BULLETIN OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS ISSN: 1821-1291, URL: http://www.bmathaa.org Volume 13 Issue 3 (2021), Pages 13-24

ON A NONLOCAL FRACTIONAL SOBOLEV EQUATION WITH RIEMANN-LIOUVILLE DERIVATIVE

HO THI KIM VAN

ABSTRACT. Our paper considers a nonlocal terminal in time problem for fractional diffusion equation. The derivative is taken as Riemann-Liouville. By applying some properties of the Mittag-Leffler function, we set some of the results about the existence, uniqueness and regularity of the mild solutions of the proposed problem in some suitable space. We obtain the lower bound and upper bound for the mild solution respect to the given data. Finally, we obtain the asymptotic behaviour of the solution when parameter tends to zero.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fractional calculus has been around for a long time and has made an important contribution to modeling real-life phenomena. Although the amount of research on this topic is numerous and enormous, there is still a large number of unresolved non-local phenomena and many other interesting problems that have not been resolved. The selection of a suitable fraction operator depends on the physical system being studied and considered. In many types of fractional derivatives, many mathematicians are interested to study fractional diffusion equations with the Caputo derivative or the Riemann-Liouville derivative. There are many research regarding to application of fractional calculus such as D. Baleanu [25, 26, 27] and [6, 22, 21, 18]. Regarding these application of fractional calculus, we have the following references: [46, 47, 48, 49, 50] and and their references. In this paper, we consider the fractional Sobolev equation

$$\begin{cases} D_{0^+}^{\alpha} u + \mathcal{A}u + \nu D_{0^+}^{\alpha} \mathcal{A}u = 0, \ (x,t) \in \Omega \times (0,T), \\ u = 0, \ (x,t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0,T), \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

with the following integral condition

$$\beta u(x,T) + \gamma \int_0^T \psi(t)u(x,t)dt = f(x), \ x \in \partial\Omega,$$
(1.2)

where Ω is the bounded domain with the sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. The symbol $D_{0^+}^{\alpha}$ is the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order α , $0 < \alpha \leq 1$,

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. 35R11, 35B65, 26A33.

Key words and phrases. Fractional diffusion equation; Riemman-Liouville, regularity.

^{©2021} Universiteti i Prishtinës, Prishtinë, Kosovë.

Submitted March 23, 2021. Published June 30, 2021.

Communicated by Hemant Nashine.

which is defined by

$$\begin{cases} D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}v(t) =: \frac{d}{dt} \left(\mathbb{I}_{t}^{1-\alpha}v(t) \right), & \mathbb{I}_{t}^{\alpha}v(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t} (t-r)^{-\alpha}v(r)dr, \\ D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}v(t) =: \frac{d}{dt}v(t), \ \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$
(1.3)

When $\alpha = 1$, Problem (1.1) becomes the following problem

$$\begin{cases} D_t u + \mathcal{A}u + \nu D_t \mathcal{A}u = 0, \ (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u = 0, \ (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \end{cases}$$
(1.4)

which is called the classical Sobolev equation, or pseudo-parabolic equation with classical derivative. This category of equations has been studied in some nice papers[40, 41] and references therein. They also have many important applications in physics, for example, the permeability of a homogeneous liquid, aggregation of populations [44].

According to common sense, we can divide the fractional diffusion problem into three common forms

- *Initial value problem:* A similar form of the above model (1.1) with the initial condition has been studied in [43] and references therein .
- Terminal value problem: In the condition (1.2), if $\gamma = 0, \beta = 1$ then it becomes terminal condition. This problem is often called backward problem for diffusion equation, see [21, 14]. The first result on this last problem probably comes from the article [45].
- Nonlocal value problem: To the best of our knowledge, there are not any works about the topic of nonlocal condition for fractional diffusion equations (1.1).

Some of the main contributions in the paper are detailed as follows

- The first result is related to the existence of solutions to the problem (1.1)-(1.2) in the space $L^p(0,T; H^s(\Omega))$ under the input data $f \in H^s(\Omega)$ and the case $\beta = 0, \gamma = 1$. We also study the lower bound of $||u(.,T)||_{H^s(\Omega)}$. This is a novel result of this paper.
- The second result is about the existence of the mild solution in the problem (1.1)-(1.2) on the space $L^p(0,T; H^s(\Omega))$ under the input data $f \in H^s(\Omega)$. We also study the asymptotic form when one parameter γ approaches 0.

This article is organized as follows. Section 2 gives some preliminary and mild solutions. In Section 3, we present our main results including two main theorems. Finally, the proof of some theorems is completed in section 4.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. (see [44]) The Mittag-Leffler function $E_{\alpha,\beta}(\cdot)$ is

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)}, \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \ and \ \Re(\alpha), \Re(\beta) > 0, \ z \in \mathbb{C}.$$
 (2.1)

Lemma 2.1. (see [2]) Let $0 < \beta < 1$. Then there exist positive constants M_1, M_2 such that for any z > 0

$$\frac{M_1}{1+z} \le E_{\beta,1}(-z) \le \frac{M_2}{1+z},\tag{2.2}$$

14

Lemma 2.2. (see [2]) Let $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\lambda > 0$. Then

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{i)} \ \partial_t(E_\alpha(-\lambda t^\alpha))=-\lambda t^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda t^\alpha), \ for \ t>0;\\ \mathrm{ii)} \ \partial_t(t^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda t^\alpha))=t^{\alpha-2}E_{\alpha,\alpha-1}(-\lambda t^\alpha), \ for \ t>0. \end{array}$

Lemma 2.3. ([37])Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then the function $z \mapsto E_{\alpha,\alpha}(z)$ has no negative root. Moreover, there exists a constant C^+ such that

$$0 \le E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-z) \le \frac{C^+}{1+z}, \ z > 0.$$
(2.3)

Let us give some property of the eigenvalues of the operator \mathcal{A} , see [44]. The following identity hold

$$\mathcal{A}\varphi_j(x) = -\lambda_j \varphi_j(x), \ x \in \Omega; \ \varphi_j = 0, \ x \in \partial\Omega, \ n \in \mathbb{N},$$
(2.4)

where $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ are the eigenvalues of the operator \mathcal{A} and $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \lambda_j \leq \dots$, and $\lim_{j\to\infty} \lambda_j = \infty$. For positive number $r \geq 0$, we also define the Hilber scale space

$$H^{r}(\Omega) = \left\{ w \in L^{2}(\Omega) : \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j}^{2r} \langle w, \varphi_{j} \rangle^{2} < +\infty \right\},$$
(2.5)

with the following norm $\|u\|_{H^r(\Omega)} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2r} |\langle u, \varphi_j \rangle|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. Let $\beta = 0, \gamma = 1$. Let $\psi \in L^1(0,T)$ and $f \in H^s(\Omega)$. Then problem (1.1)-(1.2) has a unique solution $u \in L^p(0,T; H^s(\Omega))$. And we have that

$$\|u\|_{L^{p}(0,T;H^{s}(\Omega))} \leq M(\alpha, T, \nu, \lambda_{1}) \frac{T^{\frac{1}{p}-1+\alpha}}{1+(\alpha-1)p} \|f\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)},$$
(3.1)

$$\|u(.,T)\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)} \ge P(\alpha,T,\nu,\lambda_{1})\|f\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}.$$
(3.2)

Remark. The study of lower bound of the norm of u(x,T) is a difficult problem. The above result is one of our novelties.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\beta > 0, \gamma > 0$. Let $\psi \in L^{\infty}(0,T)$ and $f \in H^{s}(\Omega)$. Then problem (1.1)-(1.2) has a unique solution $u^{\beta,\gamma} \in L^{p}(0,T; H^{s}(\Omega))$ for any 1 . And we have that

$$\|u^{\beta,\gamma}\|_{L^{p}(0,T;H^{s}(\Omega))} \leq \beta^{-1} |\overline{C}(T)| \frac{T^{\frac{1}{p}-1+\alpha}}{1+(\alpha-1)p} \|f\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)},$$
(3.3)

where $\overline{C}(T) = |C^+||C^-|T^{1-\alpha}$. Furthermore, the mild solution of nonlocal problem (1.1)-(1.2) converges to the mild solution of problem (1.1) with the following Cauchy terminal condition

$$\beta u(x,T) = f(x), \ x \in \Omega. \tag{3.4}$$

HO THI KIM VAN

4. Proofs of main results

4.1. **Proof of Theorem** (3.1). Let us assume that Problem (1.1) has a unique solution u. Now we use the separation of variables to yield the solution of (1.1). Suppose that the exact u is defined by Fourier series $u(x,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} u_j(t)\varphi_j(x)$, where $u_j(t) = \langle u(t, \cdot), \varphi_j(\cdot) \rangle$. It becomes to the fractional ordinary differential equation

$$D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha}u_{j}(t) + \lambda_{j}\left(1 + \nu\lambda_{j}\right)^{-1}u_{j}(t) = 0.$$

Let $h = t^{1-\alpha} u|_{t=0}$. Then we get the following identity

$$u_j(t) = \Gamma(\alpha) t^{\alpha - 1} E_{\alpha, \alpha} \left(-\lambda_j \left(1 + \nu \lambda_j \right)^{-1} t^{\alpha} \right) \left\langle h, \varphi_j \right\rangle \varphi_j.$$
(4.1)

The integral condition $\int_0^T \psi(t)u(x,t)dt = f(x)$ gives that

$$\int_0^T \psi(t) \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty u_j(t) \varphi_j(x) \right) dt = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \langle f, \varphi_j \rangle \varphi_j(x).$$

Hence

$$\Gamma(\alpha) \langle h, \varphi_j \rangle \int_0^T \psi(t) t^{\alpha - 1} E_{\alpha, \alpha} \left(-\lambda_j \left(1 + \nu \lambda_j \right)^{-1} t^{\alpha} \right) dt = \langle f, \varphi_j \rangle.$$
(4.2)

Due to the uniqueness property of Fourier expansion, we find that

$$\left\langle h,\varphi_{j}\right\rangle = \frac{\left\langle f,\varphi_{j}\right\rangle}{\Gamma(\alpha)\int_{0}^{T}\psi(t)t^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}\left(-\lambda_{j}\left(1+\nu\lambda_{j}\right)^{-1}t^{\alpha}\right)dt}.$$
(4.3)

Combining (4.1) and (4.3), we arrive at

$$u_{j}(t) = \frac{t^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}\left(-\lambda_{j}\left(1+\nu\lambda_{j}\right)^{-1}t^{\alpha}\right)}{\int_{0}^{T}\psi(t)t^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}\left(-\lambda_{j}\left(1+\nu\lambda_{j}\right)^{-1}t^{\alpha}\right)dt}\langle f,\varphi_{j}\rangle,\tag{4.4}$$

which allows us to obtain the explicit fomula

$$u(x,t) = t^{\alpha-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda_j \left(1 + \nu \lambda_j \right)^{-1} t^{\alpha} \right)}{\int_0^T \psi(t) t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda_j \left(1 + \nu \lambda_j \right)^{-1} t^{\alpha} \right) dt} \langle f, \varphi_j \rangle \varphi_j(x).$$
(4.5)

Let the function $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(y) = y^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-y^{\alpha})$. The derivative of \mathcal{H}_{α} is

$$\partial_y \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(y) = -\left[(-1)^2 \partial_y^{(2)} E_{\alpha,1}(-y^{\alpha}) \right] \le 0,$$

where we notice from [38] that the function $E_{\alpha,1}(-y^{\alpha})$ satisfy $(-1)^n \partial_y^{(n)} E_{\alpha,1}(-y^{\alpha}) \ge 0$ for all y > 0 and $n \ge 1$. Hence, we can deduce that $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(y) = y^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-y^{\alpha})$ is

decreasing function on $(0, +\infty)$. We confirm that

$$E_{\alpha,\alpha}\left(-\lambda_{j}\left(1+\nu\lambda_{j}\right)^{-1}t^{\alpha}\right)$$

$$=\left(\lambda_{j}\left(1+\nu\lambda_{j}\right)^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}t^{1-\alpha}\left[\left(\left(\lambda_{j}\left(1+\nu\lambda_{j}\right)^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}t\right)^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}\left(-\left(\left(\lambda_{j}\left(1+\nu\lambda_{j}\right)^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}t\right)^{\alpha}\right)\right]$$

$$=\left(\lambda_{j}\left(1+\nu\lambda_{j}\right)^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}t^{1-\alpha}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}\left(\left(\lambda_{j}\left(1+\nu\alpha_{j}\right)^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}t\right).$$
(4.6)

Notice that $\left(\lambda_j \left(1+\nu\lambda_j\right)^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} t \leq \nu^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} t$. Hence, since the fact that the function $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(y)$ is decreasing, we infer that

$$\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}\left(\left(\lambda_{j}\left(1+\nu\lambda_{j}\right)^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}t\right) \geq \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}\left(\nu^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}t\right) = \nu^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}t^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}\left(-\nu^{-1}t^{\alpha}\right).$$
(4.7)

Therefore, we obtain

$$E_{\alpha,\alpha}\left(-\lambda_j\left(1+\nu\lambda_j\right)^{-1}t^{\alpha}\right) \ge \left(\lambda_j\left(1+\nu\lambda_j\right)^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\nu^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}E_{\alpha,\alpha}\left(-\nu^{-1}t^{\alpha}\right) \quad (4.8)$$

Since the fact that

$$\left(\lambda_j \left(1+\nu\lambda_j\right)^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} t \le \nu^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} t \le \nu^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} T.$$

we also obtain that

$$\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}\left(\left(\lambda_{j}\left(1+\nu\lambda_{j}\right)^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}T\right) \geq \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}\left(\nu^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}T\right) = \nu^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}T^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}\left(-\nu^{-1}T^{\alpha}\right). \quad (4.9)$$

which allow us to get that

$$E_{\alpha,\alpha}\left(-\lambda_{j}\left(1+\nu\lambda_{j}\right)^{-1}t^{\alpha}\right) \geq \left(\lambda_{j}\left(1+\nu\lambda_{j}\right)^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}t^{1-\alpha}\nu^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}T^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}\left(-\nu^{-1}T^{\alpha}\right)$$

$$(4.10)$$

Next, we need to estimate for integral quantity $\int_0^T \psi(t) t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda_j \left(1 + \nu \lambda_j \right)^{-1} t^{\alpha} \right) dt$. Using (4.10), we obtain the following estimate

$$\int_{0}^{T} \psi(t) t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda_{j} \left(1 + \nu \lambda_{j} \right)^{-1} t^{\alpha} \right) dt$$

$$\geq \left(\lambda_{j} \left(1 + \nu \lambda_{j} \right)^{-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1} \nu^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} T^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\nu^{-1} T^{\alpha} \right) \int_{0}^{T} \psi(t) dt$$

$$= \left(\lambda_{j} \left(1 + \nu \lambda_{j} \right)^{-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1} \nu^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} T^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\nu^{-1} T^{\alpha} \right) \|\psi\|_{L^{1}(0,T)}. \quad (4.11)$$

From Lemma (2.3) and (4.11) and using Parseval's equality, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(.,t)\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}^{2} &= t^{2\alpha-2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j}^{2s} \left(\frac{E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda_{j} \left(1+\nu\lambda_{j} \right)^{-1} t^{\alpha} \right)}{\int_{0}^{T} \psi(t) t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda_{j} \left(1+\nu\lambda_{j} \right)^{-1} t^{\alpha} \right) dt} \right)^{2} \left\langle f,\varphi_{j} \right\rangle^{2} \\ &\leq |C^{+}|^{2} t^{2\alpha-2} \left(\nu^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} T^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\nu^{-1} T^{\alpha} \right) \|\psi\|_{L^{1}(0,T)} \right)^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{j}^{2s} (1+\lambda_{j})^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}}{\lambda_{j}^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}} \left\langle f,\varphi_{j} \right\rangle^{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.12)$$

Using the fact that $\frac{\lambda_j^{2s}(1+\lambda_j)^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}}{\lambda_j^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}} \leq \lambda_j^{2s} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1}+1\right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}$, we deduce that

$$\|u(.,t)\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq |M(\alpha,T,\nu,\lambda_{1})|^{2} t^{2\alpha-2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j}^{2s} \langle f,\varphi_{j} \rangle^{2}$$
$$= |M(\alpha,T,\nu,\lambda_{1})|^{2} t^{2\alpha-2} \|f\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}^{2}$$
(4.13)

where we denote

$$M(\alpha, T, \nu, \lambda_1) = C^+ \nu^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} T^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha, \alpha} \left(-\nu^{-1} T^{\alpha} \right) \|\psi\|_{L^1(0,T)} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1} + 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}.$$

Hence, we arrive at

$$\|u\|_{L^{p}(0,T;H^{s}(\Omega))} = \left(\int_{0}^{T} \|u(.,t)\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}^{p} dt\right)^{1/p} \leq \|M(\alpha,T,\nu,\lambda_{1})\|\|f\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)} \left(\int_{0}^{T} t^{(\alpha-1)p} dt\right)^{1/p}$$
$$= \|M(\alpha,T,\nu,\lambda_{1})\|\frac{T^{\frac{1}{p}-1+\alpha}}{1+(\alpha-1)p}\|f\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}$$
(4.14)

where we note that 1 . Let <math>t = T into (4.15), we obtain that

$$u(x,T) = T^{\alpha-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda_j \left(1 + \nu \lambda_j \right)^{-1} T^{\alpha} \right)}{\int_0^T \psi(t) t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda_j \left(1 + \nu \lambda_j \right)^{-1} t^{\alpha} \right) dt} \langle f, \varphi_j \rangle \varphi_j(x).$$
(4.15)

We recall from (4.8) that

$$E_{\alpha,\alpha}\left(-\lambda_j\left(1+\nu\lambda_j\right)^{-1}T^{\alpha}\right) \ge \left(\lambda_j\left(1+\nu\lambda_j\right)^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}\nu^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}E_{\alpha,\alpha}\left(-\nu^{-1}T^{\alpha}\right).$$
 (4.16)
t is not difficult to see that

It is not difficult to see that $\int_{-\infty}^{T}$

$$\begin{split} \int_0^T \psi(t) t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda_j \left(1 + \nu \lambda_j \right)^{-1} t^{\alpha} \right) dt \\ &\leq \sup_{0 \le t \le T} |\psi(t)| \int_0^T t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda_j \left(1 + \nu \lambda_j \right)^{-1} t^{\alpha} \right) dt \\ &= \|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T)} \frac{1 + \lambda_j}{\lambda_j} \int_0^T \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(-E_{\alpha,1} \left(-\lambda_j \left(1 + \nu \lambda_j \right)^{-1} t^{\alpha} \right) \right) dt \\ &= \|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T)} \frac{1 + \lambda_j}{\lambda_j} \left(1 - E_{\alpha,1} \left(-\lambda_j \left(1 + \nu \lambda_j \right)^{-1} T^{\alpha} \right) \right) \le \|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T)} \frac{1 + \lambda_j}{\lambda_j} \frac{1 + \lambda_j}{(4.17)} dt \end{split}$$

Combining (4.15), (4.16), (4.17) yields that

$$\|u(.,T)\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}^{2} = T^{2\alpha-2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j}^{2s} \left(\frac{E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda_{j} \left(1+\nu\lambda_{j} \right)^{-1} T^{\alpha} \right)}{\int_{0}^{T} \psi(t) t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda_{j} \left(1+\nu\lambda_{j} \right)^{-1} t^{\alpha} \right) dt} \right)^{2} \left\langle f,\varphi_{j} \right\rangle^{2}$$

$$\geq \|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T)}^{-2} \nu^{\frac{2-2\alpha}{\alpha}} |E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\nu^{-1} T^{\alpha} \right)|^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j}^{2s} \left(\lambda_{j} \left(1+\nu\lambda_{j} \right)^{-1} \right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} \left\langle f,\varphi_{j} \right\rangle^{2}$$

$$(4.18)$$

It is obvious to see that $\left(\lambda_j \left(1+\nu\lambda_j\right)^{-1}\right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} \ge \left(\lambda_1 \left(1+\nu\lambda_1\right)^{-1}\right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}$. Therefore, we follows from... that

$$\|u(.,T)\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}^{2} \ge P(\alpha,T,\nu,\lambda_{1})^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j}^{2s} = \left\langle f,\varphi_{j}\right\rangle^{2} = P(\alpha,T,\nu,\lambda_{1})^{2} \|f\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}^{2}.$$
(4.19)

Here, we denote

$$P(\alpha, T, \nu, \lambda_1) = \nu^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} E_{\alpha, \alpha} \left(-\nu^{-1} T^{\alpha} \right) \left(\lambda_1 \left(1 + \nu \lambda_1 \right)^{-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T)}^{-1}.$$
(4.20)

4.2. **Proof of Theorem** (3.2). The integral condition $\beta u^{\beta,\gamma}(x,T) + \gamma \int_0^T \psi(t) u^{\beta,\gamma}(x,t) dt = f(x)$ gives that

$$\beta u_j^{\beta,\gamma}(T) + \gamma \int_0^T \psi(t) \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty u_j^{\beta,\gamma}(t) \varphi_j(x) \right) dt = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \left\langle f, \varphi_j \right\rangle \varphi_j(x).$$

Hence

$$\langle h, \varphi_j \rangle \Gamma(\alpha) T^{\alpha - 1} E_{\alpha, \alpha} \left(-\lambda_j \left(1 + \nu \lambda_j \right)^{-1} T^{\alpha} \right)$$

$$+ \Gamma(\alpha) \langle h, \varphi_j \rangle \int_0^T \psi(t) t^{\alpha - 1} E_{\alpha, \alpha} \left(-\lambda_j \left(1 + \nu \lambda_j \right)^{-1} t^{\alpha} \right) dt = \langle f, \varphi_j \rangle.$$
 (4.21)

This implies that

$$\left\langle h,\varphi_{j}\right\rangle = \frac{\left\langle f,\varphi_{j}\right\rangle}{\beta\Gamma(\alpha)T^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}\left(-\lambda_{j}\left(1+\nu\lambda_{j}\right)^{-1}T^{\alpha}\right) + \gamma\Gamma(\alpha)Q_{j}(\psi,\alpha,T)},\quad(4.22)$$

where

$$Q_j(\psi, \alpha, T) = \int_0^T \psi(t) t^{\alpha - 1} E_{\alpha, \alpha} \left(-\lambda_j \left(1 + \nu \lambda_j \right)^{-1} t^{\alpha} \right) dt$$

So, we obtain that

$$u^{\beta,\gamma}(x,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda_j \left(1 + \nu \lambda_j \right)^{-1} t^{\alpha} \right) \left\langle f, \varphi_j \right\rangle}{\beta T^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda_j \left(1 + \nu \lambda_j \right)^{-1} T^{\alpha} \right) + Q_j(\psi, \alpha, T)} \varphi_j(x).$$
(4.23)

It is obvious to see that

$$\beta T^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda_j \left(1 + \nu \lambda_j \right)^{-1} T^{\alpha} \right) + Q_j(\psi, \alpha, T)$$

$$\geq \beta T^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda_j \left(1 + \nu \lambda_j \right)^{-1} T^{\alpha} \right) \geq \beta T^{\alpha-1} \frac{C^{-1}}{1 + \lambda_j \left(1 + \nu \lambda_j \right)^{-1}} \qquad (4.24)$$

and

$$t^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}\left(-\lambda_j\left(1+\nu\lambda_j\right)^{-1}t^{\alpha}\right) \le t^{\alpha-1}\frac{C^+}{1+\lambda_j\left(1+\nu\lambda_j\right)^{-1}}.$$
(4.25)

Combining (4.23), (4.24), (4.25), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{\beta,\gamma}(.,t)\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}^{2} &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j}^{2s} \left(\frac{t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda_{j} \left(1+\nu\lambda_{j} \right)^{-1} t^{\alpha} \right)}{\beta T^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda_{j} \left(1+\nu\lambda_{j} \right)^{-1} T^{\alpha} \right) + Q_{j}(\psi,\alpha,T)} \right)^{2} \langle f,\varphi_{j} \rangle^{2} \\ &\leq \beta^{-2} |C^{+}|^{2} |C^{-}|^{2} T^{2-2\alpha} t^{2\alpha-2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j}^{2s} \langle f,\varphi_{j} \rangle^{2} = \beta^{-2} |\overline{C}(T)|^{2} t^{2\alpha-2} \|f\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}^{2} \quad (4.26) \end{aligned}$$

where we recall that $\overline{C}(T) = |C^+| |C^-| T^{1-\alpha}.$ Therefore, we can deduce that

$$\|u^{\beta,\gamma}(.,t)\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)} \leq \beta^{-1} |\overline{C}(T)| t^{\alpha-1} ||f||_{H^{s}(\Omega)}.$$
(4.27)

Hence, we arrive at

$$\begin{split} \|u^{\beta,\gamma}\|_{L^{p}(0,T;H^{s}(\Omega))} &= \left(\int_{0}^{T} \|u^{\beta,\gamma}(.,t)\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}^{p} dt\right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \beta^{-1}|\overline{C}(T)|\|f\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)} \left(\int_{0}^{T} t^{(\alpha-1)p} dt\right)^{1/p} \\ &= \beta^{-1}|\overline{C}(T)|\frac{T^{\frac{1}{p}-1+\alpha}}{1+(\alpha-1)p}\|f\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)} \tag{4.28}$$

where we note that 1 .Let us review that

$$u^{\beta,\gamma}(x,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda_j \left(1 + \nu \lambda_j \right)^{-1} t^{\alpha} \right) \left\langle f, \varphi_j \right\rangle}{\beta T^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda_j \left(1 + \nu \lambda_j \right)^{-1} T^{\alpha} \right) + \gamma Q_j(\psi,\alpha,T)} \varphi_j(x).$$
(4.29)

and

$$u^{\beta,0}(x,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda_j \left(1 + \nu \lambda_j \right)^{-1} t^{\alpha} \right) \left\langle f, \varphi_j \right\rangle}{\beta T^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda_j \left(1 + \nu \lambda_j \right)^{-1} T^{\alpha} \right)} \varphi_j(x).$$
(4.30)

From two recent estimates, we find that

$$u^{\beta,\gamma}(x,t) - u^{\beta,0}(x,t) = \gamma \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} S_j(t,\alpha) \langle f,\varphi_j \rangle \varphi_j(x), \qquad (4.31)$$

where

$$S_{j}(t,\alpha) = \frac{t^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}\left(-\lambda_{j}\left(1+\nu\lambda_{j}\right)^{-1}t^{\alpha}\right)Q_{j}(\psi,\alpha,T)}{\beta T^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}\left(-\lambda_{j}\left(1+\nu\lambda_{j}\right)^{-1}T^{\alpha}\right)\left(\beta T^{\alpha-1}E_{\alpha,\alpha}\left(-\lambda_{j}\left(1+\nu\lambda_{j}\right)^{-1}T^{\alpha}\right)+\gamma Q_{j}(\psi,\alpha,T)\right)}$$

20

First, we see that

$$\beta T^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda_j \left(1 + \nu \lambda_j \right)^{-1} T^{\alpha} \right) + \gamma Q_j(\psi, \alpha, T) \right) \ge \beta T^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda_j \left(1 + \nu \lambda_j \right)^{-1} T^{\alpha} \right)$$

$$(4.32)$$

So, using the lower bound of the function $E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-z), \ z > 0$ we know that

$$\beta T^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda_j \left(1 + \nu \lambda_j \right)^{-1} T^{\alpha} \right) \left(\beta T^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda_j \left(1 + \nu \lambda_j \right)^{-1} T^{\alpha} \right) + \gamma Q_j(\psi, \alpha, T) \right)^{\alpha} \right)$$

$$\geq \beta^2 T^{2\alpha-2} \left| E_{\alpha,\alpha} \left(-\lambda_j \left(1 + \nu \lambda_j \right)^{-1} T^{\alpha} \right) \right|^2$$

$$\geq \beta^2 T^{2\alpha-2} \left| \frac{C^+}{1 + T^{\alpha} \lambda_j \left(1 + \nu \lambda_j \right)^{-1}} \right|^2, \qquad (4.33)$$

and from the result (4.17), we find that

$$E_{\alpha,\alpha}\left(-\lambda_{j}\left(1+\nu\lambda_{j}\right)^{-1}t^{\alpha}\right)Q_{j}(\psi,\alpha,T)$$

$$\leq \frac{C^{+}}{1+\lambda_{j}t^{\alpha}\left(1+\nu\lambda_{j}\right)^{-1}}\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T)}\frac{1+\lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{j}}\leq C^{+}\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T)}\frac{1+\lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{j}} \quad (4.34)$$

This leads to

$$S_{j}(t,\alpha) \leq \frac{t^{\alpha-1}C^{+} \|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T)} \frac{1+\lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{j}}}{\beta^{2}T^{2\alpha-2} \left|\frac{C^{+}}{1+T^{\alpha}\lambda_{j}(1+\nu\lambda_{j})^{-1}}\right|^{2}} \leq \frac{t^{\alpha-1}C^{+} \|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T)} \frac{1+\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{1}}}{\beta^{2}T^{2\alpha-2} \left|\frac{C+T^{\alpha}}{\nu}\right|^{2}} = G(\nu,T,\alpha)t^{\alpha-1}$$
(4.35)

where $G(\nu, T, \alpha) = \frac{C^+ \|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T)} \frac{1+\lambda_1}{\lambda_1}}{\beta^2 T^{2\alpha-2} \left|\frac{C+T^{\alpha}}{\nu}\right|^2}$. Combining (4.31) and (4.35), we find that

$$\|u^{\beta,\gamma}(.,t) - u^{\beta,0}(.,t)\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}^{2} = \gamma^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j}^{2s} |S_{j}(t,\alpha)|^{2} \langle f,\varphi_{j} \rangle^{2}$$
$$\leq \gamma^{2} t^{2\alpha-2} |G(\nu,T,\alpha)|^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j}^{2s} \langle f,\varphi_{j} \rangle^{2} \qquad (4.36)$$

which allows us to get that

$$\begin{split} \|u^{\beta,\gamma}(.,t) - u^{\beta,0}(.,t)\|_{L^{p}(0,T;H^{s}(\Omega))} &= \left(\int_{0}^{T} \|u^{\beta,\gamma}(.,t) - u^{\beta,0}(.,t)\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}^{p} dt\right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \gamma G(\nu,T,\alpha) \left(\int_{0}^{T} t^{(\alpha-1)p} dt\right)^{1/p} \|f\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)} \\ &= \gamma G(\nu,T,\alpha) \frac{T^{\frac{1}{p}-1+\alpha}}{1+(\alpha-1)p} \|f\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}. \tag{4.37}$$

•

HO THI KIM VAN

4.3. **Conclusion.** In this paper, We set some of the results about the existence, uniqueness, and regularity of the mild solutions of the proposed problem in some suitable space. The lower bound and upper bound for the mild solution with respect to the given data. Finally, we obtain the asymptotic behavior of the solution when the parameter tends to zero.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for his/her comments that helped us improve this article.

References

- Kochubei AN (2014) Cauchy problem for fractional diffusion-wave equations with variable coefficients, Appl. Anal. 93, no. 10, 2211–2242
- [2] Podlubny I (1999) Fractional differential equations, Academic Press, London
- [3] Podlubny I, M. Kacenak, Mittag-leffler function. The MATLAB routine, 2006. Available from: http://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange.
- [4] Sakamoto K, Yamamoto M (2011) Initial value/boudary value problems for fractional diffusion- wave equations and applications to some inverse problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 382, 426–447
- [5] Schneider WR, Wyss W (1989) Fractional diffusion and wave equations, Journal of Mathematical Physics, 30(1), (1989), 134–144
- [6] Tuan NH, Long LD, Thinh NV (2016) Regularized solution of an inverse source problem for a time fractional diffusion equation, Appl. Math. Model. 40, no. 19-20, 8244–8264
- [7] Tuan NH, Kirane M, Hoan LVC, Mohsin BB (2016) A regularization method for timefractional linear inverse diffusion problems, Electric Journal of Differential Equations, Vol. 2016, No. 290, pp. 1-18
- [8] Tuan NH, Long LD, Thinh NV, Thanh T (2017a) On a final value problem for the timefractional diffusion equation with inhomogeneous source, Inverse Problems in Science and Engineering 25, 1367-1395
- [9] Tuan NH, Hoan LVC, Tarta S (2019) An inverse problem for an inhomogeneous timefractional diffusion equation: a regularization method and error estimate, Computational and Applied Mathematics, https://doi.org/10.1007/s40314-019-0776-x
- [10] A.R. Rihan, S. Lakshmanan, A.H. Hashish, R. Rakkiyappan, E. Ahmed, Fractional-order delayed predator-prey systems with Holling type-II functional response. Nonlinear Dynam. 80 (2015), no. 1-2, 777–789.
- [11] A. Atangana, Derivative with a new parameter: theory, methods and applications, Academic Press, New York, 2016
- [12] T.B. Ngoc, N.H. Tuan D. Regan, Existence and uniqueness of mild solutions for a final value problem for nonlinear fractional diffusion systems Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 78 (2019), 104882, 13 pp
- [13] N.H. Tuan, Y. Zhou, T.N. Thach, N.H. Can, Initial inverse problem for the nonlinear fractional Rayleigh-Stokes equation with random discrete data Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 78 (2019), 104873, 18 pp.
- [14] N.H. Tuan, L.N. Huynh, T.B. Ngoc, Y. Zhou, On a backward problem for nonlinear fractional diffusion equations Appl. Math. Lett. 92 (2019), 76–84.
- [15] T.B. Ngoc, Y. Kian, N.H. Tuan Inverse initial problem for fractional reaction-diffusion equation with nonlinearities, arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.09006
- [16] T.B. Ngoc, N.H. Tuan, T. Caraballo, Y. Zhou Existence and regularity results for terminal value problem for nonlinear super-diffusive fractional wave equations, arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.00326
- [17] N. Tran, V.V. Au, Y. Zhou, N.H. Tuan, On a final value problem for fractional reactiondiffusion equation with Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 2019, 113. https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.6103
- [18] N.A. Triet, V.V. Au, L.D. Long, D. Baleanu, N.H. Tuan, Regularization of a terminal value problem for time fractional diffusion equation, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences 43 (6), 3850–3878.

- [19] M. Winkler, Aggregation vs. global diffusive behavior in the higher-dimensional Keller–Segel model, J. Different. Equ., 248 (2010), 2889–2905.
- [20] B. Shiri, G.C. Wu, D. Baleanu, Collocation methods for terminal value problems of tempered fractional differential equations, to appear Applied Numerical Mathematics.
- [21] N.H. Tuan, D. Baleanu, T.N. Thach, D. O'Regan, N.H. Can, Final value problem for nonlinear time fractional reaction-diffusion equation with discrete data J. Comput. Appl. Math. 376 (2020), 112883, 25 pp
- [22] N.H. Tuan, T. Caraballo, On initial and terminal value problems for fractional nonclassical diffusion equations, Proceeding of AMS, https://doi.org/10.1090/proc/15131
- [23] B. de Andrare, C. Cuevas, H. Soto, On fractional heat equations with non-local initial conditions Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society 59 (1), 65-76
- [24] J.Azevedo, C. Cuevas, E.Henriquez, Existence and asymptotic behaviour for the timefractional KellerSegel model for chemotaxis, Mathematische Nachrichten 292 (3), 462-480
- [25] Y Karaca, M Moonis, D Baleanu, Fractal and multifractional-based predictive optimization model for stroke subtypes classification, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals Volume 136, July 2020, 109820.
- [26] D Baleanu, SM Aydogn, H Mohammadi, S Rezapour, On modelling of epidemic childhood diseases with the Caputo-Fabrizio derivative by using the Laplace Adomian decomposition method, Alexandria Engineering Journal, Volume 59, Issue 5, October 2020, Pages 3029-3039
- [27] S Kumar, D Baleanu, Numerical solution of twodimensional time fractional cable equation with MittagLeffler kernel, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, Volume43, Issue 15, October 2020, Pages 8348-8362.
- [28] Baleanu, Dumitru; Jajarmi, Amin; Mohammadi, Hakimeh; Rezapour, Shahram. A new study on the mathematical modelling of human liver with Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative. Chaos Solitons Fractals 134 (2020), 109705, 7 pp.
- [29] Tuan, Nguyen Huy; Mohammadi, Hakimeh; Rezapour, Shahram. A mathematical model for COVID-19 transmission by using the Caputo fractional derivative. Chaos Solitons Fractals 140 (2020), 110107, 11 pp
- [30] Baleanu, D., Mohammadi, H. & Rezapour, S. A fractional differential equation model for the COVID-19 transmission by using the CaputoFabrizio derivative. Adv Differ Equ 2020, 299 (2020)
- [31] Aydogan, S.M., Baleanu, D., Mohammadi, H. et al. On the mathematical model of Rabies by using the fractional CaputoFabrizio derivative. Adv Differ Equ 2020, 382 (2020).
- [32] Baleanu, D., Mohammadi, H. & Rezapour, S. A mathematical theoretical study of a particular system of CaputoFabrizio fractional differential equations for the Rubella disease model. Adv Differ Equ 2020, 184 (2020).
- [33] Baleanu, D., Mohammadi, H.& Rezapour, S. Analysis of the model of HIV-1 infection of CD4⁺ T-cell with a new approach of fractional derivative. Adv Differ Equ 2020, 71 (2020).
- [34] Shiri, Babak; Perfilieva, Irina; Alijani, Zahra. Classical approximation for fuzzy Fredholm integral equation. Fuzzy Sets and Systems 404 (2021), 159–177.
- [35] Shiri, Babak; Wu, Guo-Cheng; Baleanu, Dumitru. Collocation methods for terminal value problems of tempered fractional differential equations. Appl. Numer. Math. 156 (2020), 385– 395.
- [36] Chang-You Ma, Babak Shiri, Guo-Cheng Wu, Dumitru Baleanu, New fractional signal smoothing equations with short memory and variable order, Optik, Volume 218, 2020,
- [37] A. M. Sedletskii, Nonasymptotic properties of the roots of a function of Mittag-Leffler type, Math. Notes 75 (2004), no. 3-4, 372–386.
- [38] H. Pollard, The completely monotonic character of the Mittag-Leffler function $E_a(-x)$ Bull. Amer. Math. Soc, 54 (1948), 1115–1116
- [39] Yang Cao, Jingxue Yin, Chunpeng Wang, Cauchy problems of semilinear pseudo-parabolic equations, J. Differential Equations, 246 (2009), pp. 4568–4590.
- [40] Y. Cao, C. Liu, Initial boundary value problem for a mixed pseudo- parabolic p-Laplacian type equation with logarithmic nonlinearity, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, Vol. 2018, No. 116 (2018), pp. 1–19.
- [41] D. Huafei, S. Yadong, Z. Xiaoxiao, Global well-posedness for a fourth order pseudo-parabolic equation with memory and source terms, *Disc. Contin. Dyn. Syst., Ser. B*, 21(3) (2016), pp. 781–801.

HO THI KIM VAN

- [42] H. Chen, H. Xu, Global existence and blow-up in finite time for a class of finitely degenerate semilinear pseudo-parabolic equations, Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series, Vol. 35, No. 7 (2019), pp. 1143–1162.
- [43] T. Jankowski, Fractional equations of Volterra type involving a Riemann-Liouville derivative Appl. Math. Lett. 26 (2013), no. 3, 344–350
- [44] V. Padron, Effect of aggregation on population recovery modeled by a forward-backward pseudoparabolic equation Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 356, 2739-2756 (2004)
- [45] T.B. Ngoc, Y. Zhou, D. O'Regan, N.H. Tuan, On a terminal value problem for pseudoparabolic equations involving Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives, Appl. Math. Lett. 106 (2020), 106373, 9 pp.
- [46] Afshin Babaei, Hossein Jafariand S. Banihashemi, A Collocation Approach for Solving Time-FractionalStochastic Heat Equation Driven by an Additive Noise, Symmetry 2020, 12, 904; doi:10.3390/sym12060904.
- [47] Afshin Babaeil Hossein Jafaril,2 Masoumeh Ahmadi, A fractional order HIV/AIDS model based on the effect of screening of unaware infectives, Math Meth Appl Sci. 2019;110.
- [48] M.A. Firoozjaee, H. Jafari, A. Lia, D. Baleanu, Numerical approach of FokkerPlanck equation with Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative using Ritz approximation, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2017.05.022.
- [49] A. Babaei, H. Jafari, S. Banihashemi, A numerical scheme to solve a class of twodimensional nonlinear timefractional diffusion equations of distributed order , Engineering with Computers, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00366-020-01185-7.
- [50] H. Jafari, N.A. Tuan, R.M. Ganji, A new numerical scheme for solving pantograph type nonlinear fractional integro-differential equations, Journal of King Saud University Science https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksus.2020.08.029.

Division of Applied Mathematics, Thu Dau Mot University, Binh Duong Province, Vietnam

 $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ \texttt{hothikimvanQtdmu.edu.vn}$

24