n!}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n}}{n!}-1+(\mu-\lambda+5 \mu \lambda) m^{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n}}{n!}+\mu \lambda m^{3} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n}}{n!}\right] \\
& =(1+|B|)\left[\mu \lambda m^{3}+(\mu-\lambda+5 \mu \lambda) m^{2}+(1+2 \mu-2 \lambda) m+1+4 \mu \lambda-e^{-m}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

But this last equation is bounded by $(B-A)(1-\alpha) \cos \theta$ if Eq. (5) is holds. This completes the prove of theorem 15.

Corollary 16. Let $A=-1$ and $B=1$ in Theorem 15, then the sufficient condition for $\mathcal{H}(m ; z)$ to be in the class $\mathcal{L}(\theta ; \alpha)$ is

$$
\mu \lambda m^{3}+(\mu-\lambda+5 \mu \lambda) m^{2}+(2 \mu-2 \lambda+1) m+4 \mu \lambda-e^{-m}+1 \leq(1-\alpha) \cos \theta .
$$

Corollary 17. Let $\alpha=0$ in Theorem 15, then the sufficient condition for $\mathcal{H}(m ; z)$ to be in the class $\mathcal{L}(A, B, \theta)$ is

$$
\mu \lambda m^{3}+(\mu-\lambda+5 \mu \lambda) m^{2}+(2 \mu-2 \lambda+1) m+4 \mu \lambda-e^{-m}+1 \leq \frac{(B-A) \cos \theta}{1+|B|} .
$$

Corollary 18. Let $A=-\beta, B=\beta, \theta=0$ and $\alpha=0$ in Theorem 15, then the sufficient condition for $\mathcal{H}(m ; z)$ to be in the class $\mathcal{L}(-\beta, \beta, 0 ; 0)=\mathcal{D}(\beta)$ is

$$
\mu \lambda m^{3}+(\mu-\lambda+5 \mu \lambda) m^{2}+(2 \mu-2 \lambda+1) m+4 \mu \lambda-e^{-m}+1 \leq \frac{2 \beta}{1+|\beta|}
$$

Corollary 19. Let $A=-\beta, B=\beta$ and $\theta=0$ in Theorem 15, then the sufficient condition for $\mathcal{H}(m ; z)$ to be in the class $\mathcal{R}(\beta ; \alpha)$ is

$$
\mu \lambda m^{3}+(\mu-\lambda+5 \mu \lambda) m^{2}+(2 \mu-2 \lambda+1) m+4 \mu \lambda-e^{-m}+1 \leq \frac{2 \beta(1-\alpha)}{1+|\beta|}
$$

## 3. Inclusion Properties

Theorem 20. If condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{2}+3 m-e^{-m}+1 \leq \frac{(B-A)(1-\alpha) \cos \theta}{1+|B|} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, then $[\mathcal{X} m(f)](z)$ maps the class $\mathcal{S}$ or $\left(\mathcal{S}^{*}\right)$ to the class $\mathcal{L}(A, B, \theta ; \alpha)$.
Proof. Since

$$
\left[\mathcal{X}_{m}(f)\right](z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-m} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

By applying Lemma 1, we need to prove that

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(1+|B|)\left|\frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\right|\left|a_{n}\right| e^{-m} \leq(B-A)(1-\alpha) \cos \theta .
$$

Using $f(z) \in S$, then the inequality $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n$ holds, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3} & \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2}(1+|B|) \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-m} \\
& =(1+|B|) e^{-m}\left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)(n-2) \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 3(n-1) \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\right] \\
& =(1+|B|) e^{-m}\left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-3)!}+3 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-2)!}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\right] \\
& =(1+|B|) e^{-m}\left[m^{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n}}{n!}+3 m \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n}}{n!}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n}}{n!}\right] \\
& =(1+|B|) e^{-m}\left[m^{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n}}{n!}+3 m \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n}}{n!}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n}}{n!}-1\right] \\
& =(1+|B|)\left[m^{2}+3 m+1-e^{-m}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

But this last equation is bounded by $(B-A)(1-\alpha) \cos \theta$ if Eq. (6) is holds. This completes the prove of theorem 20.

Corollary 21. Let $A=-1$ and $B=1$ in Theorem 20, then $\left[\mathcal{X}{ }_{m}(f)\right](z)$ maps the class $\mathcal{S}$ or $\left(\mathcal{S}^{*}\right)$ to the class $\mathcal{L}(\theta ; \alpha)$ if

$$
m^{2}+3 m-e^{-m}+1 \leq(1-\alpha) \cos \theta
$$

is true.

Corollary 22. Let $\alpha=0$ in Theorem 20, then $\left[\mathcal{X}_{m}(f)\right](z)$ maps the class $\mathcal{S}$ or $\left(\mathcal{S}^{*}\right)$ to the class $\mathcal{L}(A, B, \theta)$ if

$$
m^{2}+3 m-e^{-m}+1 \leq \frac{(B-A) \cos \theta}{1+|B|}
$$

is true
Corollary 23. Let $A=-\beta, B=\beta, \theta=0$ and $\alpha=0$ in Theorem 20, then $\left[\mathcal{X}_{m}(f)\right](z)$ maps the class $\mathcal{S}$ or $\left(\mathcal{S}^{*}\right)$ to the class $\mathcal{L}(-\beta, \beta, 0 ; 0)=\mathcal{D}(\beta)$ if

$$
m^{2}+3 m-e^{-m}+1 \leq \frac{2 \beta}{1+|\beta|},
$$

is true.
Corollary 24. Let $A=-\beta, B=\beta$ and $\theta=0$ in Theorem 20, then $\left[\mathcal{X}_{m}(f)\right](z)$ maps the class $\mathcal{S}$ or $\left(\mathcal{S}^{*}\right)$ to the class $\mathcal{R}(\beta ; \alpha)$ if

$$
m^{2}+3 m-e^{-m}+1 \leq \frac{2 \beta(1-\alpha)}{1+|\beta|}
$$

is true.
Theorem 25. If condition in Eq. (3) satisfied, then $\left[\mathcal{X} \mathcal{X}_{m}(f)\right](z)$ maps the class $\mathcal{K}$ to the class $\mathcal{L}(A, B, \theta ; \alpha)$.

Proof. Since

$$
\left[\mathcal{X}_{m}(f)\right](z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-m} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

By applying Lemma 1, we need to prove that

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(1+|B|)\left|\frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\right|\left|a_{n}\right| e^{-m} \leq(B-A)(1-\alpha) \cos \theta .
$$

Using $f(z) \in S$, then the inequality $\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1$ holds, we obtain the required result. This completes the prove of theorem 25 .

Theorem 26. If condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
(B-A)(1-\alpha) m \cos \theta \leq \frac{1}{k+2}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, then $\left[\mathcal{X}_{m}(f)\right](z)$ maps the class $\mathcal{L}(A, B, \theta ; \alpha)$ to the class $k-U C V$.

Proof. Since

$$
\left[\mathcal{X}_{m}(f)\right](z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-m} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) .
$$

By applying Lemma 3, we need to prove that

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)\left|\frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\right|\left|a_{n}\right| e^{-m} \leq \frac{1}{k+2}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{4} & \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1) \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-m}(B-A)(1-\alpha) \cos \theta \\
& =(B-A)(1-\alpha) e^{-m} \cos \theta \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-2)!} \\
& =(B-A)(1-\alpha) e^{-m} m \cos \theta \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n}}{n!} \\
& =(B-A)(1-\alpha) m \cos \theta .
\end{aligned}
$$

But this last equation is bounded by $\frac{1}{k+2}$ if Eq. (7) is holds. This completes the prove of theorem 26.

Corollary 27. Let $A=-1$ and $B=1$ in Theorem 26, then $\left[\mathcal{X}_{m}(f)\right](z)$ maps the class $\mathcal{L}(\theta ; \alpha)$ to the class $k-U C V$ if

$$
2(1-\alpha) m \cos \theta \leq \frac{1}{k+2},
$$

is true.
Corollary 28. Let $\alpha=0$ in Theorem 26, then $\left[\mathcal{X}_{m}(f)\right](z)$ maps the class $\mathcal{L}(A, B, \theta)$ to the class $k-U C V$ if

$$
(B-A) m \cos \theta \leq \frac{1}{k+2},
$$

is true
Corollary 29. Let $A=-\beta, B=\beta, \theta=0$ and $\alpha=0$ in Theorem 26, then $\left[\mathcal{X}_{m}(f)\right](z)$ maps the class $\mathcal{L}(-\beta, \beta, 0 ; 0)=\mathcal{D}(\beta)$ to the class $k-U C V$ if

$$
2 \beta m \leq \frac{1}{k+2},
$$

is true.

Corollary 30. Let $A=-\beta, B=\beta$ and $\theta=0$ in Theorem 26, then $[\mathcal{X} m(f)](z)$ maps the class $\mathcal{R}(\beta ; \alpha)$ to the class $k-U C V$ if

$$
2 \beta(1-\alpha) m \leq \frac{1}{k+2},
$$

is true.
Theorem 31. If condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
(B-A)(1-\alpha) \cos \theta\left[\left(k+1-\frac{k}{m}\right)\left(1-e^{-m}\right)+k e^{-m}\right] \leq 1, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, then $[\mathcal{X} m(f)](z)$ maps the class $\mathcal{L}(A, B, \theta ; \alpha)$ to the class $k-S T$.
Proof. Since

$$
\left[\mathcal{X}_{m}(f)\right](z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-m} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

By applying Lemma 4, we need to prove that

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n(1+k)-k)\left|\frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\right|\left|a_{n}\right| e^{-m} \leq 1 .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{5} & \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n(1+k)-k) \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-m} \frac{(B-A)(1-\alpha) \cos \theta}{n} \\
& =(B-A)(1-\alpha) e^{-m} \cos \theta\left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(1+k) \frac{m^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} k \frac{m^{n-1}}{n!}\right] \\
& =(B-A)(1-\alpha) e^{-m} \cos \theta\left[(k+1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n}}{n!}-\frac{k}{m} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n}}{n!}\right] \\
& =(B-A)(1-\alpha) e^{-m} \cos \theta\left[(k+1)\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n}}{n!}-1\right)-\frac{k}{m}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{n}}{n!}-1-m\right)\right] \\
& =(B-A)(1-\alpha) \cos \theta\left[\left(k+1-\frac{k}{m}\right)\left(1-e^{-m}\right)+k e^{-m}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

But this last equation is bounded by 1 if Eq. (8) is holds. This completes the prove of theorem 31.

Corollary 32. Let $A=-1$ and $B=1$ in Theorem 31, then $[\mathcal{X} m(f)](z)$ maps the class $\mathcal{L}(\theta ; \alpha)$ to the class $k-S T$ if

$$
(1-\alpha) \cos \theta\left[\left(k+1-\frac{k}{m}\right)\left(1-e^{-m}\right)+k e^{-m}\right] \leq \frac{1}{2},
$$

is true.
Corollary 33. Let $\alpha=0$ in Theorem 31, then $\left[\mathcal{X}_{m}(f)\right](z)$ maps the class $\mathcal{L}(A, B, \theta)$ to the class $k-S T$ if

$$
(B-A) \cos \theta\left[\left(k+1-\frac{k}{m}\right)\left(1-e^{-m}\right)+k e^{-m}\right] \leq 1,
$$

is true
Corollary 34. Let $A=-\beta, B=\beta, \theta=0$ and $\alpha=0$ in Theorem 31, then $\left[\mathcal{X}_{m}(f)\right](z)$ maps the class $\mathcal{L}(-\beta, \beta, 0 ; 0)=\mathcal{D}(\beta)$ to the class $k-S T$ if

$$
\left[\left(k+1-\frac{k}{m}\right)\left(1-e^{-m}\right)+k e^{-m}\right] \leq \frac{1}{2 \beta},
$$

is true.
Remark 1. Putting $\beta=1$ and $k=0$ in Corollary 34, we obtain the result obtained by Porwal and Kumar [14] Theorem 3.2, with $A=1, B=-1,|\tau|=1, \lambda=0$ and $\alpha=0$.

Corollary 35. Let $A=-\beta, B=\beta$ and $\theta=0$ in Theorem 31, then $\left[\mathcal{X} \mathcal{X}_{m}(f)\right](z)$ maps the class $\mathcal{R}(\beta ; \alpha)$ to the class $k-S T$ if

$$
(1-\alpha)\left[\left(k+1-\frac{k}{m}\right)\left(1-e^{-m}\right)+k e^{-m}\right] \leq \frac{1}{2 \beta},
$$

is true.
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