

# Relative Projective Dimensions

<sup>1</sup> LULING DUAN AND <sup>2</sup> WEIQING LI

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics and Computer Science,  
Guangxi College of Education, Nanning 530023, Guangxi, P.R. China

<sup>2</sup> Hunan Biancheng High School,

Huayuan 416400, Hunan, P.R. China

<sup>1</sup> duanluling2006@163.com, <sup>2</sup> sdwg001@163.com

<sup>1</sup> Corresponding author

## Abstract

In  $(n, d)$ -ring and  $n$ -coherent ring theory,  $n$ -presented modules plays an important role. In this paper, we firstly give some new characterizations of  $n$ -presented modules and  $n$ -coherent rings. Then, we introduce the concept of  $(n, 0)$ -projective dimension, which measures how far away a finitely generated module is from being  $n$ -presented and how far away a ring is from being Noetherian, for modules and rings. This dimension has nice properties when the ring in question is  $n$ -coherent. Some known results are extended or obtained as corollaries.

2010 Subject Classification:16D10, 16E40

Key words and phrases: Noetherian rings;  $n$ -coherent rings;  $(n, 0)$ -projective module (dimension);  $(n, 0)$ -injective module;  $n$ -presented module

## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper all rings are associative with identity and modules are unitary.  $rD(R)$  stands for the right global dimension of a ring  $R$ .  $pd(M)$ ,  $id(M)$  and  $fd(M)$  denote the projective, injective and flat dimension of an  $R$ -module  $M$ , respectively.

Let  $n \geq 0$  be an integer. Following [2; 3; 11], we call a right  $R$ -module  $P$   $n$ -presented if there exists an exact sequence of right  $R$ -modules

$$F_n \rightarrow F_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$$

where each  $F_i$  is finitely generated free (equivalently projective),  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . An  $R$ -module is 0-presented (resp. 1-presented) if and only if it is finitely generated (resp. finitely presented). Every  $m$ -presented  $R$ -module is  $n$ -presented for  $m \geq n$ . A ring  $R$  is called *right  $n$ -coherent* [3] in case every

$n$ -presented right  $R$ -module is  $(n + 1)$ -presented. It is easy to see that  $R$  is right 0-coherent (resp. 1-coherent) if and only if  $R$  is right Noetherian (resp. coherent), and every  $n$ -coherent ring is  $m$ -coherent for  $m \geq n$ .

Let  $n$  and  $d$  be non-negative integers and  $M$  a right  $R$ -module.  $M$  is called  $(n, d)$ -injective [12] if  $\text{Ext}_R^{d+1}(N, M) = 0$  for any  $n$ -presented right  $R$ -module  $N$ .  $M$  is said to be  $(n, d)$ -projective [8] if  $\text{Ext}_R^{d+1}(M, N) = 0$  for any  $(n, d)$ -injective  $R$ -module  $N$ . It is easy to see that both  $(n, d)$ -injective modules and  $(n, d)$ -projective modules are closed under direct summands and finite direct sums.  $(1, 0)$ -injective (resp.  $(1, 0)$ -projective) modules are also called *FP-injective* (resp. *FP-projective*) modules. It is clear that every  $(n, d)$ -injective (resp.  $(m, d)$ -projective) module is  $(m, d)$ -injective (resp.  $(n, d)$ -projective) for  $m \geq n$ .

In  $(n, d)$ -ring and  $n$ -coherent ring theory (see [2; 3; 8; 12]),  $n$ -presented modules plays an important role. For modules and rings, Mao and Ding [7] defined a dimension, called an *FP-projective dimension*; Ng [15] introduced the concept of finitely presented dimension. In this paper, we introduce a kind of  $n$ -presented dimension of modules and rings.

Let  $n \geq 1$  be a fixed integer. In Section 2, we introduce the concept of  $(n, 0)$ -projective dimension  $npd(M)$  for a right  $R$ -module  $M$ , and the concept of *right  $(n, 0)$ -projective dimension* for a ring  $R$ , which measures how far away a finitely generated right  $R$ -module  $M$  is from being  $n$ -presented, and how far away a ring is from being right Noetherian, respectively. It is shown that a finitely generated right  $R$ -module  $M$  is  $n$ -presented if and only if it is  $(n, 0)$ -projective if and only if  $npd(M) = 0$  (Theorem 2.3);  $R$  is an  $n$ -coherent ring if and only if every  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $R$ -module is  $(n, 1)$ -injective if and only if every  $(n, 1)$ -projective right  $R$ -module is  $(n, 0)$ -projective (Theorem 2.6);  $R$  is a right Noetherian ring if and only if  $rnpd(R) = 0$  if and only if every right  $R$ -module is  $(n, 0)$ -projective if and only if for a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  of right  $R$ -modules, if both  $B$  and  $C$  are finitely generated, then  $A$  is also finitely generated (Corollary 2.7).

Let  $n \geq 1$  be a fixed integer and  $R$  a right  $n$ -coherent ring. In Section 3, we prove that  $rnpd(R) = \sup\{npd(M) : M \text{ is a cyclic right } R\text{-module}\} = \sup\{id(M) : M \text{ is an } (n, 0)\text{-injective right } R\text{-module}\}$  (Theorem 3.4). As corollaries we obtain that  $R$  is right Noetherian if and only if  $rnpd(R) < \infty$  and every injective right  $R$ -module is  $(n, 0)$ -projective if and only if every  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $R$ -module has an  $(n, 0)$ -projective cover with the unique mapping property if and only if every  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $R$ -module has an injective envelope with the unique mapping property (Corollary 3.6). If  $rnpd(R) \leq m$ , then we have that  $R$  is a right  $m$ -coherent ring (Proposition 3.9). Let  $S$  and  $T$  be rings. If  $S \oplus T$  is an right  $n$ -coherent ring, then we get that  $rnpd(S \oplus T) = \sup\{rnpd(S), rnpd(T)\}$  (Theorem 3.14). Let  $R$  be a commutative  $n$ -coherent ring and  $P$  any prime ideal of  $R$ , then  $npd(R_P) \leq npd(R)$ ,

where  $R_P$  is the localization of  $R$  at  $P$  (Theorem 3.18).

## 2 Definition and General Results

Let  $R$  be a ring and  $m \geq 0$  an integer. Mao and Ding [7] defined the *FP-projective dimension*  $fpd(M)$  of a right  $R$ -module  $M$  as  $\inf\{m: Ext_R^{m+1}(M, N) = 0 \text{ for any FP-injective right } R\text{-module } N\}$ , if no such  $m$  exists, set  $fpd(M) = \infty$ ; and the *right FP-projective dimension*  $rfpd(R)$  of  $R$  as  $\sup\{fpd(M): M \text{ is a finitely generated right } R\text{-module}\}$ . We generalize it as follows.

**Definition 2.1** *Let  $m \geq 0$ ,  $n \geq 1$  be integers, and  $R$  a ring. For a right  $R$ -module  $M$ , set  $npd(M) = \inf\{m: Ext_R^{m+1}(M, N) = 0 \text{ for any } (n, 0)\text{-injective right } R\text{-module } N\}$ , called the  $(n, 0)$ -projective dimension of  $M$ . If no such  $m$  exists, set  $npd(M) = \infty$ .*

Put  $rnpd(R) = \sup\{npd(M): M \text{ is a finitely generated right } R\text{-module}\}$ , and call  $rnpd(R)$  the *right  $(n, 0)$ -projective dimension* of  $R$ . The left  $(n, 0)$ -projective dimension  $lnpd(R)$  of  $R$  may be defined similarly. If  $R$  is a commutative ring, we drop the unneeded letters  $r$  and  $l$ .

We list the following lemma proved in [8; Lemma 3.3] for convenient using.

**Lemma 2.2** *([8; Lemma 3.3]) Let  $R$  be a ring,  $n \geq 0$  an integer and  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  a short exact sequence of right  $R$ -modules. If  $C$  is  $(n+1, 0)$ -projective and  $B$  is  $(n, 0)$ -projective, then  $A$  is  $(n, 0)$ -projective.*

It is clear that an  $n$ -presented right  $R$ -module is  $(n, 0)$ -projective. In general, the converse is not true. Glaz (see [4; Theorem 2.1.10]) proved that a finitely generated right  $R$ -module is finitely presented if and only if it is *FP*-projective. We generalize it as the following

**Theorem 2.3** *Let  $n \geq 0$  be a fixed integer and  $R$  a ring. Then the following are equivalent for a finitely generated right  $R$ -module  $P$ .*

- (1)  $P$  is  $n$ -presented.
- (2)  $P$  is  $(n, 0)$ -projective.
- (3)  $npd(P) = 0$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is obvious, and (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) holds by definition.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). We use induction on  $n$ . The case  $n = 0$  is clear, and the case  $n = 1$  has been proven in [4; Theorem 2.1.10]. Assume  $n > 1$ , and  $P$  is  $(n, 0)$ -projective. Then  $P$  is  $(n-1, 0)$ -projective. So  $P$  is  $(n-1, 0)$ -presented by the induction hypothesis. Therefore there exists an exact sequence of right  $R$ -modules

$$F_{n-1} \rightarrow F_{n-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$$

where each  $F_i$  is finitely generated projective (hence  $(m, 0)$ -projective, for any non-negative integer  $m$ ),  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . Write  $K_1 = \ker(F_0 \rightarrow P)$ ,  $K_m = \ker(F_{m-1} \rightarrow F_{m-2})$ ,  $m = 2, 3, \dots, n-1$ . Then we have the following short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K_1 & \longrightarrow & F_0 & \longrightarrow & P \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K_2 & \longrightarrow & F_1 & \longrightarrow & K_1 \longrightarrow 0, \\ & & & & \vdots & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & F_{n-2} & \longrightarrow & K_{n-2} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Note that  $P$  is  $(n, 0)$ -projective and  $F_0$  is  $(n-1, 0)$ -projective, we obtain  $K_1$  is  $(n-1, 0)$ -projective by Lemma 2.2. It follows that  $K_2$  is  $(n-2, 0)$ -projective again by Lemma 2.2. Continuing this way, we see that  $K_{n-1}$  is  $(1, 0)$ -projective. Clearly,  $K_{n-1}$  is finitely generated. Thus  $K_{n-1}$  is finitely presented by [4; Theorem 2.1.10], and hence there exists an exact sequence  $F'_n \rightarrow F'_{n-1} \rightarrow K_{n-1} \rightarrow 0$  with  $F'_n$  and  $F'_{n-1}$  finitely generated projective. So we get an exact sequence

$$F'_n \rightarrow F'_{n-1} \rightarrow F_{n-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows that  $P$  is  $n$ -presented, as required.

The following corollary is well-known.

**Corollary 2.4** *Let  $n \geq 0$  be a fixed integer and  $R$  a ring. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) *Every finitely generated projective right  $R$ -module is  $n$ -presented.*
- (2) *For a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  of right  $R$ -modules, if both  $A$  and  $C$  are  $n$ -presented, then  $B$  is also  $n$ -presented.*
- (3) *If  $B \cong A \oplus C$ , then  $B$  is  $n$ -presented if and only if both  $A$  and  $C$  are  $n$ -presented.*

*Proof.* (1). Note that every projective right  $R$ -module is  $(n, 0)$ -projective. Thus (1) follows from Theorem 2.3.

(2). Since  $A$  and  $C$  are  $n$ -presented, we have both  $A$  and  $C$  are finitely generated and  $(n, 0)$ -projective. Hence  $B$  is also finitely generated and  $(n, 0)$ -projective. Therefore  $B$  is  $n$ -presented by Theorem 2.3.

(3). If  $B \cong A \oplus C$ , then it is easy to see that  $B$  is finitely generated and  $(n, 0)$ -projective if and only if both  $A$  and  $C$  are finitely generated and  $(n, 0)$ -projective. Thus (3) holds by Theorem 2.3, and we complete the proof.

**Corollary 2.5** *Let  $R$  be a ring,  $n \geq 0$  an integer and  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  a short exact sequence of right  $R$ -modules, where  $P$  is finitely generated projective. Then  $K$  is  $n$ -presented if and only if  $M$  is  $(n+1, 0)$ -presented.*

*Proof.* If  $K$  is  $n$ -presented, then clearly  $M$  is  $(n + 1)$ -presented. Conversely, if  $M$  is  $(n + 1)$ -presented (hence  $(n + 1, 0)$ -projective), then it is easy to see that  $K$  is finitely generated. On the other hand,  $K$  is  $(n, 0)$ -projective by Lemma 2.2. It follows that  $K$  is  $n$ -presented from Theorem 2.3.

**Theorem 2.6** *Let  $R$  be a ring, and  $n \geq 0$  a fixed integer. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  is a right  $n$ -coherent ring.
- (2) Every  $(n + 1, 0)$ -injective right  $R$ -module is  $(n, 0)$ -injective.
- (3) Every  $(n, 0)$ -projective right  $R$ -module is  $(n + 1, 0)$ -projective.
- (4) For a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  of right  $R$ -modules with  $B$  finitely generated projective, if  $C$  is  $n$ -presented, then  $A$  is also  $n$ -presented.
- (5) For a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  of right  $R$ -modules, if both  $B$  and  $C$  are  $n$ -presented, then  $A$  is also  $n$ -presented.

If  $n \geq 1$ , then the above conditions are also equivalent to:

- (6) Every  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $R$ -module is  $(n, 1)$ -injective
- (7) Every  $(n, 1)$ -projective right  $R$ -module is  $(n, 0)$ -projective.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). are obvious.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -presented right  $R$ -modules. Then  $M$  is finitely generated and  $(n, 0)$ -projective by Theorem 2.3. Note that  $M$  is  $(n + 1, 0)$ -projective by (3). Thus  $M$  is  $(n + 1)$ -presented again by Theorem 2.3.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $M$  be any  $n$ -presented right  $R$ -module. Then there exists a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  of right  $R$ -modules with  $P$  finitely generated projective and  $K$   $n$ -presented by (4). Hence  $M$  is  $(n + 1)$ -presented by Corollary 2.5, and (1) follows.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (5). If  $C$  is  $n$ -presented, then  $C$  is  $(n + 1)$ -presented by (1). The rest proof is similar to that of Corollary 2.5.

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). By (5), it suffices to show that  $B$  is  $n$ -presented. But this follows from Corollary 2.4.

Now suppose  $n \geq 1$ .

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (6). Let  $M$  be an  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $R$ -module and  $C$  any  $n$ -presented right  $R$ -module. Then we get a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  of right  $R$ -modules with  $B$  finitely generated projective. By (4),  $A$  is  $n$ -presented. Thus,

$$\text{Ext}_R^2(C, M) \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(A, M) = 0.$$

Therefore,  $M$  is  $(n, 1)$ -injective.

(6)  $\Rightarrow$  (7) is easy.

(7)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $P$  be an  $n$ -presented right  $R$ -module. We get a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$  of right  $R$ -modules with  $F$  finitely generated

projective and  $K$  finitely generated. For any  $(n, 1)$ -injective right  $R$ -module  $M$ , we have

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(K, M) \cong \text{Ext}_R^2(P, M) = 0.$$

So  $K$  is  $(n, 1)$ -projective and hence  $(n, 0)$ -projective by (7). Thus,  $K$  is  $n$ -presented by Theorem 2.3. Therefore,  $P$  is  $(n + 1)$ -presented and (1) holds.

It is well known that a ring  $R$  is right Noetherian if and only if every right  $R$ -module is  $FP$ -projective if and only if  $\text{rfpD}(R) = 0$  (see [7; Proposition 2.6]). Now, we have the following

**Corollary 2.7** *Let  $n \geq 1$  be a fixed integer. Then the following are equivalent for a ring  $R$ :*

- (1)  $R$  is right Noetherian.
- (2)  $\text{rnpD}(R) = 0$ .
- (3) Every finitely generated right  $R$ -module is  $n$ -presented.
- (4) Every  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $R$ -module is injective.
- (5) Every right  $R$ -module is  $(n, 0)$ -projective.
- (6) Every finitely generated right  $R$ -module is  $(n, 0)$ -projective.
- (7) Every cyclic right  $R$ -module is  $(n, 0)$ -projective.
- (8) For a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  of right  $R$ -modules, if both  $B$  and  $C$  are finitely generated, then  $A$  is also finitely generated.

*If  $R$  is right  $n$ -coherent, then the above conditions are also equivalent to:*

- (9) Every  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $R$ -module is  $(n, 0)$ -projective.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) and (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (6)  $\Rightarrow$  (7) are trivial.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5) Let  $M$  be any right  $R$ -module and  $N$  any  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $R$ -module. Then  $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N) = 0$  since  $N$  is injective by (4). Hence  $M$  is  $(n, 0)$ -projective.

(7)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). Let  $N$  be any  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $R$ -module, and  $I$  any right ideal of  $R$ . By (7),  $R/I$  is  $(n, 0)$ -projective. So  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, N) = 0$ . That is,  $N$  is injective.

(2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (6) holds by definition, (3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (6) holds by Theorem 2.3, (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (8) holds by Theorem 2.6, and (4)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (9) has been proven in [8; Proposition 4.10].

**Corollary 2.8** *Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer and  $R$  a ring. If  $\text{rnpD}(R) \leq 1$ , then  $\text{rnpD}(R) = \text{rfpD}(R)$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from the fact that  $\text{rnpD}(R) = 0$  if and only if  $\text{rfpD}(R) = 0$  by Corollary 2.7 and [7; Proposition 2.6].

**Remark 2.9** (1) *From Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.7, we see that  $\text{npd}(M)$  measures how far away a finitely generated right  $R$ -module  $M$  is from being*

$n$ -presented, and  $\text{rnpD}(R)$  measures how far away a ring is from being right Noetherian.

(2) It is clear that  $\text{fpd}(M) \leq \text{npd}(M) \leq \text{pd}(M)$ , and  $\text{rfpd}(R) \leq \text{rnpD}(R) \leq \text{rD}(R)$ . Since  $\text{rfpd}(R) = \text{rD}(R)$  if and only if  $R$  is von Neumann regular [7; Remarks 2.2], we have  $\text{rfpd}(R) = \text{rnpD}(R) = \text{rD}(R)$  if and only if  $R$  is von Neumann regular. It is also easy to see that  $\text{rnpD}(R) = \text{rD}(R)$  if and only if  $R$  is a right  $(n, 0)$ -ring (see [12; Definition 2.5]).

(3) It is known that a right Noetherian ring need not be left Noetherian, so  $\text{rnpD}(R) \neq \text{lnpD}(R)$  in general.

(4) The equivalence of (1) through (3) in Theorem 2.6 has been proven in [8; Theorem 4.1]. Here we prove the equivalence in a different way.

(5) If  $n = 1$ , then Theorem 2.6 is just some characterizations of coherent rings.

Recall that a ring  $R$  is called right self- $(n, 0)$ -injective in case  $R_R$  is  $(n, 0)$ -injective. Stenström proved that if  $R$  is right coherent and right self- $FP$ -injective, then every flat right  $R$ -module is  $FP$ -injective (see [9; Lemma 4.1]). We generalize it as the following

**Proposition 2.10** *Let  $n \geq 1$  be a fixed integer. If  $R$  is a right  $n$ -coherent and right self- $(n, 0)$ -injective ring, then every flat right  $R$ -module is  $(n, 0)$ -injective.*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be a flat right  $R$ -module. Then, by [16; Theorem 4.85], we get a pure short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  where  $F \cong \bigoplus_I R$  for a set  $I$ . Since  $R$  is right  $n$ -coherent and right self- $(n, 0)$ -injective, we have  $F$  is  $(n, 0)$ -injective by [12; Lemma 2.9]. Hence we obtain the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, K) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(N, K) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(N, F) = 0$$

for any  $n$ -presented (hence finitely presented) right  $R$ -module  $N$ . It follows that  $\text{Ext}_R^1(N, K) = 0$ , and so  $K$  is  $(n, 0)$ -injective. Note that  $R$  is right  $n$ -coherent, we have  $M$  is  $(n, 0)$ -injective by [8; Theorem 4.1], as desired.

### 3 $(n, 0)$ -Projective Dimensions over $n$ -Coherent Rings

**Proposition 3.1** *Let  $n \geq 1$ ,  $m \geq 0$  be integers. If  $R$  is a right  $n$ -coherent ring, then the following are equivalent for a right  $R$ -module  $M$ :*

- (1)  $\text{npd}(M) \leq m$ .
- (2)  $\text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(M, N) = 0$  for any  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $R$ -module  $N$ .

(3)  $Ext_R^{m+j}(M, N) = 0$  for any  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $R$ -module  $N$  and  $j \geq 1$ .

(4) There exists an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow P_m \rightarrow P_{m-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ , where each  $P_i$  is  $(n, 0)$ -projective.

(5) If  $\cdots \rightarrow P_{m-1} \rightarrow P_{m-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  is a projective resolution of  $M$ , then  $\ker(P_{m-1} \rightarrow P_{m-2})$  is  $(n, 0)$ -projective.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). We use induction on  $m$ . The case  $m = 0$  is clear. Let  $m \geq 1$ . If  $\text{npd}(M) = m$ , then (2) holds by definition. Suppose  $\text{npd}(M) \leq m - 1$ . For any  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $R$ -module  $N$ , the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$  with  $E$  injective induces an exact sequence

$$Ext_R^m(M, L) \rightarrow Ext_R^{m+1}(M, N) \rightarrow Ext_R^{m+1}(M, E) = 0.$$

Since  $R$  is  $n$ -coherent, we get  $L$  is  $(n, 0)$ -injective by [8; Theorem 4.1]. So  $Ext_R^m(M, L) = 0$  by the induction hypothesis. It follows that  $Ext_R^{m+1}(M, N) = 0$ , as desired.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Using induction on  $j$ , the proof is similar to that of (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2).

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1), and (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) are obvious.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Write  $K_1 = \ker(P_0 \rightarrow M)$ ,  $K_i = \ker(P_{i-1} \rightarrow P_{i-2})$ ,  $i = 2, 3, \dots, m - 1$ . Then we have the following short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K_1 & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K_2 & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \longrightarrow & K_1 \longrightarrow 0, \\ & & & & \vdots & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & P_m & \longrightarrow & P_{m-1} & \longrightarrow & K_{m-1} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

From the bottom exact sequence, we get the exactness of the sequence

$$0 = Ext_R^1(P_m, N) \rightarrow Ext_R^2(K_{m-1}, N) \rightarrow Ext_R^2(P_{m-1}, N)$$

for any  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $R$ -module  $N$ . Since  $P_{m-1}$  is  $(n, 0)$ -projective, using an argument similar to that of (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2), we get  $Ext_R^2(P_{m-1}, N) = 0$ . Hence  $Ext_R^2(K_{m-1}, N) = 0$ . Continuing this way, we obtain  $Ext_R^{m+1}(M, N) = 0$ . Thus (2) holds.

**Proposition 3.2** *Let  $R$  be a right  $n$ -coherent ring ( $n \geq 1$ ) and  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  a short exact sequence of right  $R$ -modules. Then the following are true:*

- (1) *If two of  $\text{npd}(A)$ ,  $\text{npd}(B)$  and  $\text{npd}(C)$  are finite, so is the third.*
- (2)  *$\text{npd}(A) \leq \sup\{\text{npd}(B), \text{npd}(C) - 1\}$ .*
- (3)  *$\text{npd}(B) \leq \sup\{\text{npd}(A), \text{npd}(C)\}$ .*
- (4)  *$\text{npd}(C) \leq \sup\{\text{npd}(B), \text{npd}(A) + 1\}$ .*
- (5) *If  $B$  is  $(n, 0)$ -projective and  $0 < \text{npd}(A) < \infty$ , then  $\text{npd}(C) = \text{npd}(A) + 1$ .*



*Proof.* Easy to verify by Proposition 3.1.

**Corollary 3.3** *Let  $R$  be a right  $n$ -coherent ring ( $n \geq 1$ ),  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  right  $R$ -modules. If  $B \cong A \oplus C$ , then  $\text{npd}(B) = \sup\{\text{npd}(A), \text{npd}(C)\}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $B \cong A \oplus C$ , we get two short exact sequences  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  and  $0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow B \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ . By Proposition 3.2 (3), it is enough to show that  $\text{npd}(B) \geq \sup\{\text{npd}(A), \text{npd}(C)\}$ . Suppose  $\text{npd}(B) < \sup\{\text{npd}(A), \text{npd}(C)\}$ , then  $\text{npd}(B) < \text{npd}(A)$  or  $\text{npd}(B) < \text{npd}(C)$ . We may assume  $\text{npd}(B) < \text{npd}(A)$ . By Proposition 3.2 (2),  $\text{npd}(C) \leq \sup\{\text{npd}(B), \text{npd}(A) - 1\}$ . So  $\text{npd}(C) \leq \text{npd}(A) - 1$ , that is,  $\text{npd}(C) < \text{npd}(A)$ . In addition, also by Proposition 3.2 (2), we have  $\text{npd}(A) \leq \sup\{\text{npd}(B), \text{npd}(C) - 1\}$ . Hence  $\text{npd}(A) \leq \text{npd}(C) - 1$ , since  $\text{npd}(B) < \text{npd}(A)$ , and so  $\text{npd}(A) < \text{npd}(C)$ , a contradiction.

Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module. Recall that a homomorphism  $\phi : M \rightarrow F$  where  $F$  is a right  $(n, 0)$ -injective  $R$ -module, is called an  $(n, 0)$ -injective preenvelope [5] of  $M$  if for any homomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow F'$  with  $F'$  is  $(n, 0)$ -injective, there is a homomorphism  $g : F \rightarrow F'$  such that  $g\phi = f$ . Moreover, if the only such  $g$  are automorphism of  $F$  when  $F' = F$  and  $f = \phi$ , then the  $(n, 0)$ -injective preenvelope  $\phi$  is called an  $(n, 0)$ -injective envelope. A monomorphic  $(n, 0)$ -injective preenvelope  $\phi$  is said to be special [6; Definition 7.1.6] if  $\text{coker}\phi$  is  $(n, 0)$ -projective.  $(n, 0)$ -projective (pre)covers and special  $(n, 0)$ -projective precovers can be defined dually. It is proved that every right  $R$ -module has a special  $(n, 0)$ -projective precover and a special  $(n, 0)$ -injective preenvelope (see [8; Theorem 3.9]).

**Theorem 3.4** *Let  $R$  be a right  $n$ -coherent ring ( $n \geq 1$ ), then the following are identical:*

- (1)  $\text{rnpd}(R)$
- (2)  $\sup\{\text{npd}(M) : M \text{ is a cyclic right } R\text{-module}\}$
- (3)  $\sup\{\text{npd}(M) : M \text{ is any right } R\text{-module}\}$
- (4)  $\sup\{\text{npd}(M) : M \text{ is an } (n, 0)\text{-injective right } R\text{-module}\}$
- (5)  $\sup\{\text{id}(M) : M \text{ is an } (n, 0)\text{-injective right } R\text{-module}\}$

*Proof.* (1)  $\leq$  (2). We may assume  $\sup\{\text{npd}(M) : M \text{ is a cyclic right } R\text{-module}\} = m < \infty$ . Let  $A$  be any finitely generated right  $R$ -module. We use induction on the number of generators of  $A$ . If  $A$  has  $l$  generators, let  $A'$  be a submodule generated by one of these generators. Then both  $A/A'$  and  $A'$  are finitely generated on less than  $l$  generators. Let  $N$  be any  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $R$ -module. Consider the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/A' \rightarrow 0$  which induces an exact sequence

$$\text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(A/A', N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(A, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(A', N)$$

where

$$\text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(A/A', N) = \text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(A', N) = 0$$

by induction hypothesis. Thus  $\text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(A, N) = 0$ . So  $\text{npd}(A) \leq m$ .

(2)  $\leq$  (3) is clear.

(3)  $\leq$  (4). We may assume  $\sup\{\text{npd}(M): M \text{ is an } (n, 0)\text{-injective right } R\text{-module}\} = m < \infty$ . Let  $A$  be any right  $R$ -module, then  $A$  has a special  $(n, 0)$ -injective preenvelope by [8; Theorem 3.9], that is, there exists a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$  with  $E$   $(n, 0)$ -injective and  $L$   $(n, 0)$ -projective. Therefore,  $\text{npd}(A) \leq \text{npd}(E) \leq m$  by Proposition 3.2.

(4)  $\leq$  (5). We may assume  $\sup\{\text{id}(M): M \text{ is an } (n, 0)\text{-injective right } R\text{-module}\} = m < \infty$ . Let  $A$  and  $B$  be any  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $R$ -modules. Then  $\text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(A, B) = 0$  since  $\text{id}(B) \leq m$ . So  $\text{npd}(A) \leq m$  by Proposition 3.1.

(5)  $\leq$  (1). We may assume  $\text{rnpD}(R) = m < \infty$ . Let  $M$  be an  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $R$ -module. Then  $\text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(R/I, M) = 0$  for any right ideal  $I$  of  $R$  since  $\text{npd}(R/I) \leq m$  by hypothesis. Hence  $\text{id}(M) \leq m$ , this completes the proof.

**Corollary 3.5** *Let  $n \geq 1$  be a fixed integer. Then the following are equivalent for a right  $n$ -coherent ring  $R$ :*

- (1)  $\text{rnpD}(R) \leq m$ .
- (2)  $\text{npd}(M) \leq m$  for any  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $R$ -module  $M$ .
- (3)  $\text{npd}(M) \leq m$  for any injective right  $R$ -module  $M$ , and  $\text{rnpD}(R) < \infty$ .
- (4)  $\text{id}(M) \leq m$  for any  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $R$ -module  $M$ .
- (5)  $\text{id}(M) \leq m$  for all right  $R$ -module  $M$  that are both  $(n, 0)$ -injective and  $(n, 0)$ -projective, and  $\text{rnpD}(R) < \infty$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4) holds by Theorem 3.4. (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) and (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5) are clear.

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). Let  $M$  be any  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $R$ -module. By (5) and Theorem 3.4 (4),  $\text{npd}(M) = m$  for a non-negative integer  $m$ . Note that every right  $R$ -module has a special  $(n, 0)$ -projective precover by [8; Theorem 3.9], we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P_m \rightarrow P_{m-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

where each  $P_t$  is both  $(n, 0)$ -projective and  $(n, 0)$ -injective,  $t = 0, 1, \dots, m$ . Hence  $\text{id}(P_t) \leq m$  by (5),  $t = 0, 1, \dots, m$ . So  $\text{id}(M) \leq m$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $M$  be any  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $R$ -module. By (3) and Theorem 3.4 (5),  $\text{id}(M) = t$  for a non-negative integer  $t$ . Hence we get an injective resolution of  $M$ :

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E^0 \rightarrow E^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E^{t-1} \rightarrow E^t \rightarrow 0.$$

By (3),  $\text{npd}(E^i) \leq m$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, t$ . Hence we have  $\text{npd}(M) \leq m$  by Proposition 3.2, as desired.

Recall that an injective envelope  $\phi : M \rightarrow E(M)$  of  $M$  has the *unique mapping property* [13] if for any homomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow A$  with  $A$  injective, there is a unique homomorphism  $g : E(M) \rightarrow A$  such that  $g\phi = f$ . The concept of an  $(n, 0)$ -projective cover with the unique mapping property can be defined similarly.

**Corollary 3.6** *Let  $n \geq 1$  be a fixed integer. Then the following are equivalent for a right  $n$ -coherent ring  $R$ :*

- (1)  $R$  is right Noetherian.
- (2)  $\text{rnpD}(R) < \infty$  and every injective right  $R$ -module is  $(n, 0)$ -projective.
- (3) Every  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $R$ -module is  $(n, 0)$ -projective.
- (4) Every  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $R$ -module has an  $(n, 0)$ -projective cover with the unique mapping property.
- (5) Every  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $R$ -module has an injective envelope with the unique mapping property.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) holds by Corollary 3.5 and Corollary 2.7.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) and (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (5). Let  $M$  be any  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $R$ -module. Then  $M$  is  $(n, 0)$ -projective and injective, since  $R$  is right Noetherian by (1). Thus (4) and (5) follows.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). For any  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $R$ -module  $M$ , let  $g : P \rightarrow M$  be the  $(n, 0)$ -projective cover of  $M$  with the unique mapping property, where  $P$  is  $(n, 0)$ -projective. Write  $K = \ker g$ . Then  $K$  is  $(n, 0)$ -injective by [6; Corollary 7.2.3] and [8; Theorem 3.9]. Hence there exists an  $(n, 0)$ -projective cover  $f : P' \rightarrow K$  of  $K$  by (4). So, we obtain the following exact commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & P' & & & \\
 & & & \swarrow f & \downarrow if & \searrow & 0 \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{i} & P & \xrightarrow{g} & M \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

Since  $g(if) = 0$ , we have  $if = 0$  by (4). Whence  $K = \text{Im} f \subseteq \ker(i) = 0$ , that is,  $M$  is  $(n, 0)$ -projective.

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $M$  be any  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $R$ -module. By Corollary 2.7, we need only to show that  $M$  is injective. Let  $f : M \rightarrow E$  be the injective envelope of  $M$  with the unique mapping property. Write  $L = \text{coker} f$ . Since  $R$  is  $n$ -coherent,  $L$  is  $(n, 0)$ -injective by [8; Theorem 4.1]. So there exists an injective envelope  $g : L \rightarrow E'$  of  $L$  by (5). Therefore we get the following exact commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{f} & E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & L \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & \searrow 0 & \downarrow g\pi & \swarrow & g
 \end{array}$$

Since  $(g\pi)f = 0$ , we have  $g\pi = 0$  by (5). Hence  $L = \text{Im}\pi \subseteq \ker(g) = 0$ . So  $M$  is injective. This completes the proof.

Recall that a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  is said to be  $n$ -pure [8] if  $\text{Hom}(M, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, C) \rightarrow 0$  is exact for any  $n$ -presented module  $M$ . A submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is called an  $n$ -pure submodule if the sequence  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/N \rightarrow 0$  is  $n$ -pure.

**Proposition 3.7** *Let  $n \geq 1$  be a fixed integer and  $R$  a right  $n$ -coherent ring. Observe the following statements:*

- (1)  $\text{rnp}D(R) \leq 1$ .
- (2) For any  $n$ -pure submodule  $N$  of an injective right  $R$ -module  $E$ , the quotient  $E/N$  is injective (i.e.,  $\text{id}(N) \leq 1$ ).
- (3) Every submodule of an  $(n, 0)$ -projective right  $R$ -module is  $(n, 0)$ -projective.
- (4) Every right ideal of  $R$  is  $(n, 0)$ -projective.
- (5) For any pure submodule  $N$  of an injective right  $R$ -module  $E$ , the quotient  $E/N$  is injective.
- (6) Every submodule of an  $FP$ -projective right  $R$ -module is  $FP$ -projective.
- (7) Every right ideal of  $R$  is  $FP$ -projective.

Then: (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4) and (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (6)  $\Rightarrow$  (7).

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $N$  be an  $n$ -pure submodule of an injective right  $R$ -module  $E$ . Then it is easy to see that  $N$  is  $(n, 0)$ -injective. Hence  $\text{id}(N) \leq 1$  by Theorem 3.4 (5). So the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \rightarrow E/N \rightarrow 0$  implies that  $E/N$  is injective.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Let  $L$  be any  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $R$ -module. Then it is clear that  $L$  is an  $n$ -pure submodule of its injective envelope  $E(L)$ , and hence  $\text{id}(L) \leq 1$  by (2). If  $N$  is a submodule of an  $(n, 0)$ -projective right  $R$ -module  $M$ , then the exactness of the sequence

$$0 = \text{Ext}_R^1(M, L) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(N, L) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(M/N, L) = 0$$

implies that  $\text{Ext}_R^1(N, L) = 0$ , and so  $N$  is  $(n, 0)$ -projective.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . The exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0$  implies that  $\text{npd}(R/I) \leq 1$  by Proposition 3.1. So (1) holds by Theorem 3.4 (2).

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (5). It is easy to verify that every pure right  $R$ -module is  $n$ -pure. So (5) follows.

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (6) is similar to that of (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3), (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) and (6)  $\Rightarrow$  (7) are trivial.

It is known that if  $R$  is a right coherent ring, then  $\text{fd}(M) = \text{pd}(M)$  for any finitely present right  $R$ -module  $M$  (see [10; Lemma 5]). Mao and Ding (see [7; Proposition 4.1]) proved that if  $R$  is also self- $FP$ -injective, then  $\text{fd}(M) = \text{pd}(M)$  for any  $FP$ -projective right  $R$ -module  $M$ . Here we have the following

**Proposition 3.8** *Let  $n$  be a fixed positive integer. If  $R$  is a right  $n$ -coherent and right self- $(n, 0)$ -injective ring, then  $fd(M) = pd(M)$  for any  $(n, 0)$ -projective right  $R$ -module  $M$ .*

*Proof.* It is enough to show that  $fd(M) \geq pd(M)$ . We may assume that  $fd(M) = m < \infty$ . Then there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F_m \rightarrow P_{m-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

with  $P_0, P_1, \dots, P_{m-1}$  projective and  $F_m$  flat. Consider the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow F_m \rightarrow 0$  where  $P$  is projective. By [16; Theorem 4.85], the short exact sequence above is pure, and hence  $n$ -pure. By Proposition 2.10,  $P$  is  $(n, 0)$ -injective. So  $K$  is  $(n, 0)$ -injective by [8; Proposition 3.6]. Since  $M$  is  $(n, 0)$ -projective, so is  $F_m$ . Thus the exactness of the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F_m, K) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P, K) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(K, K) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(F_m, K) = 0$$

implies that the sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow F_m \rightarrow 0$  is split exact, and so  $F_m$  is projective, that is,  $pd(M) \leq m$ . This completes the proof.

**Proposition 3.9** *Let  $n \geq 1$  be a fixed integer and  $R$  a right  $n$ -coherent ring. If  $rnpD(R) \leq m$ , then  $R$  is a right  $m$ -coherent ring.*

*Proof.* The case  $m = 0$  holds by Corollary 2.7. Suppose  $m \geq 1$ . Let  $M$  be an  $m$ -presented right  $R$ -module, then  $M$  has a free resolution

$$F_m \rightarrow F_{m-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

with each  $F_i$  finitely generated free. Write  $K_m = \ker(F_{m-1} \rightarrow F_{m-2})$ , then

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(K_m, N) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(M, N) = 0$$

for any  $FP$ -injective right  $R$ -module  $N$ , since  $rnpD(R) \leq m$  and every  $FP$ -injective right  $R$ -module is  $(n, 0)$ -injective. Note that  $K_m$  is finitely generated. We obtain  $K_m$  is finitely presented by Theorem 2.3. This implies that  $M$  is  $(m+1)$ -presented, and so  $R$  is a right  $m$ -coherent ring.

To prove the next main result, we need four lemmas.

**Lemma 3.10** *Let  $f: R \rightarrow S$  be a surjective ring homomorphism. If  $M_S$  is a right  $S$ -module (hence a right  $R$ -module) and  $A_R$  is a right  $R$ -module, then the following statements hold:*

- (1)  $M \otimes_R S_S \cong M_S$ .
- (2) If  $A_R$  is a finitely generated right  $R$ -module, then  $A \otimes_R S_S$  is a finitely generated right  $S$ -module.
- (3)  $M_S$  is a finitely generated right  $S$ -module if and only if  $M_R$  is a finitely generated right  $R$ -module.

*Proof.* (1). Easy.

(2). Clearly,  $S$  is a cyclic  $R$ -module. Suppose  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are generators of  $A$ . Then it is easy to verify that  $x_1 \otimes 1_S, x_2 \otimes 1_S, \dots, x_n \otimes 1_S$  are generators of  $A \otimes_R S_S$ , where  $1_S$  denotes the identity of  $S$ . Thus  $A \otimes_R S_S$  is a finitely generated right  $S$ -module.

(3). If  $M_S$  is a finitely generated right  $S$ -module, and suppose  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are generators of  $M$ , then  $M = x_1S + x_2S + \dots + x_nS$ . So  $M = x_1R + x_2R + \dots + x_nR$  since  $f: R \rightarrow S$  is surjective. Hence  $M_R$  is a finitely generated right  $R$ -module. The converse holds by (1) and (2).

**Lemma 3.11** *Let  $f: R \rightarrow S$  be a surjective ring homomorphism,  $n$  a non-negative integer, and  $M$  a right  $S$ -module. If both  $S_R$  and  ${}_R S$  are projective, then  $M_S$  is an  $n$ -presented right  $S$ -module if and only if  $M_R$  is an  $n$ -presented right  $R$ -module. (Note that the case  $n = 1$  has been proven in [7; Lemma 3.13].)*

*Proof.* The case  $n = 0$  follows by Lemma 3.10. So next we assume  $n > 0$ .

“ $\Rightarrow$ ”. Suppose  $M$  is an  $n$ -presented right  $S$ -module. Then there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

of right  $S$ -modules with  $K$  finitely generated, and  $P_i$  finitely generated projective,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . By Lemma 3.10, each  $P_i$  and  $K$  are finitely generated right  $R$ -modules. Since  $S_R$  is projective, we have each  $P_i$  is a projective right  $R$ -module. So,  $M$  is an  $n$ -presented right  $R$ -module.

“ $\Leftarrow$ ”. Assume  $M$  is an  $n$ -presented right  $R$ -module. Then there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

of right  $R$ -modules with  $K$  finitely generated, and  $P_i$  finitely generated projective,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . Since  ${}_R S$  is projective, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \otimes_R S_S \rightarrow P_{n-1} \otimes_R S_S \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_1 \otimes_R S_S \rightarrow P_0 \otimes_R S_S \rightarrow M \otimes_R S_S \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. By Lemma 3.10,  $M \otimes_R S_S \cong M_S$ , and both  $K \otimes_R S_S$  and each  $P_i \otimes_R S_S$  are finitely generated  $S$ -modules. Since each  $P_i$  is a projective right  $R$ -module, we have each  $P_i \otimes_R S_S$  is a projective right  $S$ -module. So  $M$  is an  $n$ -presented right  $S$ -module.

Let  $n$  and  $d$  be non-negative integers. Recall that a left  $R$ -module  $A$  is called  $(n, d)$ -flat [12], in case  $\text{Tor}_{d+1}^R(B, A) = 0$  for any  $n$ -presented right  $R$ -module  $B$ .

**Lemma 3.12** *Let  $f: R \rightarrow S$  be a surjective ring homomorphism,  $M_S$  a right  $S$ -module and  ${}_S A$  a left  $S$ -module. If both  $S_R$  and  ${}_R S$  are projective, then the following statements hold for any non-negative integers  $n$  and  $d$ :*

- (1)  $M_S$  is an  $(n, d)$ -injective right  $S$ -module if and only if  $M_R$  is an  $(n, d)$ -injective right  $R$ -module.
- (2)  ${}_S A$  is an  $(n, d)$ -flat left  $S$ -module if and only if  ${}_R A$  is an  $(n, d)$ -flat left  $R$ -module.
- (3) If  $R$  is a right  $n$ -coherent ring, then  $S$  is a right  $n$ -coherent ring.

*Proof.* (1). “ $\Rightarrow$ ”. Suppose  $M_S$  is an  $(n, d)$ -injective right  $S$ -module. Let  $N_R$  be any  $n$ -presented right  $R$ -module. Then, using an argument similar to that in Lemma 3.11, we get that  $N \otimes_R S_S$  is an  $n$ -presented right  $S$ -module. By [14; Theorem 11.65], we have

$$\text{Ext}_R^{d+1}(N_R, M_R) \cong \text{Ext}_S^{d+1}(N \otimes_R S_S, M_S) = 0.$$

Therefore  $M_R$  is an  $(n, d)$ -injective right  $R$ -module.

“ $\Leftarrow$ ”. Assume  $M_R$  is an  $(n, d)$ -injective right  $R$ -module. Let  $N_S$  be any  $n$ -presented right  $S$ -module. Then  $N \otimes_R S_S \cong N_S$  by Lemma 3.10 and  $N_R$  is an  $n$ -presented right  $R$ -module by Lemma 3.11. Again by [14; Theorem 11.65], we have

$$\text{Ext}_S^{d+1}(N_S, M_S) \cong \text{Ext}_S^{d+1}(N \otimes_R S_S, M_S) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{d+1}(N_R, M_R) = 0.$$

Therefore  $M_S$  is an  $(n, d)$ -injective right  $S$ -module.

(2). “ $\Rightarrow$ ”. If  ${}_S A$  is an  $(n, d)$ -flat left  $S$ -module. Let  $B_R$  be any  $n$ -presented right  $R$ -module. Then  $B \otimes_R S_S$  is an  $n$ -presented right  $S$ -module. By [14; Corollary 11.63], we have

$$\text{Tor}_{d+1}^R(B_{R,R} A) \cong \text{Tor}_{d+1}^S(B \otimes_R S_{S,S} A) = 0.$$

Therefore  ${}_R A$  is an  $(n, d)$ -flat left  $R$ -module.

“ $\Leftarrow$ ”. If  ${}_R A$  is an  $(n, d)$ -flat left  $R$ -module. Let  $B_S$  be any  $n$ -presented right  $R$ -module. Then  $B \otimes_R S_S \cong B_S$  by Lemma 3.10 and  $B_R$  is an  $n$ -presented right  $R$ -module by Lemma 3.11. By [14; Corollary 11.63], we have

$$\text{Tor}_{d+1}^S(B_{S,S} A) \cong \text{Tor}_{d+1}^S(B \otimes_R S_{S,S} A) \cong \text{Tor}_{d+1}^R(B_{R,R} A) = 0.$$

Therefore  ${}_S A$  is an  $(n, d)$ -flat left  $S$ -module.

(3). Let  $M_S$  be an  $n$ -presented right  $R$ -module, then  $M_R$  is an  $n$ -presented right  $R$ -module by Lemma 3.11. Thus  $M_R$  is an  $(n+1)$ -presented right  $R$ -module since  $R$  is a right  $n$ -coherent ring. Therefore  $M_S$  is an  $(n+1)$ -presented right  $S$ -module again by Lemma 3.11, and so  $S$  is a right  $n$ -coherent ring.

We list the following lemma proved in [7; Lemma 3.14] for convenient using.

**Lemma 3.13** ([7; Lemma 3.14]). *Let  $R$  and  $S$  be rings. Every right  $(R \oplus S)$ -module has a unique decomposition that  $M = A \oplus B$ , where  $A = M(R, 0)$  is a right  $R$ -module and  $B = M(0, S)$  is a right  $S$ -module via  $xr = x(r, 0)$  for  $x \in A$ ,  $r \in R$ , and  $ys = y(0, s)$  for  $y \in B$ ,  $s \in S$ .*

We are now in a position to prove the following main result.

**Theorem 3.14** *Let  $S$  and  $T$  be rings, and  $n \geq 1$  a fixed integer. If  $S \oplus T$  is a right  $n$ -coherent ring, then*

$$\text{rnp}D(S \oplus T) = \sup\{\text{rnp}D(S), \text{rnp}D(T)\}$$

*Proof.* For convenience, we write  $R = S \oplus T$ . Since  $R$  is a right  $n$ -coherent ring, we have both  $S$  and  $T$  are right  $n$ -coherent rings by Lemma 3.12.

We first show that  $\text{rnp}D(R) \leq \sup\{\text{rnp}D(S), \text{rnp}D(T)\}$ . We may assume  $\sup\{\text{rnp}D(S), \text{rnp}D(T)\} = m < \infty$ . Let  $M$  be a right  $(R)$ -module and  $N$  any  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $(R)$ -module. Then  $N = A \oplus B$ , where  $A$  is a right  $S$ -module and  $B$  is a right  $T$ -module by Lemma 3.13. Note that both  $A$  and  $B$  are  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $(R)$ -modules. Hence  $A$  is an  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $S$ -module and  $B$  is an  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $T$ -module by Lemma 3.12. By [14; Theorem 11.65], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(M, N) &\cong \text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(M, A) \oplus \text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(M, B) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_S^{m+1}(M \otimes_R S_S, A) \oplus \text{Ext}_T^{m+1}(M \otimes_R T_T, B) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $\text{rnp}D(R) \leq \sup\{\text{rnp}D(S), \text{rnp}D(T)\}$ .

Next we prove that  $\text{rnp}D(R) \geq \sup\{\text{rnp}D(S), \text{rnp}D(T)\}$ . We may assume  $\text{rnp}D(R) = m < \infty$ . Let  $M$  be a right  $S$ -module and  $N$  any  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $S$ -module. Then  $N$  is an  $(n, 0)$ -injective right  $(R)$ -module by Lemma 3.12. By Lemma 3.10,  $M \otimes_R S_S \cong M_S$ . Again by [14; Theorem 11.65], we have

$$\text{Ext}_S^{m+1}(M, N) \cong \text{Ext}_S^{m+1}(M \otimes_R S_S, N) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(M, N) = 0.$$

Therefore  $\text{rnp}D(R) \geq \text{rnp}D(S)$ . Similarly for  $\text{rnp}D(R) \geq \text{rnp}D(T)$ , and hence  $\text{rnp}D(R) \geq \sup\{\text{rnp}D(S), \text{rnp}D(T)\}$ . This completes the proof.

**Remark 3.15** *Let  $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m$  be rings and  $n$  a positive integer. The theorem above shows that  $\text{rnp}D(\bigoplus_{i=1}^m R_i) = \sup\{\text{rnp}D(R_1), \text{rnp}D(R_2), \dots, \text{rnp}D(R_m)\}$  if  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m R_i$  is an  $n$ -coherent ring. In particular, we obtain the known result that  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m R_i$  is right Noetherian if and only if each  $R_i$  is right Noetherian. But in general  $\text{rnp}D(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} R_i) \neq \sup_{i \geq 1}\{\text{rnp}D(R_i)\}$ . For example,  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is a field of two elements, but  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_2$  is not Noetherian.*



**Lemma 3.16** *Assume  $n$  and  $d$  are non-negative integers,  $R$  is a commutative ring, and  $P$  is any prime ideal of  $R$ . Let  $R_{\mathfrak{P}}$  denote the localization of  $R$  at  $P$ ,  $M$  is an  $R_{\mathfrak{P}}$ -module ( $M$  may be viewed as an  $R$ -module), and  $A$  is an  $R$ -module. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) *If  $A$  is an  $n$ -presented  $R$ -module, then  $A_{\mathfrak{P}}$  is an  $n$ -presented  $R_{\mathfrak{P}}$ -module.*
- (2) *If  $M$  is an  $(n, d)$ -injective  $R_{\mathfrak{P}}$ -module, then  $M$  is an  $(n, d)$ -injective  $R$ -module.*
- (3) *If  $M$  is an  $(n, d)$ -flat  $R_{\mathfrak{P}}$ -module, then  $M$  is an  $(n, d)$ -flat  $R$ -module.*
- (4) *If  $A$  is an  $(n, d)$ -projective  $R$ -module, then  $A_{\mathfrak{P}}$  is an  $(n, d)$ -projective  $R_{\mathfrak{P}}$ -module.*

*Proof.* (1). Suppose  $A$  is an  $n$ -presented  $R$ -module. Then there exists an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules

$$F_n \rightarrow F_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

where each  $F_i$  is finitely generated projective,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . It gives rise to the exactness of the sequence

$$(F_n)_{\mathfrak{P}} \rightarrow (F_{n-1})_{\mathfrak{P}} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (F_1)_{\mathfrak{P}} \rightarrow (F_0)_{\mathfrak{P}} \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{P}} \rightarrow 0$$

of  $R_{\mathfrak{P}}$ -modules. By [6; Remark 2.2.5], each  $(F_i)_{\mathfrak{P}}$  is a finitely generated projective  $R_{\mathfrak{P}}$ -module,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . Hence  $A_{\mathfrak{P}}$  is an  $n$ -presented  $R_{\mathfrak{P}}$ -module.

(2). Assume  $M$  is an  $(n, d)$ -injective  $R_{\mathfrak{P}}$ -module. Let  $N$  be any  $n$ -presented  $R$ -module, then  $N_{\mathfrak{P}}$  is an  $n$ -presented  $R_{\mathfrak{P}}$ -module by (1). Note that  $R_{\mathfrak{P}}$  is a flat  $R$ -module and  $R_{\mathfrak{P}} \otimes_R N \cong N_{\mathfrak{P}}$ . By [14; Theorem 11.65], we have

$$\text{Ext}_R^{d+1}(N, M) \cong \text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{P}}}^{d+1}(R_{\mathfrak{P}} \otimes_R N, M) \cong \text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{P}}}^{d+1}(N_{\mathfrak{P}}, M) = 0.$$

Therefore  $M$  is an  $(n, d)$ -injective  $R$ -module.

(3). Similar to that of (2).

(4). Suppose  $A$  is an  $(n, d)$ -projective  $R$ -module. Let  $B$  be any  $(n, d)$ -injective  $R_{\mathfrak{P}}$ -module, then  $B$  is an  $(n, d)$ -injective  $R$ -module by (2). Note that  $A_{\mathfrak{P}} \cong R_{\mathfrak{P}} \otimes_R A$ . By [14; Theorem 11.65], we have

$$\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{P}}}^1(A_{\mathfrak{P}}, B) \cong \text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{P}}}^1(R_{\mathfrak{P}} \otimes_R A, B) \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(A, B) = 0.$$

Therefore  $A_{\mathfrak{P}}$  is an  $(n, d)$ -projective  $R_{\mathfrak{P}}$ -module.

**Corollary 3.17** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $P$  any prime ideal of  $R$ . If  $M$  is an  $R_{\mathfrak{P}}$ -module, then the following statements hold:*

- (1)  *$M$  is an injective  $R_{\mathfrak{P}}$ -module if and only if  $M$  is an injective  $R$ -module.*
- (2)  *$M$  is a flat  $R_{\mathfrak{P}}$ -module if and only if  $M$  is a flat  $R$ -module.*

*Proof.* (1). If  $M$  is an injective  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module, then  $M$  is an injective  $R$ -module by Lemma 3.16. If  $M$  is an injective  $R$ -module, then  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is an injective  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module by [14; Theorem 3.76]. Note that  $M \cong M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  as  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules. Thus (1) follows.

(2). Similar to that of (1).

**Theorem 3.18** *Let  $n \geq 1$  be a fixed integer and  $R$  a commutative  $n$ -coherent ring. If  $P$  is any prime ideal of  $R$ , then  $npD(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq npD(R)$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume  $npD(R) = t < \infty$ . Let  $M$  be any  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module. Note that  $M$  may be viewed as an  $R$ -module. Thus  $npd(M_R) \leq t$ . If  $t = 0$ , then  $M$  is an  $(n, 0)$ -projective  $R$ -module. Since  $M \cong M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  as  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules, we have  $M$  is an  $(n, 0)$ -projective  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module by Lemma 3.16, and so the theorem follows. Next we assume  $t \geq 1$ . By Proposition 3.1 (5), There exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F_{t-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

of  $R$ -modules, where each  $F_i$  is a projective  $R$ -module,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t-1$ , and  $K$  is an  $(n, 0)$ -projective  $R$ -module. The above sequence induces an  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow (F_{t-1})_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (F_1)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow (F_0)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow 0.$$

By [6; Remark 2.2.5], each  $(F_i)_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a projective  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t-1$ . Note that  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is an  $(n, 0)$ -projective  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module by Lemma 3.16. Thus, for any  $(n, 0)$ -injective  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module  $N$ , we have

$$\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{t+1}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, N) \cong \text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^1(K_{\mathfrak{p}}, N) = 0$$

and so  $npd(M_{\mathfrak{p}})_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} \leq t$  by definition. Since  $M \cong M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  as  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules,  $npd(M) \leq t$ . Therefore  $npD(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq npD(R)$ , and we complete the proof.

**Remark 3.19** (1) *The theorem above shows the well-known result that any localization of a Noetherian ring is again Noetherian. But in general  $npD(R) \neq \sup\{npD(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) : P \text{ is a prime ideal of } R\}$ . For example, take  $R$  to be the direct product of countably many copies of  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ , then  $R$  is not Noetherian. Thus  $npD(R) > 0$ . However,  $npD(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$  for any prime ideal of  $R$ .*

(2) *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $P$  any prime ideal of  $R$ . Corollary 3.17 shows that if  $M$  is an  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module, then  $M$  is a flat (resp. injective)  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module if and only if  $M$  is a flat (resp. injective)  $R$ -module. But, in general, a projective  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module need not be a projective  $R$ -module. For example,  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a projective  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module, but  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  need not be a projective  $R$ -module.*

**Acknowledgement.** This research was supported by the Scientific Research Fund of Education Department of Guangxi Province(No.201203YB224).

## References

- [1] F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, *Rings and Categories of Modules*. 2nd, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [2] J. L. Chen and N. Q. Ding, On  $n$ -coherent rings, *Comm. Algebra*, **24** (1996), no.10, 3211-3216.
- [3] D. L. Costa, Parameterizing families of non-Noetherian rings, *Comm. Algebra*, **22** (1994), no. 10, 3997-4011.
- [4] S. Glaz, *Commutative Coherent Rings, Lect. Notes Math.*, 1372, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [5] E. E. Enochs, Injective and flat covers, envelopes and resolvents, *Israel J. Math*, **39** (1981), no. 3, 189-209.
- [6] E. E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda, *Relative Homological Algebra*, Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2000.
- [7] L. X. Mao and N. Q. Ding, FP-projective dimensions, *Comm. Algebra*, **33**(2005), no. 4, 1153-1170.
- [8] L. X. Mao and N. Q. Ding, Relative projective modules and relative injective modules, *Comm. Algebra*, **34** (2006), no. 7, 2403-2418.
- [9] B. Stenström, Coherent rings and FP-injective modules, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **2** (1970), no. 2, 323-329.
- [10] M. F. Jones and M. L. Teply, Coherent rings of finite weak global dimension, *Comm. Algebra*, **10** (1982), 493-503.
- [11] W. Xue, On  $n$ -presented modules and almost excellent extensions, *Comm. Algebra*, **27** (1999), no. 3, 1091-1102.
- [12] D. X. Zhou, On  $n$ -coherent rings and  $(n, d)$ -rings, *Comm. Algebra*, **32** (2004), no.6, 2425-2441.
- [13] N. Q. Ding, On envelopes with the unique mapping property, *Comm. Algebra*, **24** (1996), no. 4, 1459-1470.
- [14] J. J. Rotman, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, New York: Academic Press, 1979.

- [15] H. K. Ng, Finitely presented dimension of commutative rings and modules, *Pacific. J. Math*, **113** (1984), no. 2, 417-431.
- [16] T. Y. Lam. *Lectures on Modules and Rings*, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1998.