

## PICARD OPERATORS IN $b$ -METRIC SPACES VIA DIGRAPHS

SUSHANTA KUMAR MOHANTA AND SHILPA PATRA

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove some fixed point theorems in  $b$ -metric spaces endowed with a graph which are generalizations of the Banach Contraction Principle. We also prove Edelstein theorem in the setting of  $b$ -metric spaces.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of a  $b$ -metric space was introduced by Bakhtin[1] and Czerwik[4]. This is a generalization of the usual notion of a metric space. Several authors reformulated many problems of fixed point theory in  $b$ -metric spaces. In 2005, Echenique[6] studied fixed point theory by using graphs. Afterwards, Espinola and Kirk[7] applied fixed point results in graph theory. Recently, Jachymski[9] proved a sufficient condition for a selfmap  $f$  of a metric space  $(X, d)$  to be a Picard operator and applied it to the Kelisky-Rivlin theorem on iterates of the Bernstein operators on the space  $C[0, 1]$ . Motivated by the idea given in[9], we reformulated some important fixed point results in metric spaces to  $b$ -metric spaces endowed with a graph. We also prove  $b$ -metric version of Edelstein theorem. Finally, an example is provided to support our main result.

### 2. SOME BASIC CONCEPTS

We begin with some basic notations and definitions in  $b$ -metric spaces.

**Definition 2.1.** [4] *Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $s \geq 1$  be a given real number. A function  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is said to be a  $b$ -metric on  $X$  if the following conditions hold:*

- (i)  $d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ ;
- (ii)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (iii)  $d(x, y) \leq s(d(x, z) + d(z, y))$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

*The pair  $(X, d)$  is called a  $b$ -metric space.*

If  $s = 1$ , then the triangle inequality in a metric space is satisfied, however it does not hold true when  $s > 1$ .

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54H25, 47H10.

*Key words and phrases.*  $b$ -metric; directed graph;  $G$ -contraction; fixed point.

©2017 Universiteti i Prishtinës, Prishtinë, Kosovë.

Submitted August 12, 2017. Published September 9, 2017.

The second author is thankful to UGC, India.

Communicated by Denny H. Leung.

**Definition 2.2.** [2] Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space,  $x \in X$  and  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in  $X$ . Then

- (i)  $(x_n)$  converges to  $x$  if and only if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$ . We denote this by  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$  or  $x_n \rightarrow x (n \rightarrow \infty)$ .
- (ii)  $(x_n)$  is Cauchy if and only if  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_m) = 0$ .
- (iii)  $(X, d)$  is complete if and only if every Cauchy sequence in  $X$  is convergent.

**Definition 2.3.** The sequences  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  in a  $b$ -metric space  $(X, d)$  are called Cauchy equivalent if each of them is a Cauchy sequence and  $d(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space. A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is called a Picard operator (abbr., PO) if  $f$  has a unique fixed point  $u \in X$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n x = u$  for all  $x \in X$ .

We next review some basic notions in graph theory.

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. We assume that  $G$  is a directed graph (digraph) with the set  $V(G)$  of its vertices coincides with  $X$  and a set of edges  $E(G)$  contains all the loops, i.e.,  $E(G) \supseteq \Delta$ , where  $\Delta = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$ . We also assume that  $G$  has no parallel edges and so we can identify  $G$  with the pair  $(V(G), E(G))$ .  $G$  may be considered as a weighted graph by assigning to each edge the distance between its vertices. By  $G^{-1}$  we denote the graph obtained from  $G$  by reversing the direction of edges i.e.,  $E(G^{-1}) = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : (y, x) \in E(G)\}$ . We treat  $\tilde{G}$  as a directed graph for which the set of its edges is symmetric. Under this convention,  $E(\tilde{G}) = E(G) \cup E(G^{-1})$ . Our graph theory notations and terminology are standard and can be found in all graph theory books, like [3, 5, 8]. If  $x, y$  are vertices of the digraph  $G$ , then a path in  $G$  from  $x$  to  $y$  of length  $n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is a sequence  $(x_i)_{i=0}^n$  of  $n + 1$  vertices such that  $x_0 = x$ ,  $x_n = y$  and  $(x_{i-1}, x_i) \in E(G)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . A graph  $G$  is connected if there is a path between any two vertices of  $G$ .  $G$  is weakly connected if  $\tilde{G}$  is connected. If  $G$  is such that  $E(G)$  is symmetric and  $x$  is a vertex in  $G$ , then the subgraph  $G_x$  consisting of all edges and vertices which are contained in some path beginning at  $x$  is called the component of  $G$  containing  $x$ . We note that  $V(G_x) = [x]_G$ , where  $[x]_G$  is the equivalence class of the following relation  $R$  defined on  $V(G)$  by the rule:

$$yRz \text{ if there is a path in } G \text{ from } y \text{ to } z.$$

Clearly,  $G_x$  is connected.

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space with the coefficient  $s \geq 1$  and let  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  be a graph. A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is called a Banach  $G$ -contraction or simply  $G$ -contraction if  $f$  preserves edges of  $G$ , i.e.,

$$\forall x, y \in X, ((x, y) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (fx, fy) \in E(G)),$$

and  $f$  decreases weights of edges of  $G$  in the following way: there exists  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{s})$  such that

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \alpha d(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $(x, y) \in E(G)$ .

Any Banach contraction is a  $G_0$ -contraction, where the graph  $G_0$  is defined by  $E(G_0) = X \times X$ . But it is worth mentioning that a Banach  $G$ -contraction need not be a Banach contraction (see Remark 3.9).

**Remark 2.6.** *If  $f$  is a  $G$ -contraction, then  $f$  is both a  $G^{-1}$ -contraction and a  $\tilde{G}$ -contraction.*

**Definition 2.7.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space with the coefficient  $s \geq 1$  and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a given mapping. We say that  $f$  is continuous at  $x_0 \in X$  if for every sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$ , we have  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty \implies fx_n \rightarrow fx_0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $f$  is continuous at each point  $x_0 \in X$ , then we say that  $f$  is continuous on  $X$ .*

**Definition 2.8.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space with the coefficient  $s \geq 1$ . A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is called orbitally continuous if for all  $x, y \in X$  and any sequence  $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of positive integers,*

$$f^{k_n}x \rightarrow y \text{ implies } f(f^{k_n}x) \rightarrow fy \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

**Definition 2.9.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space with the coefficient  $s \geq 1$ . A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is called  $G$ -continuous if given  $x \in X$  and a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,*

$$x_n \rightarrow x \text{ and } (x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G) \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ imply } fx_n \rightarrow fx.$$

**Definition 2.10.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space with the coefficient  $s \geq 1$ . A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is called orbitally  $G$ -continuous if for all  $x, y \in X$  and any sequence  $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of positive integers,*

$$f^{k_n}x \rightarrow y \text{ and } (f^{k_n}x, f^{k_{n+1}}x) \in E(G) \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ imply } f(f^{k_n}x) \rightarrow fy.$$

It is easy to observe the following relations:

continuity  $\implies$  orbital continuity  $\implies$  orbital  $G$ -continuity;  
continuity  $\implies$   $G$ -continuity  $\implies$  orbital  $G$ -continuity.

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section we always assume that  $(X, d)$  is a  $b$ -metric space, and  $G$  is a directed graph such that  $V(G) = X$  and  $E(G) \supseteq \Delta$ .

We begin with the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space with the coefficient  $s \geq 1$  and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a  $G$ -contraction with a constant  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{s})$ . Then, given  $x \in X$  and  $y \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$ , there is  $r(x, y) \geq 0$  such that*

$$d(f^n x, f^n y) \leq \alpha^n r(x, y), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$  and  $y \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$ . Then there is a path  $(x_i)_{i=0}^N$  in  $\tilde{G}$  from  $x$  to  $y$ , i.e.,  $x_0 = x$ ,  $x_N = y$  and  $(x_{i-1}, x_i) \in E(\tilde{G})$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Since  $f$  is a  $G$ -contraction, it is also a  $\tilde{G}$ -contraction. By mathematical induction, we have

$$(f^n x_{i-1}, f^n x_i) \in E(\tilde{G}) \text{ and } d(f^n x_{i-1}, f^n x_i) \leq \alpha^n d(x_{i-1}, x_i)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ .

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^n x, f^n y) &\leq s d(f^n x_0, f^n x_1) + s^2 d(f^n x_1, f^n x_2) + \dots \\ &\quad + s^{N-1} d(f^n x_{N-2}, f^n x_{N-1}) + s^{N-1} d(f^n x_{N-1}, f^n x_N) \\ &\leq \alpha^n \sum_{i=1}^N s^i d(x_{i-1}, x_i), \text{ since } s \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

If we set  $r(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^N s^i d(x_{i-1}, x_i)$ , then

$$d(f^n x, f^n y) \leq \alpha^n r(x, y), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

□

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $b$ -metric space with the coefficient  $s \geq 1$ , and let the triple  $(X, d, G)$  has the following property:*

(\*) *For any sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$ , if  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , then there exists a subsequence  $(x_{k_n})$  of  $(x_n)$  such that  $(x_{k_n}, x) \in E(G)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*

*Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a  $G$ -contraction, and  $X_f = \{x \in X : (x, fx) \in E(G)\}$ . Then,*

- (i) *for any  $x \in X_f$ ,  $f|_{[x]_{\tilde{G}}}$  is a  $\tilde{G}_x$ -contraction and  $f|_{[x]_{\tilde{G}}}$  is a PO.*
- (ii) *if  $X_f \neq \emptyset$  and  $G$  is weakly connected, then  $f$  is a PO.*

*Proof.* (i) Let  $x \in X_f$ . Then  $(x, fx) \in E(G)$  and so  $fx \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$ . Consequently, it follows that  $[x]_{\tilde{G}} = [fx]_{\tilde{G}}$ .

We first show that  $f|_{[x]_{\tilde{G}}}$  is a  $\tilde{G}_x$ -contraction.

Let  $y \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$ . Then there exists a path  $(x_i)_{i=0}^p$  from  $x$  to  $y$  where  $x_0 = x$ ,  $x_p = y$  and  $(x_{i-1}, x_i) \in E(\tilde{G})$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ . Since  $f$  is a  $G$ -contraction, it is also a  $\tilde{G}$ -contraction. Then,  $(x_{i-1}, x_i) \in E(\tilde{G})$  implies  $(fx_{i-1}, fx_i) \in E(\tilde{G})$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ . This proves that  $(fx_i)_{i=0}^p$  is a path in  $\tilde{G}$  from  $fx$  to  $fy$  and hence  $fy \in [fx]_{\tilde{G}} = [x]_{\tilde{G}}$ . Thus,  $y \in [x]_{\tilde{G}} \Rightarrow fy \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$ .

Let  $(y, z) \in E(\tilde{G}_x)$ . By our preceding discussion, we have  $fy, fz \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$ . Since  $y \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$ , there exists a path  $(y_i)_{i=0}^{q-1}$  in  $\tilde{G}$  from  $x$  to  $y$  where  $y_0 = x$ ,  $y_{q-1} = y$ . This combining with  $(y, z) \in E(\tilde{G}_x)$ , there is a path  $(y_i)_{i=0}^q$  in  $\tilde{G}$  from  $x$  to  $z$  where  $y_q = z$ . Let  $(z_i)_{i=0}^r$  be a path in  $\tilde{G}$  from  $x$  to  $fx$  where  $z_0 = x = y_0$ ,  $z_r = fx = fy_0$ . As  $f$  preserves edges of  $\tilde{G}$ ,  $(x, z_1, z_2, \dots, fx, fy_1, \dots, fy_{q-1}, fy_q)$  is a path in  $\tilde{G}$  from  $x$  to  $fz$ . In particular,  $(fy_{q-1}, fy_q) \in E(\tilde{G}_x)$  i.e.,  $(fy, fz) \in E(\tilde{G}_x)$ . Therefore,  $f|_{[x]_{\tilde{G}}}$  is a  $\tilde{G}_x$ -contraction. Since  $fx \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$ , by applying Lemma 3.1, we get

$$d(f^n x, f^{n+1} x) \leq \alpha^n r(x, fx), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.1)$$

For  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m > n$ , using condition (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^n x, f^m x) &\leq s d(f^n x, f^{n+1} x) + s^2 d(f^{n+1} x, f^{n+2} x) + \dots \\ &\quad + s^{m-n-1} d(f^{m-2} x, f^{m-1} x) + s^{m-n-1} d(f^{m-1} x, f^m x) \\ &\leq [s\alpha^n + s^2\alpha^{n+1} + \dots + s^{m-n-1}\alpha^{m-2} + s^{m-n-1}\alpha^{m-1}] r(x, fx) \\ &\leq s\alpha^n [1 + s\alpha + \dots + (s\alpha)^{m-n-2} + (s\alpha)^{m-n-1}] r(x, fx) \\ &\leq \frac{s\alpha^n}{1 - s\alpha} r(x, fx) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m, n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $(f^n x)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $[x]_{\tilde{G}}$ .

If  $y \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$ , then  $fy \in [x]_{\tilde{G}} = [y]_{\tilde{G}}$ . By an argument similar to that used above,  $(f^n y)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $[x]_{\tilde{G}}$ .

Again, by using Lemma 3.1,

$$d(f^n x, f^n y) \leq \alpha^n r(x, y) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence,  $(f^n x)$  and  $(f^n y)$  are Cauchy equivalent. By completeness of  $X$ ,  $(f^n x)$  converges to some  $u \in X$ .

Now,

$$d(f^n y, u) \leq sd(f^n y, f^n x) + sd(f^n x, u)$$

gives that,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n y = u$ . Thus,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n y = u$ , for all  $y \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$ .

As  $f$  is a  $G$ -contraction and  $(x, fx) \in E(G)$ , it follows that  $(f^n x, f^{n+1} x) \in E(G)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By property (\*), there exists a subsequence  $(f^{k_n} x)$  of  $(f^n x)$  such that  $(f^{k_n} x, u) \in E(G)$ . We note that  $(x, fx, f^2 x, \dots, f^{k_1} x, u)$  is a path in  $G$  and hence it is also a path in  $\tilde{G}$  from  $x$  to  $u$ . This proves that  $u \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$ .

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, fu) &\leq sd(u, f^{k_n+1} x) + sd(f^{k_n+1} x, fu) \\ &\leq sd(u, f^{k_n+1} x) + \alpha sd(f^{k_n} x, u) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that,  $d(u, fu) = 0$  i.e.,  $fu = u$ . Thus,  $f|_{[x]_{\tilde{G}}}$  has a fixed point  $u \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$ .

The next is to show that the fixed point is unique. Assume that there is another point  $v \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$  such that  $fv = v$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n y = u$ , for all  $y \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n v = u$  and so,  $v = u$ . Thus,  $f|_{[x]_{\tilde{G}}}$  is a PO.

(ii) If  $G$  is weakly connected, then  $[x]_{\tilde{G}} = X$ . Therefore, it follows from (i) that  $f$  has a unique fixed point  $u$  in  $X$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n x = u$ , for all  $x \in X$ . Thus,  $f$  is a PO.  $\square$

The following corollary is the  $b$ -metric version of Banach Contraction Principle.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $b$ -metric space with the coefficient  $s \geq 1$  and the mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be such that*

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \alpha d(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{s})$  is a constant. Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point  $u$  in  $X$  and  $f^n x \rightarrow u$  for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* The proof can be obtained from Theorem 3.2 by taking  $G = G_0$ , where  $G_0$  is the complete graph  $(X, X \times X)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $b$ -metric space with the coefficient  $s \geq 1$  and let  $\leq$  be a partial ordering on  $X$  such that given  $x, y \in X$ , there is a sequence  $(x_i)_{i=0}^N$  such that  $x_0 = x$ ,  $x_N = y$  and for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ,  $x_{i-1}$  and  $x_i$  are comparable. Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be such that  $f$  preserves comparable elements and*

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \alpha d(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \preceq y$  or  $y \preceq x$  and  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{s})$  is a constant. Assume that the triple  $(X, d, \preceq)$  has the following property:

For any sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$ , if  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $x_n, x_{n+1}$  are comparable for all  $n \geq 1$ , then there exists a subsequence  $(x_{k_n})$  of  $(x_n)$  such that  $x_{k_n}, x$  are comparable for all  $n \geq 1$ .

If there exists  $x_0 \in X$  with  $x_0 \preceq fx_0$  or  $fx_0 \preceq x_0$ , then  $f$  is a PO.

*Proof.* The proof can be obtained from Theorem 3.2 by taking  $G = G_2 = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : x \preceq y \text{ or } y \preceq x\}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $b$ -metric space with the coefficient  $s \geq 1$ , and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a  $G$ -contraction such that  $f$  is orbitally  $G$ -continuous. Let  $X_f = \{x \in X : (x, fx) \in E(G)\}$ . Then,

- (i) for any  $x \in X_f$  and  $y \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$ ,  $(f^n y)$  converges to a fixed point of  $f$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n y$  does not depend on  $y$ .
- (ii) if  $X_f \neq \emptyset$  and  $G$  is weakly connected, then  $f$  is a PO.

*Proof.* (i) Let  $x \in X_f$  i.e.,  $(x, fx) \in E(G)$ . Let  $y \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$ . Then proceeding as in Theorem 3.2, we can show that the sequences  $(f^n x)$  and  $(f^n y)$  are Cauchy equivalent. By completeness of  $X$ ,  $(f^n x)$  converges to some  $u \in X$ .

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^n y, u) &\leq sd(f^n y, f^n x) + sd(f^n x, u) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which gives that,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n y = u$  for all  $y \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$ .

We now show that  $u$  is a fixed point of  $f$ .

Since  $f$  preserves edges of  $G$  and  $(x, fx) \in E(G)$ , it follows that  $(f^n x, f^{n+1} x) \in E(G)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Again,  $f$  being orbitally  $G$ -continuous, we have  $f(f^n x) \rightarrow fu$  which implies that  $fu = u$  since, simultaneously,  $f(f^n x) = f^{n+1} x \rightarrow u$ . Thus,  $(f^n y)$  converges to a fixed point  $u$  of  $f$ .

(ii) If  $x \in X_f$  and  $G$  is weakly connected, then  $[x]_{\tilde{G}} = X$  and so by (i),  $f$  is a PO.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.6.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $b$ -metric space with the coefficient  $s \geq 1$  and let  $\preceq$  be a partial ordering on  $X$  such that given  $x, y \in X$ , there is a sequence  $(x_i)_{i=0}^N$  such that  $x_0 = x$ ,  $x_N = y$  and for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ,  $x_{i-1}$  and  $x_i$  are comparable. Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be an orbitally continuous function such that  $f$  preserves comparable elements and

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \alpha d(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \preceq y$  or  $y \preceq x$  and  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{s})$  is a constant. If there exists  $x_0 \in X$  with  $x_0 \preceq fx_0$  or  $fx_0 \preceq x_0$ , then  $f$  is a PO.

*Proof.* The proof can be obtained from Theorem 3.5 by taking  $G = G_2 = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : x \preceq y \text{ or } y \preceq x\}$ .  $\square$

The following theorem is the  $b$ -metric version of Edelstein theorem.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $b$ -metric space with the coefficient  $s \geq 1$  and  $\epsilon$ -chainable for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , i.e., given  $x, y \in X$ , there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and a sequence  $(x_i)_{i=0}^N$  such that  $x_0 = x$ ,  $x_N = y$  and  $d(x_{i-1}, x_i) < \epsilon$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be such that for all  $x, y \in X$ ,*

$$d(x, y) < \epsilon \Rightarrow d(fx, fy) < \alpha d(x, y) \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{s})$  is a constant. Then  $f$  is a PO.

*Proof.* It follows from condition (3.2) that  $f$  is continuous on  $X$ .

Let  $x \in X$  be arbitrary. If  $fx = x$ , then a fixed point of  $f$  is assured. Therefore, we assume that  $fx \neq x$ . Since  $X$  is  $\epsilon$ -chainable, there exists a sequence  $(x_i)_{i=0}^N$  such that  $x_0 = x$ ,  $x_N = fx$  and  $d(x_{i-1}, x_i) < \epsilon$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ .

By using condition (3.2), we have

$$d(fx_{i-1}, fx_i) < \alpha d(x_{i-1}, x_i) < \alpha\epsilon < \epsilon.$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^2x_{i-1}, f^2x_i) &= d(f(fx_{i-1}), f(fx_i)) \\ &< \alpha d(fx_{i-1}, fx_i) \\ &< \alpha^2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

In general, for any positive integer  $p$ , we get

$$d(f^px_{i-1}, f^px_i) < \alpha^p\epsilon, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^px, f^{p+1}x) &= d(f^px, f^p(fx)) \\ &= d(f^px_0, f^px_N) \\ &\leq sd(f^px_0, f^px_1) + s^2d(f^px_1, f^px_2) + \dots \\ &\quad + s^{N-1}d(f^px_{N-2}, f^px_{N-1}) + s^{N-1}d(f^px_{N-1}, f^px_N) \\ &< (s + s^2 + \dots + s^{N-1} + s^N)\alpha^p\epsilon \\ &= k\alpha^p\epsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where  $k = (s + s^2 + \dots + s^{N-1} + s^N)$ .

For  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m > n$  and using condition (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^nx, f^mx) &\leq sd(f^nx, f^{n+1}x) + s^2d(f^{n+1}x, f^{n+2}x) + \dots \\ &\quad + s^{m-n-1}d(f^{m-2}x, f^{m-1}x) + s^{m-n-1}d(f^{m-1}x, f^mx) \\ &< k\epsilon (s\alpha^n + s^2\alpha^{n+1} + \dots + s^{m-n-1}\alpha^{m-2} + s^{m-n}\alpha^{m-1}) \\ &= k\epsilon s\alpha^n (1 + (s\alpha) + (s\alpha)^2 + \dots + (s\alpha)^{m-n-1}) \\ &< k\epsilon s\alpha^n \frac{1}{1-s\alpha}, \text{ since } s\alpha < 1 \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $(f^nx)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, d)$ . Since  $(X, d)$  is complete,  $(f^nx)$  converges to some point  $u \in X$ . Continuity of  $f$  implies that  $f(f^nx) \rightarrow fu$ . This gives that,  $fu = u$  since, simultaneously,  $f(f^nx) = f^{n+1}x \rightarrow u$ . Thus,  $u$  is a

fixed point of  $f$ .

We now show that  $u$  is the unique fixed point of  $f$ . If possible, suppose that there is another point  $v(\neq u)$  in  $X$  such that  $fv = v$ . Then, by  $\epsilon$ -chainability, there exists a sequence  $(y_i)_{i=0}^r$  such that  $y_0 = u, y_r = v$  and  $d(y_{i-1}, y_i) < \epsilon$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ .

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, v) &= d(f^n u, f^n v) \\ &= d(f^n y_0, f^n y_r) \\ &\leq sd(f^n y_0, f^n y_1) + s^2 d(f^n y_1, f^n y_2) + \dots \\ &\quad + s^{r-1} d(f^n y_{r-2}, f^n y_{r-1}) + s^{r-1} d(f^n y_{r-1}, f^n y_r) \\ &< (s + s^2 + \dots + s^{r-1} + s^r) \alpha^n \epsilon \\ &= k_1 \alpha^n \epsilon, \text{ where } k_1 = (s + s^2 + \dots + s^{r-1} + s^r) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $u = v$ .

We now show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n x = u$  for all  $x \in X$ .

If possible, suppose that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n y = w$  for some  $y \in X$ . Then, by our preceding discussion, it follows that  $w$  is a fixed point of  $f$ . Since  $u$  is the unique fixed point of  $f$ , we must have  $u = w$  and hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n x = u$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Thus,  $f$  is a PO. □

We conclude with some examples in favour of our main result.

**Example 3.8.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and define  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  by  $d(x, y) = |x - y|^2$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $(X, d)$  is a complete  $b$ -metric space with the coefficient  $s = 2$ . Let  $G$  be a directed graph such that  $V(G) = X$  and  $E(G) = \Delta \cup \{(0, \frac{1}{8^n}) : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Any sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  with the property  $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$  must be a constant sequence. Consequently it follows that the triple  $(X, d, G)$  has the property  $(*)$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} fx &= \frac{x}{8}, \text{ if } x \neq \frac{7}{8} \\ &= 1, \text{ if } x = \frac{7}{8}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $(0, \frac{1}{8^n}) \in E(G)$ , we have

$$d\left(f(0), f\left(\frac{1}{8^n}\right)\right) = d\left(0, \frac{1}{8^{n+1}}\right) = \frac{1}{8^{2n+2}} = \frac{1}{64} \cdot \frac{1}{8^{2n}} = \alpha d\left(0, \frac{1}{8^n}\right)$$

where  $\alpha = \frac{1}{64} \in (0, \frac{1}{s})$  is a constant. Also,  $f$  preserves edges of  $G$ . Therefore,  $f$  is a Banach  $G$ -contraction. Clearly,  $0 \in X_f$ . Thus, we have all the conditions of Theorem 3.2 and  $f|_{[0]_{\bar{c}}}$  is a PO.

**Remark 3.9.** In Example 3.8,  $f$  is a Banach  $G$ -contraction with constant  $\alpha = \frac{1}{64}$  but it is not a Banach contraction. In fact, if  $x = \frac{7}{8}, y = 1$ , then

$$d(fx, fy) = d\left(1, \frac{1}{8}\right) = \frac{49}{64} > \alpha \cdot \frac{1}{64} = \alpha d\left(\frac{7}{8}, 1\right)$$

for any  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{s})$ . So,  $f$  is not a Banach contraction.



The next example shows that the property (\*) in Theorem 3.2 is necessary.

**Example 3.10.** Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and define  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  by  $d(x, y) = |x - y|^2$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $(X, d)$  is a complete  $b$ -metric space with the coefficient  $s = 2$ . Let  $G$  be a directed graph such that  $V(G) = X$  and  $E(G) = \{(0, 0)\} \cup \{(x, y) : (x, y) \in (0, 1] \times (0, 1], x \geq y\}$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} fx &= \frac{x}{5}, \text{ if } x \in (0, 1] \\ &= 1, \text{ if } x = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $f$  preserves edges of  $G$ . Moreover, for  $(x, y) \in E(G)$ , we have

$$d(fx, fy) = \frac{1}{25}d(x, y)$$

where  $\alpha = \frac{1}{25} \in (0, \frac{1}{s})$  is a constant. Therefore,  $f$  is a Banach  $G$ -contraction. It is easy to verify that  $X_f = (0, 1]$  and  $f^n x \rightarrow 0$  for all  $x \in X$  but  $f$  has no fixed point. Consequently it follows that for any  $x \in X_f$ ,  $f|_{[x]_{\tilde{G}}}$  is not a PO. We observe that the property (\*) does not hold. In fact,  $(x_n)$  is a sequence in  $X$  with  $x_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  where  $x_n = \frac{1}{n}$ . But there exists no subsequence  $(x_{k_n})$  of  $(x_n)$  such that  $(x_{k_n}, 0) \in E(G)$ .

**Remark 3.11.** In Example 3.10, the graph  $G$  is not weakly connected because there is no path in  $\tilde{G}$  from 0 to 1. Moreover,  $f$  is a Banach  $G$ -contraction with constant  $\alpha = \frac{1}{25}$  but it is not a Banach contraction. In fact, if  $x = 0, y = 1$ , then

$$d(fx, fy) = d(1, \frac{1}{5}) = \frac{16}{25} > \alpha d(0, 1)$$

for any  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{s})$ . So,  $f$  is not a Banach contraction.

**Acknowledgments.** The authors are grateful to the referees for their valuable comments.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] I.A.Bakhtin, The contraction mapping principle in almost metric spaces, Funct. Anal., Gos. Ped. Inst. Unianowsk, 30, 1989, 26-37.
- [2] M. Boriceanu, Strict fixed point theorems for multivalued operators in  $b$ -metric spaces, Int. J. Mod. Math., 4, 2009, 285-301.
- [3] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, Graph theory with applications, American Elsevier Publishing Co., Inc., New York, 1976.
- [4] S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces, Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostrav, 1, 1993, 5-11.
- [5] G. Chartrand, L. Lesniak, and P. Zhang, Graph and digraph, CRC Press, New York, NY, USA, 2011.
- [6] F. Echenique, A short and constructive proof of Tarski's fixed point theorem, Internat. J. Game Theory, 33, 2005, 215-218.
- [7] R. Espinola and W. A. Kirk, Fixed point theorems in R-trees with applications to graph theory, Topology Appl., 153, 2006, 1046-1055.
- [8] J. I. Gross and J. Yellen, Graph theory and its applications, CRC Press, New York, NY, USA, 1999.
- [9] J. Jachymski, The contraction principle for mappings on a metric space with a graph, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 136, 2008, 1359-1373.

SUSHANTA KUMAR MOHANTA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WEST BENGAL STATE UNIVERSITY, BARASAT, 24 PARGANAS (NORTH), KOLKATA 700126, WEST BENGAL, INDIA

*E-mail address:* smwbes@yahoo.in

SHILPA PATRA  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WEST BENGAL STATE UNIVERSITY, BARASAT, 24 PARGANAS  
(NORTH), KOLKATA 700126, WEST BENGAL, INDIA  
*E-mail address:* [shilpapatrabarasat@gmail.com](mailto:shilpapatrabarasat@gmail.com)