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Let $\Lambda$ be a finite dimensional, connected, associative algebra with unit over a field $k$. Let $n$ be the number of isomorphism classes of simple $\Lambda$-modules. By $\bmod \Lambda$ we denote the category of finite dimensional left $\Lambda$-modules.
A module ${ }_{\Lambda} T \in \bmod \Lambda$ is called a tilting module if
(i) the projective dimension $\operatorname{pd}_{\Lambda} T$ of ${ }_{\Lambda} T$ is finite, and
(ii) $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{i}(T, T)=0$ for all $i>0$, and
(iii) there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda} \Lambda \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda} T^{1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda} T^{d} \rightarrow 0$ with ${ }_{\Lambda} T^{i} \in \operatorname{add}_{\Lambda} T$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d$.
Here $\operatorname{add}_{\Lambda} T$ denotes the category of direct sums of direct summands of ${ }_{\Lambda} T$.
Tilting modules play an important role in many branches of mathematics such as representation theory of Artin algebras or the theory of algebraic groups.

Let $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} T_{i}$ be the decomposition of ${ }_{\Lambda} T$ into indecomposable direct summands. We call ${ }_{\Lambda} T$ basic if ${ }_{\Lambda} T_{i} \not \not{ }_{\Lambda} T_{j}$ for all $i \neq j$. A basic tilting module has $n$ indecomposable direct summands.

A direct summand ${ }_{\Lambda} M$ of a basic tilting module ${ }_{\Lambda} T$ is called an almost complete tilting module if ${ }_{\Lambda} M$ has $n-1$ indecomposable direct summands.

Let $\mathcal{T}(\Lambda)$ be the set of all non isomorphic basic tilting modules over $\Lambda$. We associate with $\mathcal{T}(\Lambda)$ a quiver $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}(\Lambda)}$ as follows: The vertices of $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}(\Lambda)}$ are the tilting modules in $\mathcal{T}(\Lambda)$, and there is an arrow ${ }_{\Lambda} T^{\prime} \rightarrow_{\Lambda} T$ if ${ }_{\Lambda} T$ and ${ }_{\Lambda} T^{\prime}$ have a common direct summand which is an 0138-4821/93 \$ 2.50 © 2004 Heldermann Verlag
almost complete tilting module and if $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{1}\left(T, T^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$. We call $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}(\Lambda)}$ the quiver of tilting modules over $\Lambda$. With $\mathcal{K}(\Lambda)$ we denote the underlying graph of $\mathcal{K}(\Lambda)$. It has been recently shown [7] that $\mathcal{K}(\Lambda)$ is the Hasse diagram of a partial order of tilting modules which was basically introduced in [10]. From this it follows, that $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}(\Lambda)}$ has no oriented cycles.

If $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}(\Lambda)}$ is finite, then it is connected. Examples show that $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}(\Lambda)}$ may be rather complicated. One measure for the complicatedness of a graph $G$ is its genus $\gamma(G)$. This is the minimal genus of an orientable surface on which $G$ can be embedded.

The aim of these notes is to show that there are finite quivers of tilting modules of arbitrary genus. To be precise, we prove:

Theorem 1. For all integers $r \geq 0$ there is a representation finite, connected algebra $\Lambda_{r}$ such that $\gamma\left(\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)\right)=r$.

The proof of the theorem is constructive. For each $r \in \mathbb{N}$ we give an explicit example of an algebra $\Lambda_{r}$ and embed $\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)$ in an orientable surface of genus $r$. This gives an upper bound for $\gamma\left(\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)\right)$. Then we use general results from graph theory to show that the bound is sharp. This will be done in Section 3. In Section 1 we recall some basic facts about tilting modules and embeddings of graphs. In Section 2 we introduce the algebras $\Lambda_{r}$ and derive some properties of $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)}$. For unexplained terminology and results from representation theory we refer to [1], and from graph theory to [8].
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## 1. Preliminaries

### 1.1. The construction of $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}(\Lambda)}$

Let ${ }_{\Lambda} M$ be a direct summand of a tilting module. A basic $\Lambda$-module ${ }_{\Lambda} X$ is called a complement to ${ }_{\Lambda} M$ if ${ }_{\Lambda} M \oplus_{\Lambda} X$ is a tilting module and if add $M \cap \operatorname{add} X=0$. It was proved in [5] that every direct summand of a tilting module has a distinguished complement ${ }_{\Lambda} X$ which is characterized by the fact that there is no epimorphism ${ }_{\Lambda} E \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda} X$ with ${ }_{\Lambda} E \in \operatorname{add}{ }_{\Lambda} M$. The module ${ }_{\Lambda} X$ is unique up to isomorphism, and it is called the source complement to ${ }_{\Lambda} M$. There is the dual concept of a source complement. A complement ${ }_{\Lambda} Y$ to ${ }_{\Lambda} M$ is called a sink complement to a direct summand ${ }_{\Lambda} M$ of a tilting module, if there is no monomorphism ${ }_{\Lambda} Y \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda} E$ with ${ }_{\Lambda} E \in \operatorname{add}_{\Lambda} M$. In contrast to source complements, sink complements do not always exist. If ${ }_{\Lambda} M$ has a sink complement then it is unique up to isomorphism [6]. The source and the sink complement to an almost complete tilting module ${ }_{\Lambda} M$ coincide if and only if ${ }_{\Lambda} M$ is not faithful [4]. The following result is basically contained in [4], compare [6].

Proposition 1. Let ${ }_{\Lambda} M$ be a faithful almost complete tilting module. Let ${ }_{\Lambda} X$ be a complement to ${ }_{\Lambda} M$ which is not the sink complement to ${ }_{\Lambda} M$. Then
(1) there is a complement ${ }_{\Lambda} Y$ to ${ }_{\Lambda} M$ which is not isomorphic to ${ }_{\Lambda} X$,
(2) there is an exact sequence $\eta: 0 \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda} X \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda} E \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda} Y \rightarrow 0$ with ${ }_{\Lambda} E \in \operatorname{add}_{\Lambda} M$,
(3) $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{i}(X, Y)=0$ for all $i>0$, and $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{i}(Y, X)=0$ for all $i>1$,
(4) the module ${ }_{\Lambda} Y$ is uniquely determined by the property (2).

We call $\eta$ the sequence connecting the complements ${ }_{\Lambda} X$ and ${ }_{\Lambda} Y$ to ${ }_{\Lambda} M$. This result allows an alternative definition of the quiver $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}(\Lambda)}$ which is more useful for calculations. The vertices are the elements from $\mathcal{T}(\Lambda)$ as above. There is an arrow ${ }_{\Lambda} T^{\prime} \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda} T$ in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}(\Lambda)}$ if ${ }_{\Lambda} T^{\prime}={ }_{\Lambda} M \oplus_{\Lambda} X$ and ${ }_{\Lambda} T={ }_{\Lambda} M \oplus_{\Lambda} Y$ where ${ }_{\Lambda} X$ and ${ }_{\Lambda} Y$ are indecomposable, and if there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda} X \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda} E \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda} Y \rightarrow 0$ with ${ }_{\Lambda} E \in \operatorname{add}{ }_{\Lambda} M$.

If $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}(\Lambda)}$ is finite, then it is connected. Then the definition of $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}(\Lambda)}$ yields an algorithm to construct $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}(\Lambda)}$. We write the tilting module ${ }_{\Lambda} \Lambda$ as a direct sum of indecomposable modules ${ }_{\Lambda} \Lambda=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \Lambda_{\Lambda} \Lambda_{i}$. Then ${ }_{\Lambda} \Lambda_{i}$ is the source complement to ${ }_{\Lambda} \Lambda[i]=\bigoplus_{j \neq i} \Lambda_{j}$. If ${ }_{\Lambda} \Lambda_{i}$ is not the sink complement to ${ }_{\Lambda} \Lambda[i]$ we construct the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda} \Lambda_{i} \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda} E_{i} \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda} Y_{i} \rightarrow 0$ with ${ }_{\Lambda} E_{i} \in \operatorname{add}{ }_{\Lambda} \Lambda[i]$ connecting the complements ${ }_{\Lambda} \Lambda_{i}$ and ${ }_{\Lambda} Y_{i}$ to ${ }_{\Lambda} \Lambda[i]$. In this way we construct all neighbors of ${ }_{\Lambda} \Lambda$. We now proceed analogously with the neighbors of ${ }_{\Lambda} \Lambda$ and all vertices we constructed. Since $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}(\Lambda)}$ is finite and connected and has no oriented cycles this algorithm stops when we constructed all basic tilting modules over $\Lambda$.

### 1.2. Embeddings of graphs

Let $G$ be a connected, finite graph with $p$ vertices and $q$ edges. We think of $G$ as embedded on a surface $\mathcal{S}$. Then $G$ forms a polyhedron of genus $\gamma(G)$. From the Euler polyhedron formula Beinecke and Harary [3] deduce the following lower bound for $\gamma(G)$ which we shall use in Section 3.

Proposition 2. If $G$ is connected and has no triangles, then $\gamma(G) \geq \frac{1}{4} q-\frac{1}{2}(p-2)$.
In general this bound is not sharp. As an example we consider the following graph $G$ which will become important in Section 3.


This graph has 18 vertices and 29 edges, hence the formula yields $\gamma(G) \geq-\frac{3}{4}$.

But $G$ is not even planar, namely it contains the subgraph

which is homeomorphic to


This graph is isomorphic to the complete bigraph $K_{3,3}: \underbrace{1}_{1^{\prime}} \overbrace{2^{\prime}}^{2} \int_{3^{\prime}}^{3}$. Kuratowski's theorem [9] implies $\gamma(G) \geq 1$. Conversely, we draw $G$ differently and shade some of its faces:


We push a cylinder through the lower cube, close it under the upper square, adjust the vertices and edges accordingly and obtain an embedding of $G$ on a torus. To be precise, the
following figure shows an embedding of $G$ on a torus:


The parallel dotted lines have to be identified. Hence $\gamma(G)=1$.
2. The algebras $\Lambda_{r}$ and properties of $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)}$

### 2.1. The algebras $\Lambda_{r}$

Let $\Lambda_{1}$ be the path algebra of the quiver $\vec{\Delta}_{1}$ :

bound by the relation $\alpha \beta=\gamma \delta$.
For all $r>1$ let $\Lambda_{r}$ be the path algebra of the quiver $\vec{\Delta}_{r}$ :

bound by the relations $\alpha \beta=\gamma \delta$ and $\operatorname{rad}^{2}=0$, i.e. the composition of two consecutive arrows in $\vec{\Delta}_{r} \backslash\{a\}$ is zero.

The Auslander-Reiten quivers $\vec{\Gamma}_{\Lambda_{r}}$ of $\Lambda_{r}$ are as follows:

$$
\vec{\Gamma}_{\Lambda_{1}}
$$


and for $r>1$


Here $S_{x}$ denotes the simple module corresponding to the vertex $x$, the module $P_{x}$ is the projective cover of $S_{x}$ and $I_{x}$ denotes the injective hull of $I_{x}$. Moreover, $X$ is the radical of $P_{d}=I_{a}$ and $Y=I_{a} /$ soc $I_{a}$, where soc $I_{a}$ is the socle of $I_{a}$.

For all $1 \leq i \leq r$ we identify an indecomposable $\Lambda_{i}$-module $\Lambda_{\Lambda_{i}} M$ with the corresponding $\Lambda_{j}$-module $\Lambda_{j} M, j \geq i$, whose support is $\Lambda_{i}$. With this identification $\vec{\Gamma}_{\Lambda_{i}}$ is a full, convex subquiver of $\vec{\Gamma}_{\Lambda_{j}}$ for all $1 \leq i<j \leq r$.

We have $\operatorname{gl} \operatorname{dim} \Lambda_{i}=i+1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, where $\operatorname{gl} \operatorname{dim} \Lambda$ denotes the global dimension of an algebra $\Lambda$. The simple module $S_{d}$ is the unique indecomposable module of projective dimension 2, the modules $I_{d}, S_{1}, S_{2}$ are the unique indecomposable modules of projective dimension 3, and for all $3 \leq j \leq r$ the module $S_{j}$ is the unique indecomposable module of projective dimension $j+1$. These observations show:

Remark 1. Let $1 \leq j \leq r-1$. A non projective indecomposable module ${ }_{\Lambda_{j}} X$ lies in $\bmod \Lambda_{j} \backslash \bmod \Lambda_{j-1}$ if and only if $\operatorname{pd}_{\Lambda_{j}} X=j+1$.

### 2.2. Properties of the quiver $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)}$

The following technical lemmas roughly describe the structure of the quiver $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)}$. Let $r$ be an integer, $r \geq 2$, and let $1 \leq i<j \leq r$. We decompose the projective module $\Lambda_{j} \Lambda_{j}$ into ${ }_{\Lambda_{j}} \Lambda_{j}={ }_{\Lambda_{j}} \Lambda_{i} \oplus{ }_{\Lambda_{j}} P_{i j}$. Hence ${ }_{\Lambda_{j}} P_{i j}$ is the maximal direct summand of $\Lambda_{j} \Lambda_{j}$ with $\operatorname{add}_{\Lambda_{j}} P_{i j} \cap \operatorname{add}_{\Lambda_{j}} \Lambda_{i}=0$.

Lemma 1. Let $1 \leq i<j \leq r$, and let $\Lambda_{i} T$ and ${\Lambda_{i}} T^{\prime}$ be tilting modules over $\Lambda_{i}$. Then
(a) $\Lambda_{j} T \oplus \Lambda_{j} M$ is a tilting module over $\Lambda_{j}$ if and only if $\Lambda_{j} M={ }_{\Lambda_{j}} P_{i j}$.
(b) ${\Lambda_{j}} T^{\prime} \oplus_{\Lambda_{j}} P_{i j} \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda_{j}} T \oplus_{\Lambda_{j}} P_{i j}$ is an arrow in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{j}\right)}$ if and only if $\Lambda_{i} T^{\prime} \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda_{i}} T$ is an arrow in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)}$.

Proof. (a) Since ${ }_{\Lambda_{j}} P_{i j}$ is projective, $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda_{j}}^{k}\left(P_{i j}, T\right)=0$ for all $k>0$. Since no indecomposable direct summand of $\Lambda_{j} T$ is a successor of an indecomposable direct summand of ${ }_{\Lambda_{j}} P_{i j}$ in the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\Lambda_{j}$, it follows that $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda_{j}}^{k}\left(T, P_{i j}\right)=0$ for all $k>0$. Hence $\Lambda_{j} T \oplus_{\Lambda_{j}} P_{i j}$ is a tilting module. The module $\Lambda_{j} P_{i j}$ is the source and the sink complement to $\Lambda_{j} T$, hence the unique complement.
(b) There is an arrow ${\Lambda_{j}} T^{\prime} \oplus_{\Lambda_{j}} P_{i j} \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda_{j}} T \oplus_{\Lambda_{j}} P_{i j}$ if and only if $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda_{j}}^{1}\left(T \oplus P_{i j}, T^{\prime} \oplus P_{i j}\right) \neq 0$ and if $\Lambda_{j} T \oplus \Lambda_{j} P_{i j}$ and ${\Lambda_{j}} T^{\prime} \oplus \Lambda_{j} P_{i j}$ have a common direct summand which is an almost
complete tilting module. Equivalently, $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda_{i}}^{1}\left(T, T^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$ and ${\Lambda_{i}} T$ and ${\Lambda_{i}} T^{\prime}$ have a common direct summand which is an almost complete tilting module, hence if and only if there is an arrow ${ }_{\Lambda_{i}} T^{\prime} \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda_{i}} T$ in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)}$.

In particular, we may identify $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)}$ with the full convex subquiver of $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)}, 1 \leq i<r$, with vertices $\Lambda_{\Lambda_{r}} T \oplus_{\Lambda_{r}} P_{i r}$ where $\Lambda_{i} T$ are the tilting modules over $\Lambda_{i}$. With this identification, the building blocks of $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)}$ are the subquivers $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)} \backslash \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i-1}\right)}$ of $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)}$ with $1 \leq i \leq r$. To simplify the notation we denote by $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)} \backslash \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{0}\right)}$ the subquiver $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)}$ of $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)}$. The next lemma gives an algebraic description of the vertices in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)} \backslash \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i-1}\right)}$ of $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)}$ with $1<i \leq r$.

Lemma 2. For all $1<i \leq r$, the subquiver $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)} \backslash \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i-1}\right)}$ of $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)}$ has as vertices all tilting modules of projective dimension $i+1$.
Proof. With the previous lemma, $\Lambda_{r} T \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)}$ if and only if ${\Lambda_{r} T}^{T}={\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime} \oplus{ }_{\Lambda_{r}} P_{i r}$ with ${ }_{\Lambda_{i}} T^{\prime}$ a tilting module over $\Lambda_{i}$. Using the lemma again, ${ }_{\Lambda_{i}} T^{\prime} \notin \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i-1}\right)}$ if and only if there is an indecomposable, non projective direct summand ${\Lambda_{r}} X$ of ${\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime}$ with ${\Lambda_{r}} X \in \bmod \Lambda_{i} \backslash \bmod \Lambda_{i-1}$. With the remark in 2.1, this holds if and only if $\operatorname{pd}_{\Lambda_{i}} X=i+1$.

Next we study arrows in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)}$ between vertices in different building blocks of $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)}$.
Lemma 3. Let $1 \leq i<j \leq r$. Let ${ }_{\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime} \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)} \backslash \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i-1}\right)}$ and ${ }_{\Lambda_{r}} T \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{j}\right)} \backslash \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{j-1}\right)}$ be tilting modules over $\Lambda_{r}$.
(a) There are no arrows ${\Lambda_{r}} T \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime}$ in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)}$.
(b) If there is an arrow $\Lambda_{\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime} \rightarrow \Lambda_{\Lambda_{r}} T$ in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)}$ then $\operatorname{pd}_{\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime}=i+1$ and $\operatorname{pd}_{\Lambda_{r}} T=i+2$. In particular, $j=i+1$.

Proof. (a) Assume there is an arrow ${ }_{\Lambda_{r}} T \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime}$ in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)}$ with ${\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime} \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)} \backslash \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i-1}\right)}$ and $\Lambda_{\Lambda_{r}} \underline{T} \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{\underline{j}}\right)} \backslash \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{j-1}\right)}$ and $i<j$. Then ${\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime}={\Lambda_{r}}^{T^{\prime}} \oplus_{\Lambda_{r}} P_{i r}$ and ${\Lambda_{r}} T={ }_{\Lambda_{r}} \bar{T} \oplus_{\Lambda_{r}} P_{j r}$, where $\Lambda_{i} \overline{T^{\prime}}$ and $\Lambda_{j} \bar{T}$ are tilting modules over $\Lambda_{i}$ respectively $\Lambda_{j}$. Note that $\Lambda_{\Lambda_{r}} P_{j r}$ is a direct summand of ${\Lambda_{r}} P_{i r}$. Then $0 \neq \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda_{r}}^{1}\left(T^{\prime}, T\right)=\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda_{r}}^{1}\left(\overline{T^{\prime}}, \bar{T}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda_{j}}^{1}\left(\overline{T^{\prime}}, \bar{T}\right)$. Since ${\Lambda_{r}}^{T} \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{j}\right)} \backslash \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{j-1}\right)}$ there is an indecomposable direct summand $\Lambda_{j} X \in \bmod \Lambda_{j} \backslash \Lambda_{j-1}$ of $\Lambda_{j} \bar{T}$ with $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda_{j}}^{1}\left(\overline{T^{\prime}}, X\right) \neq$ 0 . This is a contradiction since $\Lambda_{j} X$ is not a predecessor of an indecomposable direct summand of $\Lambda_{j} T^{\prime}$ in $\vec{\Gamma}_{\Lambda_{j}}$.
(b) Let ${ }_{\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime} \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda_{r}} T$ in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)}$ be an arrow in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)}$ with ${\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime} \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)} \backslash \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i-1}\right)}$ and $\Lambda_{r} T \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{j}\right)} \backslash \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{j-1}\right)}$. Let $\eta: 0 \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda_{r}} X \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda_{r}} E \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda_{r}} Y \rightarrow 0$ be the corresponding sequence connecting the complements $\Lambda_{\Lambda_{r}} X$ and ${\Lambda_{r}} Y$, where $\Lambda_{\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime}={\Lambda_{r}} X \oplus{ }_{\Lambda_{r}} M$ and ${\Lambda_{r}} T={ }_{\Lambda_{r}} Y \oplus_{\Lambda_{r}} M$. Since ${ }_{\Lambda_{r}} T \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{j}\right)} \backslash \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{j-1}\right)}$ it follows that ${ }_{\Lambda_{r}} Y \in \bmod \Lambda_{j} \backslash \bmod \Lambda_{j-1}$, hence $\operatorname{pd}_{\Lambda_{r}} Y=j+1$. Let $\Lambda_{r} Z \in \bmod \Lambda_{r}$ with $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda_{r}}^{j+1}(Y, Z) \neq 0$. We apply $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda_{r}}(-, Z)$ to $\eta$ and obtain $\operatorname{pd}_{\Lambda_{r}} X=$ $j$. Since ${ }_{\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime} \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)} \backslash \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i-1}\right)}$ and $i \neq j$ we get $j=i+1$, the assertion.

As a consequence we obtain

Lemma 4. Let $r>1$.
(a) There is an arrow ${ }_{\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime} \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda_{r}} T$ in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)}$ with ${\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime} \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)}$ and ${ }_{\Lambda_{r}} T \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)} \backslash \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)}$ if and only if $\Lambda_{r} T^{\prime}={ }_{\Lambda_{r}} S_{d} \oplus \Lambda_{\Lambda_{r}} M$ and ${\Lambda_{r}}^{T}={ }_{\Lambda_{r}} I_{d} \oplus \Lambda_{\Lambda_{r}} M$.
(b) Let $3 \leq i \leq r$. There is an arrow ${ }_{\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime} \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda_{r}} T$ in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)}$ with ${\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime} \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i-1}\right)}$ and $\Lambda_{r} T \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)} \backslash \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i-1}\right)}$ if and only if $\Lambda_{r} T^{\prime}={ }_{\Lambda_{r}} S_{i-1} \oplus_{\Lambda_{r}} M$ and $\Lambda_{r} T={ }_{\Lambda_{r}} S_{i} \oplus_{\Lambda_{r}} M$.

Proof. (a) Let ${ }_{\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime} \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda_{r}} T$ be an arrow in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)}$ with ${\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime} \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)}$ and ${\Lambda_{r}}^{T} \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)} \backslash \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)}$. Then $\operatorname{pd}_{\Lambda_{r}} T=3$ with Lemma 2 and $\operatorname{pd}_{\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime}=2$. Then $\Lambda_{\Lambda_{r}} S_{d}$ is a direct summand of $\Lambda_{r} T$. Moreover, Lemma 1 shows that $\Lambda_{\Lambda_{r}} P_{1} \oplus \Lambda_{\Lambda_{r}} P_{2}$ is a direct summand of $\Lambda_{r} T$. Hence the sequence connecting the complements is the Auslander-Reiten sequence, which implies that ${\Lambda_{r}}^{\prime} T^{\prime}={ }_{\Lambda_{r}} S_{d} \oplus{ }_{\Lambda_{r}} M$ and ${\Lambda_{r}} T={ }_{\Lambda_{r}} I_{d} \oplus{ }_{\Lambda_{r}} M$. Conversely, if $\Lambda_{\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime}={ }_{\Lambda_{r}} S_{d} \oplus \Lambda_{\Lambda_{r}} M$ and $\Lambda_{\Lambda_{r}} T={\Lambda_{r}} I_{d} \oplus_{\Lambda_{r}} M$, the Auslander-Reiten sequence starting in $\Lambda_{\Lambda_{r}} S_{d}$ lies in $\operatorname{add}\left(\Lambda_{r} T \oplus_{\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime}\right)$. Hence we obtain an arrow ${ }_{\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime} \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda_{r}} T$ in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)}$ with ${ }_{\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime} \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)}$ and ${ }_{\Lambda_{r}} T \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)} \backslash \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)}$.
(b) Let $3 \leq i \leq r$ and let ${\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime} \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda_{r}} T$ be an arrow in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)}$ with ${ }_{\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime} \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i-1}\right)}$ and ${\Lambda_{r}}_{r} T \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)} \backslash \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i-1}\right)}$. Then $\operatorname{pd}_{\Lambda_{r}} T=i+1$ and $\operatorname{pd}_{\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime}=i$. Since $2<i$ it follows that $\Lambda_{r} S_{i-1}$ is a direct summand of of $\Lambda_{\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime}$ and $\Lambda_{r} S_{i}$ is a direct summand of of $\Lambda_{r} T$. Conversely, if $\Lambda_{r} T^{\prime}={ }_{\Lambda_{r}} S_{i-1} \oplus_{\Lambda_{r}} M$ and ${\Lambda_{r}} T={ }_{\Lambda_{r}} S_{i} \oplus_{\Lambda_{r}} M$ then the Auslander-Reiten sequence starting in ${ }_{\Lambda_{r}} S_{i-1}$ lies in $\underset{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)}{ }\left(\Lambda_{r} T \oplus_{\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime}\right)$. This yields an arrow ${ }_{\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime} \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda_{r}} T$ in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)}$ with ${\Lambda_{r}} T^{\prime} \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i-1}\right)}$ and ${\Lambda_{r}}^{T} \in \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)} \backslash \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i-1}\right)}$.

To summarize our observations in this section we obtain the following structure of $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)}$ :


There are arrows from vertices in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)} \backslash \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i-1}\right)}$ to vertices in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{j}\right)} \backslash \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{j-1}\right)}$ if and only if $j=i+1$.

## 3. The proof of the theorem

### 3.1. An embedding of $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)}$

We use induction on $r$ to embed $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)}$ on a surface of genus $r$.

Let $r=1$. Direct calculation shows that $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)}$ equals

where the parallel dotted lines have to be identified. We saw in 1.2 that the underlying graph $\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)$ ) of $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)}$ has genus 1 , hence can be embedded on a torus $T_{1}$. The vertices of $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)}$ are the tilting modules

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
X_{1}^{1}=P_{a} \oplus P_{b} \oplus P_{c} \oplus P_{d}, & X_{2}^{1}=P_{a} \oplus P_{c} \oplus I_{c} \oplus P_{d}, & X_{3}^{1}=P_{a} \oplus P_{b} \oplus I_{b} \oplus P_{d}, \\
X_{4}^{1}=P_{a} \oplus I_{b} \oplus I_{c} \oplus P_{d}, & X_{5}^{1}=P_{b} \oplus P_{c} \oplus X \oplus P_{d}, & X_{6}^{1}=P_{c} \oplus S_{c} \oplus X \oplus P_{d}, \\
X_{7}^{1}=P_{b} \oplus S_{b} \oplus X \oplus P_{d}, & X_{8}^{1}=S_{b} \oplus S_{c} \oplus X \oplus P_{d}, & X_{9}^{1}=P_{c} \oplus I_{c} \oplus S_{c} \oplus P_{d}, \\
X_{10}^{1}=S_{b} \oplus S_{c} \oplus Y \oplus P_{d}, & X_{11}^{1}=S_{c} \oplus I_{c} \oplus Y \oplus P_{d}, & X_{12}^{1}=S_{b} \oplus I_{b} \oplus Y \oplus P_{d}, \\
X_{13}^{1}=I_{b} \oplus I_{c} \oplus Y \oplus P_{d}, & X_{14}^{1}=P_{b} \oplus I_{b} \oplus S_{b} \oplus P_{d}, & X_{15}^{1}=P_{b} \oplus P_{c} \oplus S_{d} \oplus P_{d}, \\
X_{16}^{1}=P_{c} \oplus I_{c} \oplus S_{d} \oplus P_{d}, & X_{17}^{1}=P_{b} \oplus I_{b} \oplus S_{d} \oplus P_{d}, & X_{18}^{1}=I_{b} \oplus I_{c} \oplus S_{d} \oplus P_{d} .
\end{array}
$$

Let $r=2$. The quiver $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)}$ is the full convex subquiver of $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)}$ with vertices ${ }_{\Lambda_{2}} X_{i}^{2}=$ $\Lambda_{2} \xrightarrow{X_{i}^{1} \oplus_{\Lambda_{2}}} P_{12}$, where $\Lambda_{\Lambda_{2}} P_{12}={ }_{\Lambda_{2}} P_{1} \oplus_{\Lambda_{2}} P_{2}$. The quiver $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)} \backslash \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)}$ is the full convex subquiver of $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)}$ with vertices the tilting modules of projective dimension 3. Direct calculations show that $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)} \backslash \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)}$ is

where we identify along the parallel horizontal, respectively vertical lines. It follows that $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)} \backslash \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)}$ can be embedded on a torus $T_{2}$. The vertices of $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)} \backslash \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)}$ are the tilting modules

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
Y_{1}^{2}=P_{d} \oplus I_{d} \oplus I_{c} \oplus I_{b} \oplus P_{1} \oplus S_{1}, & Y_{2}^{2}=P_{d} \oplus I_{d} \oplus I_{c} \oplus P_{c} \oplus P_{1} \oplus S_{1}, \\
Y_{3}^{2}=P_{d} \oplus I_{d} \oplus I_{c} \oplus P_{c} \oplus P_{1} \oplus P_{2}, & Y_{4}^{2}=P_{d} \oplus I_{d} \oplus I_{b} \oplus I_{c} \oplus P_{1} \oplus P_{2}, \\
Y_{5}^{2}=P_{d} \oplus I_{d} \oplus I_{c} \oplus I_{b} \oplus S_{2} \oplus S_{1}, & Y_{6}^{2}=P_{d} \oplus I_{d} \oplus I_{c} \oplus P_{c} \oplus S_{2} \oplus S_{1}, \\
Y_{7}^{2}=P_{d} \oplus I_{d} \oplus I_{c} \oplus P_{c} \oplus S_{2} \oplus P_{2}, & Y_{8}^{2}=P_{d} \oplus I_{d} \oplus I_{c} \oplus I_{b} \oplus S_{2} \oplus P_{2}, \\
Y_{9}^{2}=P_{d} \oplus I_{d} \oplus P_{b} \oplus I_{b} \oplus S_{2} \oplus S_{1}, & Y_{10}^{2}=P_{d} \oplus I_{d} \oplus P_{b} \oplus P_{c} \oplus S_{2} \oplus S_{1}, \\
Y_{11}^{2}=P_{d} \oplus I_{d} \oplus P_{b} \oplus P_{c} \oplus S_{2} \oplus P_{2}, & Y_{12}^{2}=P_{d} \oplus I_{d} \oplus P_{b} \oplus I_{b} \oplus S_{2} \oplus P_{2}, \\
Y_{13}^{2}=P_{d} \oplus I_{d} \oplus P_{b} \oplus I_{b} \oplus P_{1} \oplus S_{1}, & Y_{14}^{2}=P_{d} \oplus I_{d} \oplus P_{b} \oplus P_{c} \oplus P_{1} \oplus S_{1}, \\
Y_{15}^{2}=P_{d}^{\oplus} \oplus I_{d} \oplus P_{b} \oplus P_{c} \oplus P_{1} \oplus P_{2}, & Y_{16}^{2}=P_{d} \oplus I_{d} \oplus P_{b} \oplus I_{b} \oplus P_{1} \oplus P_{2} .
\end{array}
$$


bound squares on $T_{1}$ respectively $T_{2}$. In $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)}$ they are joint as follows:


We cut out the interiors of $\vec{Q}_{1}$ on $T_{1}$ and $\vec{Q}_{2}$ on $T_{2}$ and insert a cylinder connecting $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$. We obtain a surface of genus 2 on which $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)}$ can be embedded:


Let $r>2$. We abbreviate the injective $\Lambda_{r}$-module by ${\Lambda_{r}} P_{d} \oplus \Lambda_{\Lambda_{r}} I_{d}$ by $\Lambda_{\Lambda_{r}} I$ and the projectiveinjective $\Lambda_{r}$-module $\bigoplus_{i=3}^{r} \Lambda_{r} P_{i}$ by $\Lambda_{r} P_{2 r}$. Direct calculations show that $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)} \backslash \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r-1}\right)}$ is

with

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
Z_{1}=I \oplus P_{2 r} \oplus S_{r} \oplus I_{c} \oplus P_{c} \oplus S_{1}, & Z_{2}=I \oplus P_{2 r} \oplus S_{r} \oplus P_{b} \oplus I_{c} \oplus S_{1}, \\
Z_{3}=I \oplus P_{2 r} \oplus S_{r} \oplus P_{b} \oplus P_{c} \oplus P_{2}, & Z_{4}=I \oplus P_{2 r} \oplus S_{r} \oplus I_{c} \oplus P_{c} \oplus P_{2}, \\
Z_{5}=I \oplus P_{2 r} \oplus S_{r} \oplus I_{c} \oplus I_{b} \oplus S_{1}, & Z_{6}=I \oplus P_{2 r} \oplus S_{r} \oplus P_{b} \oplus I_{b} \oplus P_{2} \\
Z_{7}=I \oplus P_{2 r} \oplus S_{r} \oplus P_{b} \oplus I_{b} \oplus P_{2}, & Z_{8}=I \oplus P_{2 r} \oplus S_{r} \oplus I_{c} \oplus I_{b} \oplus P_{2} .
\end{array}
$$

We assume by induction that $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r-1}\right)}$ is embedded on a surface $\mathcal{S}_{r-1}$ of genus $r-1$ such that
a)

or
b)

bound squares on $\mathcal{S}_{r-1}$. Here $Z_{i}^{\prime}, 1 \leq i \leq 4$, denotes the $\Lambda_{r-1}$-module which we obtain when we replace the direct summand $S_{r}$ of $Z_{i}$ by $S_{r-1}$ and the direct summand $P_{2 r}$ by $P_{2, r-1}$. For $r-1=2$, let $P_{2, r-1}=0$.

Note that this assumption is satisfied for $r-1=2$. We embedded $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)}$ on a surface $\mathcal{S}_{2}$ of genus 2 and the subquivers

bound squares on $\mathcal{S}_{2}$.
The quiver $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r-1}\right)}$ is the full convex subquiver of $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)}$ with vertices the tilting modules over $\Lambda_{r}$ of the form ${\Lambda_{r}} T \oplus_{\Lambda_{r}} P_{r}$, where ${\Lambda_{r}} T$ is a tilting module over $\Lambda_{r-1}$. Note that there is an arrow ${ }_{\Lambda_{r}} Z_{i}^{\prime \prime}={ }_{\Lambda_{r}} Z_{i}^{\prime} \oplus{ }_{\Lambda_{r}} P_{r} \rightarrow{ }_{\Lambda_{r}} Z_{i}$ in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)}$.

Let us assume first that we are in the situation (a). We cut out the interiors of the squares $\vec{Q}_{1}$ and $\vec{Q}_{2}$ and insert a handle


On this handle we embed $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)} \backslash \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r-1}\right)}$ and the arrows joining $Z_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ and $Z_{i}^{\prime}$ :


This yields an embedding of $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)}$ on a surface $\mathcal{S}_{r}$ of genus $r$ and the squares

bound squares on $\mathcal{S}_{r}$.
We proceed analogously in case (b), and it follows that $\gamma\left(\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)\right) \leq r$.

### 3.2. A lower bound for $\gamma\left(\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)\right)$

If $r=1$, then $\gamma\left(\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)\right)=1$ as it was shown in 1.2. Hence we may assume that $r>1$.
Consider $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)} \backslash \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)}$. We embedded this quiver on a surface of genus $r-1$, hence $\gamma\left(\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right) \backslash \mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)\right) \leq r-1$. The graph $\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right) \backslash \mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)$ has 16 vertices and 32 edges. For all $2 \leq i \leq r$, the graphs $\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i}\right) \backslash \mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i-1}\right)$ have 8 vertices and 12 edges. Moreover, there are 8 edges joining vertices in $\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i}\right) \backslash \mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i-1}\right)$ with vertices in $\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i+1}\right) \backslash \mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{i}\right), 2<i<r$. Hence $\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right) \backslash \mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)$ has $p=16+8(r-2)$ vertices and $q=32+20(r-2)$ edges. Since $\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right) \backslash \mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)$ has no triangles we may use the formula in 1.2 which gives $\gamma\left(\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right) \backslash \mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right) \geq \frac{1}{4} q-\frac{1}{2}(p-2)=\right.$ $r-1$, hence $\gamma\left(\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right) \backslash \mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)\right)=r-1$.

We saw above that there are 4 arrows $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}$ joining vertices in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)}$ with vertices in $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)} \backslash \overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)}$. Let $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)^{\prime}}$ be the subquiver of $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)}$ which we obtain by deleting three of these arrows, say $\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}$. Then $\gamma\left(\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)\right) \geq \gamma\left(\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)^{\prime}\right)$. The blocks of $\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)^{\prime}$, i.e. the maximal connected subgraphs of $\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)^{\prime}$ which are connected, non trivial and have no cutpoints are $\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right), \circ \stackrel{\alpha_{1}}{\circ}$ and $\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right) \backslash \mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)$. Since the genus of a graph is the sum of the genera of its blocks [2], we obtain that

$$
\gamma\left(\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)\right) \geq \gamma\left(\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)^{\prime}\right)=\gamma\left(\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)\right)+\gamma(\circ-\circ)+\gamma\left(\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right) \backslash \mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)\right)=1+0+r-1
$$

Hence $\gamma\left(\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)\right)=r$. To finish the proof of the theorem we have to show that there is an algebra $\Lambda_{0}$ with $\gamma\left(\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{0}\right)\right)=0$. If $\Lambda_{0}$ is the ground field, then $\mathcal{K}\left(\Lambda_{0}\right)$ consists of a single vertex, hence it has genus 0 .
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