# A remark on the $\phi$ -norms

#### Akkouchi Mohamed

**Abstract.** We introduce the concept of  $\phi$ -norm on (complex or real) linear spaces for a class of functions  $\phi$  which are *J*-convex, nondecreasing and having a fixed point on the set of nonnegative real numbers. The *q*-norms introduced by H. Belbachir, M. Mirzavaziri and M. S. Moslehian, (see A.J.M.A.A., Vol. 3, No. 1, Art. 2, (2006)) are particular cases of  $\phi$ -norms. We establish that every  $\phi$ -norm is a norm in the usual sense, and that the converse is true as well.

**Resumen.** Introducimos el concepto de  $\phi$ -norma sobre espacios lineales (complejos o reales) para una clase de funciones  $\phi$  que es *J*-convexa, no decreciente y con un punto fijo en el conjunto de los números reales no negativos. Las *q*-normas introducidas por H. Belbachir, Mirzavaziri M. y Moslehian MS, (ver AJMAA, vol. 3, No. 1, del art. 2 (2006)) son casos particulares de  $\phi$ -normas. Probamos que cada  $\phi$ -norma es una norma en el sentido usual, y que el converso también es cierto.

## 1 Introduction

In [3], S. Saitoh noticed that in any arbitrary linear space E, the so-called parallelogram inequality  $||x + y||^2 \leq 2(||x||^2 + ||y||^2)$ , for vectors x, y in E, may be more suitable than the usual triangle inequality. He considered this inequality in the setting of a natural sum Hilbert space for two arbitrary Hilbert spaces.

It is easy to see that any arbitrary norm  $\|.\|$  on E satisfies the parallelogram inequality.

The reader is referred to [2] for undefined terms and notations.

In [1], the authors have introduced an extension of the triangle inequality by using the concept of a q-norm.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a real or complex linear space and  $q \in [1, \infty)$ . A mapping  $\|\cdot\| : \mathcal{X} \to [0, \infty)$  is called a q-norm on  $\mathcal{X}$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

1.  $||x|| = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$ ,

- 2.  $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and all scalar  $\lambda$ ,
- 3.  $||x+y||^q \le 2^{q-1} (||x||^q + ||y||^q)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ .

In [1], the following result was proved.

**Theorem 1.1.** Every q-norm is a norm in the usual sense.

The purpose of this paper is to extend the result above by using the notion of a  $\phi$ -norm which is more general than the notion of a q-norm.

### 2 Definitions and preliminaries

Let  $\Phi$  be the set of functions  $\phi : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$  which are not identically zero and satisfying the following properties:

 $(\phi_1) \phi$  is non-decreasing on  $[0, +\infty)$ .

 $(\phi_2) \phi\left(\frac{s+t}{2}\right) \le \frac{\phi(s) + \phi(t)}{2}, \quad \text{for all } s, t \in [0, +\infty).$ 

 $(\phi_3)$  There exists a positive number r > 0 such that  $\phi(r) = r$ .

**Example 1.** For each q > 1, the function  $\phi_q(t) = t^q$  satisfies the properties  $(\phi_1), (\phi_2)$  and  $(\phi_3)$  with r = 1.

**Example 2.** Consider  $\phi(t) = \exp(t-1)$ . Then  $\phi$  satisfies the properties  $(\phi_1), (\phi_2)$  and  $(\phi_3)$  with r = 1.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a real or complex linear space and  $\phi \in \Phi$ . A mapping  $\|\cdot\| : \mathcal{X} \to [0, \infty)$  is called a  $\phi$ -norm on  $\mathcal{X}$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

- 1.  $||x|| = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$ ,
- 2.  $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and all scalar  $\lambda$ ,

3. 
$$\phi\left(\frac{\|x+y\|}{2}\right) \leq \frac{\phi(\|x\|) + \phi(\|y\|)}{2}, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathcal{X}.$$

When  $\phi$  is continuous, We observe that the property (3) above is equivalent to say that the function  $x \longrightarrow \phi(||x||)$  is convex on  $\mathcal{X}$ .

**Remark 1.** For every number q > 1, a mapping  $\|\cdot\| : \mathcal{X} \to [0,\infty)$  is a q-norm on  $\mathcal{X}$  if and only if  $\|\cdot\|$  is a  $\phi_q$ -norm, where  $\phi_q(t) = t^q$ .

We have the following result.

**Proposition 2.1.** Every norm in the usual sense is a  $\phi$ -norm for every  $\phi \in \Phi$ . Proof. Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ . Since  $\|.\|$  is a norm, then we have

$$||x + y|| \le ||x|| + ||y||.$$

Since  $\phi$  is non-decreasing and convex on  $[0, \infty)$ , it follows that

$$\phi\left(\frac{\|x+y\|}{2}\right) \le \phi\left(\frac{\|x\|+\|y\|}{2}\right) \le \frac{\phi(\|x\|) + \phi(\|y\|)}{2}.$$

So,  $\|.\|$  is a  $\phi$ -norm.

The following lemma will be used in the proof of the main result of this note.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a real or complex linear space. Let  $\|\cdot\| : \mathcal{X} \to [0, \infty)$  be a mapping satisfying (1) and (2) in the definition of a  $\phi$ -norm. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

(i)  $\|\cdot\|$  is a norm.

(ii) The set  $B_r = \{x \in \mathcal{X} : ||x|| \le r\}$  is convex, for any arbitrary number r > 0. (iii) The set  $B_1 = \{x \in \mathcal{X} : ||x|| \le 1\}$  is convex.

(iv) There exists a positive number r > 0 such that the set  $B_r = \{x \in \mathcal{X} : ||x|| \le r\}$  is convex.

*Proof.* The implications (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) are obvious. It remains to show the implication (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let r > 0 be such that the set  $B_r$  is convex. Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ . We can suppose that  $x \neq 0$  and  $y \neq 0$ . We put  $x' = r \frac{x}{\|x\|}$  and  $y' = r \frac{y}{\|y\|}$ . We have  $x', y' \in B_r$ . By assumption, we know that  $\lambda x' + (1 - \lambda) y' \in B_r$  for all  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ . In particular, for  $\lambda = \frac{\|x\|}{\|x\| + \|y\|}$  we obtain

$$\left\|\frac{rx}{\|x\| + \|y\|} + \frac{ry}{\|x\| + \|y\|}\right\| = \|\lambda x' + (1 - \lambda)y'\| \le r.$$

So that  $r||x + y|| \le r[||x|| + ||y||]$ , which implies that  $||x + y|| \le ||x|| + ||y||$ . So ||.|| is a norm on  $\mathcal{X}$ . This ends the proof.

#### 3 The result

Now we are ready to state and prove the main result of this note.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a real or complex linear space. Let  $\|\cdot\| : \mathcal{X} \to [0, \infty)$  be a mapping satisfying the following conditions:

- $1. ||x|| = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0,$
- 2.  $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and all scalar  $\lambda$ .

Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\|\cdot\|$  is a norm (in the usual sense on  $\mathcal{X}$ ).
- (ii)  $\|\cdot\|$  is a  $\phi$ -norm on  $\mathcal{X}$  for every  $\phi \in \Phi$ .
- (iii) There exists a  $\phi \in \Phi$  such that  $\|\cdot\|$  is a  $\phi$ -norm on  $\mathcal{X}$ .

*Proof.* The implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is Proposition 2.1. The implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) is evident. We have to prove the implication (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Suppose that  $\|\cdot\|$  is a  $\phi$ -norm on  $\mathcal{X}$  for some  $\phi \in \Phi$ . By Property ( $\phi_3$ ), there exists a positive number r > 0 such that  $\phi(r) = r$ . By Lemma 2.1, it is sufficient to prove that the set  $B_r = \{x \in \mathcal{X} : \|x\| \le r\}$  is convex.

Let  $x, y \in B_r$ . Then, by the properties  $(\phi_1), (\phi_2)$  and  $(\phi_3)$  of  $\phi$ , we have

$$\phi\left(\frac{\|x+y\|}{2}\right) \le \frac{\phi(\|x\|) + \phi(\|y\|)}{2} \le \frac{\phi(r) + \phi(r)}{2} = \phi(r) = r.$$

So  $\frac{1}{2}x + (1 - \frac{1}{2})y \in B_r$ . Thus if  $D = \left\{\frac{k}{2^n} \mid n = 1, 2, \ldots; k = 0, 1, \ldots, 2^n\right\}$ , then for each  $\lambda \in D$  we have  $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in B_r$ .

Let  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$  and  $z = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda) y$ . We can suppose that  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . Since D is dense in [0, 1], there exists a sequence  $\{\rho_n\}$  of points of D satisfying  $\rho_n \ge \lambda$ , for every nonnegative integer n, such that  $\lim_n \rho_n = \lambda$ . We put  $\beta_n = \frac{1-\rho_n}{1-\lambda}$ . Obviously, we have  $0 \le \beta_n \le 1$  and  $\lim_n \beta_n = 1$ . For every nonnegative integer n, we observe that

$$0 \le \frac{\lambda}{\rho_n} \beta_n \le \beta_n \le 1.$$

Therefore  $\frac{\lambda}{\rho_n}\beta_n x \in B_r$ . Since  $\rho_n \in D$  we conclude that

$$\beta_n z = \lambda \beta_n x + (1 - \lambda) \beta_n y = \rho_n \frac{\lambda}{\rho_n} \beta_n x + (1 - \rho_n) y \in B_r.$$

Thus  $\beta_n ||z|| = ||\beta_n z|| \le r$  for all n. By letting n tend to infinity, we get  $||z|| \le r$ , i.e.  $z \in B_r$ .

Acknowledgement. I thank very much the anonymous referee for his (or her) helpful comments.

### References

- H. Belbachir, M. Mirzavaziri and M. S. Moslehian, *q-norms are really norms*, A.J.M.A.A., Vol. 3, No. 1, Art. 2, (2006) pp. 1-3.
- [2] W. B. Johnson (ed.) and J. Lindenstrauss (ed.), Handbook of the Geometry of Banach Spaces, Vol. 1, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 2001.
- [3] S. Saitoh, Generalizations of the triangle inequality, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 4 (2003), No. 3, Article 62, 5 pp.

Akkouchi Mohamed

Département de Mathématiques, Faculté des Sciences-Semlalia. Université Cadi Ayyad, B.P. 2390. Av. du prince My. Abdellah. Marrakech, Maroc (Morocco).

e-mail: akkouchimo@yahoo.fr