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#### Abstract

In this paper, we obtain some applications of the theory of differential subordination and superordination results involving the operator $J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p}$ and other linear operators for certain normalized p-valent analytic functions associated with that operator.


## 1. Introduction

Let $H(U)$ be the class of analytic functions in the open unit disc $U=\{z: z \in \mathbb{C},|z|<1\}$ and let $H[a, p]$ be the subclass of $H(U)$ consisting of functions of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=a+a_{p} z^{p}+a_{p+1} z^{p+1}+\ldots \quad(a \in \mathbb{C}) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, let $A(p)$ denote the class of functions of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} z^{k+p} \quad(p \in \mathbb{N}=\{1,2, \ldots\}), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $A_{1}=A(1)$.
If $f, g \in A(p)$, we say that $f$ is subordinate to $g$, written $f \prec g$ if there exists a Schwarz function $w$, which (by definition) is analytic in $U$ with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$ for all $z \in U$, such that $f(z)=g(w(z)), z \in U$. Furthermore, if the function $g$ is univalent in $U$, then we have the following equivalence (cf., e.g., [7] ,[12] and [13]):

$$
f(z) \prec g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0)=g(0) \text { and } f(U) \subset g(U) .
$$

Let $k, h \in H(U)$ and let $\varphi(r, s, t ; z): \mathbb{C}^{3} \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. If $k$ and $\varphi\left(k(z), z k^{\prime}(z)\right.$, $\left.z^{2} k^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right)$ are univalent functions in $U$ and if $k$ satisfies the second-order superordination

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z) \prec \varphi\left(k(z), z k^{\prime}(z), z^{2} k^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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then $p$ is a solution of the differential superordination (1.3). Note that if $f$ subordinate to $g$, then $g$ is superordinate to $f$. An analytic function $q$ is called a subordinant of (1.3), if $q(z) \prec k(z)$ for all functions $p$ satisfying (1.3). An univalent subordinant $\widetilde{q}$ that satisfies $q(z) \prec \widetilde{q}(z)$ for all subordinants of (1.3) is called the best subordinant. Recently, Miller and Mocanu [14] obtained sufficient conditions on the functions $h, q$ and $\varphi$ for which the following implication holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z) \prec \varphi\left(k(z), z k^{\prime}(z), z^{2} k^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right) \Rightarrow q(z) \prec k(z) . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the results of Miller and Mocanu [14], Bulboaca [6] considered certain classes of first order differential superordinations as well as superordination-preserving integral operators [5]. Ali et al. [1], have used the results of Bulboaca [6] to obtain sufficient conditions for normalized analytic functions to satisfy:

$$
q_{1}(z) \prec \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec q_{2}(z),
$$

where $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are given univalent functions in $U$ with $q_{1}(0)=q_{2}(0)=1$. Also, Tuneski [28] obtained a sufficient condition for starlikeness of $f$ in terms of the quantity $\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z) f(z)}{\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{2}}$. Recently, Shanmugam et al. [22] obtained sufficient conditions for the normalized analytic functions $f$ to satisfy

$$
q_{1}(z) \prec \frac{f(z)}{z f^{\prime}(z)} \prec q_{2}(z)
$$

and

$$
q_{1}(z) \prec \frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{\{f(z)\}^{2}} \prec q_{2}(z) .
$$

They [22] also obtained results for functions defined by using Carlson-Shaffer operator .
For functions $f$ given by (1.1) and $g \in A(p)$ given by $g(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k+p} z^{k+p}$, the Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f$ and $g$ is defined by

$$
(f * g)(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} b_{k+p} z^{k+p}=(g * f)(z)
$$

We begin our investigation by recalling that a general Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function $\Phi(z, s, a)$ defined by ( see [26])

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi(z, s, a)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{k}}{(k+a)^{s}},  \tag{1.5}\\
a \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{-}=\{0,-1,-2, \ldots\} ; \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{-}=\mathbb{Z} \backslash \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}=\left\{0,{ }_{-}^{+} 1,{ }_{-}^{+} 2, \ldots\right\} ; s \in \mathbb{C} \\
\text { when }|z|<1 ; \Re\{s\}>1 \text { when }|z|=1
\end{gather*}
$$

Recently, the Srivastava and Attiya [25] ( see also [11], [17] and [18] ) introduced and investigated the linear operator $J_{s, b}(f): A_{1} \rightarrow A_{1}$, defined in terms of the Hadamard product by

$$
J_{s, b} f(z)=G_{s, b}(z) * f(z)\left(z \in U ; b \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{-} ; s \in \mathbb{C}\right)
$$

where for convenience,

$$
G_{s, b}=(1+b)^{s}\left[\Phi(z, s, b)-b^{-s}\right](z \in U) .
$$

In [29], Wang et al. defined the operator $J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p}: A(p) \rightarrow A(p)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)=f_{s, b}^{\lambda, p}(z) * f(z) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left(z \in U ; b \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{-} ; s \in C ; \lambda>-p ; p \in \mathbb{N} ; f \in A(p)\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{s, b}^{p}(z) * f_{s, b}^{\lambda, p}(z)=\frac{z^{p}}{(1-z)^{\lambda+p}} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{s, b}^{p}(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{p+k+b}{p+b}\right) z^{k+p} \quad(z \in U ; p \in \mathbb{N}) . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to obtain from (1.6), (1.7) and (1.8) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+p)_{k}}{k!}\left(\frac{p+b}{k+p+b}\right)^{s} a_{k+p} z^{k+p}, \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\gamma)_{k}$, is the Pochhammer symbol defined in terms of the Gamma function $\Gamma$, by

$$
(\gamma)_{k}=\frac{\Gamma(\gamma+n)}{\Gamma(\gamma)}= \begin{cases}1 & (k=0) \\ \gamma(\gamma+1) \ldots(\gamma+k-1) & (k \in \mathbb{N}) .\end{cases}
$$

We note that $\quad J_{0, b}^{1-p, p} f(z)=f(z)(f \in A(p))$.
Using (1.9), it is easy to verify that (see [29])

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(J_{s+1, b}^{\lambda, p} f\right)^{\prime}(z)=(p+b) J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p}(f)(z)-b J_{s+1, b}^{\lambda, p}(f)(z) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f\right)^{\prime}(z)=(p+\lambda) J_{s, b}^{\lambda+1, p}(f)(z)-\lambda J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p}(f)(z) . \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be remarked that the linear operator $J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)$ is generalization of many other linear operators considered earlier. We have:
(1) $J_{0, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)=D^{\lambda+p-1} f(z)(\lambda>-p, p \in \mathbb{N})$, where $D^{\lambda+p-1}$ is the $(\lambda+p-1)$-th order Ruscheweyh derivative of a function $f(z) \in A(p)$ (see [10]);
(2) $J_{1, v}^{1-p, p} f(z)=J_{v, p} f(z)(v>-p)$, where the generalized Bernardi-Libera-Livingston operator $J_{v, p}$ was studied by Choi et al. [8];
(3) $J_{m, 0}^{1-p, p} f(z)=I_{p}^{m} f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{p}{k+p}\right)^{m} a_{k+p} z^{k+p} \quad\left(m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}\right)$, where for $p=1$ the integral operator $I_{1}^{m}=I^{m}$ was introduced and studied by Salagean [20];
(4) $J_{\sigma, 1}^{1-p, p} f(z)=I_{p}^{\sigma} f(z)(\sigma>0)$, where the integral operator $I_{p}^{\sigma}$ was studied by Shams et al. [21] and Aouf et al. [4];
(5) $J_{\gamma, \tau}^{0,1} f(z)=P_{\tau}^{\gamma} f(z)(\gamma \geq 0, \tau>1)$, where the integral operator $P_{\tau}^{\gamma}$ was introduced and studied by Patel and Sahoo [16].

## 2. DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

In order to prove our results, we shall need the following definition and lemmas. Definition 1 [14]. Let $Q$ be the set of all functions $f$ that are analytic and injective on $\bar{U} \backslash E(f)$, where $E(f)=\left\{\zeta \in \partial U: \lim _{z \rightarrow \zeta} f(z)=\infty\right\}$, and are such that $f^{\prime}(\zeta) \neq 0$ for $\zeta \in \partial U \backslash E(f)$.

Lemma 1 [12]. Let $q$ be univalent in the unit disc $U$, and let $\theta$ and $\varphi$ be analytic in a domain $D$ containing $q(U)$, with $\varphi(w) \neq 0$ when $w \in q(U)$. Set $\mathbb{C}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z)) \text { and } h(z)=\theta(q(z))+Q(z) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

suppose that
(i) $Q$ is a starlike function in $U$,
(ii) $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right\}>0, z \in U$.

If $p$ is analytic in $U$ with $k(0)=q(0), p(U) \subseteq D$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(k(z))+z k^{\prime}(z) \varphi(k(z)) \prec \theta(q(z))+z q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z)) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $k(z) \prec q(z)$, and $q$ is the best dominant of (2.2).
Lemma 2 [24]. Let $\xi, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\beta \neq 0$ and let $q$ be a convex function in $U$ with

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>\max \left\{0 ;-\operatorname{Re} \frac{\xi}{\beta}\right\}
$$

If $p$ is analytic in $U$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi k(z)+\beta z k^{\prime}(z) \prec \xi q(z)+\beta z q^{\prime}(z) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $k \prec q$ and $q$ is the best dominant of (2.3).
Lemma 3 [6]. Let $q$ be a univalent function in $U$ and let $\theta$ and $\varphi$ be analytic in a domain $D$ containing $q(U)$. Suppose that
(i) $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\theta^{\prime}(q(z))}{\varphi(q(z))}\right\}>0$ for $z \in U$,
(ii) $Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z))$ is starlike univalent in U .

If $k \in H[q(0), 1] \cap Q$, with $k(\mathrm{U}) \subseteq D, \theta(k(z))+z k^{\prime}(z) \varphi(k(z))$ is univalent in U , and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(q(z))+z q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z)) \prec \theta(k(z))+z k^{\prime}(z) \varphi(k(z)) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $q(z) \prec k(z)$ and $q$ is the best subordinant of (2.4).
Lemma 4 [14]. Let $q$ be convex univalent in $U$ and let $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, with $\operatorname{Re}\{\beta\}>0$. If $k \in H[q(0), 1] \cap Q, k(z)+\beta z k^{\prime}(z)$ is univalent in $U$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)+\beta z q^{\prime}(z) \prec k(z)+\beta z k^{\prime}(z) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $q \prec k$ and $q$ is the best subordinant of (2.5).
Lemma 5 [19]. The function $q(z)=(1-z)^{-2 a b}\left(a, b \in \mathbb{C}^{*}=\mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ is univalent in $U$ if and only if $|2 a b-1| \leq 1$ or $|2 a b+1| \leq 1$.

## 3. SUBORDINANT RESULTS FOR ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

Unless otherwise mentioned, we shall assume in the reminder of this paper that $b \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{-}, s \in \mathbb{C}, p \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda>-p, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^{*}, z \in U$ and the powers are understood as principle values.

Theorem 1. Let $q(z)$ be univalent in $U$, with $q(0)=1$ and suppose that $\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}$ is starlike univalent in $U$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>\max \left\{0 ;-p \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{b+p}{\gamma}\right)\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f(z) \in A(p)$ satisfies the subordination

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\gamma}{p}\left(\frac{J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)+\frac{p-\gamma}{p}\left(\frac{J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right) \prec q(z)+\frac{\gamma z q^{\prime}(z)}{p(b+p)} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\frac{J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}} \prec q(z)
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant of (3.2).
Proof. Define a function $k(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(z)=\frac{J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}}(z \in U) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

by differentiating (3.3) logarithmically with respect to $z$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z k^{\prime}(z)}{k(z)}=\frac{z\left(J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}-p . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.4) and (1.10), a simple computation shows that

$$
\frac{\gamma}{p}\left(\frac{J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)+\frac{p-\gamma}{p}\left(\frac{J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)=k(z)+\frac{\gamma z k^{\prime}(z)}{p(b+p)}
$$

hence the subordination (3.2) is equivalent to

$$
k(z)+\frac{\gamma z k^{\prime}(z)}{p(b+p)} \prec q(z)+\frac{\gamma z q^{\prime}(z)}{p(b+p)} .
$$

Combining this last relation together with Lemma 2 for the special case $\beta=\frac{\gamma}{p(b+p)}$ and $\xi=1$ we obtain our result.

Taking $q(z)=\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}(-1 \leq B<A \leq 1)$ in Theorem 1, the condition (3.1) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1-B z}{1+B z}\right\}>\max \left\{0 ;-p \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{b+p}{\gamma}\right)\right\} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to check that the function $\psi(\zeta)=\frac{1-\zeta}{1+\zeta},|\zeta|<|B|$, is convex in $U$ and since $\psi(\bar{\zeta})=\overline{\psi(\zeta)}$ for all $|\zeta|<|B|$, it follows that the image $\psi(U)$ is convex domain symmetric with respect to the real axis, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf \left\{\operatorname{Re} \frac{1-B z}{1+B z}\right\}=\frac{1-|B|}{1+|B|}>0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the inequality (3.5) is equivalent to $\frac{|B|-1}{|B|+1} \leq p \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{b+p}{\gamma}\right)$, hence, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2. Let $f(z) \in A(p),-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$ and $\max \left\{0 ;-p \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{b+p}{\gamma}\right)\right\} \leq$ $\frac{1-|B|}{1+|B|}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\gamma}{p}\left(\frac{J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)+\frac{p-\gamma}{p}\left(\frac{J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}+\frac{\gamma}{p(b+p)} \frac{(A-B) z}{(1+B z)^{2}}, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies

$$
\frac{J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}} \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}
$$

and $\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}$ is the best dominant of (3.7).
Taking $q(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}$ in Theorem 1 (or putting $A=1$ and $B=-1$ in Corollary 1), the condition (3.1) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{b+p}{\gamma}\right) \geq 0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence, we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 3. Let $f(z) \in A(p)$, assume that (3.8) holds true and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\gamma}{p}\left(\frac{J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)+\frac{p-\gamma}{p}\left(\frac{J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right) \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}+\frac{2 \gamma z}{p(p+b)(1-z)^{2}}, \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\frac{J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}
$$

and $\frac{1+z}{1-z}$ is the best dominant of (3.9).

Now, by appealing to Lemma 1 it can be easily prove the following theorem.
Theorem 4. Let $q(z)$ be univalent in $U$, with $q(0)=1$ and $q(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in U$. Let $\mu, \delta \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ and $\alpha, \tau \in \mathbb{C}$, with $\alpha+\tau \neq 0$. Let $f(z) \in A(p)$ and suppose that $f$ and $q$ satisfy the next conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\alpha J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)+\tau J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{(\alpha+\tau) z^{p}} \neq 0 \quad(z \in U) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}\right\}>0 \quad(z \in U) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\delta \mu\left\{\frac{\alpha z\left(J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)\right)^{\prime}+\tau z\left(J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\alpha J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)+\tau J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}-p\right\} \prec 1+\delta \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}, \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\left(\frac{\alpha J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)+\tau J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{(\alpha+\tau) z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \prec q(z)
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant of (3.12).
Taking $q(z)=\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}(-1 \leq B<A \leq 1), \alpha=0$ and $\tau=\delta=1$ in Theorem 2, the condition (3.11) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{1-\frac{2 B z}{1+B z}-\frac{(A-B) z}{(1+A z)(1+B z)}\right\}>0 . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence, we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 5. Let $f(z) \in A(p)$, assume that (3.13) holds true, $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$, $\mu \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ and suppose that $\frac{J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}} \neq 0(z \in U)$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\mu\left\{\frac{z\left(J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{J_{s, b}^{\lambda, b} f(z)}-p\right\} \prec 1+\frac{(A-B) z}{(1+A z)(1+B z)}, \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}$ is the best dominant of (3.14).
Putting $\quad \alpha=0, \tau=1, \delta=\frac{1}{a b}\left(a, b \in \mathbb{C}^{*}\right), \mu=a, s=0, \lambda=1-p(p \in \mathbb{N})$ and $q(z)=(1-z)^{-2 a b}$ in Theorem 2, hence combining this together with Lemma 5, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 6. Let $f(z) \in A(p)$, assume that (3.11) holds true and $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ such that $|2 a b-1| \leq 1$ or $|2 a b+1| \leq 1$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-p\right) \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{a} \prec(1-z)^{-2 a b}
$$

and $(1-z)^{-2 a b}$ is the best dominant of (3.16).
Remark 1. (i) For $p=1$, Corollary 4 reduces to the result obtained by Obradović et al. [15, Theorem 1], the recent result of Aouf and Bulboacă et [3, Corollary 3.3] and the recent result of El-Ashwah and Aouf [9, Corollary 4];
(ii) For $p=a=1$, Corollary 4 reduces to the recent result of Srivastava and Lashin [27, Theorem 3] and the recent result of Shanmugam et al. [23, Corollary 3.6].
Remark 2. Putting $p=1, s=0, \delta=\frac{e^{i \lambda}}{a b \cos \lambda}\left(a, b \in \mathbb{C}^{*} ;|\lambda|<\frac{\pi}{2}\right), \mu=a$ and $q(z)=(1-z)^{-2 a b \cos \lambda e^{-i \lambda}}$ in Theorem 2, we obtain the result obtained by Aouf et al. [2, Theorem 1], the recent result of Aouf and Bulboacă et [3, Corollary 3.5] and the recent result of El-Ashwah and Aouf [9, Corollary 6].

Putting $\alpha=0, \tau=\delta=1, s=0, \lambda=1-p$ and $q(z)=(1+B z)^{\frac{\mu(A-B)}{B}}(\mu \in$ $\mathbb{C}^{*},-1 \leq B<A \leq 1, B \neq 0$ ) in Theorem 2, it is easy to check that the assumption (3.11) holds, hence we get the next corollary:

Corollary 7. Let $f \in A(p), \mu \in \mathbb{C}^{*},-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$, with $B \neq 0$ and suppose that $\left|\frac{\mu(A-B)}{B}-1\right| \leq 1$ or $\left|\frac{\mu(A-B)}{B}+1\right| \leq 1$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\mu\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-p\right) \prec \frac{1+[B+\mu(A-B) z]}{1+B z} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \prec(1+B z)^{\frac{\mu(A-B)}{B}}
$$

and $(1+B z)^{\frac{\mu(A-B)}{B}}$ is the best dominant of (3.17).
Remark 3. For $p=1$, Corollary 5 reduces to the result obtained by Aouf and Bulboaca [3, Corollary 3.4] and the recent result of El-Ashwah and Aouf [9, Corollary 5].

By using Lemma 1, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 8. Let $q(z)$ be univalent in $U$, with $q(0)=1$, let $\mu, \delta \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ and let $\alpha, \tau, \sigma, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$, with $\alpha+\tau \neq 0$. Let $f(z) \in A(p)$ and suppose that $f$ and $q$ satisfy the next two conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\alpha J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)+\tau J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{(\alpha+\tau) z^{p}} \neq 0 \quad(z \in U) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>\max \left\{0 ;-\operatorname{Re} \frac{\sigma}{\delta}\right\} \quad(z \in U) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{F}(z)=\left(\frac{\alpha J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)+\tau J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{(\alpha+\tau) z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \cdot\left[\sigma+\delta \mu\left(\frac{\alpha z\left(J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)\right)^{\prime}+\tau z\left(J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\alpha J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)+\tau J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}-p\right)\right]+\eta \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{F}(z) \prec \sigma q(z)+\delta z q^{\prime}(z)+\eta \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\alpha J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)+\tau J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{(\alpha+\tau) z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \prec q(z) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant of (3.22).

Taking $q(z)=\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}(-1 \leq B<A \leq 1)$ and using (3.6), the condition (3.22) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{0 ;-\operatorname{Re} \frac{\sigma}{\delta}\right\} \leq \frac{1-|B|}{1+|B|} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence, putting $\delta=\alpha=1$ and $\tau=0$ in Theorem 3 , we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 9. Let $f(z) \in A(p),-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$ and let $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\max \{0 ;-\operatorname{Re} \sigma\} \leq$ $\frac{1-|B|}{1+|B|}$, suppose that $\frac{J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}} \neq 0 \quad(z \in U)$ and let $\mu \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \cdot\left[\sigma+\mu\left(\frac{z\left(J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}-p\right)\right]+\eta \prec \sigma \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}+\frac{(A-B) z}{(1+B z)^{2}}+\eta, \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\left(\frac{J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}
$$

and $\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}$ is the best dominant of (3.24).
Putting $s=0, \lambda=1-p(p \in \mathbb{N}), \delta=\tau=1, \alpha=0$ and $q(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}$ in Theorem 3 , we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 10. Let $f(z) \in A(p)$ such that $\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}} \neq 0$ for all $z \in U$ and let $\mu \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \cdot\left[\sigma+\mu\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-p\right)\right]+\eta \prec \sigma \frac{1+z}{1-z}+\frac{2 z}{(1-z)^{2}}+\eta, \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}
$$

and $\frac{1+z}{1-z}$ is the best dominant of (3.25).

Remark 4. For $p=1$, Corollary 7 reduces to the result obtained by Aouf and Bulboaca [3, Corollary 3.7] and the recent result of El-Ashwah and Aouf [9, Corollary 8].

## 4. Superordination and sandwich results

Theorem 11. Let $q(z)$ be convex in $U$, with $q(0)=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{-1} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\gamma}{b+p}\right)>0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f(z) \in A(p)$ and suppose that $\frac{J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}} \in H[q(0), 1] \cap Q$. If the function

$$
\frac{\gamma}{p}\left(\frac{J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)+\frac{p-\gamma}{p}\left(\frac{J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)
$$

is univalent in $U$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)+\frac{\gamma z q^{\prime}(z)}{p(b+p)} \prec \frac{\gamma}{p}\left(\frac{J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)+\frac{p-\gamma}{p}\left(\frac{J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right) . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
q(z) \prec \frac{J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}}
$$

and $q$ is the best subordinant of (4.2).
Proof. Define a function $g(z)$ by

$$
g(z)=\frac{J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}} \quad(z \in U) .
$$

From the assumption of the theorem, the function $g$ is analytic in $U$ and differentiating logarithmically with respect to $z$ the above definition, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}=\frac{z\left(J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}-p \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

After some computations and using the identity (1.10), from (4.3), we obtain

$$
\frac{\gamma}{p}\left(\frac{J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)+\frac{p-\gamma}{p}\left(\frac{J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)=g(z)+\frac{\gamma z g^{\prime}(z)}{p(b+p)}
$$

and now, by using Lemma 4 we get the desired result.
Taking $q(z)=\frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \quad(-1 \leq B<A \leq 1)$ in Theorem 4, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 12. Let $q(z)$ be convex in $U$, with $q(0)=1$ and $\left[p^{-1} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\gamma}{b+p}\right)\right]>0$. Let $f(z) \in A(p)$ and suppose that $\frac{J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}} \in H[q(0), 1] \cap Q$. If the function

$$
\frac{\gamma}{p}\left(\frac{J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)+\frac{p-\gamma}{p}\left(\frac{J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)
$$

is univalent in $U$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}+\frac{\gamma}{p(b+p)} \frac{(A-B) z}{(1+B z)^{2}} \prec \frac{\gamma}{p}\left(\frac{J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)+\frac{p-\gamma}{p}\left(\frac{J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right) . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \prec \frac{J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}}
$$

and $\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}(-1 \leq B<A \leq 1)$ is the best subordinant of (4.4).
By applying Lemma 3, we obtain the following result.
Theorem 13. Let $q(z)$ be convex in $U$, with $q(0)=1$, let $\mu, \delta \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ and let $\alpha, \tau, \sigma, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$, with $\alpha+\tau \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} \frac{\sigma}{\delta}>0$. Let $f(z) \in A(p)$ and suppose that $f$ satisfies the next conditions:

$$
\frac{\alpha J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)+\tau J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{(\alpha+\tau) z^{p}} \neq 0 \quad(z \in U)
$$

and

$$
\left(\frac{\alpha J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)+\tau J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{(\alpha+\tau) z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \in H[q(0), 1] \cap Q .
$$

If the function $\mathfrak{F}$ given by (3.20) is univalent in $U$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma q(z)+\delta z q^{\prime}(z)+\eta \prec \mathfrak{F}(z) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
q(z) \prec\left(\frac{\alpha J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)+\tau J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{(\alpha+\tau) z^{p}}\right)^{\mu}
$$

and $q$ is the best subordinant of (4.5).
Combining Theorem 1 and Theorem 4, we get the following sandwich theorem.
Theorem 14. Let $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ be two convex functions in $U$, with $q_{1}(0)=q_{2}(0)=1$ and $\left[p^{-1} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\gamma}{b+p}\right)\right]>0$. Let $f(z) \in A(p)$ and suppose that $\frac{J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}} \in H[q(0), 1] \cap Q$. If the function $\frac{\gamma}{p}\left(\frac{J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)+\frac{p-\gamma}{p}\left(\frac{J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)$ is univalent in $U$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}(z)+\frac{\gamma z q_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{p(b+p)} \prec \frac{\gamma}{p}\left(\frac{J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right)+\frac{p-\gamma}{p}\left(\frac{J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}}\right) \prec q_{2}(z)+\frac{\gamma z q_{2}^{\prime}(z)}{p(b+p)} . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
q_{1}(z) \prec \frac{J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{z^{p}} \prec q_{2}(z)
$$

and $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are, respectively, the best subordinant and dominant of (4.6).
Combining Theorem 3 and Theorem 5, we get the following sandwich theorem.
Theorem 15. Let $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ be two convex functions in $U$, with $q_{1}(0)=q_{2}(0)=1$, let $\mu, \delta \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ and let $\alpha, \tau, \sigma, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$, with $\alpha+\tau \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} \frac{\sigma}{\delta}>0$. Let $f(z) \in A(p)$ satisfies $\frac{\alpha J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)+\tau J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{(\alpha+\tau) z^{p}} \neq 0 \quad(z \in U)$ and $\left(\frac{\alpha J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)+\tau J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{(\alpha+\tau) z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \in H[q(0), 1] \cap$ Q. If the function $\mathfrak{F}$ given by (3.20) is univalent in $U$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma q_{1}(z)+\delta z q_{1}^{\prime}(z)+\eta \prec \mathfrak{F}(z) \prec \sigma q_{2}(z)+\delta z q_{2}^{\prime}(z)+\eta \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
q_{1}(z) \prec\left(\frac{\alpha J_{s-1, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)+\tau J_{s, b}^{\lambda, p} f(z)}{(\alpha+\tau) z^{p}}\right)^{\mu} \prec q_{2}(z)
$$

and $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are, respectively, the best subordinant and dominant of(4.7).
Remark 5. Specializing $s, \lambda$ and $b$, in the above results, we obtain the corresponding results for the corresponding operators (1-5) defined in the introduction.
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