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#### Abstract

In this note we provide some applications of the general Pietsch Domination Theorem.


## 1. Introduction

Pietsch Domination Theorem plays a central role in the theory of absolutely summing linear operators (see [6]). In the last years, several Pietsch-type theorems have been presented in different nonlinear settings (we mention, for example, [1, 4, $5,7,8,9,10,12,15])$; in $[3]$ an abstract approach to the PDT was presented as an attempt of unification (see also [13, 14]).

From now on the Banach spaces will be considered over a fixed scalar field $\mathbb{K}$ that can be $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. The topological dual of a Banach space $X$ will be denoted by $X^{*}$ and its closed unit ball will be represented by $B_{X^{*}}$.

Let us recall the General Pietsch Domination Theorem recently presented in $[3,13]$ :

Let $X, Y$ and $E$ be (arbitrary) non-void sets, $\mathcal{H}$ be a family of mappings from $X$ to $Y, G$ be a Banach space and $K$ be a compact Hausdorff topological space. Let

$$
S: \mathcal{H} \times E \times G \longrightarrow[0, \infty)
$$

be an arbitrary map and

$$
R: K \times E \times G \longrightarrow[0, \infty)
$$

be such that

$$
R_{x, b}: K \longrightarrow[0, \infty) \text { defined by } R_{x, b}(\varphi)=R(\varphi, x, b)
$$

is continuous for every $x \in E$ and $b \in G$.

[^0]If $R$ and $S$ are as above and $0<p<\infty$, a mapping $f \in \mathcal{H}$ is said to be $R$-S-abstract $p$-summing if there is a constant $C_{1}>0$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} S\left(f, x_{j}, b_{j}\right)^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C_{1} \sup _{\varphi \in K}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} R\left(\varphi, x_{j}, b_{j}\right)^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} \in E, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m} \in G$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$.
The general unified PDT reads as follows:
Theorem 1.1 (General Pietsch Domination Theorem). Let $R$ and $S$ be as above, $0<p<\infty$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Then $f$ is $R$ - $S$-abstract $p$-summing if and only if there is a constant $C>0$ and a Borel probability measure $\mu$ on $K$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(f, x, b) \leq C\left(\int_{K} R(\varphi, x, b)^{p} d \mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in E$ and $b \in G$.
In [3] the following concept was introduced, as a natural adaptation of [11, Definition 3.1]:
Definition 1. Let $X$ and $Y$ be Banach spaces. An arbitrary mapping $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ is absolutely $p$-summing at $a \in X$ if there is $a C \geq 0$ so that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\|f\left(a+x_{j}\right)-f(a)\right\|^{p} \leq C \sup _{\varphi \in B_{X^{*}}} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\varphi\left(x_{j}\right)\right|^{p}
$$

for every natural number $m$ and every $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} \in X$.
Also in [3], as an application of Theorem 1.1, the following Pietsch Domination type theorem is proved:

Theorem 1.2. Let $X$ and $Y$ be Banach spaces. An arbitrary mapping $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ is absolutely p-summing at $a \in X$ if and only if there is a constant $C_{a} \geq 0$ and $a$ Borel probability measure $\mu_{a}$ on $\left(B_{X^{*}},\left(\sigma\left(X^{*}, X\right)\right)\right)$ such that

$$
\|f(a+x)-f(a)\| \leq C_{a}\left(\int_{B_{X^{*}}}|\varphi(x)|^{p} d \mu_{a}(\varphi)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
From the theorem above, if $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ is absolutely $p$-summing at every $a \in X$ we have a family of constants $\left(C_{a}\right)_{a \in X}$ and a family of probability measures $\left(\mu_{a}\right)_{a \in X}$ on $\left(B_{X^{*}},\left(\sigma\left(X^{*}, X\right)\right)\right)$ so that

$$
\|f(a+x)-f(a)\| \leq C_{a}\left(\int_{B_{X^{*}}}|\varphi(x)|^{p} d \mu_{a}(\varphi)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
A natural question arises:
Problem 1.3. If $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ is absolutely $p$-summing at every $a \in X$, does there exist an universal constant $C \geq 0$ and a Borel probability measure $\mu$ on $\left(B_{X^{*}},\left(\sigma\left(X^{*}, X\right)\right)\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(a+x)-f(a)\| \leq C\left(\int_{B_{X^{*}}}|\varphi(x)|^{p} d \mu(\varphi)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(a, x) \in X \times X$ ?
In this note, among other results, we solve partially this question by characterizing the maps satisfying (1.3).

## 2. Results

We begin this section by recalling the notion of summability at a given point and introducing some concepts related to the notion of everywhere absolutely summing multilinear operators:

Definition 2. Let $X, Y$ be Banach spaces.
(i) A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is absolutely p-summing at $a \in X$ if there is a constant $C \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\|f\left(a+x_{j}\right)-f(a)\right\|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C\left\|\left(x_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{m}\right\|_{w, p} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} \in X$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$.
(ii) A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is strongly absolutely $p$-summing at $A \subset X$ if there is a constant $C \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\|f\left(a_{j}+x_{j}\right)-f\left(a_{j}\right)\right\|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C\left\|\left(x_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{m}\right\|_{w, p} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m} \in A, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} \in X$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$.
(iii) When $A=X$ in (ii) $f$ is called strongly everywhere absolutely p-summing.

The next theorem characterizes the maps satisfying (1.3):
Theorem 2.1. $A$ map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is strongly absolutely p-summing at $A$ if and only if there are a constant $C \geq 0$ and a Borel probability measure $\mu$ on $\left(B_{X^{*}},\left(\sigma\left(X^{*}, X\right)\right)\right)$ such that

$$
\|f(a+x)-f(a)\| \leq C\left(\int_{B_{X^{*}}}|\varphi(x)|^{p} d \mu(\varphi)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

for all $(x, a) \in X \times A$.
Proof. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the set of all maps from $X$ to $Y$. Now choose the parameters

$$
\begin{aligned}
E & =A \times X \\
G & =\mathbb{K} \\
K & =\left(B_{X^{*}},\left(\sigma\left(X^{*}, X\right)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S: \mathcal{H} \times(A \times X) \times \mathbb{K} \rightarrow[0, \infty) \\
& R:\left(B_{X^{*}},\left(\sigma\left(X^{*}, X\right)\right)\right) \times(A, X) \times \mathbb{K} \rightarrow[0, \infty)
\end{aligned}
$$

by

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(f,(a, x), b) & =\|f(a+x)-f(a)\| \\
R(\varphi,(a, x), b) & =|\varphi(x)|
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that (2.2) is equivalent to

$$
\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} S\left(f,\left(a_{j}, x_{j}\right), b_{j}\right)^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \sup _{\varphi \in K}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} R\left(\varphi,\left(a_{j}, x_{j}\right), b_{j}\right)^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

for all $\left(a_{1}, x_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{m}, x_{m}\right) \in E, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m} \in G$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$.
From Theorem 1.1 we have

$$
S(f,(a, x), b) \leq C\left(\int_{K} R(\varphi,(a, x), b)^{p} d \mu(\varphi)\right)^{1 / p}
$$

for all $a \in A, x \in X$ and $b \in \mathbb{K}$, i.e.,

$$
\|f(a+x)-f(a)\| \leq C\left(\int_{K}|\varphi(x)|^{p} d \mu(\varphi)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

for all $(x, a) \in X \times A$.
Corollary 2.2. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is strongly everywhere absolutely p-summing if and only if there are a constant $C \geq 0$ and a Borel probability measure $\mu$ on $B_{X^{*}}$ such that

$$
\|f(a+x)-f(a)\| \leq C\left(\int_{B_{X^{*}}}|\varphi(x)|^{p} d \mu(\varphi)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

for all $(x, a) \in X \times X$.
Now we note that the general PDT allows a local version.

## Definition 3. Let $X, Y$ be Banach spaces.

(i) A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is locally absolutely p-summing at $a \in X$ if there are $C \geq 0, \delta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\|f\left(a+x_{j}\right)-f(a)\right\|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C\left\|\left(x_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{m}\right\|_{w, p} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} \in X$ so that $\left\|x_{j}\right\|<\delta$.
(ii) A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is locally strongly absolutely $p$-summing at $A \subset X$ if there are $C \geq 0, \delta>0$ such that

$$
\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\|f\left(a_{j}+x_{j}\right)-f\left(a_{j}\right)\right\|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C\left\|\left(x_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{m}\right\|_{w, p}
$$

for every $a \in A$ and every $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m} \in X$ so that $\left\|x_{j}\right\|<\delta$.
(iii) When $A=X$ in (ii) $f$ is called locally strongly everywhere absolutely $p$ summing.

Theorem 2.3. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is locally strongly absolutely $p$-summing at $A$ if and only if there are $C \geq 0, \delta>0$ and a Borel probability measure $\mu$ on $\left(B_{X^{*}},\left(\sigma\left(X^{*}, X\right)\right)\right)$ such that

$$
\|f(a+x)-f(a)\| \leq C\left(\int_{B_{X^{*}}}|\varphi(x)|^{p} d \mu(\varphi)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

for all $(x, a) \in B(0, \delta) \times A$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the set of all maps from $X$ to $Y$. Consider also the sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E=A \times B(0, \delta) \\
& G=\mathbb{K} \text { and } K=B_{X^{*}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof follows the lines of the proof of Theorem 2.1.
Corollary 2.4. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is locally strongly everywhere absolutely $p$ summing if and only if there are $C \geq 0, \delta>0$ and a Borel probability measure $\mu$ on $B_{X^{*}}$ such that

$$
\|f(a+x)-f(a)\| \leq C\left(\int_{B_{X^{*}}}|\varphi(x)|^{p} d \mu(\varphi)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

for all $(x, a) \in B(0, \delta) \times X$.
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