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#### Abstract

In [7], we classified and constructed all rational plane curves of type $(d, d-2)$. In this paper, we generalize these results to irreducible plane curves of type $(d, d-2)$ with positive genus. MSC 2000: 14H50, 14E07


## 1. Introduction

Let $C \subset \mathbf{P}^{2}=\mathbf{P}^{2}(\mathbf{C})$ be a plane curve of degree $d$. We call $C$ a plane curve of type $(d, \nu)$ if the maximal multiplicity of singular points on $C$ is equal to $\nu$. A unibranched singularity is called a cusp. Rational cuspidal plane curves of type $(d, d-2)$ and $(d, d-3)$ were classified by Flenner-Zaidenberg [5], [6] (see also [4], [8] for some cases). In [7], we classified rational plane curves of type $(d, d-2)$ with arbitrary singularities. In order to describe a multibranched singularity $P$, we introduced the notion of the system of the multiplicity sequences $\underline{m}_{P}(C)$ (see
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Section 2). We denote by $\operatorname{Data}(C)$, the collection of such systems of multiplicity sequences. The purpose of this paper is to complete the classification of irreducible plane curves of type $(d, d-2)$ with positive genus $g$. We remark that if $g \geq 2$, then $C$ is a hyperelliptic curve, for the projection from the singular point of multiplicity $d-2$ induces a double covering of $C$ over $\mathbf{P}^{1}$.

Theorem 1. Let $C$ be a plane curve of type $(d, d-2)$ with genus $g$. Let $Q \in C$ be the singular point of multiplicity $d-2$. Then, we have
(i) $\operatorname{Data}(C)=\left[\underline{m}_{Q}(C),\binom{1}{1}_{b_{1}}, \ldots,\binom{1}{1}_{b_{n}},\left(2_{b_{n+1}}\right), \ldots,\left(2_{b_{n+n^{\prime}}}\right)\right]$, where
and the following conditions are satisfied:
(1) $\sum_{h=1}^{N} k_{h}+\sum_{h^{\prime}=1}^{s} k_{h^{\prime}}^{\prime}=d-2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n+n^{\prime}} b_{j}=d-g-2$, where $a_{i} \geq 0$ $\left(a_{i}>0\right.$ for $\left.i=1, \ldots, s\right), b_{j}>0$,
(2) we have $n, n^{\prime}, s \geq 0$ and $n^{\prime}+s^{\prime} \leq 2 g+2$, where $s^{\prime}=\#\left\{j \mid a_{s+j}>0\right\}$,
(3) for $i=1,2, \ldots, s$, if $k_{i}^{\prime}=k_{i}$, then $a_{i} \geq k_{i}$ and if $k_{i}^{\prime}>k_{i}$, then $a_{i}=k_{i}$,
(4) for $i=s+1, \ldots, N$, if $a_{i}>0$, then either $k_{i}$ is even and $a_{i} \geq k_{i} / 2$ or $k_{i}$ is odd and $a_{i}=\left(k_{i}-1\right) / 2$.
Note that the $N$ is the number of the different tangent lines to $C$ at $Q$.
(ii) $\operatorname{Data}(C)$ can be derived from Degtyarev's 2-formula $T(C)$ defined for the defining equation of $C$ (see Proposition 10 for details).

Corollary. Let $C$ be an irreducible plane curve of type $(d, d-2)$ with genus $g$.
(i) If $C$ has only cusps, then $C$ has the following data ( $b_{i}>0, k>0, j \geq 0$ ):

| Class | Data $(C)$ |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| (a) | $\left[(k),\left(2_{b_{1}}\right), \ldots,\left(2_{b_{n^{\prime}}}\right)\right]$ | $\left(k=g+\sum_{i=1}^{n^{\prime}} b_{i}\right)$ |
|  |  | $\left(n^{\prime} \leq 2 g+2\right)$ |
| (b) | $\left[\left(2 k+1,2_{k}\right),\left(2_{b_{1}}\right), \ldots,\left(2_{b_{n^{\prime}}}\right)\right]$ | $\left(k+1=g+\sum_{i=1}^{n^{\prime}} b_{i}\right)$ |
|  |  | $\left(n^{\prime} \leq 2 g+1\right)$ |
| (c) | $\left[\left(2 k, 2_{k+j}\right),\left(2_{b_{1}}\right), \ldots,\left(2_{b_{n^{\prime}}}\right)\right]$ | $\left(k=g+j+\sum_{i=1}^{n^{\prime}} b_{i}\right)$ |
|  |  | $\left(n^{\prime} \leq 2 g+1\right)$ |

(ii) If $C$ has only bibranched singularities, then $C$ has the following data $\left(b_{i}>\right.$ $0, k>0, r>0, j \geq 0, l \geq 0)$ :

| Class | $\operatorname{Data}(C)$ |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| (e) | $\left[\binom{k}{k}\binom{1}{1}_{k+j},\binom{1}{1}_{b_{1}}, \ldots,\binom{1}{1}_{b_{n}}\right]$ | $\left(k=g+j+\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}\right)$ |
| (f) | $\left[\binom{k}{k+r}\binom{1}{1}_{k},\binom{1}{1}_{b_{1}}, \ldots,\binom{1}{1}_{b_{n}}\right]$ | $\left(k+r=g+\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}\right)$ |
| (aa) | $\left[\binom{k}{r},\binom{1}{1}_{b_{1}}, \ldots,\binom{1}{1}_{b_{n}}\right]$ | $\left(k+r=g+\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}\right)$ |
| (ab) | $\left[\left\{\binom{2 k+1}{r}^{2_{k}}\right\},\binom{1}{1}_{b_{1}}, \ldots,\binom{1}{1}_{b_{n}}\right]$ | $\left(k+r+1=g+\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}\right)$ |
| (ac) | $\left[\left\{\binom{2 k}{r}^{2_{k+j}}\right\},\binom{1}{1}_{b_{1}}, \ldots,\binom{1}{1}_{b_{n}}\right]$ | $\left(k+r=g+j+\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}\right)$ |
| (bb) | $\left[\left\{\binom{2 k+1}{2 r+1}_{2 r}^{2_{k}}\right\},\binom{1}{1}_{b_{1}}, \ldots,\binom{1}{1}_{b_{n}}\right]$ | $\left(k+r+2=g+\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}\right)$ |
| (bc) | $\left[\left\{\binom{2 k+1}{2 r}_{2_{r+l}}^{2_{k}}\right\},\binom{1}{1}_{b_{1}}, \ldots,\binom{1}{1}_{b_{n}}\right]$ | $\left(k+r+1=g+l+\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}\right)$ |
| (cc) | $\left[\left\{\binom{2 k}{2 r}_{2_{r+l}}^{2_{k+j}}\right\},\binom{1}{1}_{b_{1}}, \ldots,\binom{1}{1}_{b_{n}}\right]$ | $\left(k+r=g+j+l+\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}\right)$ |

Theorem 2. (cf. Coble [1], Coolidge [2]) Let $C$ be an irreducible plane curve of type $(d, d-2)$ with genus $g$. Then, there exists a Cremona transformation which transforms $C$ into a plane curve:

$$
\Gamma: y^{2}=\prod_{i=1}^{2 g+2}\left(x-\lambda_{i}\right)
$$

with some distinct $\lambda_{i}$ 's.
Conversely, given a plane curve $\Gamma$ as above and a collection of systems of multiplicity sequences $M$ satisfying the conditions (1)-(4) in Theorem 1, (i) for $d \geq g+2$, then we can find an irreducible plane curve $C$ of type $(d, d-2)$ such that
(a) $\operatorname{Data}(C)=M$,
(b) $C$ is Cremona birational to $\Gamma$.

Remark 3. For the first half of Theorem 2, we refer to Coble [1], p. 125 and Coolidge [2], Book III, Chapter V. As for the second half of Theorem 2, the particular cases in which $M=[(g)],\left[\binom{g}{g}\binom{1}{1}_{g}\right]$ were discussed in [2], Book III, Chapter V, Theorems 8, 10.

In Section 2, we review the system of the multiplicity sequences, the 2 -formula and quadratic Cremona transformations. In Section 3 (resp. Section 4), we will prove Theorem 1 (resp. Theorem 2). In Section 5, we discuss the defining equations for those curves given in Corollary.

## 2. Preliminaries

A cusp $P$ can be described by its multiplicity sequence $\underline{m}_{P}=\left(m_{0}, m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots\right)$. For a multibranched singular point $P$ on $C$, we introduced the system of the multiplicity sequences of $P$.

Definition 4. ([7]) Let $P \in C$ be a multibranched singular point, having $r$ local branches $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{r}$. Let $\underline{m}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)=\left(m_{i 0}, m_{i 1}, m_{i 2}, \ldots\right)$ denote the multiplicity sequences of the branches $\gamma_{i}$, respectively. We define the system of the multiplicity sequences, which will be denoted by the same symbol $\underline{m}_{P}(C)$, to be the combination of $\underline{m}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)$ with brackets indicating the coincidence of the centers of the infinitely near points of the branches $\gamma_{i}$. For instance, for the case in which $r=3$, we write it in the following form:

$$
\left\{\left(\begin{array}{l}
m_{1,0} \\
m_{2,0} \\
m_{3,0}
\end{array}\right) \ldots\left(\begin{array}{l}
m_{1, \rho} \\
m_{2, \rho} \\
m_{3, \rho}
\end{array}\right)\binom{m_{1, \rho+1}}{m_{2, \rho+1}} \ldots\binom{m_{1, \rho^{\prime}}}{m_{2, \rho^{\prime}}} \begin{array}{l}
m_{1, \rho^{\prime}+1, \ldots, m_{1, s_{1}}}^{m_{2, \rho^{\prime}+1}, \ldots, m_{2, s_{2}}}
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

We also use some simplifications such as

$$
\left(2_{a}\right)=(\overbrace{2, \ldots, 2}^{a}, 1,1), \quad\left(2_{0}\right)=(1), \quad\binom{1}{1}_{a}=\overbrace{\binom{1}{1} \ldots\binom{1}{1}}^{a} .
$$

Example 5. We examine our notations for ADE singularities.

| $P$ | $A_{2 n}$ | $A_{2 n-1}$ | $D_{2 n-1}$ | $D_{2 n}$ | $E_{6}$ | $E_{7}$ | $E_{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{m}_{P}(C)$ | $\left(2_{n}\right)$ | $\binom{1}{1}_{n}$ | $\left.\left\{\begin{array}{l}2 \\ 1\end{array}\right)^{2_{n-3}}\right\}$ | $\left\{\left(\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1 \\ 1\end{array}\right)\binom{1}{1}_{n-2}\right\}$ | $(3)$ | $\binom{2}{1}\binom{1}{1}$ | $(3,2)$ |

Example 6. The hyperelliptic curve $y^{2}=\prod_{i=1}^{2 g+2}\left(x-\lambda_{i}\right)$ has one singularity $Q$ on the line at infinity with $\underline{m}_{Q}=\binom{g}{g}\binom{1}{1}_{g}$.

Let $C$ be an irreducible plane curve of type ( $d, d-2$ ). Let $Q \in C$ be the singular point with multiplicity $d-2$. Choosing homogeneous coordinates $(x, y, z)$ so that $Q=(0,0,1)$, the curve $C$ is defined by an equation:

$$
F(x, y) z^{2}+2 G(x, y) z+H(x, y)=0
$$

where $F, G$ and $H$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree $d-2, d-1$ and $d$, respectively. Set $\Delta=G^{2}-F H$. Let $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{l} \in \mathbf{P}^{1}$ be all the distinct roots of the equation $F(t) \Delta(t)=0$. For each $i$, let $\left(p_{i}, q_{i}\right)=\left(\operatorname{ord}_{t_{i}}(F), \operatorname{ord}_{t_{i}}(\Delta)\right)$, where $\operatorname{ord}_{t_{i}}(F)\left(\operatorname{resp}^{\operatorname{ord}} t_{t_{i}}(\Delta)\right)$ is the multiplicity of the root $t_{i}$ of the equation $F(t)=0$ (resp. $\left.\Delta\left(t_{i}\right)=0\right)$. Set $T(C)=\left\{\left(p_{1}, q_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(p_{l}, q_{l}\right)\right\}$. This unordered $l$-tuple $T(C)$ is called the 2-formula of $C$ (Degtyarev [3]). We remark that $T(C)$ does not depend on the choice of the coordinates $(x, y, z)$ with $Q=(0,0,1)$.

Lemma 7. The 2-formula $T(C)$ satisfies the following properties:
(i) $\sum_{i=1}^{l} q_{i}=2 \sum_{i=1}^{l} p_{i}+2$,
(ii) $p_{i}=q_{i}$ or $\min \left\{p_{i}, q_{i}\right\}$ is even for each $i$,
(iii) there exists a pair $\left(p_{i}, q_{i}\right)$ such that $q_{i}$ is an odd number.

Proof. (i) By definition, $\sum_{i=1}^{l} p_{i}=d-2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{l} q_{i}=2 d-2$.
(ii) Suppose that $p_{i} \neq q_{i}$. We may assume $t_{i}=(0,1)$. We can write $F, G$ and $\Delta$ as $F=x^{p_{i}} F_{0}, \Delta=x^{q_{i}} \Delta_{0}$ and $G=x^{m} G_{0}$, respectively, where $m=\operatorname{ord}_{t_{i}}(G)$. If $p_{i}>q_{i}$, then $x^{2 m} G_{0}^{2}=x^{q_{i}}\left(\Delta_{0}+x^{p_{i}-q_{i}} F_{0} H\right)$. Thus $q_{i}=2 m$. If $0<p_{i}<q_{i}$, then we get $x^{2 m} G_{0}^{2}=x^{p_{i}}\left(x^{q_{i}-p_{i}} \Delta_{0}+F_{0} H\right)$, which implies that $2 m \geq p_{i}>0$. We have $x \nmid H$, since $C$ is irreducible. Hence $p_{i}=2 m$.
(iii) Suppose that all $q_{i}$ 's are even. Then we can write as $\Delta=\Delta_{0}^{2}$. We have $F\left(F z^{2}+2 G z+H\right)=\left(F z+G+\Delta_{0}\right)\left(F z+G-\Delta_{0}\right)$. Since $\operatorname{deg}\left(F z+G \pm \Delta_{0}\right)=d-1$, we infer that $F z^{2}+2 G z+H$ is reducible. This is a contradiction.

Remark 8. We note that $P(x, y, z)=F z^{2}+2 G z+H$ is irreducible if (a) $\operatorname{GCD}(F, G, H)=1$, and if (b) the property (iii) holds. Indeed, under the assumption (a), if $P$ is reducible, then $P=(A z+B)(C z+D)$ with $A, B, C, D \in \mathbf{C}[x, y]$. But, in this case, $4 \Delta=(A D-B C)^{2}$, which contradicts the property (iii).

Example 9. Let $C$ be the quartic curve $x^{2} y^{2}+y^{2} z^{2}+z^{2} x^{2}-2 x y z(x+y+z)=0$. We have $T(C)=\{(2,0),(0,3),(0,3)\}$.

The (degenerate) quadratic Cremona transformation

$$
\varphi_{c}:(x, y, z) \longrightarrow\left(x y, y^{2}, x(z-c x)\right) \quad(c \in \mathbf{C})
$$

played an important role in $[6,7]$. We find that $\varphi_{c}^{-1}(x, y, z)=\left(x^{2}, x y, y z+c x^{2}\right)$. We use the notations:

$$
l: x=0, t: y=0, O=(0,0,1), A=(1,0, c), B=(0,1,0)
$$

Note that $\varphi_{c}(l \backslash\{O\})=B$ and $\varphi_{c}(t \backslash\{O, A\})=O$.
Let $C$ be an irreducible plane curve of type $(d, d-2)$ with $d \geq 4$. Suppose the singular point $Q \in C$ of multiplicity $d-2$ has coordinates $O$. We have seen in [7] that the strict transform $C^{\prime}=\varphi_{c}(C)$ is an irreducible plane curve of type $\left(d^{\prime}, d^{\prime}-2\right)$ for some $d^{\prime}$. In $[7,8]$, by analyzing how a local branch $\gamma$ at $P \in \operatorname{Sing}(C)$ is transformed by $\varphi_{c}$, we described Data $\left[C^{\prime}\right]$ from Data $[C]$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

(i) We easily see that $P \in \operatorname{Sing}(C) \backslash\{Q\}$ is a double point, because $L C=$ $(d-2) Q+2 P$, where $L$ is the line passing through $P, Q$. Let $\pi: \tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2}$ be the blowing-up at $Q$. Let $E$ denote the exceptional curve. Take a line $L$ passing through $Q$. Let $C^{\prime}$ (resp. $L^{\prime}$ ) be the strict transform of $C$ (resp. $L$ ). We have
$C^{\prime} L^{\prime}=2$. It follows that $P \in \operatorname{Sing}\left(C^{\prime}\right) \cap E$ is also a double point. Thus, $\operatorname{Data}(C)$ has the shape as in Theorem 1. Clearly, $\sum k_{h}+\sum k_{h^{\prime}}^{\prime}=\operatorname{mult}_{Q}(C)=d-2$. The second part of the condition (1) follows from the genus formula. The condition (2) follows from the Hurwitz formula applied to the double covering $\tilde{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$, which corresponds to the projection of $C$ from $Q$, where the $\tilde{C}$ is the non-singular model of $C$. For the proof of the conditions (3), (4), we refer to [7]. We will give an alternative, direct proof in Proposition 10.
(ii) Let $F(x, y) z^{2}+2 G(x, y) z+H(x, y)=0$ be the defining equation of $C$ as in Section 2. Let $T(C)$ be the 2-formula of $C$. Setting

$$
T^{\prime}(C)=\{(p, q) \in T(C) \mid p>0 \text { or } q \geq 2\}
$$

we renumber the pairs $\left(p_{i}, q_{i}\right) \in T^{\prime}(C)$ in the following way:
(1) $p_{i}>0, q_{i}>0$ and $q_{i}$ is even for $i=1, \ldots s$,
(2) either $p_{i}>0, q_{i}>0$ and $q_{i}$ is odd, or $p_{i}>0, q_{i}=0$ for $i=s+1, \ldots, N$,
(3) $p_{i}=0, q_{i}>0$ and $q_{i}$ is even, for $i=N+1, \ldots, N+n$,
(4) $p_{i}=0, q_{i} \geq 3$ and $q_{i}$ is odd, for $i=N+n+1, \ldots, N+n+n^{\prime}$.

Proposition 10. Set
(1) for $i=1, \ldots s$,

$$
\begin{cases}k_{i}=k_{i}^{\prime}=p_{i} / 2, a_{i}=q_{i} / 2 & \text { if } p_{i} \leq q_{i}, \\ k_{i}=q_{i} / 2, k_{i}^{\prime}=p_{i}-q_{i} / 2, a_{i}=q_{i} / 2 & \text { if } p_{i}>q_{i}\end{cases}
$$

(2) for $i=s+1, \ldots N$,
$\begin{cases}k_{i}=p_{i}, a_{i}=\left(q_{i}-1\right) / 2 & \text { if } q_{i}>0, \\ k_{i}=p_{i}, a_{i}=0 & \text { if } q_{i}=0,\end{cases}$
(3) $b_{j}=q_{N+j} / 2$, for $j=1, \ldots, n$,
(4) $b_{j}=\left(q_{N+j}-1\right) / 2$, for $j=n+1, \ldots, n+n^{\prime}$.

Then $\operatorname{Data}(C)$ is given as in Theorem 1, (i).
Proof. Take $\left(p_{i}, q_{i}\right) \in T^{\prime}(C)$. Write $t_{i}$ as $t_{i}=\left(\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right)$. Let $L_{i}$ be the line $\beta_{i} x=\alpha_{i} y$. By arranging the coordinates, we may assume $\left(\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right)=(0,1)$. Write $F, G$ and $\Delta$ as $F=x^{p_{i}} F_{0}, G=x^{m} G_{0}$ and $\Delta=x^{q_{i}} \Delta_{0}$, where $m=\operatorname{ord}_{t_{i}}(G)$.

We first consider the case in which $p_{i}=0$. Since $\Delta\left(t_{i}\right)=0$, we have

$$
F\left(t_{i}\right) z^{2}+2 G\left(t_{i}\right) z+H\left(t_{i}\right)=F\left(t_{i}\right)\left(z+G\left(t_{i}\right) / F\left(t_{i}\right)\right)^{2} .
$$

It follows that $C L_{i}=(d-2) Q+2 P$, where $P=\left(0,1,-G\left(t_{i}\right) / F\left(t_{i}\right)\right)$. Let $U$ be a neighbourhood of $P$ such that $y \neq 0$ and $F(x, y) \neq 0$ for all $(x, y, z) \in U$. We use the affine coordinates $(\bar{x}, \bar{z})=(x / y, z / y)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(x, y)\left(F(x, y) z^{2}+2 G(x, y) z+H(x, y)\right) \\
& \quad=y^{2 d-2}\left((F(\bar{x}, 1) \bar{z}+G(\bar{x}, 1))^{2}-\Delta(\bar{x}, 1)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $C$ is defined by the equation $(F(\bar{x}, 1) \bar{z}+G(\bar{x}, 1))^{2}=\Delta(\bar{x}, 1)$ on $U$. Letting $u=F(\bar{x}, 1) \bar{z}+G(\bar{x}, 1)$ and $v=\left(\sqrt[q_{2}]{\Delta_{0}(\bar{x}, 1)}\right) \bar{x}, C$ is defined by the equation $u^{2}=v^{q_{i}}$ around $P$. Thus $P \in \operatorname{Sing}(C) \backslash\{Q\}$ if $q_{i} \geq 2$. In this case, we have

$$
\underline{m}_{P}(C)= \begin{cases}\binom{1}{1}_{q_{i} / 2} & \text { if } q_{i} \text { is even }, \\ \left(2_{\left(q_{i}-1\right) / 2}\right) & \text { if } q_{i} \text { is odd },\end{cases}
$$

which gives the assertions (3), (4).
Conversely, take $P \in \operatorname{Sing}(C) \backslash\{Q\}$. Let $L$ be the line passing through $P, Q$. Write $L: \beta x=\alpha y$. Since $C L=(d-2) Q+2 P$, we have $F(\alpha, \beta) \neq 0$ and $\Delta(\alpha, \beta)=0$. For $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbf{P}^{1}$, we find a pair $(0, q) \in T(C)$. We see from the above argument that $C$ is defined by the equation $u^{2}=v^{q}$ near $P$. Thus $q \geq 2$.

We now consider the case in which $p_{i}>0$. Let $\pi: \tilde{\mathbf{P}}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2}$ be the blowing-up at $Q$ and $E$ the exceptional curve of $\pi$. We use the affine coordinates $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})=$ $(x / z, y / z)$ of $U:=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbf{P}^{2} \mid z \neq 0\right\}$. Put $V=\pi^{-1}(U)$. There exist an open cover $V=V_{1} \cup V_{2}\left(V_{j} \cong \mathbf{C}^{2}\right)$ with standard coordinates $\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right)$ of $V_{j}$ such that $\left.\pi\right|_{V_{1}}: V_{1} \ni\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right) \mapsto\left(u_{1} v_{1}, u_{1}\right)$ and $\left.\pi\right|_{V_{2}}: V_{2} \ni\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(u_{2}, u_{2} v_{2}\right)$. Note that $E$ is defined by $u_{j}=0$ on $V_{j}$. The strict transform $L_{i}^{\prime}$ of $L_{i}$ is defined by $v_{1}=0$ on $V_{1}$. Let $P$ be the unique point $E \cap L_{i}^{\prime}$. We have $P=(0,0)$ on $V_{1}$. The strict transform $C^{\prime}$ of $C$ is defined by the equation: $F\left(v_{1}, 1\right)+2 G\left(v_{1}, 1\right) u_{1}+H\left(v_{1}, 1\right) u_{1}^{2}=0$ on $V_{1}$. By the definition of $p_{i}$ and $m$, the curve $C^{\prime}$ is defined by the equation: $F_{0} v_{1}^{p_{i}}+2 G_{0} v_{1}^{m} u_{1}+H u_{1}^{2}=0$. In particular, we have $\left(C^{\prime} E\right)_{P}=p_{i}$. If $q_{i}=0$, then we must have $m=0$ (see the proof of Lemma 7). Hence $C^{\prime}$ is smooth at $P$. If $q_{i}>0$, then we have $m>0$ (cf. the proof of Lemma 7). Since $C$ is irreducible, we see that $H\left(t_{i}\right) \neq 0$. We have $H\left(F+2 G_{0} v_{1}^{m} u_{1}+H u_{1}^{2}\right)=\left(H u_{1}+G_{0} v_{1}^{m}\right)^{2}-\Delta$. This means that $C^{\prime}$ is defined by the equation:

$$
\left(H\left(v_{1}, 1\right) u_{1}+G_{0}\left(v_{1}, 1\right) v_{1}^{m}\right)^{2}-\Delta\left(v_{1}, 1\right)=0
$$

in a neighborhood of $P$.
Letting $u=H\left(v_{1}, 1\right) u_{1}+G_{0}\left(v_{1}, 1\right) v_{1}^{m}$ and $v=\left(\sqrt[q_{i}]{\Delta_{0}\left(v_{1}, 1\right)}\right) v_{1}, C^{\prime}$ is defined by the equation $u^{2}=v^{q_{i}}$ around $P$. We have

$$
\underline{m}_{P}\left(C^{\prime}\right)= \begin{cases}\binom{1}{1}_{q_{i} / 2} & \text { if } q_{i} \text { is even }, \\ \left(2_{\left(q_{i}-1\right) / 2}\right) & \text { if } q_{i} \text { is odd }, \\ (1) & \text { if } q_{i}=0,\end{cases}
$$

which gives the values of $a_{i}$ in (1), (2). We prove the remaining assertions in (1). If $q_{i}$ is even, then $C^{\prime}$ has two branches $\gamma_{+}, \gamma_{-}$at $P$ defined by

$$
H\left(v_{1}, 1\right) u_{1}+G_{0}\left(v_{1}, 1\right) v_{1}^{m} \pm v_{1}^{q_{i} / 2} \sqrt{\Delta_{0}\left(v_{1}, 1\right)}=0 .
$$

In case $p_{i}>q_{i}$, we have $m=q_{i} / 2$ (see the proof of Lemma 7). We infer that one of the intersection numbers $\left(E \gamma_{+}\right)_{P}$ and $\left(E \gamma_{-}\right)_{P}$ is equal to $q_{i} / 2$. The other one must be equal to $p_{i}-q_{i} / 2$, because $\left(E C^{\prime}\right)_{P}=p_{i}$. In case $p_{i} \leq q_{i}$, we have $m \geq p_{i} / 2$ (cf. the proof of Lemma 7). Thus $\left(E \gamma_{ \pm}\right)_{P} \geq p_{i} / 2$, hence $\left(E \gamma_{ \pm}\right)_{P}=p_{i} / 2$. Consequently, we obtain the pair $\left(k_{i}, k_{i}^{\prime}\right)$.

Conversely, take $P \in C^{\prime} \cap E$. We assume $P \in V_{1}$. Write the coordinates of $P$ as $P=(0, \beta)$. The equation $F(\beta, 1)+2 G(\beta, 1) u_{1}+H(\beta, 1) u_{1}^{2}=0$ has the solution $u_{1}=0$ as $C^{\prime}$ passes through $P$. Thus $F(\beta, 1)=0$. For $(\beta, 1) \in \mathbf{P}^{1}$, we find a pair $(p, q) \in T(C)$ with $p>0$.

Remark 11. For $i=s+1, \ldots, N$, if $\left(k_{i}, a_{i}\right)=(1,0)$, then we have either $\left(p_{i}, q_{i}\right)=$ $(1,1)$ or $(1,0)$. The case $\left(p_{i}, q_{i}\right)=(1,1)$ occurs if and only if the line $L_{i}$ is a flextangent line to the corresponding branch at $Q$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 2

Let $C$ be given by the equation (see Section 2 ):

$$
F(x, y) z^{2}+2 G(x, y) z+H(x, y)=0
$$

Put $\Delta=G^{2}-F H$. Via linear coordinates change of $x$ and $y$, we may assume that $y \nmid F \Delta$. We then define a Cremona transformation (cf. [2], Book III, Chapter V):

$$
\Phi(x, y, z)=\left(x y^{d-2}, y^{d-1}, F z+G\right) .
$$

We find that $\Phi^{-1}(x, y, z)=\left(x F, y F, y^{d-2} z-G\right)$. We see easily that the strict transform $C^{\prime}=\Phi(C)$ is defined by the equation:

$$
y^{2(d-2)} z^{2}=\Delta .
$$

Write $\Delta=\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)^{q_{i}}$, where the $\lambda_{i}$ 's are distinct. Renumber $q_{i}$ 's so that $q_{i}$ 's are odd for $i=1, \ldots, l$ and $q_{i}$ 's are even for $i=l+1, \ldots, k$. Letting $s_{i}=\left[q_{i} / 2\right]$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$, we put $S=\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)^{s_{i}}$ and $s=\sum_{i=1}^{k} s_{i}$. Note that $2 d-2=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{k} q_{i}=2 s+l$. We next define a Cremona transformation:

$$
\Psi(x, y, z)=\left(x S, y S, y^{s} z\right)
$$

We find that $\Psi^{-1}(x, y, z)=\left(x y^{s}, y^{s+1}, S z\right)$. We see that $\Gamma^{\prime}=\Psi\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ is defined by the equation:

$$
y^{2(d-2)} z^{2}=\prod_{i=1}^{l}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right) .
$$

We see that $l=2 g+2$ and $g=d-s-2$. Take a projective transformation: $\iota:(x, y, z) \rightarrow(x, z, y)$. Finally, the image $\Gamma=\iota\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)$ has the affine equation:

$$
y^{2}=\prod_{i=1}^{2 g+2}\left(x-\lambda_{i}\right)
$$

We now prove the second half of Theorem 2 . We start with the curve $\Gamma$ and a collection of systems of multiplicity sequences:

$$
M=\left[m,\binom{1}{1}_{b_{1}}, \ldots,\binom{1}{1}_{b_{n}},\left(2_{b_{n+1}}\right), \ldots,\left(2_{b_{n+n^{\prime}}}\right)\right]
$$

where the $m$ is the system of the multiplicity sequences of the singular point with multiplicity $d-2$. Let $r(M), N(M)$ denote the number of the branches and the number of the different tangent lines of $m$. We have to construct an irreducible plane curve of type $(d, d-2)$ with $\operatorname{Data}(C)=M$. In [7], we considered the case in which $g=0$. We here assume that $g \geq 1$. We follow the arguments in [7].

First we deal with the cuspidal case given in Corollary of Theorem 1. See also Proposition 13.
Case (a): $M=\left[(k),\left(2_{b_{1}}\right), \ldots,\left(2_{b_{n^{\prime}}}\right)\right]$, where $k=g+\sum b_{i}$. We use the induction on $n^{\prime}$. (i) $M=[(g)]$. Interchanging coordinates, we start with the curve:

$$
\Gamma_{0}: x^{2 g} z^{2}=\prod_{i=1}^{2 g+2}\left(y-\lambda_{i} x\right)
$$

After a linear change of coordinates, we may assume that $c=\prod_{i=1}^{2 g+2}\left(-\lambda_{i}\right) \neq 0$. Letting $c_{1}=\sqrt{c}$, we have $\Gamma_{0} t=(2 g) O+A_{1}+A_{1}^{\prime}$, where $A_{1}=\left(1,0, c_{1}\right), A_{1}^{\prime}=$ $\left(1,0,-c_{1}\right)$. Let $\Gamma_{1}$ be the strict transform of $\Gamma_{0}$ via $\varphi_{c_{1}}$. Using Lemma 1, (a) and Lemma 2, (e)* in [7], we see that $\Gamma_{1} t=(2 g-1) O+A_{2}$. Write $A_{2}=\left(1,0, c_{2}\right)$. Let $\Gamma_{2}$ be the strict transform of $\Gamma_{1}$ via $\varphi_{c_{2}}$. In this way, we successively choose $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{g}$. It turns out that $\operatorname{Data}\left(\Gamma_{g}\right)=[(g)]$.
(ii) Suppose we have constructed $C_{0}$ with $\operatorname{Data}\left(C_{0}\right)=\left[\left(k_{0}\right),\left(2_{b_{1}}\right), \ldots,\left(2_{b_{n^{\prime}-1}}\right)\right]$, where $k_{0}=g+\sum_{i=1}^{n^{\prime}-1} b_{i}$. After a suitable change of coordinates, we may assume $C_{0} l=k_{0} O+2 B_{1}$ and $C_{0} t=\left(k_{0}+1\right) O+A_{1}$. Note that the double covering $\tilde{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ defined through the projection from $O$ to a line, must have $2 g+2$ branch points. Since $n^{\prime}-1<2 g+2$, we see that a line passing through $O$ is tangent to $C_{0}$ at a smooth point $B_{1}$. Write $A_{1}=\left(1,0, c_{1}\right)$. Let $C_{1}$ be the strict transform of $C_{0}$ via $\varphi_{c_{1}}$. We have $C_{1} l=\left(k_{0}+1\right) O+2 B$ and $C_{1} t=\left(k_{0}+2\right) O+A_{2}$. Write $A_{2}=\left(1,0, c_{2}\right)$. Let $C_{2}$ be the strict transform of $C_{1}$ via $\varphi_{c_{2}}$. We have again $C_{2} t=\left(k_{0}+3\right) O+A_{3}$. Repeating in this way, we successively choose $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{b_{n^{\prime}}}$ and define $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{b_{n^{\prime}}}$. Then, the curve $C=C_{b_{n^{\prime}}}$ has the desired property.
Case (b): $M=\left[\left(2 k+1,2_{k}\right),\left(2_{b_{1}}\right), \ldots,\left(2_{b_{n^{\prime}}}\right)\right]$, where $k+1=g+\sum b_{i}$. As in Case (a), we can similarly prove this case. For the first step: $M=\left[\left(2 g-1,2_{g-1}\right)\right]$, it suffices to arrange coordinates so that $\Gamma_{0} t=g O+2 A_{1}$ with $A_{1}=(1,0,0)$. Put $c_{1}=0$ and choose $c_{2}, \ldots, c_{g}$ arbitrarily. Then we obtain $\operatorname{Data}\left(\Gamma_{g}\right)=M$ (cf. Lemma 1, (b) and Lemma 2, (e)* in [7]).
Case (c): $M=\left[\left(2 k, 2_{k+j}\right),\left(2_{b_{1}}\right), \ldots,\left(2_{b_{n^{\prime}}}\right)\right]$, where $k=g+j+\sum b_{i}$. We also use the induction on $n^{\prime}$ as in Case (a). For the first step: $M=\left[\left(2(g+j), 2_{g+2 j}\right)\right]$, we start with a curve $C_{0}$ with $\operatorname{Data}\left(C_{0}\right)=\left[(g+j),\left(2_{j}\right)\right]$ constructed in Case (a). We again arrange coordinates so that $C_{0} t=(g+j) O+2 R$, where $\underline{m}_{R}\left(C_{0}\right)=\left(2_{j}\right)$ and $R=(1,0, a)$. Choose $c_{1} \neq a$ and $c_{2}, \ldots, c_{g+j}$ arbitrarily. Then we have $\operatorname{Data}\left(C_{g+j}\right)=M($ cf. Lemma 1, (a)*, (c) in [7]).
Starting with the cuspidal case, we can prove the general case in a similar manner to that in [7]. We have three subcases:

$$
\text { I. } N(M)=r(M)=1, \quad \text { II. } N(M)=1, r(M)=2, \quad \text { III. } N(M) \geq 2 .
$$

Here, we only give a proof for $M=\left[(k),\binom{1}{1}_{b_{1}}, \ldots,\binom{1}{1}_{b_{n}},\left(2_{b_{n+1}}\right), \ldots,\left(2_{b_{n+n^{\prime}}}\right)\right]$, where $k=g+\sum_{j=1}^{n+n^{\prime}} b_{j}$, which is one of the remaining cases in I. We use the induction on $n$.
(i) We constructed a cuspidal curve $C$ with $\operatorname{Data}(C)=\left[(k),\left(2_{b_{n+1}}\right), \ldots,\left(2_{b_{n+n^{\prime}}}\right)\right]$.
(ii) Suppose we have already constructed $C_{0}$ with

$$
\operatorname{Data}\left(C_{0}\right)=\left[\left(k_{0}\right),\binom{1}{1}_{b_{1}}, \ldots,\binom{1}{1}_{b_{n-1}},\left(2_{b_{n+1}}\right), \ldots,\left(2_{b_{n+n^{\prime}}}\right)\right]
$$

where $k_{0}=g+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_{j}+\sum_{j=n+1}^{n+n^{\prime}} b_{j}$. By arranging coordinates, we have $C_{0} l=$ $k_{0} O+B_{1}+B_{1}^{\prime}$ and $C_{0} t=\left(k_{0}+1\right) O+A_{1}$. Letting $A_{1}=\left(1,0, c_{1}\right)$, the strict transform $C_{1}$ of $C_{0}$ via $\varphi_{c_{1}}$ has the property $C_{0} t=\left(k_{0}+2\right) O+A_{2}$. Write $A_{2}=\left(1,0, c_{2}\right)$. We successively choose $c_{2}, \ldots, c_{b_{n}}$ in this way. Then the strict transform $C$ of $C_{0}$ via $\varphi_{c_{b_{n}}} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_{c_{1}}$ has the desired property (cf. Lemma 1, (d) and Lemma 2, (tn) in [7]). In particular, $C$ contains a tacnode $\binom{1}{1}_{b_{n}}$ at $B=(0,1,0)$.

## 5. Defining equations

We now describe the defining equations for those curves listed in Corollary. In $[6,7,8]$, the defining equations were computed step by step by using quadratic Cremona transformations. But, for some cases, we encountered a difficulty to evaluate points in some special positions. We here employ the method used by Degtyarev in [3].

Lemma 12. Consider two polynomials

$$
g(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{d} c_{i} t^{i}, \quad \delta(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{2 d} d_{i} t^{i} \in \mathrm{C}[t] .
$$

Suppose $\delta(0)=d_{0} \neq 0$. For $k \leq d$, we have $t^{k} \mid\left(g^{2}-\delta\right)$ if and only if
(1) $c_{0}= \pm \sqrt{d_{0}}$,
(2) $c_{j}=\left(d_{j}-\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} c_{i} c_{j-i}\right) /\left(2 c_{0}\right)$ for $j=1, \ldots, k-1$.

Proof. Write $g(t)^{2}=\sum_{j=0} b_{j} t^{j}$. We see that $b_{j}=\sum_{i=0}^{j} c_{i} c_{j-i}$ for $j \leq d$.

Proposition 13. The defining equations of irreducible plane curves of type ( $d, d-$ 2) with genus $g$ having only cusps are the following (up to projective equivalence, the $\lambda_{i}$ 's are distinct).
(a) $y^{k} z^{2}+2 G z+\left\{G^{2}-\Delta\right\} / y^{k}=0$, where

$$
\Delta(x, y)=\prod_{i=1}^{n^{\prime}}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)^{2 b_{i}+1} \prod_{i=n^{\prime}+1}^{2 g+2}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right) .
$$

Letting $G(x, y)=\sum_{h=0}^{k+1} c_{h} x^{k+1-h} y^{h}$, the coefficients $c_{0}, \ldots, c_{k-1}$ are determined by the condition $y^{k} \mid\left(G(1, y)^{2}-\Delta(1, y)\right)$ (see Lemma 12 ).
(b) $\left(y^{k} z+\sum_{h=0}^{k+1} c_{h} x^{k+1-h} y^{h}\right)^{2} y-\prod_{i=1}^{n^{\prime}}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)^{2 b_{i}+1} \prod_{i=n^{\prime}+1}^{2 g+1}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)=0$.
(c) $\left(y^{k} z+\sum_{h=0}^{k+1} c_{h} x^{k+1-h} y^{h}\right)^{2}-y^{2 j+1} \prod_{i=1}^{n^{\prime}}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)^{2 b_{i}+1} \prod_{i=n^{\prime}+1}^{2 g+1}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)=0$, where $c_{0} \neq 0$.

Proof. Class (a). In this case, in view of the argument in the proof of Theorem 1, (ii), we have $T(C)=\left\{(k, 0),\left(0,2 b_{1}+1\right), \ldots,\left(0,2 b_{n^{\prime}}+1\right),(0,1), \ldots,(0,1)\right\}$. Thus, we can write $F=y^{k}$ and $\Delta$ as above. We must have $y^{k} \mid\left(G^{2}-\Delta\right)$. In view of Lemma 12, the coefficients $c_{0}, \ldots, c_{k-1}$ are uniquely determined. In particular, $c_{0}= \pm 1$. So by Remark 8, the defining equation is irreducible.
Class (b): We have $T(C)=\left\{(2 k+1,2 k+1),\left(0,2 b_{1}+1\right), \ldots,\left(0,2 b_{n^{\prime}+1}\right),(0,1)\right.$, $\ldots,(0,1)\}$. We can arrange coordinates as

$$
F=y^{2 k+1}, \quad \Delta=y^{2 k+1} \prod_{i=1}^{n^{\prime}}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)^{2 b_{i}+1} \prod_{i=n^{\prime}+1}^{2 g+1}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right) .
$$

We infer that $G=y^{k+1} G_{0}$ for some $G_{0}$.
Class (c): We have $T(C)=\left\{(2 k, 2 k+2 j+1),\left(0,2 b_{1}+1\right), \ldots,\left(0,2 b_{n^{\prime}+1}\right)\right.$, $(0,1), \ldots,(0,1)\}$. We can arrange coordinates as

$$
F=y^{2 k}, \quad \Delta=y^{2 k+2 j+1} \prod_{i=1}^{n^{\prime}}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)^{2 b_{i}+1} \prod_{i=n^{\prime}+1}^{2 g+1}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right) .
$$

It follows that $G=y^{k} G_{0}$ for some $G_{0}$. If we write $G_{0}=\sum_{h=0}^{k+1} c_{h} x^{k+1-h} y^{h}$, then we must have $c_{0} \neq 0$, for otherwise the defining equation becomes reducible (see Remark 8).

Example 14. We give the defining equation of a cuspidal septic curve $C$ with $\operatorname{Data}(C)=[(5),(2),(2),(2),(2)]$ which are birational to the elliptic curve $y^{2}=$ $\left(x^{2}-1\right)\left(x^{2}-\lambda^{2}\right), \quad(\lambda \neq \pm 1,0)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{5} z^{2}+\left\{2 x^{4}-3\left(\lambda^{2}+1\right) x^{2} y^{2}+\frac{3}{4}\left(\lambda^{4}+6 \lambda^{2}+1\right) y^{4}\right\} x^{2} z \\
& -\frac{1}{8}\left(\lambda^{2}+1\right)\left(\lambda^{4}-10 \lambda^{2}+1\right) x^{6} y+\frac{3}{64}\left\{3 \lambda^{8}-28 \lambda^{6}-78 \lambda^{4}-28 \lambda^{2}+3\right\} x^{4} y^{3} \\
& +3 \lambda^{4}\left(\lambda^{2}+1\right) x^{2} y^{5}-\lambda^{6} y^{7}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 15. The defining equations of irreducible plane curves of type ( $d, d-$ 2) with genus $g$ having only bibranched singularities are the following (up to projective equivalence, the $\lambda_{i}$ 's are distinct).
(e) $\left(y^{k} z+\sum_{h=0}^{k+1} c_{h} x^{k+1-h} y^{h}\right)^{2}-y^{2 j} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)^{2 b_{i}} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+2 g+2}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)=0$,
where $c_{0} \neq 0$.
(f) $y^{2 k+r} z^{2}+2 y^{k} G_{0} z+\left\{G_{0}^{2}-\Delta_{0}\right\} / y^{r}=0$,
where

$$
\Delta_{0}(x, y)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)^{2 b_{i}} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+2 g+2}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)
$$

and the coefficients $c_{0}, \ldots, c_{r-1}$ of $G_{0}(x, y)=\sum_{h=0}^{k+r+1} c_{h} x^{k+r+1-h} y^{h}$ are determined by the condition $y^{r} \mid\left(G_{0}(1, y)^{2}-\Delta_{0}(1, y)\right)$ (cf. Lemma 12) and $c_{r}$ is chosen so that $y^{r+1} \not \backslash\left(G_{0}(1, y)^{2}-\Delta_{0}(1, y)\right)$.
(aa) $x^{r} y^{k} z^{2}+2 G z+\left\{G^{2}-\Delta\right\} /\left(x^{r} y^{k}\right)=0$,
where

$$
\Delta(x, y)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)^{2 b_{i}} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+2 g+2}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right) \quad\left(\lambda_{i} \neq 0 \text { for all } i\right)
$$

Write $G(x, y)=\sum_{h=0}^{k+r+1} c_{h} x^{k+r+1-h} y^{h}$. The coefficients $c_{0}, \ldots, c_{k-1}, c_{k+2}$, $\ldots, c_{k+r+1}$ are determined by the conditions $y^{k} \mid\left(G(1, y)^{2}-\Delta(1, y)\right)$ and $x^{r} \mid\left(G(x, 1)^{2}-\Delta(x, 1)\right)(c f$. Lemma 12).
$(\mathrm{aa}+) x\left(y^{k} z+2 G_{0}\right) z+\left\{x G_{0}^{2}-\Delta_{0}\right\} / y^{k}=0$,
where

$$
\Delta_{0}(x, y)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)^{2 b_{i}} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+2 g+1}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right) \quad\left(\lambda_{i} \neq 0 \text { for all } i\right) .
$$

Write $G_{0}(x, y)=\sum_{h=0}^{k+1} c_{h} x^{k+1-h} y^{h}$. The coefficients $c_{0}, \ldots, c_{k-1}$ are determined by the condition $y^{k} \mid\left(G_{0}(1, y)^{2}-\Delta_{0}(1, y)\right)$.
(aa1) $x y z^{2}-(x-\lambda y)^{4}=0 \quad(\lambda \neq 0, g=0)$.
(aa2) $x y z^{2}-\left(x-\lambda_{1} y\right)^{2}\left(x-\lambda_{2} y\right)^{2}=0 \quad\left(\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \neq 0, g=0\right)$.
(aa3) $x y z^{2}-\left(x-\lambda_{1} y\right)^{2}\left(x-\lambda_{2} y\right)\left(x-\lambda_{3} y\right)=0 \quad\left(\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3} \neq 0, g=1\right)$.
(aa4) $x y z^{2}-\prod_{i=1}^{4}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)=0 \quad\left(\lambda_{i} \neq 0\right.$ for all $\left.i, g=2\right)$.
(ab) $x^{r} y^{2 k+1} z^{2}+2 y^{k+1} G_{0} z+\left\{y G_{0}^{2}-\Delta_{0}\right\} / x^{r}=0$,
where

$$
\Delta_{0}(x, y)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)^{2 b_{i}} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+2 g+1}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right) \quad\left(\lambda_{i} \neq 0 \text { for all } i\right)
$$

and the coefficients $c_{k+2}, \ldots, c_{k+r+1}$ of $G_{0}(x, y)=\sum_{h=0}^{k+r+1} c_{h} x^{k+r+1-h} y^{h}$ are determined by the condition $x^{r} \mid\left(G_{0}(x, 1)^{2}-\Delta_{0}(x, 1)\right)$.
$(\mathrm{ab}+)\left(y^{k} z+\sum_{h=0}^{k+1} c_{h} x^{k+1-h} y^{h}\right)^{2} x y-\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)^{2 b_{i}} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+2 g}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)=0$, where $\lambda_{i} \neq 0$ for all $i$.
(ac) $x^{r} y^{2 k} z^{2}+2 y^{k} G_{0} z+\left\{G_{0}^{2}-\Delta_{0}\right\} / x^{r}=0$,
where

$$
\Delta_{0}(x, y)=y^{2 j+1} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)^{2 b_{i}} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+2 g+1}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right) \quad\left(\lambda_{i} \neq 0 \text { for all } i\right)
$$

and the coefficients $c_{k+2}, \ldots, c_{k+r+1}$ of $G_{0}(x, y)=\sum_{h=0}^{k+r+1} c_{h} x^{k+r+1-h} y^{h}$ are determined by the condition $x^{r} \mid\left(G_{0}(x, 1)^{2}-\Delta_{0}(x, 1)\right)$ and $c_{0} \neq 0$, which is required for the irreducibility of the defining equation (Remark 8).
$(\mathrm{ac}+)\left(y^{k} z+\sum_{h=0}^{k+1} c_{h} x^{k+1-h} y^{h}\right)^{2} x-y^{2 j+1} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)^{2 b_{i}} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+2 g}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)=0$,
where $\lambda_{i} \neq 0$ for all $i$ and $c_{0} \neq 0$.
(bb) $\left(x^{r} y^{k} z+\sum_{h=0}^{k+r+1} c_{h} x^{k+r+1-h} y^{h}\right)^{2} x y-\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)^{2 b_{i}} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+2 g}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)=0$, where $\lambda_{i} \neq 0$ for all $i$.
(bc) $\left(x^{r} y^{k} z+\sum_{h=0}^{k+r+1} c_{h} x^{k+r+1-h} y^{h}\right)^{2} y \quad-x^{2 l+1} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)^{2 b_{i}} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+2 g}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)=0$, where $\lambda_{i} \neq 0$ for all $i$ and $c_{k+r+1} \neq 0$.
(cc) $\left(x^{r} y^{k} z+\sum_{h=0}^{k+r+1} c_{h} x^{k+r+1-h} y^{h}\right)^{2}$
$-x^{2 l+1} y^{2 j+1} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)^{2 b_{i}} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+2 g}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)=0$,
where $\lambda_{i} \neq 0$ for all $i$ and $c_{0} c_{k+r+1} \neq 0$.
Proof. Class (e): In this case, we have $T(C)=\left\{(2 k, 2 k+2 j),\left(0,2 b_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(0,2 b_{n}\right)\right.$, $(0,1), \ldots,(0,1)\}$. We can arrange coordinates as

$$
F=y^{2 k}, \quad \Delta=y^{2 k+2 j} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)^{2 b_{i}} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+2 g+2}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right) .
$$

We infer that $G=y^{k} G_{0}$ for some $G_{0}$.
Class (f): We have $T(C)=\left\{(2 k+r, 2 k),\left(0,2 b_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(0,2 b_{n}\right),(0,1), \ldots,(0,1)\right\}$.
We can arrange coordinates as

$$
F=y^{2 k+r}, \quad \Delta=y^{2 k} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)^{2 b_{i}} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+2 g+2}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right) .
$$

We infer that $G=y^{k} G_{0}$ for some $G_{0}$. Write $\Delta=y^{2 k} \Delta_{0}$. Furthermore, we must have $y^{r} \mid\left(G_{0}^{2}-\Delta_{0}\right)$.
Class (aa): We may assume $k \geq r$. We have the case in which $T(C)=\{(k, 0)$, $\left.(r, 0),\left(0,2 b_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(0,2 b_{n}\right),(0,1), \ldots,(0,1)\right\}$. We can then arrange coordinates as

$$
F=x^{r} y^{k} \quad \Delta=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right)^{2 b_{i}} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+2 g+2}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right) \quad\left(\lambda_{i} \neq 0 \text { for all } i\right) .
$$

We infer that $y^{k} \mid\left(G^{2}-\Delta\right)$ and $x^{r} \mid\left(G^{2}-\Delta\right)$.
In case $r=1$, we also have the case in which $T(C)=\left\{(k, 0),(1,1),\left(0,2 b_{1}\right)\right.$, $\left.\ldots,\left(0,2 b_{n}\right),(0,1), \ldots,(0,1)\right\}$. We obtain Class (aa+). If $d=4$, then we have four more classes:

| Class | $T(C)$ | $g$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (aa1) | $\{(1,1),(1,1),(0,4)\}$ | 0 |
| (aa2) | $\{(1,1),(1,1),(0,2),(0,2)\}$ | 0 |
| (aa3) | $\{(1,1),(1,1),(0,2),(0,1),(0,1)\}$ | 1 |
| (aa4) | $\{(1,1),(1,1),(0,1),(0,1),(0,1),(0,1)\}$ | 2 |

For the remaining classes, we omit the details.
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