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#### Abstract

We apply an iteration approximation method to approximate an initial condition of a boundary value problem for an abstract first order homogeneous linear differential equation with an integral boundary condition on a Banach space.


## 1. Introduction

Let $B(E)$ denote the Banach algebra of all linear bounded operators on a complex Banach space $E$. The set of all linear closed densely defined operators in $E$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}(E)$. We denote by $\sigma(B)$ the spectrum of the operator $B$; by $\rho(B)$ the resolvent set of $B$; by $\mathcal{N}(B)$ the null space of $B$ and by $\mathcal{R}(B)$ the range of $B$.
Let $A$ be a generator of analytic $C_{0}$-semigroup $U(t)$, defined on a Banach space $E$, which means that $A: D(A) \subseteq E \rightarrow E$ is a closed linear operator, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(\lambda I-A)^{-1}\right\|_{B(E)} \leq \frac{1}{1+|\lambda|}, \text { for any } \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider in a Banach space $E$ the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)=A u(t), t \in[0, T] \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1.1. The vector fonction $u(t)=U(t) f ; 0 \leq t \leq T$, corresponding to some element $f \in E$ is called a generalized solution of (1.2). If, in addition, $f \in D(A)$, then the solution $u(t)=U(t) f$ is said to be classical.

Remark. In the case, when $f \in D(A)$ obviously $f$ coincides with the initial state $u(0)$ of the corresponding solution $u(t)$.

Suppose that the initial state $f$ is unknown, and consider the additional relation

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} w(t) u(t) d t=g \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $g \in E$ is a given element in $E$ and $w(t)$ is scalar measurable function of bounded variation on the segment $[0, T]$
Remark. The integral occurring in (1.3) is well-defined in the sense of Bochner for any function $u(t)=U(t) f$.

Definition 1.2. A generalized solution of the problem (1.2), (1.3) is defined to be a function $u(t)=U(t) f ; 0 \leq t \leq T$, corresponding to some element $f \in E$ and reducing relation (1.3) to a valid identity. If, in addition $f \in D(A)$, then the corresponding solution $u(t)=U(t) f$ of the problem (1.2), (1.3) is called a classical solution.

From Definition 1, the solution of $\sqrt{1.2}$ is given in the form $u(t)=U(t) f$. Therefore, the function $u(t)=U(t) f$ satisfies the condition 1.3) if and only if $f$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} w(t) U(t) f d t=g \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, for $f \in E$, we have the operator equation $B f=g$, where,

$$
B f=\int_{0}^{T} w(t) U(t) f d t
$$

Lemma 1.3. (1]). The operator $B$ maps $E$ into $D(A)$.
Remark. If $g \in E \backslash D(A)$, then the problem (1.2), (1.3) is unsolvable in the sense of the Definition 1.2.

Now applying the operator $A$ in 1.4 and integrating by parts, we get the Fredholm second order equation in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(I-K) f=G \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K f=\left(\frac{w(T)}{w(0)} U(T)+\frac{1}{w(0)} \int_{0}^{T} U(t) d(-w(t))\right) f \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=-\frac{1}{w(0)} A g \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In such settings one would say that the problem 1.2, 1.3 is well posed if the element $g$ is given in the space $D(A)$ and the unknow element $f$ is considered as an element from the space $E$. From 1.1 it follows that the resolvent $(\lambda I-A)^{-1}$ exists for $\lambda=0$ and is positive operator, and therefore $A^{-1}$ exists, which implies equivalence of the problem $(1.2), 1.3)$ to the Fredholm second order equation 1.5 . Using ideas from [8] the aim of this paper is the construction of an algorithm for the approximation of an element $f$, which solves the problem $\sqrt[1.2]{12}$, 1.3 or, in
other words, we want to solve equation 1.5 . We present the algorithm as a general approximation scheme, which includes finite element methods and finite difference methods and projection methods.

The main question is a solvability of the problem 1.2 , 1.3). It is clear that in the case of compact operator $K$ the operator $(I-K)$ is Fredholm operator of index 0 . Most of the results on the existence of solution of the problem $\sqrt[1.2]{1.2}$, 1.3 are concerned to compactness or positivity property of resolvent of operator $A$. So the existence of bounded inverse operator $(I-K)^{-1}$ follows practically from condition $\mathcal{N}(I-K)=\{0\}$ and compact convergence of resolvent, see Theorem 2.9 and Step 4 of the proof of Theorem 5.1. There are some theorems proved, say, in [1, 2], which guarantee that condition $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(I-K)=\{0\}$ holds. Namely, let us list some results which could be applied here.
Consider in a Banach space $E$ the problem of finding an element $f$ from relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)=A u(t), t \in[0, T] \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} w(t) u(t) d t=g \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g \in E$ is a given element in $E$ and $w(t)$ is scalar measurable function of bounded variation on the segment $[0, T]$.

Theorem 1.4. (1, 2]). Let $w(t)$ be a nonnegative non increasing function for $t \in[0, T]$ such that $w(t)>0$ as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$, and let the semigroup $U(t)$ generated by the operator $A$ satisfy the estimate $\|U(t)\| \leq M \exp (-\beta t)$ with constants $M \geq 1$, $\beta>0$. Then the problem (1.8)-1.9) is well-posed.

If $E$ is a Banach lattice. We recall that an order set $(E, \preceq)$ is called a lattice if for any pair of elements $x, y \in E$ the elements $\sup (x, y)$ and $\inf (x, y)$ exist in $E$. Moreover, for any $x \in E$ we define $x^{+}=\sup (x, 0), x^{-}=\inf (-x, 0)$ which called positive and negative parts, respectively. The following relation is valid, $x=x^{+}-x^{-}$.

Definition 1.5. Let $B$ be a linear operator on $E$. The operator $B$ is called positive if $B x \succeq 0$ for all $x \succeq 0$.

Definition 1.6. $A C_{0}$ - semigroup $\exp (t A), t \geq 0$, is called positive in a Banach space with a cone $E^{+}$if $\exp (t A) E^{+} \subseteq E^{+}$for any $t \geq 0$.

Definition 1.7. $A C_{0}$ - semigroup $\exp (t A), t \geq 0$, is positive iff resolvent $(\lambda I-A)^{-1} E^{+} \subseteq E^{+}$for any $\lambda>w(A)$.

Definition 1.8. A linear $A: D(A) \subseteq E \rightarrow E$ is said to have the positive offdiagonal $(\boldsymbol{P O D})$ property if $\langle A u, \phi\rangle \geq 0$ whenever $0 \preceq u \in D(A)$ and $0 \preceq \phi \in E^{*}$ with $\langle u, \phi\rangle=0$.

Theorem 1.9. (1, 2]). Let $w(t)$ be a nonnegative non increasing function for $t \in[0, T]$ such that $w(t)>0$ as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$, and let the semigroup $U(t)$ generated by the operator $A$ be positive and compact for $t>0$. Assume that the spectrum of $A$ lies in the half-plane $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re} \lambda<0\}$. Then the problem (1.8)-1.9) is well-posed.

## 2. General approximation scheme

Now we give the algorithm on general approximation scheme which includes finite element and finite difference methods and projection methods.

The general approximation scheme, due to [5, 13, 15] can be described in the following way. Let $E_{n}$ and $E$ be Banach spaces and $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of linear bounded operators $p_{n}: E \rightarrow E_{n}, p_{n} \in B\left(E ; E_{n}\right), n \in I N=\{1,2, \ldots\}$, with the property: $\left\|p_{n} x\right\|_{E_{n}} \rightarrow\|x\|_{E}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any $x \in E$.
Definition 2.1. The sequence of elements $\left\{x_{n}\right\}, x_{n} \in E_{n}, n \in I N$; is said to be $\mathcal{P}$-convergent to $x \in E$ iff $\left\|x_{n}-p_{n} x\right\|_{E_{n}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and we write this $x_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} x$.
Definition 2.2. The sequence of bounded linear operators $B_{n} \in B\left(E_{n}\right), n \in I N$, is said to be $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{P}$-convergent to the bounded linear operator $B \in B(E)$ if for every $x \in E$ and for every sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}, x_{n} \in E_{n}, n \in I N$; such that $x_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} x$ one has $B x_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} B x$. We write then $B_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P} \mathcal{P}} B$.

For general examples of notions of $\mathcal{P}$-convergence see for instance [12].
Remark. If we put $E_{n}=E$ and $p_{n}=I$ for each $n \in I N$, where $I$ is the identity operator on $E$, then Definition 2.1 leads to the traditional pointwise convergent bounded linear operators which we denote by $B_{n} \rightarrow B$.
Definition 2.3. A sequence of elements $\left\{x_{n}\right\}, x_{n} \in E_{n}, n \in I N$, is said to be $\mathcal{P}$ compact if for any $I N^{\prime} \subset I N$ there exist $I N^{\prime \prime} \subset I N^{\prime}$ and $x \in E$ such that $x_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} x$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $I N^{\prime \prime}$.
Definition 2.4. A system $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ is said to be discrete order preserving if for all sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}, x_{n} \in E_{n}$, and any element $x \in E$, the following implication holds:

$$
x_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} x \text { implies } x_{n}^{+} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} x^{+} .
$$

It is know [6] that $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ preserves the order iff $\left\|p_{n} x^{+}-\left(p_{n} x\right)^{n}\right\|_{E_{n}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any $x \in E$. If $B_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P} \mathcal{P}} B$ and $B_{n} \succeq 0$ for $n \geq n_{0}$ and the system $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ is order preserving, then [10] $B \succeq 0$. However, the inverse statement does not hold in general and we need to assume positiveness of $B_{n} \succeq 0$.
Definition 2.5. A sequence of operators $\left\{B_{n}\right\}, B_{n} \in B\left(E_{n}\right), n \in I N$, converges compactly to an operator $B \in B(E)$ if $B_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P} \mathcal{P}} B$ and the following compactness condition holds:

$$
\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{E_{n}}=O(1),\left\{B_{n} x_{n}\right\} \text { is } \mathcal{P}-\text { compact. }
$$

Let us mention that the last implication could be writtten as $\mu\left(\left\{B_{n} x_{n}\right\}\right)=0$ as $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \leq$ constant for mesure of noncompactness $\mu$ (.). The main property of $\mu($.$) is$ that $\mu\left(\left\{y_{n}\right\}\right)=0$ iff $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is $\mathcal{P}$-compact. It is also easy to check that $\mu\left(\left\{x_{n}+y_{n}\right\}\right) \leq$ $\mu\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)+\mu\left(\left\{y_{n}\right\}\right)$ and $\mu\left(\left\{D_{n} x_{n}\right\}\right) \leq \varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|D_{n}\right\|\left\|x_{n}\right\|$ for any operators $D_{n} \in$ $B\left(E_{n}\right)$ and any sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{y_{n}\right\}$.
Definition 2.6. A sequence of operators $\left\{B_{n}\right\}, B_{n} \in B\left(E_{n}\right), n \in I N$, is said to be stably convergent to an operator $B \in B(E)$ iff $B_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P} \mathcal{P}} B$ and $\left\|B_{n}^{-1}\right\|_{E_{n}}=O(1), n \rightarrow$ $\infty$. We will write this as: $B_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P} \mathcal{P}} B$ stably.

Definition 2.7. A sequence of operators $\left\{B_{n}\right\}, B_{n} \in B\left(E_{n}\right), n \in I N$, is called regularly convergent to the operator $B \in B(E)$ iff $B_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P} \mathcal{P}} B$ and the following implication holds: $\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{P} \mathcal{P}}=O(1)$ and $\left\{B_{n} x_{n}\right\}$ is $\mathcal{P}$-compact, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is $\mathcal{P}$-compact. We write this as: $B_{n} \rightarrow B$ regularly.
Theorem 2.8. (15]). Let $C_{n}, Q_{n} \in B\left(E_{n}\right), C, Q \in B(E)$ and $R(Q)=E$.
Assume also that $C_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P} \mathcal{P}} C$ compactly and $Q_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P} \mathcal{P}} Q$ stably. Then $Q_{n}+C_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P} \mathcal{P}} Q+C$ converge regularly.
Theorem 2.9. ([15]). For $B_{n} \in B\left(E_{n}\right)$ and $B \in B(E)$ the following conditions are equivalent.
(i): $B_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P P}} B$ regularly, $B_{n}$ are Fredholm operators of index 0 and $\mathcal{N}(B)=$ $\{0\}$;
(ii): $B_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P \mathcal { P }}} B$ stably and $\mathcal{R}(B)=E$;
(iii): $B_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P} \mathcal{P}} B$ stably and regularly;

If one of conditions (i)-(iii) holds, then there exist $B_{n}^{-1} \in B\left(E_{n}\right), B^{-1} \in B(E)$, and $B_{n}^{-1} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P P}} B^{-1}$ regularly and stably.

Definition 2.10. The region of stability $\Delta_{s}=\Delta_{s}\left(\left\{A_{n}\right\}\right), A_{n} \in \mathcal{C}\left(E_{n}\right)$, is defined as the set of all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lambda \in \rho\left(A_{n}\right)$ for almost all $n$ and such that the sequence $\left\{\left\|\left(\lambda I_{n}-A_{n}\right)^{-1}\right\|\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. The region of convergence $\Delta_{c}=$ $\Delta_{c}\left(\left\{A_{n}\right\}\right), A_{n} \in \mathcal{C}\left(E_{n}\right)$, is defined as the set of all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lambda \in \Delta_{s}\left(\left\{A_{n}\right\}\right)$ and such that the sequence of operators $\left\{\left(\lambda I_{n}-A_{n}\right)^{-1}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{P}-$ convergent to some operator $S(\lambda) \in B(E)$.

Definition 2.11. A sequence of operators $\left\{L_{n}\right\}, L_{n} \in \mathcal{C}\left(E_{n}\right)$, is said regularly compatible with an operator $L \in \mathcal{C}(E)$ if $\left(L_{n}, L\right)$ are compatible and, for any bounded sequence $\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{E_{n}}=O(1)$ such that $x_{n} \in D\left(L_{n}\right)$ and $\left\{L_{n} x_{n}\right\}$ is $\mathcal{P}$-compact, it follows that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is $\mathcal{P}$-compact, and the $\mathcal{P}$ - convergence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ to some element $x$ and convergence of $\left\{L_{n} x_{n}\right\}$ to some element $y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathbb{N}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ imply that $x \in D(L)$ and $L x=y$.

Definition 2.12. The region of regularity $\Delta_{r}=\Delta_{r}\left(\left\{A_{n}\right\}, A\right)$, is defined as the set of all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\left(L_{n}(\lambda), L(\lambda)\right)$ are regularly compatible, where $L_{n}(\lambda)=$ $\lambda I_{n}-A_{n}$ and $L(\lambda)=\lambda I-A$.

The relationships between these regions are given by the following statement.
Proposition 2.13. (14). Suppose that $\Delta_{c} \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{N}(S(\lambda))=\{0\}$ at least for one point $\lambda \in \Delta_{c}$, so that $S(\lambda)=(\lambda I-A)^{-1}$. Then $\left(A_{n}, A\right)$ are compatible and

$$
\Delta_{c}=\Delta_{s} \cap \rho(A)=\Delta_{s} \cap \Delta_{r}=\Delta_{r} \cap \rho(A)
$$

Definition 2.14. The region of compact convergence of resolvent, $\Delta_{c c}=\Delta_{c c}\left(A_{n}, A\right)$, where $A_{n} \in \mathcal{C}\left(E_{n}\right)$ and $A \in \mathcal{C}(E)$ is defined as the set of all $\lambda \in \Delta_{c} \cap \rho(A)$ such that $\left(\lambda I_{n}-A_{n}\right)^{-1} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P P}}(\lambda I-A)^{-1}$ compactly.

Theorem 2.15. (4]). Assume that $\Delta_{c c} \neq \emptyset$. Then for any $\mu \in \Delta_{s}$ the following implication holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{E_{n}}=O(1) \text { and }\left\|\left(\mu I_{n}-A_{n}\right) x_{n}\right\|_{E_{n}}=O(1) \Rightarrow\left\{A_{n}\right\} \text { is } \mathcal{P}-\text { compact } \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, if for some $\mu \in \Delta_{c} \cap \rho(A)$ implication 2.1) holds, then $\Delta_{c c} \neq \emptyset$.
Corollary 2.16. (4]). Assume that $\Delta_{c c} \neq \emptyset$. Then $\Delta_{c c}=\Delta_{c} \cap \rho(A)$.
Theorem 2.17. (4]). Assume that $\Delta_{c c} \neq \emptyset$. Then $\Delta_{r}=\mathbb{C}$.
In the case of unbounded operators, and we know in general infinitesimal generators are unbounded, we consider the notion of compatibility.

Definition 2.18. The sequence of closed linear operators $\left\{A_{n}\right\}, A_{n} \in \mathcal{C}\left(E_{n}\right), n \in$ IN, are said to be compatible with a closed linear operator $A \in \mathcal{C}(E)$ iff for each $x \in D(A)$ there is a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}, x_{n} \in D\left(A_{n}\right) \subseteq E_{n}, n \in I N$, such that $x_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} x$ and $A_{n} x_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} A x$. We write $\left(A_{n}, A\right)$ are compatible.

Note, that $\left(A_{n}, A\right)$ are compatible if resolvent converge
$\left(\lambda I_{n}-A_{n}\right)^{-1} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P} \mathcal{P}}(\lambda I-A)^{-1}$. Usually in practice Banach spaces $E_{n}$ are finite dimensional, although, in general, say for the case of a closed operator $A$, we have $\operatorname{dim} E_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\left\|A_{n}\right\|_{B\left(E_{n}\right)} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

## 3. Discretization of semigroups

Let us consider the well-posed Cauchy problem in the Banach space $E$ with operator $A \in \mathcal{C}(E)$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
u^{\prime}(t)=A u(t) ; t \in[0 ; \infty)  \tag{3.1}\\
u(0)=u^{0} \in E
\end{array}\right.
$$

where operator $A$ generates $C_{0}$-semigroup $U(t)$. It is well-known that the $C_{0}{ }^{-}$ semigroup gives the solution of (3.1) by the formula $u(t)=U(t) u^{0}$ for $t \geq 0$. The theory of well-posed problems and numerical analysis of these problems have been developed extensively, see [4, 7. Let us consider on the general discretization scheme the semidiscrete approximation of the problem (3.1) in the Banach spaces $E_{n}$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
u_{n}^{\prime}(t)=A_{n} u_{n}(t) ; t \in[0 ; \infty)  \tag{3.2}\\
u_{n}(0)=u_{n}^{0} \in E_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with the operators $A_{n} \in \mathcal{C}\left(E_{n}\right)$, such that they generate $C_{0}$-semigroups, which are consistent with the operator $A \in \mathcal{C}(E)$ and $u_{n}^{0} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} u^{0}$.

## 4. The simplest discretization schemes

We have the following version of Trotter-Kato's Theorem on general approximation scheme.

Theorem 4.1. ([12, Theorem ABC]). Assume that $A \in C(E) ; A_{n} \in C\left(E_{n}\right)$ and they generate $C_{0}$-semigroups. The following conditions $(A)$ and $(B)$ are equivalent to condition ( $C$ ).
(A): Consistency. There exists $\lambda \in \rho(A) \cap \cap_{n} \rho\left(A_{n}\right)$ such that the resolvents converge $\left(\lambda I_{n}-A_{n}\right)^{-1} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P} \mathcal{P}}(\lambda I-A)^{-1}$;
(B): Stability. There are some constants $M \geq 1$ and $\omega$; which are not depending on $n$ and such that $\left\|U_{n}(t)\right\| \leq M \exp (\omega t)$ for $t \geq 0$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
(C): Convergence. For any finite $T>0$ one has
$\max _{t \in[0 ; T]}\left\|U_{n}(t) u_{n}^{0}-p_{n} U(t) u^{0}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; whenever $u_{n}^{0} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} u^{0}$ for any $u_{n}^{0} \in E_{n} ; u^{0} \in E$.

Remark. The condition $(A)$ in the contents of these Theorems is equivalent to compatibility of operators $\left(A_{n}, A\right)$.

Theorem 4.2. (4]) Let operators $A$ and $A_{n}$ generate analytic $C_{0}$-semigroup. The following conditions $(A)$ and $\left(B_{1}\right)$ are equivalent to condition $\left(C_{1}\right)$.
(A): Consistency. There exists $\lambda \in \rho(A) \cap \cap_{n} \rho\left(A_{n}\right)$ such that the resolvents converge $\left(\lambda I_{n}-A_{n}\right)^{-1} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P} \mathcal{P}}(\lambda I-A)^{-1}$;
$\left(\mathbf{B}_{1}\right):$ Stability. There are some constants $M_{1} \geq 1$ and $\omega_{1}$ independent of $n$ such that for any Re $\lambda>\omega_{1},\left\|\left(\lambda I_{n}-A_{n}\right)^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{M_{1}}{\left|\lambda-\omega_{1}\right|}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
$\left(\mathbf{C}_{1}\right)$ : Convergence. For any finite $\mu>0$ and some $0<\theta<\frac{\pi}{2}$ we have $\max _{\eta \in \Sigma(\theta, \mu)}\left\|U_{n}(\eta) u_{n}^{0}-p_{n} U(\eta) u^{0}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ whenever $u_{n}^{0} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} u^{0}$.
Here $\Sigma(\theta, \mu)=\{z \in \Sigma(\theta):|z| \leq \mu\}$ and $\Sigma(\theta)=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|\arg z| \leq \theta\}$.
Definition 4.3. An element $e \in E^{+}$is said to be an order unit in a Banach lattice $E$ if for every $x \in E$ there exists $0 \leq \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $-\lambda e \preceq x \preceq \lambda e$. For $e \in$ int $E^{+}$ we can define the order unit norm by

$$
\|x\|_{e}=\inf \{\lambda \geq 0:-\lambda e \preceq x \preceq \lambda e\} .
$$

An order Banach space $E$ is callad an order unit space if there exists $e \in \operatorname{int} E^{+}$ such that $\|\cdot\|_{E}=\|\cdot\|_{e}$.

The following version of the Trotter-Kato's Theorem for positive $C_{0}$ - semigroup holds.

Theorem 4.4. (10) Let the operators $A_{n}$ and $A$ from (3.1) and (3.2) be compatible, let $E, E_{n}$ be order unit spaces, and let $e_{n} \in D\left(A_{n}\right) \cap$ int $E_{n}^{+}$. Assume that the operators $A_{n}$ have the $P O D$ property and $A_{n} e_{n} \preceq 0$ for sufficiently large $n$. Then $\exp \left(t A_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P} \mathcal{P}} \exp (t A)$ uniformly in $t \in[0, T]$.

We can assume that conditions $(A)$ and $(B)$ for the corresponding $C_{0}$ - semigroups case are satisfied without any restriction of generality if any discretization processes in time are considered.

We denote by $T_{n}$ (.) a family of discrete semigroups as in [7, i.e. $T_{n}(t)=T_{n}\left(\tau_{n}\right)^{k_{n}}$, where $k_{n}=\left[\frac{t}{\tau_{n}}\right]$, as $n \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$. The generator of discrete semigroups is defined by $\breve{A}_{n}=\frac{1}{\tau_{n}}\left(T_{n}\left(\tau_{n}\right)-I_{n}\right) \in B\left(E_{n}\right)$ and so $T_{n}(t)=$ $\left(I_{n}+\tau_{n} \breve{A_{n}}\right)^{k_{n}}$; where $t=k_{n} \tau_{n}$.
Theorem 4.5. ([12, Theorem ABC- discr]). The following conditions (A) and $\left(B_{0}\right)$ are equivalent to condition $\left(C_{0}\right):(A)$ Consistency. There exists $\lambda \in \rho(A) \cap$ $\cap_{n} \rho\left(\breve{A_{n}}\right)$ such that the resolvents converge $\left(\lambda I_{n}-\breve{A_{n}}\right)^{-1} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P} \mathcal{P}}(\lambda I-A)^{-1},\left(B_{0}\right)$ Stability. There are some constants $M_{2} \geq 1$ and $\omega_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\left\|T_{n}(t)\right\| \leq$ $M_{2} \exp \left(\omega_{2} t\right)$ for $t \in I R+, n \in I N,\left(C_{0}\right)$ Convergence. For any finite $T>0$ one
has $\max _{t \in[0 ; T]}\left\|T_{n}(t) u_{n}^{0}-p_{n} \exp (t A) u^{0}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, whenever $u_{n}^{0} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} u^{0}$ for any $u_{n}^{0} \in E_{n}, u^{0} \in E$.

Theorem 4.6. ([12]). Assume that $A \in \mathcal{C}(E), A_{n} \in \mathcal{C}\left(E_{n}\right)$ and they generate $C_{0}$ semigroup. Assume also that conditions $(A)$ and $(B)$ of Theorem 4.1 holds. Then the implicit difference scheme

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\overline{U_{n}}\left(t+\tau_{n}\right)-\overline{U_{n}}(t)}{\tau_{n}}=A_{n} \overline{U_{n}}(t+\tau), \overline{U_{n}}(0)=u_{n}^{0} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is stable, i.e. $\left\|\left(I_{n}-\tau_{n} A_{n}\right)^{-k_{n}}\right\| \leq M_{2} \exp \left(\omega_{2} t\right), t=k_{n} \tau_{n} \in I R+$; and gives an approximation to the solution of the problem (3.1), i.e. $\overline{U_{n}}(t) \equiv\left(I_{n}-\tau_{n} A_{n}\right)^{-k_{n}} u_{n}^{0} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}$ $\exp (t A) u_{n}^{0} \mathcal{P}$-converges uniformly with respect to $t=k_{n} \tau_{n} \in[0 ; T]$ as $u_{n}^{0} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} u^{0}$, $n \rightarrow \infty, k_{n} \rightarrow \infty, \tau_{n} \rightarrow 0$.
Theorem 4.7. (4]). Assume that conditions $(A)$ and $\left(B_{1}\right)$ of Theorem 4.2 hold and condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\tau_{n} A_{n}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{(M+2)}, n \in I N \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is fulfilled. Then the difference scheme

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{U_{n}\left(t+\tau_{n}\right)-U_{n}(t)}{\tau_{n}}=A_{n} U_{n}(t), U_{n}(0)=u_{n}^{0}, \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is stable and gives an approximation to the solution of the problem (1.2), i.e. $U_{n}(t) \equiv\left(I_{n}+\tau_{n} A_{n}\right)^{k_{n}} u_{n}^{0} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} u(t)$ discretely $\mathcal{P}$-converge uniformly with respect to $t=k_{n} \tau_{n} \in[0 ; T]$ as $u_{n}^{0} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} u^{0}, n \rightarrow \infty, k_{n} \rightarrow \infty, \tau_{n} \rightarrow 0$.

Let us introduce the following equivalent conditions:
$\left(B_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ Stability. There are constants $M^{\prime}, \omega^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\left\|\exp \left(t A_{n}\right)\right\| \leq M^{\prime} \exp \left(\omega^{\prime} t\right),\left\|A_{n} \exp \left(t A_{n}\right)\right\| \leq \frac{M^{\prime}}{t} \exp \left(\omega^{\prime} t\right), t \in I R+
$$

$\left(B_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ Stability. There are constants $M^{\prime^{\prime}}, \omega^{\prime \prime}$ and $\tau^{*}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(I_{n}-\tau_{n} A_{n}\right)^{-k}\right\| & \leq M^{\prime^{\prime}} \exp \left(\omega^{\prime^{\prime}} k \tau_{n}\right) \\
\left\|k \tau_{n} A_{n}\left(I_{n}-\tau_{n} A_{n}\right)^{-k}\right\| & \leq M^{\prime^{\prime}} \exp \left(\omega^{\prime^{\prime}} k \tau_{n}\right) \text { for } 0<\tau_{n}<\tau^{*}, n, k \in I N
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 4.8. The conditions $(A)$ and $\left(B_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ are equivalent to the condition $\left(C_{1}\right)$.
Proof. See ([12]).
Remark. Conditions $\left(B_{1}\right),\left(B_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(B_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ are equivalent, see ([11])

## 5. Main Results

Let $A_{n}$ be a generator of compact analytic $C_{0}$-semigroup $U_{n}(t)$. Consider in a Banach space $E_{n}$ the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}^{\prime}(t)=A_{n} u_{n}(t), t \in[0, T] \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the integral conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} w_{n}(t) u_{n}(t) d t=g_{n} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of the problem (5.1), (5.2) is given by the formula $u_{n}(t)=U_{n}(t) f_{n}$, where $f_{n}=\left(I-K_{n}\right)^{-1} G_{n}$ and corresponding second order Fredholm equation can be written in the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I_{n}-K_{n}\right) f_{n}=G_{n} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n} f_{n}=\left(\frac{w_{n}(T)}{w_{n}(0)} U_{n}(T)+\frac{1}{w_{n}(0)} \int_{0}^{T} U_{n}(t) d\left(-w_{n}(t)\right) t\right) f_{n} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
G_{n}=-\frac{1}{w_{n}(0)} A_{n} g_{n}
$$

Before we formulate our main results just recall that condition $\mathcal{N}(I-K)=\{0\}$ could be obtained from Theorems in Section 2.

Theorem 5.1. Let $w(t)$ be a nonnegative non increasing function for $t \in[0, T]$ such that $w(t)>0$ as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}, w_{n}(t)$ be a nonnegative non increasing function for $t \in[0, T]$ such that $w_{n}(t)>0$ as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$, and they converge $w_{n}(t) \rightarrow w(t)$ uniformly in $t \in[0 ; T]$. Let conditions $(A) ;\left(B_{01}\right)$ be satisfied and $G_{n} \rightarrow G$. Assume also that $\mathcal{N}(I-K)=\{0\}$; operator $(\lambda I-A)^{-1}$ is compact and $\left(\lambda I_{n}-A_{n}\right)^{-1} \rightarrow$ $(\lambda I-A)^{-1}$ compactly. Then solutions of the problems (5.3) exist and converge to the solution of the problem (1.5); i.e. $f_{n} \rightarrow f$.

Proof. The proof is done in four steps.
Step 1. First, let us show that the com
pact convergence of resolvents $R\left(\lambda ; A_{n}\right) \rightarrow R(\lambda ; A)$ is equivalent to the compact convergence of $C_{0}$-semigroups $U_{n}(t) \rightarrow U(t)$ for any $t>0$. Let $\left\|x_{n}\right\|=O(1)$. Then from the estimate $\left\|A_{n} U_{n}(t)\right\| \leq \frac{M}{t} \exp (\omega t)$; which is exactly condition $\left(B_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, we obtain the boundedness in n of the sequence $\left\{\left(A_{n}-\lambda I_{n}\right) U_{n}(t) x_{n}\right\}$ for any fixed $t>0$. Because of the compact convergence of resolvent, we obtain the compactness of the sequence $\left\{U_{n}(t) x_{n}\right\}$.

The necessity will be proved if for the measure of noncompactness $\mu$ (.) (for the definition, see [15]), we establish that $\mu\left(\left\{\left(\lambda I_{n}-A_{n}\right)^{-1} x_{n}\right\}\right)=0$ for any $\left\|x_{n}\right\|=O(1)$.We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu\left(\left\{\left(\lambda I_{n}-A_{n}\right)^{-1} x_{n}\right\}\right) & =\mu\left(\left\{\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \exp (-t \lambda) U_{n}(t) x_{n} d t\right\}\right)\right. \\
& \leq \mu\left(\left\{\left(\int_{0}^{q} \exp (-t \lambda) U_{n}(t) x_{n} d t\right\}\right)\right. \\
& +\mu\left(\left\{\left(\int_{p}^{\infty} \exp (-t \lambda) U_{n}(t) x_{n} d t\right\}\right)\right. \\
& +\mu\left(\left\{U_{n}(\varepsilon) \int_{q}^{p} \exp (-t \lambda) U_{n}(t-\varepsilon) x_{n} d t\right\}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Two first terms can be made less than $\varepsilon$ by the choice of $q, p$. The last term is equal to zero because of the compact convergence $U_{n}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow U(\varepsilon)$ for any $0<\varepsilon<q$.

Step 2. Consider the operators $K$ and $K_{n}$ defined by 1.6 and (5.4) on the spaces $E$ and $E_{n}$. The operator $K$ defined by $(1.6)$ is compact in $E$. Indeed, we obtain that the

$$
K_{\varepsilon}=\left(\frac{w(T)}{w(0)} U(T)+\frac{1}{w(0)} \int_{\varepsilon}^{T} U(t) d(-w(t))\right)
$$

is a product of compact and bounded operators. Moreover $\left\|K_{\varepsilon}-K\right\| \leq C \varepsilon$, where

$$
K=\left(\frac{w(T)}{w(0)} U(T)+\frac{1}{w(0)} \int_{0}^{T} U(t) d(-w(t))\right)
$$

and $\varepsilon>0$. Then it follows that the operator $K: E \rightarrow E$ is compact.
Step 3. It is easy to see that $K_{n} \rightarrow K$. To show that $K_{n} \rightarrow K$ compactly, we assume that $\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{E_{n}}=O(1)$. Now $\left\{K_{n} f_{n}\right\}$ is $\mathcal{P}$-compact because of representation

$$
K_{\varepsilon, n}=\left(\frac{w_{n}(T)}{w_{n}(0)} U_{n}(T)+\frac{1}{w_{n}(0)} \int_{\varepsilon}^{T} U_{n}(t) d\left(-w_{n}(t)\right)\right)
$$

and one can easy verify the vanishing of the noncompactness measure $\mu\left(\left\{K_{n} f_{n}\right\}\right)=$ 0 for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, taking into an account that $\left\|K_{\varepsilon, n}-K_{n}\right\| \leq C \varepsilon$.

Step 4. Now $I_{n} \rightarrow I$ stably and $K_{n} \rightarrow K$ compactly. Hence it follows from Theorem 2.8 that $I_{n}-K_{n} \rightarrow I-K$ regularly. Moreover, the nullspace $\mathcal{N}(I-K)=$ $\{0\}$ and the operators $I_{n}-K_{n}$ are Fredholm of index zero. Then it follows from Theorem 2.9 that $I_{n}-K_{n} \rightarrow I-K$ stably, i.e. $\left(I_{n}-K_{n}\right)^{-1} \rightarrow(I-K)^{-1}$.

Since $G_{n} \rightarrow G$, one gets $f_{n}=\left(I_{n}-K_{n}\right)^{-1} G_{n} \rightarrow(I-K)^{-1} G=f$. The Theorem is proved.

One can find that solution of the problem (5.3) according to Theorem 5.2, and under the assumption that functions $w_{n}(t), w(t) \in C^{1}([0 ; T])$ and they converge $w_{n}(t) \rightarrow w(t)$ uniformly in $t \in[0 ; T]$.

Theorem 5.2. Let $C_{0}$-semigroups $U_{n}(t)$ be positive and compact for $t>0$. Assume that the spectrum of $A_{n}$ lies in the half-plane $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re} \lambda<0\}$ and $w_{n}(t) \geq$ $0, w_{n}(0)>0 ; w_{n}^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ for any $t \in[0 ; T]$. Define the operator $K_{n}$ as in (5). Then $r\left(K_{n}\right)<1$.

We are recalling that $r(A)$ is the spectral radius of $A \in B(E)$. The spectral radius of $A$, denoted by $r(A)$, is the radius of the smallest disk centered at zero that contains $\sigma(A)$,

$$
r(A)=\{|\lambda|: \lambda \in \sigma(A)\} .
$$

It is well known that for every $A \in B(E)$, we have

$$
r(A)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|A^{n}\right\|^{\frac{1}{n}}, \text { and } r(A) \leq\|A\|
$$

Proof. The proof of the Theorem 5.2 is similar to the proof of the Theorem 5.4 .
As a consequence of the Theorem 5.2, we have the following
Theorem 5.3. Let $C_{0}$-semigroup $U_{n}(t)$ be positive and compact for $t>0$. Assume that the spectrum of $A_{n}$ lies in the half-plane $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re} \lambda<0\}$ and $w_{n}(t) \geq$ $0, w_{n}(0)>0 ; w_{n}^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ for any $t \in[0 ; T]$. Then for any $g \in D\left(A_{n}\right)$, there is unique solution of the problem (5.1), (5.2).

Since $r\left(K_{n}\right)<1$, could be organized as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n, j+1}=K_{n} f_{n, j}-\frac{1}{w_{n}(0)} A_{n} g_{n}, \quad n, j=0 ; 1 \ldots \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial condition $f_{n, 0}=0$. The value $K_{n} f_{n, j}$ is nothing else as a solution of Cauchy problem

$$
v_{n}^{\prime}(t)=A_{n} v_{n}(t)-\frac{w_{n}^{\prime}(T-t)}{w_{n}(0)} f_{n ; j}, \quad v_{n}(0)=\frac{w_{n}(T)}{w_{n}(0)} f_{n ; j}
$$

at the point $T$; i.e.

$$
K_{n} f_{n, j}=v_{n}\left(T, f_{n, j}\right)=\frac{w_{n}(T)}{w_{n}(0)} U_{n}(T) f_{n, j}+\frac{1}{w_{n}(0)} \int_{0}^{T}-w_{n}^{\prime}(t) U_{n}(t) f_{n, j} d t
$$

So (5.5) could be written in the form, starting from

$$
f_{n, 0}=0, \quad f_{n, j+1}=v_{n}\left(T, f_{n, j}\right)-\frac{1}{w_{n}(0)} A_{n} g_{n}, \quad n, j=0 ; 1 ; \ldots \ldots
$$

Moreover, $f_{n, j} \rightarrow f_{n}$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ since $r\left(K_{n}\right)<1$.
There are different ways how one can calculate $v_{n}\left(T, f_{n, j}\right)$ One can use directly Theorems 4.6, 4.7 or maybe some higher order difference schemes for approximation of $U_{n}(T)$;
say as in [4, 9, and then apply some quadrature formula for approximation the term

$$
\frac{1}{w_{n}(0)} \int_{0}^{T}-w_{n}^{\prime}(t) U_{n}(t) f_{n, j} d t
$$

In this paper we consider just the simplest way which comes from Theorem 4.6 In case of Theorem 4.7 we have to assume stability condition, but the other considerations are the same. So following the scheme 4.1 we consider approximation of the equation (1) by

$$
\frac{\overline{U_{n}}\left(t+\tau_{n}\right)-\overline{U_{n}}(t)}{\tau_{n}}=A_{n} \overline{U_{n}}(t+\tau)
$$

and approximation of the condition 5.2 by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} w_{n}\left(j \tau_{n}\right) u_{n}\left(j \tau_{n}+\tau_{n}\right) \tau_{n}=g_{n} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of the scheme (5) can be written in the form

$$
\overline{U_{n}}(t)=\left(I_{n}-\tau_{n} A_{n}\right)^{-k} u_{n}^{0} ; t=k \tau_{n}
$$

To construct approximation of operator $K_{n}$ in $\sqrt{5.4}$, we just consider the simplest formula ( $T=k_{n} \tau_{n}$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\breve{K}_{n} & =\left(I_{n}-\tau_{n} A_{n}\right)^{-k_{n}} \frac{w_{n}(T)}{w_{n}(0)} \\
& -\frac{1}{w_{n}(0)} \sum_{l=0}^{k_{n}-1}\left(I_{n}-\tau_{n} A_{n}\right)^{-l} \frac{w_{n}\left(l \tau_{n}+\tau_{n}\right)-w_{n}\left(l \tau_{n}\right)}{\tau_{n}} \tau_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 5.4. Let $C_{0}$-semigroup $U_{n}(t)$ be positive and compact for $t>0$. Assume that the spectrum of $A_{n}$ lies in the half-plane $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re} \lambda<0\}$ and $w_{n}(t) \geq$ $0, w_{n}(0)>0 ; w_{n}^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ for any $t \in[0 ; T]$. Define the operator $\breve{K}_{n}$ as in (5). Then $r\left(\breve{K_{n}}\right)<1$.

Proof. The operator $\breve{K}_{n}$ is positive and compact, so by Krein-Rutman Theorem there are $\lambda_{0} \geq 0$ and $0 \leq f_{n}^{0} \neq 0$ such that $\widetilde{K_{n}} f_{n}^{0}=\lambda_{0} f_{n}^{0}$, and moreover, $r\left(\breve{K}_{n}\right)=\lambda_{0}$. Assume now in contradiction that $\lambda_{0} \geq 1$.
Substutting $\overline{U_{n}}(t)=\left(I_{n}-\tau_{n} A_{n}\right)^{-k} f_{n}$ in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} w_{n}\left(l \tau_{n}\right) u_{n}\left(l \tau_{n}+\tau_{n}\right) \tau_{n} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $f_{n}=f_{n}^{0}$. One gets that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} w_{n}\left(l \tau_{n}\right)\left(I_{n}-\tau_{n} A_{n}\right)^{-l-1} f_{n}^{0} \tau_{n} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is positive for positive $f_{n}^{0}$. Putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n}=\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} w_{n}\left(l \tau_{n}\right)\left(I_{n}-\tau_{n} A_{n}\right)^{-l-1} f_{n}^{0} \tau_{n} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, applying the operator $A_{n}$ to (5), and using the formula of summation by parts. $\tau_{n} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{v_{l+1}-v_{l}}{\tau_{n}} y_{l}=\left(y_{k} v_{k}-y_{0} v_{0}\right)-\tau_{n} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} v_{l+1} \frac{y_{l+1}-y_{l}}{\tau_{n}}$. We obtain that $A_{n} \varphi_{n}=$ $-w_{n}(0) f_{n}^{0}+w_{n}(0) \widetilde{K_{n}} f_{n}^{0}=-w_{n}(0) f_{n}+w_{n}(0) \lambda_{0} f_{n}=w_{n}(0)\left(\lambda_{0}-1\right) f_{n}^{0} \geq 0$, since $w_{n}(0)>0, \lambda_{0} \geq 1$, and $f_{n}^{0} \geq 0$. So, if we apply $\left(-A_{n}\right)^{-1}$; then because of positiveness of $C_{0}$-semigroup $U_{n}(t)$, the resolvent $\left(-A_{n}\right)^{-1}$ is also positive and $\left(-A_{n}\right)^{-1} A_{n} \varphi_{n} \geq 0 ;$ which means that $0 \geq \varphi_{n}$. From the other hand from (5) it follows that $\varphi_{n} \geq 0$ for $f_{n}^{0} \geq 0$. This means that $\varphi_{n}=0$; which means that $w_{n}\left(l \tau_{n}\right)\left(I_{n}-\tau_{n} A_{n}\right)^{-l-1} f_{n}=0$ for all $l=0, \ldots . . k-1$, in particular for $l=0$ we have $w_{n}(0)\left(I_{n}-\tau_{n} A_{n}\right)^{-1} f_{n}=0$, because $\operatorname{Ker}\left(I_{n}-\tau_{n} A_{n}\right)^{-1}=\{0\}$, and $w_{n}(0) \neq 0$, one gets that $f_{n}^{0}$. But this contradicts to $f_{n}^{0} \neq 0$. The Theorem is proved.

From Theorem 5.4 it follows that one can organize the process $f_{n, j+1}^{\smile}=\breve{K}_{n} \breve{f}_{n, j}-$ $\frac{1}{w_{n}(0)} A_{n} g_{n}, n ; j=0 ; 1$, which converges $\breve{f_{n, j}} \rightarrow \breve{f}_{n}$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$; where $\breve{f_{n}}$ is a solution of the problem $\breve{f_{n}}=\breve{K_{n}} \breve{f}_{n}-\frac{1}{w_{n}(0)} A_{n} g_{n}$.

Theorem 5.5. Let $C_{0}$-semigroups $U_{n}(t)$ be positive and analytic. Assume also that functions $w_{n}(t), w(t) \in C^{1}([0 ; T])$ and they converge $w_{n}^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow w^{\prime}(t)$ uniformly in $t \in[0 ; T]$. Let conditions $(A) ;\left(B_{01}\right)$ be satisfied and $G_{n} \rightarrow G$. Assume also that $\mathcal{N}(I-K)=\{0\}$, operator $(\lambda I-A)^{-1}$ is compact and $\left(\lambda I_{n}-A_{n}\right)^{-1} \rightarrow(\lambda I-A)^{-1}$ compactly and $w_{n}(t) \in C^{3}([0 ; T])$ and $\left|w_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right| \leq$ constant; $t \in[0 ; T]$. Then solutions of the problems (5) exist and converge to the solution of the problem (1.5); i.e. $\breve{f_{n}} \rightarrow f$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. If $\breve{K}_{n} \rightarrow K$ compactly, then the statement of the Theorem 5.5 follows the same way as in the Step 4 of Theorem 5.1. So, we are going to show that $\breve{K}_{n} \rightarrow K$ compactly. To do this it is enough to prove that $\left\|\breve{K}_{n}-K\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; since the statement $K_{n} \rightarrow K$ compactly is already proved in Theorem5.1. One can write

$$
\begin{array}{r}
K_{n}-\breve{K}_{n}=\frac{w_{n}(T)}{w_{n}(0)} U_{n}(T)-\left(I_{n}-\tau_{n} A_{n}\right)^{-k_{n}} \frac{w_{n}(T)}{w_{n}(0)}+\frac{1}{w_{n}(0)} \int_{0}^{T}-w_{n}^{\prime}(t) U_{n}(t) d t- \\
\frac{1}{w_{n}(0)} \sum_{l=0}^{k_{n}-1}\left(I_{n}-\tau_{n} A_{n}\right)^{-l-1} \frac{w_{n}\left(l \tau_{n}+\tau_{n}\right)-w_{n}\left(l \tau_{n}\right)}{\tau_{n}} \tau_{n}
\end{array}
$$

where $k_{n} \tau_{n}=T$. In [3], it is proved under condition $\left(B_{1}\right)$ that

$$
\left\|U_{n}(t)-\left(I_{n}-\tau_{n} A_{n}\right)^{-k_{n}}\right\| \leq C \frac{\tau_{n}}{t} \exp (\omega t)
$$

as $k_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and $t=k \tau_{n}$. Let us consider now the difference

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{l=0}^{k_{n}-1} \frac{1}{w_{n}(0)} \int_{l \tau_{n}}^{(l+1) \tau_{n}}-w_{n}^{\prime}(t) U_{n}(t) d t \\
& -\frac{1}{w_{n}(0)} \sum_{l=0}^{k_{n}-1}\left(I_{n}-\tau_{n} A_{n}\right)^{-l-1} \frac{w_{n}\left(l \tau_{n}+\tau_{n}\right)-w_{n}\left(l \tau_{n}\right)}{\tau_{n}} \tau_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

To finish with the demonstration we have to use

$$
\pm \sum_{l=0}^{k_{n}-1} \frac{-1}{w_{n}(0)} \int_{l \tau_{n}}^{(l+1) \tau_{n}} U_{n}(t) \frac{w_{n}\left(l \tau_{n}+\tau_{n}\right)-w_{n}\left(l \tau_{n}\right)}{\tau_{n}} \tau_{n} d t
$$

terms.Indeed, it is easy to show that difference

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{l=0}^{k_{n}-1} \frac{1}{w_{n}(0)} \int_{l \tau_{n}}^{(l+1) \tau_{n}}-w_{n}^{\prime}(t) U_{n}(t) d t \\
& -\sum_{l=0}^{k_{n}-1} \frac{-1}{w_{n}(0)} \int_{l \tau_{n}}^{(l+1) \tau_{n}} U_{n}(t) \frac{w_{n}\left(l \tau_{n}+\tau_{n}\right)-w_{n}\left(l \tau_{n}\right)}{\tau_{n}} \tau_{n} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

converge to zero as $k_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and $T=k_{n} \tau_{n}$; since

$$
\frac{-1}{w_{n}(0)} \int_{l \tau_{n}}^{(l+1) \tau_{n}} U_{n}(t)\left(w_{n}^{\prime}(t)-\frac{w_{n}\left(l \tau_{n}+\tau_{n}\right)-w_{n}\left(l \tau_{n}\right)}{\tau_{n}} \tau_{n}\right) d t
$$

is estimated by

$$
C \frac{1}{w_{n}(0)} \int_{l \tau_{n}}^{(l+1) \tau_{n}} U_{n}(t)\left|\left(w_{n}^{\prime}(t)-\frac{w_{n}\left(l \tau_{n}+\tau_{n}\right)-w_{n}\left(l \tau_{n}\right)}{\tau_{n}}\right)\right| d t=O\left(\tau_{n}^{2}\right)
$$

The second term from $\pm$ construction could be estimated as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\sum_{l=0}^{k_{n}-1} \frac{-1}{w_{n}(0)} \int_{l \tau_{n}}^{(l+1) \tau_{n}} U_{n}(t)-\left(I_{n}-\tau_{n} A_{n}\right)^{-l-1} d t \frac{w_{n}\left(l \tau_{n}+\tau_{n}\right)-w_{n}\left(l \tau_{n}\right)}{\tau_{n}}\right\| \\
\leq C \sum_{l=1}^{k_{n}-1} \frac{1}{w_{n}(0)} \int_{l \tau_{n}}^{(l+1) \tau_{n}}\left\|U_{n}(t)-U_{n}\left(l \tau_{n}+\tau_{n}\right)\right\| d t+C \tau_{n} \\
+C \sum_{l=0}^{k_{n}-1}\left\|U_{n}\left(l \tau_{n}+\tau_{n}\right)-\left(I_{n}-\tau_{n} A_{n}\right)^{-l-1}\right\| \tau_{n} \\
\leq C\left(\sum_{l=1}^{k_{n}-1} \frac{\tau_{n}}{l}+\sum_{l=0}^{k_{n}-1} \frac{\tau_{n}}{l+1}\right)+\tau_{n}
\end{gathered}
$$

Where we used the fact that for any $t \in\left[j \tau_{n},(j+1) \tau_{n}\right], 1 \leq j \leq k_{n}-1$,

$$
\left\|U_{n}(t)-U_{n}\left(j \tau_{n}+\tau_{n}\right)\right\| \leq C \frac{\tau_{n}}{j \tau_{n}}
$$

The Theorem is proved.
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