

# Primeness in Near-rings of Continuous Functions

G.L. Booth P.R. Hall

*University of Port Elizabeth, Port Elizabeth 6000, South Africa*

**Abstract.** Various types of primeness have been considered for near-rings. One of these is the concept of equiprime, which was defined in 1990 by Booth, Groenewald and Veldsman. We will investigate when the near-ring  $N_0(G)$  of continuous zero-preserving self maps of a topological group  $G$  is equiprime. This is the case when  $G$  is either  $T_0$  and 0-dimensional or  $T_0$  and arcwise connected. We also give conditions for  $N_0(G)$  to be strongly prime and strongly equiprime. Finally, we apply these results to sandwich near-rings of continuous functions.

MSC 2000: 16Y30, 22A05

## 1. Preliminaries

In this paper, all near-rings will be right distributive. The notation “ $A \triangleleft N$ ” means “ $A$  is an ideal of  $N$ ”. Let  $G$  be an additive topological group. The sets of arbitrary and zero-preserving continuous self-maps of  $G$  form near-rings with respect to addition and composition of functions, and are denoted  $N(G)$  and  $N_0(G)$ , respectively. Near-rings of continuous functions have been extensively studied. See for example Magill [8], [9]. We remark that  $N_0(G)$  is *zerosymmetric*, i.e.  $n0 = 0n = 0$  for all  $n \in N_0(G)$ .

There are a number of definitions of primeness for near-rings in the literature. The classical definition is given in Pilz [10]: A near-ring  $N$  is called *prime* (resp. *semiprime*) if  $A, B \triangleleft N$  (resp.  $A \triangleleft N$ ),  $AB = 0$  implies  $A = 0$  or  $B = 0$  (resp.  $A^2 = 0$  implies  $A = 0$ ).  $N$  is called *equiprime* (cf. Booth, Groenewald and Veldsman [1]) if  $a, x, y \in N$ ,  $anx = any$  for all  $n \in N$  implies  $a = 0$  or  $x = y$ . Note also an equiprime near-ring is zerosymmetric [11, p. 2750]. Both of these definitions of primeness generalise the usual notion of primeness for associative rings. Equiprimeness is of particular interest from the

radical-theoretic perspective in that it leads to a Kurosh-Amitsur prime radical for both zerosymmetric and arbitrary near-rings [1].

There are two generalisations to near-rings of the notion of strongly prime (cf. Handelman and Lawrence [4]). A near-ring  $N$  is *strongly prime* [3] if  $0 \neq a \in N$  implies that there exists a finite subset  $F$  of  $N$  such that  $aFx = 0$  implies  $x = 0$ , for all  $x \in N$ .  $N$  is *strongly equiprime* [2] if  $0 \neq a \in N$  implies that there exists a finite subset  $F$  of  $N$  such that  $x, y \in N, afx = afy$  for all  $f \in F$  implies  $x = y$ . Note that equiprime  $\implies$  prime and strongly equiprime  $\implies$  strongly prime. To prove the first implication, let  $N$  be an equiprime near-ring and let  $0 \neq A, B \triangleleft N$ . Let  $a \in A \setminus \{0\}, b \in B \setminus \{0\}$ . Then by the equiprimeness of  $N$ , and hence also its zerosymmetry, there exists  $n \in N$  such that  $anb \neq an0 = 0$ . Moreover,  $an \in A$  and so  $AB \neq 0$ . Hence  $N$  is prime. The second implication is proved by a similar argument, after noting that a strongly equiprime near-ring is equiprime and hence zerosymmetric. We note also (cf. [3]) that a strongly prime near-ring is prime. We refer to Pilz [10] for all undefined concepts concerning near-rings.

For all notions relevant to topological groups, we refer to any of the standard texts, e.g. Higgins [5] and Husain [6]. We will make frequent use of the well-known result that every  $T_0$  topological group is  $T_3$  (and hence Hausdorff). Composition of functions will be denoted by juxtaposition, e.g.  $ab$  rather than  $a \circ b$ . For basic topological notions we refer to any of the standard texts, for example Kelley [7].

Veldsman [11] has noted that the near-ring  $M_0(G)$  of all zero-preserving self-maps of an additive group  $G$  is always equiprime. This is not in general true for  $N_0(G)$ , where  $G$  is a topological group. In fact  $N_0(G)$  need not even be semiprime, as the next result shows.

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $G$  be a disconnected topological group, with open components which contain more than one element. Then  $N_0(G)$  is not semiprime.*

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be the component of  $G$  which contains 0. As is well-known,  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  and the remaining components of  $G$  are the cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ . Let  $I := \{x \in N_0(G) \mid x(G) \subseteq H\}$ . It is straightforward to check that  $I$  is a right ideal of  $G$ . Now let  $m, n \in N_0(G), a \in I, g \in G$ . Since  $a(g) \in H$ ,  $(a+n)(g)$  and  $n(g)$  are contained in the same component (coset of  $H$ ). By the continuity of  $m$ ,  $m(a+n)(g)$  and  $mn(g)$  are contained in the same component. Hence  $(m(a+n) - mn)(g) \in H$ , and so  $m(a+n) - mn \in I$ . Thus  $I \triangleleft N_0(G)$ . Let  $J := \{n \in N \mid nx = 0 \text{ for all } x \in I\}$ . Then  $J$  is a left ideal of  $N_0(G)$ . Since  $N_0(G)$  is zerosymmetric,  $I$  is left invariant, and hence  $J$  is also a right ideal of  $N_0(G)$ . Thus  $J \triangleleft N_0(G)$ . Moreover  $(I \cap J)^2 = 0$ , but  $I \cap J \neq 0$ . For let  $0 \neq h \in H$  and let  $a$  be defined by

$$a(g) := \begin{cases} 0 & g \in H \\ h & g \in G \setminus H \end{cases} .$$

Since  $H$  is a component of  $G$ , it is closed. But by the hypothesis of this proposition,  $H$  is also open. It follows that  $G$  is the union of the disjoint open sets  $H$  and  $G \setminus H$ . Hence  $a$  is continuous. Clearly,  $a \in I$ . Let  $g \in G, x \in I$ . Then  $ax(g) = 0$ , since  $x(g) \in H$ . Hence  $ax = 0$ , so  $a \in J$ , whence  $a \in I \cap J$ . It follows that  $N_0(G)$  is not semiprime.  $\square$

We remark that there are abundant examples of topological groups which satisfy the conditions of Proposition 1.1. For example, let  $\mathbb{R}$  denote the real numbers with the usual topology

and let  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  denote the residue classes modulo 2 with the discrete topology. Then  $G := \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  with the product topology is an example of such a topological group.

## 2. 0-dimensional topological groups

We recall that a topological space  $X$  is called *0-dimensional* if the topology on  $X$  has a base consisting of clopen (i.e. both open and closed) sets. In this section we will provide information on the primeness of  $N_0(G)$  in the case that the topology on  $G$  is 0-dimensional. Let  $X, Y$  be nonempty sets and let  $F$  be a set of functions from  $X$  into  $Y$ . We recall that  $F$  is said to *separate points* if  $x_1, x_2 \in X, x_1 \neq x_2$  implies that there exists  $f \in F$  such that  $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be an infinite set and let  $F$  be a finite set of functions of  $X$  into a set  $Y$ . If each element of  $F$  has finite range, then  $F$  cannot separate points.*

*Proof.* Let  $F := \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ . Since  $f_1$  has finite range, there exists  $y_1 \in Y$  such that  $f_1(x) = y_1$  for infinitely many points  $x$  of  $X$ . Let  $X_1 := \{x \in X \mid f_1(x) = y_1\}$ . Since  $f_2$  has finite range, there exists  $y_2 \in Y$  such that  $f_2(x) = y_2$  for infinitely many points  $x$  of  $X_1$ . Let  $X_2 := \{x \in X_1 \mid f_2(x) = y_2\}$ . Continuing in this way we obtain a nested sequence of infinite sets  $X_1 \supseteq X_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq X_n$  such that  $f_i(x) = y_i$  for all  $x \in X_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ . In particular  $f_i(x) = y_i$  for all  $x \in X_n$ . Hence  $F$  does not separate points in  $X_n$ , and so cannot separate points in  $X$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $G$  be a 0-dimensional,  $T_0$  topological group with more than one element. Then*

- (a)  $N_0(G)$  is equiprime.
- (b)  $N_0(G)$  is strongly prime if and only if the topology on  $G$  is discrete.
- (c)  $N_0(G)$  is strongly equiprime if and only if  $G$  is finite.

*Proof.* (a) Let  $a, x, y \in N_0(G), a \neq 0, x \neq y$ . Then there exist  $g, h \in G$  such that  $a(g) \neq 0, x(h) \neq y(h)$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $x(h) \neq 0$ . Since  $G$  is  $T_0$ , and hence Hausdorff, there exists a clopen set  $U$  which contains  $x(h)$ , but not  $y(h)$  or 0. Let  $n$  be defined by

$$n(k) := \begin{cases} g & k \in U \\ 0 & k \in G \setminus U \end{cases} .$$

Since  $U$  is clopen,  $n$  is continuous. Clearly,  $n \in N_0(G)$ . Moreover  $anx(h) = a(g) \neq 0$  and  $any(h) = a(0) = 0$ . Hence  $anx \neq any$  so  $N_0(G)$  is equiprime.

(b) Suppose the topology on  $G$  is discrete. Let  $0 \neq a \in N_0(G)$  and let  $g \in G$  be such that  $a(g) \neq 0$ . Define  $f$  by

$$f(k) := \begin{cases} g & k \neq 0 \\ 0 & k = 0 \end{cases} .$$

Then  $f \in N_0(G)$ . Let  $0 \neq x \in N_0(G)$  and let  $h \in G$  be such that  $x(h) \neq 0$ . Then  $afx(h) = a(g) \neq 0$ . If we let  $F := \{f\}$  we see that  $N_0(G)$  is strongly prime.

Conversely, suppose that the topology on  $G$  is not discrete. Let  $U$  be a nonempty clopen subset of  $G$  such that  $0 \notin U$ . Let  $0 \neq g \in G$  and let  $a \neq 0$  be defined by

$$a(k) := \begin{cases} g & k \in U \\ 0 & k \in G \setminus U \end{cases} .$$

Then  $a \in N_0(G)$ . Let  $F := \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  be a finite subset of  $N_0(G)$ . Since  $G \setminus U$  is clopen and  $f_i$  is continuous  $f_i^{-1}(G \setminus U)$  is clopen. Let  $V_i := f_i^{-1}(G \setminus U) \setminus U$ . Then  $V_i$  is clopen and  $0 \in V_i$ . Let  $V := \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i$ . Then  $V$  is clopen and  $0 \in V$ . Since  $G$  is  $T_0$  and not discrete,  $V$  is infinite. Let  $0 \neq h \in V$ . Then  $f_i(h) \notin U$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Define  $x \neq 0$  by

$$x(k) := \begin{cases} h & k \in U \\ 0 & k \in G \setminus U \end{cases} .$$

Then  $af_ix = 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , whence  $aFx = 0$ . Hence  $N_0(G)$  is not strongly prime.

(c) If  $G$  is finite, so is  $N_0(G)$ . Since  $N_0(G)$  is equiprime by (a), it follows easily that it is strongly equiprime.

Conversely, suppose that  $G$  is infinite. Let  $U$  be a proper clopen subset of  $G$  which contains 0, and let  $0 \neq g \in G$ . Define

$$a(k) := \begin{cases} 0 & k \in U \\ g & k \in G \setminus U \end{cases} .$$

Then  $0 \neq a \in N_0(G)$ . Let  $F := \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  be a finite subset of  $N_0(G)$ . Now the range of  $af_i$  has at most two points for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . It follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $\{af_1, \dots, af_n\}$  does not separate points. Let  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  be such that  $g_1 \neq g_2$  and  $af_i(g_1) = af_i(g_2)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $x$  and  $y$  be defined by

$$x(k) := \begin{cases} 0 & k \in U \\ g_1 & k \in G \setminus U \end{cases} , \quad y(k) := \begin{cases} 0 & k \in U \\ g_2 & k \in G \setminus U \end{cases} .$$

Then  $x, y \in N_0(G)$  and  $x \neq y$ . However  $af_ix = af_iy$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Hence  $N_0(G)$  is not strongly equiprime.  $\square$

### 3. Arcwise connected topological groups

In this section,  $G$  will be a  $T_0$ , arcwise connected topological group with more than one element. As is well known, this implies that  $G$  is completely regular (cf. Husain [6, pp 48-49, Theorems 4 and 5]).

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $0 \neq a \in N_0(G)$ . Then  $aN_0(G)$  separates points.*

*Proof.* Let  $g_1, g_2 \in G, g_1 \neq g_2$ . Let  $h \in G$  be such that  $a(h) = k \neq 0$ . Let  $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $p < q$ . Since  $G$  is completely regular and  $T_0$  (and hence  $T_1$ , so one-point sets are closed), there exists a continuous function  $\theta : G \rightarrow [p, q]$  such that  $\theta(g_1) = p, \theta(g_2) = q$ . Moreover,  $p, q$  can be chosen such that  $p \leq 0 \leq q$  and  $\theta(0) = 0$ . (If this is not so, replace  $\theta$  with  $\varphi$  where  $\varphi(z) := \theta(z) - \theta(0)$  and replace  $p$  and  $q$  with  $p - \theta(0)$  and  $q - \theta(0)$ , respectively. Clearly  $\varphi$  will map  $G$  into  $[p - \theta(0), q - \theta(0)]$ ,  $\varphi(0) = 0$ , and since 0 is in the range of  $\varphi$ ,  $p - \theta(0) \leq 0 \leq q - \theta(0)$ .) Now either  $p < 0$  or  $0 < q$ . Assume the latter. Since  $G$  is arcwise connected, there exists a continuous function  $\lambda : [0, q] \rightarrow G$  such that  $\lambda(0) = 0, \lambda(q) = h$ . Define  $\mu : [p, q] \rightarrow G$  by

$$\mu(t) := \begin{cases} 0 & p \leq t \leq 0 \\ \lambda(t) & 0 < t \leq q \end{cases}.$$

Then  $\mu$  is continuous. Let  $n := \mu\theta$ . Then  $n(0) = \mu\theta(0) = \mu(0) = 0$ . Hence  $n \in N_0(G)$ . Moreover,  $an(g_1) = a\mu\theta(g_1) = a\mu(p) = a(0) = 0$  and  $an(g_2) = a\mu\theta(g_2) = a\mu(q) = a\lambda(q) = a(h) = k \neq 0$ . Hence  $aN_0(G)$  separates points.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.**  $N_0(G)$  is equiprime.

*Proof.* Let  $a, x, y \in N_0(G), a \neq 0, x \neq y$ . Let  $g \in G$  be such that  $x(g) \neq y(g)$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $aN_0(G)$  separates points. Hence there exists  $n \in N_0(G)$  such that  $anx(g) \neq any(g)$  whence  $anx \neq any$ . Hence  $N_0(G)$  is equiprime.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.** Suppose that the topology on  $G$  has a base  $\mathcal{B}$  consisting of arcwise connected open sets. Then  $N_0(G)$  is not strongly prime (and hence not strongly equiprime).

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be an open set containing 0 whose closure  $\text{cl}(U)$  is not  $G$ . Let  $g \in G \setminus \text{cl}(U)$ . Since  $G$  is completely regular, there exists a continuous function  $\alpha : G \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\alpha(\text{cl}(U)) = 0$  and  $\alpha(g) = 1$ . Since  $G$  is arcwise connected, there exists a continuous function  $\beta : [0, 1] \rightarrow G$  such that  $\beta(0) = 0$  and  $\beta(1) = g$ . Let  $a := \beta\alpha$ . Then  $0 \neq a \in N_0(G)$  and  $a(U) = 0$ .

Now let  $F := \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  be a finite subset of  $N_0(G)$ . Let  $V_i := f_i^{-1}(U)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $V := \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i$ . Note that  $0 \in V$ . If  $V = G$ ,  $af_i = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  so  $aFx = 0$  for any  $0 \neq x \in N_0(G)$  and we are done. Suppose that  $V \neq G$ . Let  $W$  be an element of  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $0 \in W \subseteq V$ . We have that  $W \neq 0$ , since then  $G$  would be discrete; however, by the hypothesis at the beginning of this section,  $G$  has more than one element, and is connected, and thus cannot be discrete. Let  $0 \neq h \in W$ . Then there exists a continuous function  $\lambda : G \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\lambda(0) = 0$  and  $\lambda(h) = 1$ . Since  $W$  is arcwise connected, there exists a continuous function  $\mu : [0, 1] \rightarrow W$  with  $\mu(0) = 0$  and  $\mu(1) = h$ . Let  $x := \mu\lambda$ . Then  $x \in N_0(G)$ ,  $x(h) = h$  and  $x(G) \subseteq W \subseteq V$ . It follows that  $aFx = 0$  but  $x \neq 0$ . Hence  $N_0(G)$  is not strongly prime.  $\square$

#### 4. Sandwich near-rings

Let  $X$  and  $G$  be a topological space and a topological group respectively, and let  $\theta : G \rightarrow X$  be a continuous map. The *sandwich near-ring*  $N_0(G, X, \theta)$  is the set  $\{a : X \rightarrow G \mid a \text{ is}$

continuous and  $a\theta(0) = 0$ }. Addition is pointwise and multiplication is defined by  $a \cdot b := a\theta b$ . If the topologies on  $X$  and  $G$  are discrete we denote the near-ring by  $M_0(G, X, \theta)$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Suppose that  $X$  is a 0-dimensional,  $T_0$  topological space and  $G$  is a  $T_0$  topological group, both of which have more than one element. Then  $N_0(G, X, \theta)$  is equiprime if and only if  $\theta$  is injective and  $\text{cl}(\theta(G)) = G$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\theta$  is injective and that  $\text{cl}(\theta(G)) = X$ . Let  $a, b, c \in N_0(G, X, \theta)$ ,  $a \neq 0, b \neq c$ . Let  $x, y \in X$  be such that  $a(x) \neq 0, b(y) \neq c(y)$ . Note that we may assume, without loss of generality that  $x \in \theta(G)$ . (For if  $x \notin \theta(G)$ , it is a limit point of  $\theta(G)$ , since  $\text{cl}(\theta(G)) = X$ . By continuity of  $x$ , there exists an open set  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $x \in U, a(t) \neq 0$  for all  $t \in U$ . Then  $U \cap \theta(G) \neq \emptyset$ . Now replace  $x$  with any point  $z \in U \cap \theta(G)$ .) Let  $g \in G$  be such that  $\theta(g) = x$ . Since  $b(y) \neq c(y)$  either  $b(y) \neq 0$  or  $c(y) \neq 0$ . Assume the former. Since  $\theta$  is injective,  $\theta b(y) \neq \theta(0)$ . Since  $X$  is  $T_0$  and 0-dimensional, it is  $T_1$ . Hence there exists a clopen subset  $V$  of  $X$  such that  $\theta b(y) \in V, \theta(0) \notin V, \theta c(y) \notin V$ . Define  $n : X \rightarrow G$  by

$$n(t) := \begin{cases} g & t \in V \\ 0 & t \in X \setminus V \end{cases} .$$

Then  $n\theta(0) = 0$  and  $a \cdot n \cdot b(y) = a\theta n\theta b(y) = a\theta(g) = a(x) \neq 0$  and  $a \cdot n \cdot c(y) = a\theta n\theta c(y) = a\theta(0) = 0$ . Hence  $a \cdot n \cdot b \neq a \cdot n \cdot c$ , and so  $N_0(G, X, \theta)$  is equiprime.

Conversely, suppose that  $N_0(G, X, \theta)$  is equiprime. Let  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  be such that  $\theta(g_1) = \theta(g_2)$ . Let  $U$  be a clopen, proper subset of  $X$  such that  $\theta(0) \in U$ . Define  $a, b : X \rightarrow G$  by

$$a(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & x \in U \\ g_1 & x \in X \setminus U \end{cases} , \quad b(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in U \\ g_2 & x \in X \setminus U \end{cases} .$$

Then  $a, b \in N_0(G, X, \theta)$ ,  $a \neq 0$ , and it is easily verified that  $a \cdot n \cdot a = a \cdot n \cdot b$  for all  $n \in N_0(G, X, \theta)$ . Since  $N_0(G, X, \theta)$  is equiprime,  $a = b$  and so  $g_1 = g_2$ . Hence  $\theta$  is injective.

Suppose  $\text{cl}(\theta(G)) \neq X$ . Then there exists an element  $x$  of  $X$  which is not a limit point of  $\theta(G)$ . Since  $X$  is 0-dimensional, there exists a clopen subset  $V$  of  $X$  such that  $x \in V, V \cap \theta(G) = \emptyset$ . Let  $0 \neq h \in G$  and define  $c : X \rightarrow G$  by

$$c(x) := \begin{cases} h & x \in V \\ 0 & x \in X \setminus V \end{cases} .$$

Then  $c \in N_0(G, X, \theta)$  and  $c \cdot n \cdot c = 0 = c \cdot n \cdot 0$  for all  $n \in N_0(G, X, \theta)$ . Since  $c \neq 0$ , this implies that  $N_0(G, X, \theta)$  is not equiprime. This contradiction shows that  $\text{cl}(\theta(G)) = X$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.** 1. Proposition 4.1 generalises Proposition 9.1 of [11] if we take the topologies on  $G$  and  $X$  to be discrete. In this case the condition  $\text{cl}(\theta(G)) = X$  becomes  $\theta(G) = X$ , i.e.  $\theta$  is surjective.

2. If the conditions of Proposition 4.1 are satisfied, it need not hold that  $\theta(G) = X$ . For example, let  $\mathbb{Q}$  be the additive group of the rationals and let  $X := \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\sqrt{2}\}$ , both with the relative topology with respect to the usual topology on the real numbers. Then  $X$  is a 0-dimensional  $T_0$  space. Let  $\theta : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow X$  be the inclusion map. Then  $\theta$  is injective and  $\text{cl}(\theta(G)) = X$ . Thus  $N_0(\mathbb{Q}, X, \theta)$  is equiprime but  $\theta(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q} \neq X$ .

**Acknowledgement.** The authors are grateful to Prof. K.D. Magill and the referee for their valuable suggestions.

**References**

- [1] Booth, G. L. ; Groenewald, N. J.; Veldsman, S.: *A Kurosh-Amitsur prime radical for near-rings*. Comm. in Algebra **18**(9) (1990), 3111–3122. [Zbl 0706.16025](#)
- [2] Booth, G. L. ; Groenewald, N. J.; Veldsman, S.: *Strongly equiprime near-rings*. Quaestiones Math. **14**(4) (1991), 483–489. [Zbl 0756.16012](#)
- [3] Groenewald, N. J.: *Strongly prime near-rings*. Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **31**(3) (1988), 337–343. [Zbl 0682.16028](#)
- [4] Handelman, D.; Lawrence, J.: *Strongly prime rings*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **211** (1975), 209–223. [Zbl 0345.16004](#)
- [5] Higgins, P. J.: *Introduction to topological groups*. London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes, Cambridge University Press, London 1974. [Zbl 0288.22001](#)
- [6] Husain, T.: *Introduction to topological groups*. W.B. Saunders Co, Philadelphia and London 1966. [Zbl 0136.29402](#)
- [7] Kelley, J. L.: *General topology*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **27**, Springer Verlag, Berlin 1975. [Zbl 0306.54002](#)
- [8] Magill, K. D.: *Near-rings of continuous self-maps: a brief survey and some open problems*. Proc. Conf. San Bernadetto del Tronto 1981, (1982), 25–47. [Zbl 0516.16027](#)
- [9] Magill, K. D.: *A survey of topological nearrings and nearrings of continuous functions*. Proc. Tenn. Top. Conf., World Scientific Pub. Co., Singapore 1997, 121–140. [Zbl 0915.54033](#)
- [10] Pilz, G.: *Near-rings*. 2nd ed., North-Holland, Amsterdam 1983. [Zbl 0521.16028](#)
- [11] Veldsman, S.: *On equiprime near-rings*. Comm. in Algebra **20**(9) (1992), 2569–2587. [Zbl 0795.16034](#)

Received April 11, 2002; revised version November 5, 2002