# Hamiltonian Cycles and Hamiltonian-biconnectedness in Bipartite Digraphs 

Ciclos Hamiltonianos y Biconectividad Hamiltoniana en Digrafos Bipartitos<br>Denise Amar (amar@labri.u-bordeaux.fr)<br>LaBRI, Université de Bordeaux I<br>351 Cours de la Libération 33405 Talence Cedex, France<br>Daniel Brito<br>Mathematics Department, School of Science<br>Universidad de Oriente, Cumaná, Venezuela<br>Oscar Ordaz<br>Mathematics Department, Faculty of Science<br>Universidad Central de Venezuela<br>AP. 47567, Caracas 1041-A, Venezuela


#### Abstract

Let $D$ denote a balanced bipartite digraph with $2 n$ vertices and for each vertex $x, d^{+}(x) \geq k, d^{-}(x) \geq k, k \geq 1$, such that the maximum cardinality of a balanced independent set is $2 \beta$ and $n=2 \beta+k$. We give two functions $F(n, \beta)$ and $G(n, \beta)$ such that if $D$ has at least $F(n, \beta)$ (resp. $G(n, \beta))$ arcs, then it is hamiltonian (resp. hamiltonianbiconnected). Key words and phrases: hamiltonian cycles, bipartite digraphs, hamiltonian-biconnectedness.


## Resumen

Sea $D$ un digrafo bipartito balanceado de orden $2 n$. Supongamos que para todo vértice $x, d^{+}(x) \geq k, d^{-}(x) \geq k, k \geq 1$. Sea $2 \beta$ la máxima cardinalidad de los conjuntos independientes balanceados y sea $n=2 \beta+k$. Damos dos funciones $F(n, \beta)$ y $G(n, \beta)$ tal que si $D$ tiene al

[^0]menos $F(n, \beta)($ resp. $G(n, \beta))$ arcos, entonces $D$ es hamiltoniano (resp. hamiltoniano biconectado).
Palabras y frases clave: ciclos hamiltonianos, digrafos bipartitos, digrafos hamiltonianos biconectados.

## 1 Introduction

Many conditions involving the number of arcs, the minimum half-degree, and the independence number for a digraph to be hamiltonian or hamiltonianconnected are known (see [1], [3], [4], [6], [9], [10], [11], [13], [15], [16], [17], [18], [19].

The parameter $2 \beta$, defined as the maximum cardinality of a balanced independent set, has been introduced by P. Ash [5] and B. Jackson and O. Ordaz [14] where a balanced independent set in $D$ is an independent subset $S$ such that $|S \cap X|=|S \cap Y|$.

In this paper we give conditions involving the number of arcs, the minimum half-degree, and the parameter $2 \beta$ for a balanced bipartite digraph to be hamiltonian or hamiltonian-biconnected, i.e. such that for any two vertices $x$ and $y$ which are not in the same partite set, there is a hamiltonian path in $D$ from $x$ to $y$.

Let $D=(X, Y, E)$ denote a balanced bipartite digraph with vertex-set $X \cup Y, X$ and $Y$ being the two partite sets.

In a digraph $D$, for $x \in V(D)$, let $N_{D}^{+}(x)$ (resp. $\left.N_{D}^{-}(x)\right)$ denote the set of the vertices of $D$ which are dominated by (resp. dominate) $x$; if no confusion is possible we denote them by $N^{+}(x)$ (resp. $N^{-}(x)$ ).

Let $H$ be a subgraph of $D, E(H)$ denotes the set of the arcs of $H$, and $|E(H)|$ the cardinality of this set; if $x \in V(D), d_{H}^{+}(x)$ (resp. $\left.d_{H}^{-}(x)\right)$ denotes the cardinality of the set of the vertices of $H$ which are dominated by (resp. dominate) $x$; if $x \in V(D), x \notin V(H), E(x, H)$ denotes the set of the arcs between $x$ and $V(H)$.

If $C$ is a cycle (resp. if $P$ is a path) in $D$, and $x \in V(C)$ (resp. $x \in V(P)$ ), $x^{+}$denotes the successor of $x$ on $C$ (resp. on $P$ ) according to the orientation of the cycle (resp. of the path).

If $x, y \in V(C)$ (resp. $x, y \in V(P)), x, C, y$ (resp. $x, P, y$ ) denotes the part of the cycle (resp. the path) starting at $x$ and terminating at $y$.

The following results will be used :
Theorem 1.1. (N. Chakroun, M. Manoussakis, Y. Manoussakis [8])

Let $D=(X, Y, E)$ be a bipartite digraph with $|X|=a,|Y|=b, a \leq b$. If $|E| \geq 2 a b-b+1$, then $D$ has a cycle of length $2 a$.

Theorem 1.2. (N. Chakroun, M. Manoussakis, Y. Manoussakis [8])
Let $D=(X, Y, E)$ be a balanced bipartite digraph with $|X|=|Y|=n$. If $|E| \geq 2 n^{2}-n+1$ then $D$ is hamiltonian. If $|E| \geq 2 n^{2}-n+2, D$ is hamiltonian-biconnected.

Theorem 1.3. (N. Chakroun, M. Manoussakis, Y. Manoussakis [8] )

Let $D=(X, Y, E)$ be a bipartite digraph with $|X|=a,|Y|=b, a \leq b$, such that for every vertex $x, d^{+}(x) \geq k, d^{-}(x) \geq k$. Then:
(i) If $|E| \geq 2 a b-(k+1)(a-k)+1, D$ has a cycle of length $2 a$,
(ii) If $|E| \geq 2 a b-k(a-k)+1$, for any two vertices $x$ and $y$ which are not in the same partite set, there is a path from $x$ to $y$ of length $2 a-1$.

If $b \geq 2 k$, for $k \leq p \leq b-k$, let $K_{k, p}^{*}$, (resp. $K_{k-1, b-p}^{*}$ ) be a complete bipartite digraph with partite sets $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)$ (resp. $\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)$ ); for $a=2 k-1$ and $b>a, \Gamma_{1}(a, b)$ consists of the disjoint union of $K_{k, p}^{*}$ and $K_{k-1, b-p}^{*}$ by adding all the arcs between exactly one vertex of $X_{1}$ and all the vertices of $Y_{2}$.
$\Gamma_{2}(3, b)$ is a bipartite digraph with vertex-set $X \cup Y$, where $X=$ $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$ and $Y=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{b}\right\}$, and arc-set
$E(D)=\left\{\left(x_{1} y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2} y_{2}\right),\left(y_{1} x_{2}\right)\left(y_{2} x_{1}\right)\right\} \cup\left\{\left(x_{3} y_{i}\right),\left(y_{i} x_{3}\right), 1 \leq i \leq 2\right\} \cup$ $\left\{\left(x_{j} y_{i}\right),\left(x_{j} y_{i}\right), 3 \leq i \leq b, 1 \leq j \leq 2\right\}$.
Theorem 1.4. (D. Amar, Y. Manoussakis [2] )
Let $D=(X, Y, E)$ be a bipartite digraph with $|X|=a,|Y|=b, a \leq b$, such that for every vertex $x, d^{+}(x) \geq k, d^{-}(x) \geq k$. Then if $a \leq 2 k-1 D$ has a cycle of length $2 a$, unless
(i) $b>a=2 k-1$ and $D$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma_{1}(a, b)$ or
(ii) $k=2$ and $D$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma_{2}(3, b)$.

Theorem 1.5. (N.Chakroun, M. Manoussakis, Y. Manoussakis [8])
Let $D=(X, Y, E)$ be a hamiltonian bipartite digraph of order $2 n$ such that $|E| \geq n^{2}+n-2$; then $D$ is bipancyclic.

## 2 Main Results

Let $f(n, \beta)=2 n^{2}-2 \beta^{2}-(n-\beta)+1, F(n, \beta)=2 n^{2}-2 \beta^{2}-\beta(n-2 \beta+1)+1$, $G(n, \beta)=F(n, \beta)+\beta$.

We prove the following Theorems and their immediate Corollaries:

## Theorem 2.1.

Let $D=(X, Y, E)$ be a balanced bipartite digraph with $|X|=|Y|=n$, and let $2 \beta$ be the maximum cardinality of a balanced independent set in $D$. If $n \geq 2 \beta+1$ and
(i) If $|E| \geq f(n, \beta)$, $D$ is hamiltonian.
(ii) If $|E| \geq f(n, \beta)+1, D$ is hamiltonian-biconnected.

Corollary 2.2. Let $D=(X, Y, E)$ be a balanced bipartite digraph with $|X|=$ $|Y|=n$, and let $2 \beta$ be the maximum cardinality of a balanced independent set in $D$. If $n \geq 2 \beta+1$ and $|E| \geq f(n, \beta)$ then $D$ is bipancyclic

## Theorem 2.3.

Let $D=(X, Y, E)$ be a balanced bipartite digraph with $|X|=|Y|=n$, such that for every vertex $x, d^{+}(x) \geq k, d^{-}(x) \geq k, k \geq 1$. Let $2 \beta$ be the maximum cardinality of a balanced independent set in $D$. If $n=2 \beta+k$ and
(i) If $|E| \geq F(n, \beta), D$ is hamiltonian.
(ii) If $|E| \geq G(n, \beta), D$ is hamiltonian-biconnected.

Using Theorems 1.5, 2.1 and 2.3 we obtain the following:

Corollary 2.4. Let $D=(X, Y, E)$ be a balanced bipartite digraph with $|X|=|Y|=n$, such that for every vertex $x, d^{+}(x) \geq k, d^{-}(x) \geq k, k \geq 1$. Let $2 \beta$ be the maximum cardinality of a balanced independent set in $D$. If $n=2 \beta+k$ and $|E| \geq F(n, \beta)$ then $D$ is bipancyclic.

Proof of the corollaries:
Since $n \geq 2 \beta+1$, then $f(n, \beta)-\left(n^{2}+n-2\right)=n^{2}-2 \beta^{2}-2 n+\beta+3$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \beta^{2}+\beta+\beta+2>0 .=(n-1)^{2}-2 \beta^{2}+\beta+2 \geq 4 \beta^{2}-2 \beta^{2}+\beta+2= \\
& \text { resp. } F(n, \beta)-\left(n^{2}+n-2\right)=2 n^{2}-2 \beta^{2}-\beta(n-2 \beta+1)+1-\left(n^{2}+n-2\right) \\
&=n^{2}-n(\beta+1)-\beta+3 \\
& \geq n(2 \beta+1-\beta-1)-\beta+3=\beta(n-1)+3>0
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3 Definitions and a basic lemma

Before proving Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.3, we give some definitions and a basic lemma.

Definition 3.1. $\mathcal{D}(n, \beta, k)$ denotes the set of balanced bipartite digraphs of order $2 n$, with $k \geq 1$, $n=2 \beta+k$, such that $\forall x \in V(D), d^{+}(x) \geq k, d^{-}(x) \geq k$, and for which the maximum cardinality of a balanced independent set is $2 \beta$.

Definition 3.2. In the following, if $D=(X, Y, E) \in \mathcal{D}(n, \beta, k)$, denote by $S$ a balanced independent set of cardinality $2 \beta$.
$D_{1}$ is the induced subgraph of $D$ with partite sets $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right), X_{1}=X \cap S$, $Y_{1}=Y \backslash S$,
$D_{2}$ is the induced subgraph of $D$ with partite sets $\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right), X_{2}=X \backslash S$, $Y_{2}=Y \cap S$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $D=(X, Y, E)$ be a balanced bipartite digraph with $|X|=$ $|Y|=n$. Suppose that $D$ contains a cycle $C$ and a path $P$ such that $C$ and $P$ are disjoint and $|V(C)|=2 p$,
$|V(P)|=2(n-p)$. If the beginning-vertex $a$ and the end-vertex $b$ of $P$ satisfy the condition $d_{C}^{-}(a)+d_{C}^{+}(b) \geq p+1$, then $D$ has a hamiltonian cycle containing $P$.

Proof:
W.l.o.g. we may assume that $a \in X$ and $b \in Y$. $\operatorname{Set} C=\left(y_{1}, x_{1} \ldots y_{p}, x_{p}, y_{1}\right)$ with $x_{i} \in X, y_{i} \in Y$. The condition $d_{C}^{-}(a)+d_{C}^{+}(b) \geq p+1$ implies that there exists $i, 1 \leq i \leq p$, such that $y_{i} \in N^{-}(a), x_{i} \in N^{+}(b)$; then the cycle $\left(a, P, b, x_{i}, C, y_{i}, a\right)$ is a hamiltonian cycle of $D$ containing $P$.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 2.1

Let $D=(X, Y, E)$ be a bipartite digraph such that the maximum cardinality of a balanced independent set is $2 \beta$.

For $\beta=0$, if $|E| \geq f(n, 0)=2 n^{2}-n+1,\left(\right.$ resp. $|E| \geq g(n, 0)=2 n^{2}-n+2$ ),
by Theorem 1.2, $D$ is hamiltonian (resp. hamiltonian-biconnected).
Thus we assume $\beta \geq 1$.

### 4.1 Proof of $(i)$

As $|E| \geq f(n, \beta)$,
$\left|E\left(D_{1}\right)\right|+\left|E\left(D_{2}\right)\right| \geq f(n, \beta)-2(n-\beta)^{2}=-4 \beta^{2}+4 n \beta-(n-\beta)+1$.
Therefore w.l.o.g.,
$\left|E\left(D_{1}\right)\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left|E\left(D_{1}\right)\right|+\left|E\left(D_{2}\right)\right|\right) \geq 2 \beta(n-\beta)-(n-\beta) / 2+1 / 2 \geq$ $(2 \beta-1)(n-\beta)+1$.

Thus by Theorem 1.1, $D_{1}$ contains a cycle $C$ of length $2 \beta$. Clearly $C$ saturates $X \cap S$.

Let $\Gamma$ be the subgraph induced by the vertex-set $V(D) \backslash V(C)$.
If $|E(\Gamma)| \geq 2(n-\beta)^{2}-(n-\beta)+2$, by Theorem $1.2, \Gamma$ is hamiltonianbiconnected. As $D$ has at most $2 \beta^{2}+(n-\beta)-1$ less arcs than the corresponding complete digraph, the number of arcs between $C$ and $\Gamma$ is
(1) $\sum_{x \in V(C)} d_{\Gamma}^{+}(x)+d_{\Gamma}^{-}\left(x^{+}\right) \geq 4 \beta(n-\beta)-2 \beta^{2}-(n-\beta)+1$.

If for every $x \in C$ either $N_{\Gamma}^{+}(x)=\emptyset$ or $N_{\Gamma}^{-}\left(x^{+}\right)=\emptyset$ then
(2) $\sum_{x \in V(C)} d_{\Gamma}^{+}(x)+d_{\Gamma}^{-}\left(x^{+}\right) \leq 2 \beta(n-\beta)$.

As $4 \beta(n-\beta)-2 \beta^{2}-(n-\beta)+1>2 \beta(n-\beta)$ by (1) and (2), there exist $x \in V(C), a \in V(\Gamma), b \in V(\Gamma)$ such that $x$ dominates $a$ and $x^{+}$is dominated by $b$.

Let $P$ be a hamiltonian path in $\Gamma$ from $a$ to $b$. Then $\left(x, a, P, b, x^{+}, C, x\right)$ is a hamiltonian cycle in $D$.

If $E(\Gamma)=2(n-\beta)^{2}-(n-\beta)+1, \Gamma$ is hamiltonian. Moreover, if $x \in V(C)$, $z \in V(\Gamma)$, then both $(x, z)$ and $(z, x)$ are in $E(D)$ unless $x \in X \cap S$, then $d_{\Gamma}^{+}(x)=n-2 \beta, d_{\Gamma}^{-}\left(x^{+}\right)=n-\beta$. Thus $d_{\Gamma}^{+}(x)+d_{\Gamma}^{-}\left(x^{+}=n-3 \beta \geq(n-\beta)+1\right.$. Hence, by Lemma 3.3, $D$ is hamiltonian.

### 4.2 Proof of (ii)

We assume $n \geq 2 \beta+1$ and $|E| \geq f(n, \beta)+1$.
Let $x \in V(D), y \in V(D), x$ and $y$ not in the same partite set. We want to prove that there exists a hamiltonian path from $x$ to $y$. W.l.o.g. we can suppose $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$.

Case 1: $x \in X \cap S, y \in Y \cap S$.
By similar arguments as in part $(i)$, we may assume that $D_{1}$ contains a cycle $C$ of length $2 \beta$. As $C$ saturates $X \cap S, x \in V(C)$.

If $\Gamma$ denotes the subgraph of $D$ induced by the vertex-set $V(D) \backslash V(C)$,
$|E(\Gamma)| \geq 2(n-\beta)^{2}-(n-\beta)+2$, then by Theorem 5.4 it is hamiltonianbiconnected.

Let $x^{-}$be the predecessor of $x$ on $C$; as in part $(i)$ we can prove that $x^{-}$has at least one neighbor $a \in V(\Gamma)$.

Let $P$ be a hamiltonian path of $\Gamma$ from $a$ to $y$. Then $\left(x, C, x^{-}, a, P, y\right)$ is a hamiltonian path in $D$ from $x$ to $y$.

Thus there exists in $D$ a hamiltonian path from $x$ to $y$.

Case 2: $x \in X \cap S, y \in Y \cap(D \backslash S)$.
Let $D_{3}$ be the subgraph induced by the set of vertices $(X \cap S) \cup(Y \cap$ $(D \backslash S)-\{y\})$. As $E(D) \geq f(n, \beta)+1, D_{3}$ has at most $(n-\beta+2)$ arcs less than the corresponding complete digraph, then $\left|E\left(D_{3}\right)\right| \geq 2 \beta(n-\beta-1)-$ $(n-\beta-1)+1$; by Theorem 1.1, $D_{3}$ contains a cycle $C$ of length $2 \beta$, with $x \in V(C), y \notin V(C)$.

If, as in case $1, \Gamma$ denotes the subgraph of $D$ induced by the vertex-set $V(D) \backslash V(C), \Gamma$ is hamiltonian-biconnected; similar arguments as in case 1 prove that there exists a hamiltonian path from $x$ to $y$.

Case 3: $x \notin X \cap S, y \notin Y \cap S$.
As in case 2 , the subdigraph $D_{3}$ induced by the set of vertices $(X \cap S) \cup$ $(Y \cap(D \backslash S)-\{y\})$ contains a cycle $C$ of length $2 \beta$.

The subgraph $\Gamma$ of $D$ induced by the vertex-set $V(D) \backslash V(C)$ is, as in case 1, hamiltonian-biconnected. The vertices $x$ and $y$ are in $V(\Gamma)$; let $P$ be a hamiltonian path in $\Gamma$ from $x$ to $y$.

If we assume that for any $a \in V(P) \backslash\{y\}, d_{C}^{+}(a)+d_{C}^{-}\left(a^{+}\right) \leq \beta, D$ has at least $\beta(n-\beta)+\beta(n-\beta-1)$ arcs less than the corresponding complete digraph; the condition $|E| \geq f(n, \beta)$ implies :
$2 \beta(n-\beta)-\beta \leq n-\beta-2+2 \beta^{2} \Leftrightarrow 2 \beta n \leq 4 \beta^{2}+n-2 \Leftrightarrow(2 \beta-1)(n-2 \beta) \leq$ $2 \beta-2$, a contradiction.

Hence there exists $a \in V(P), a \neq y$, such that $d_{C}^{+}(a)+d_{C}^{-}\left(a^{+}\right) \geq \beta+1$.
By Lemma 3.3, there exists in $D$ a hamiltonian path from $x$ to $y$.
Theorem 2.1 is proved.

## 5 Proof of Theorem 2.3

### 5.1 Strategy of the proof

The proof of Theorem 2.3 is by induction on $k$.
In sub-section 5.2, we shall prove the Theorem for $k=1$.
Then we shall do the following induction hypothesis:

## Induction Hypothesis 5.1.

For $1 \leq p \leq k-1$, let $D=(X, Y, E) \in \mathcal{D}(n, \beta, p)$.
(i) The condition $|E| \geq F(n, \beta)$, implies that $D$ is hamiltonian.
(ii) The condition $|E| \geq G(n, \beta)$, implies that $D$ is hamiltonian-biconnected.

In sub-section 5.3, we shall prove Proposition 5.2:
Proposition 5.2. Under the induction hypothesis 5.1, if $D \in \mathcal{D}(n, \beta, k)$ satisfies $|E| \geq G(n, \beta)$, then $D$ is hamiltonian-biconnected.

In sub-section 5.4, we shall prove Proposition 5.3:
Proposition 5.3. Under the induction hypothesis 5.1, if $D \in \mathcal{D}(n, \beta, k)$ satisfies $|E| \geq F(n, \beta)$, then $D$ is hamiltonian.

Proposition 5.2 and Proposition 5.3 will imply Theorem 2.3.

### 5.2 Proof of Theorem 2.3 when $k=1$.

We need two general lemmas:
Lemma 5.4. We suppose that for any digraph $D^{\prime}=\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{D}(n, \beta, k)$, the condition $\left|E^{\prime}\right| \geq G(n, \beta)$ implies that $D^{\prime}$ is hamiltonian-biconnected, then

If $D=(X, Y, E) \in \mathcal{D}(n, \beta, k)$ satisfies the condition $|E| \geq G(n, \beta)-p$, and if there is no hamiltonian path from a vertex $y$ to a vertex $x$ not in the same partite set then:
(i) If $x \in S, y \notin S$, then $d^{+}(x)+d^{-}(y) \geq 2 n-\beta-p+1, d^{+}(x) \geq$ $n-\beta-p+1, d^{-}(y) \geq n-p+1$.
(ii) If $x \notin S, y \in S$, then $d^{+}(x)+d^{-}(y) \geq 2 n-\beta-p+1, d^{+}(x) \geq n-p+1$, $d^{-}(y) \geq n-\beta-p+1$.
(iii) If $x \notin S, y \notin S$, then $d^{+}(x)+d^{-}(y) \geq 2 n-p+1, d^{+}(x) \geq n-p+1$, $d^{-}(y) \geq n-p+1$.
(iv) If $x \in S, y \in S$, then $d^{+}(x)+d^{-}(y) \geq 2 n-2 \beta-p+1, d^{+}(x) \geq$ $n-\beta-p+1, d^{-}(y) \geq n-\beta-p+1$.

Lemma 5.5. Under the same hypothesis as in Lemma 5.4, if $D$ is not hamiltonian then:
(i) $\forall x \in S, d^{+}(x) \geq n-\beta-p+1, d^{-}(x) \geq n-\beta-p+1$,
(ii) $\forall x \notin S, d^{+}(x) \geq n-p+1, d^{-}(x) \geq n-p+1$

Proof of Lemma 5.4:
Let $D=(X, Y, E) \in \mathcal{D}(n, \beta, k)$. We assume $|E| \geq G(n, \beta)-p$.
If one of the following cases happen:

1) $x \in S, y \notin S, d^{+}(x)+d^{-}(y) \leq 2 n-\beta-p$,
2) $x \notin S, y \in S, d^{+}(x)+d^{-}(y) \leq 2 n-\beta-p$,
3) $x \notin S, y \notin S, d^{+}(x)+d^{-}(y) \leq 2 n-p$,
4) $x \in S, y \in S, d^{+}(x)+d^{-}(y) \leq 2 n-2 \beta-p$,
we can add $p$ arcs to $N^{+}(x) \cup N^{-}(y)$ to obtain a digraph $D^{\prime}=$ $\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{D}(n, \beta, k)$ such that $\left|E\left(D^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq G(n, \beta)$; then $D^{\prime} \in \mathcal{D}(n, \beta, k)$ and satifies: $\left|E^{\prime}\right| \geq G(n, \beta)$; then under the assumption of Lemma $5.4 D^{\prime}$ is hamiltonian-biconnected, and a hamiltonian path from $y$ to $x$ in $D^{\prime}$ is a hamiltonian path from $y$ to $x$ in $D$.

To prove Lemma 5.5 , we apply Lemma 5.4 to any vertices $x$ and $y$ such that the arc $(x y) \in E(D)$.

Lemma 5.6. For $D \in \mathcal{D}(n, \beta, 1)$, (i) If $|E| \geq F(n, \beta), D$ is hamiltonian,
(ii) If $|E| \geq G(n, \beta), D$ is hamiltonian-biconnected.

Proof:
(ii) For $k=1, f(n, \beta)+1=G(n, \beta)$, then if $|E| \geq G(n, \beta)$, by Theorem 2.1, $D$ is hamiltonian-biconnected.
(i) If $|E| \geq F(n, \beta)$, as $F(n, \beta)=G(n, \beta)-\beta$, if we assume that $D$ is not hamiltonian we can apply Lemma 5.5 with $p=\beta$ and, as $n=2 \beta+1$, obtain:
$\left.{ }^{*}\right) \forall x \in S, d^{+}(x) \geq 2, d^{-}(x) \geq 2, \forall x \notin S, d^{+}(x) \geq \beta+2, d^{-}(x) \geq \beta+2$.
$D$ has at most $2 \beta^{2}+2 \beta-1$ arcs less than the corresponding complete digraph, then $D_{1} \cup D_{2}$ have at most $2 \beta-1$ arcs less than the union of corresponding complete digraphs; w.l.o.g. we may assume $\left|E\left(D_{1}\right)\right| \geq 2 \beta(\beta+1)-\beta+1$; then, by Theorem 1.1, $D_{1}$ contains a cycle $C$ of length $2 \beta ; C$ saturates $X \cap S$. If $\Gamma$ denotes the subgraph of $D$ induced by the vertex-set $V(D) \backslash V(C)$, $|E(\Gamma)| \geq 2(\beta+1)^{2}-2 \beta+1$.

If $x \in V(\Gamma) \cap S$ all the neighbors of $x$ are in $\Gamma$; if $y \in V(\Gamma) \cap(D \backslash S)$, $d_{\Gamma}^{+}(y) \geq d^{+}(y)-\beta, d_{\Gamma}^{-}(y) \geq d^{-}(y)-\beta$; in every case:

The conditions (*) imply: $\forall x \in V(\Gamma), d_{\Gamma}^{+}(x) \geq 2, d_{\Gamma}^{-}(x) \geq 2$.
Hence, by Theorem 1.3, $\Gamma$ is hamiltonian. Moreover
$|E(H, \Gamma)| \geq F(n, \beta)-|E(H)|-|E(\Gamma)| \geq F(n, \beta)-2 \beta^{2}-2(\beta+1)^{2} \geq$ $2 \beta(\beta+1)+1$.

The subdigraph $\Gamma$ is hamiltonian-biconnected unless

$$
|E(\Gamma)| \leq 2(\beta+1)^{2}-2 \beta+2
$$

If $\Gamma$ is hamiltonian-biconnected, as $|E(H, \Gamma)| \geq 2 \beta(\beta+1)+1$, there exist $x \in V(C), a \in V(\Gamma), b \in V(\Gamma)$ such that $x$ dominates $a$ and $x^{+}$is dominated by $b$; let $P$ be a hamiltonian path in $\Gamma$ from $a$ to $b$. Then $\left(x, a, P, b, x^{+}, C, x\right)$ is a hamiltonian cycle in $D$.

If $\Gamma$ is not hamiltonian-biconnected, as $|E(\Gamma)| \leq 2(\beta+1)^{2}-2 \beta+2$, the subgraph $H$ induced by $V(C)$ satisfies $|E(H)| \geq 2 \beta^{2}-1$; then $H$ is hamiltonian-biconnected. Let $C_{\Gamma}$ be a hamiltonian cycle of $\Gamma$; as $|E(H, \Gamma)| \geq$ $2 \beta(\beta+1)+1$, there exist $a \in C_{\Gamma}$ and $a^{+} \in C_{\Gamma}$, such that $a$ dominates a vertex
$c \in V(H)$ and $a^{+}$is dominated by a vertex $d \in V(H)$; let $P$ be a hamiltonian path in $H$ from $c$ to $d$, then $\left(c, P, d, a^{+}, C_{\Gamma}, a, c\right)$ is a hamiltonian cycle of $D$. In both cases, $D$ is hamiltonian.

### 5.3 Proof of Proposition 5.2

The induction hypothesis 5.1 is satisfied for $k=2$.
Proposition 5.2 Under the induction hypothesis 5.1, if $D \in \mathcal{D}(n, \beta, k)$ satisfies $|E| \geq G(n, \beta), D$ is hamiltonian-biconnected.

Proof:
We assume $k \geq 2$.
Let $D=(X, Y, E) \in \mathcal{D}(n, \beta, k)$ and suppose $|E| \geq G(n, \beta)$.
For any $x \in V(D), y \in V(D)$ not in the same partite set, we prove that there exists a hamiltonian path from $x$ to $y$. W.l.o.g. we can suppose $x \in X$, $y \in Y$.

Claim 5.7. There exist at least $\beta+1$ vertices $u \in X \cap(D \backslash S)$, and $\beta+1$ vertices $v \in Y \cap(D \backslash S)$, such that $d^{+}(u) \geq \beta+k, d^{-}(u) \geq \beta+k, d^{+}(v) \geq \beta+k$, $d^{-}(v) \geq \beta+k$.

Proof:
If Claim 5.7 is not true, w.l.o.g. we may assume $d^{+}(u) \leq \beta+k-1$ for $k$ vertices $u \in X \cap(D \backslash S)$. As $n=2 \beta+k$, the subgraph of $D$ induced by the vertex-set $X \cap(D \backslash S) \cup Y$ has at leat $(\beta+1) k$ arcs less than the corresponding complete graph. Hence, $S$ being an independent set, the inequality $|E(D)| \leq$ $2 n^{2}-2 \beta^{2}-(\beta+1) k$ would be satisfied.

As $(\beta+1) k<\beta k, 2 n^{2}-2 \beta^{2}-(\beta+1) k<G(n, \beta)$, a contradiction with the hypothesis

$$
|E(D)| \geq G(n, \beta)
$$

Then let $u_{0} \in X \cap(D \backslash S), u_{0} \neq x$, and $v_{0} \in Y \cap(D \backslash S), v_{0} \neq y$, be vertices satisfying $d^{+}\left(u_{0}\right) \geq \beta+k, d^{-}\left(u_{0}\right) \geq \beta+k, d^{+}\left(v_{0}\right) \geq \beta+k, d^{-}\left(v_{0}\right) \geq \beta+k$.

Let $\epsilon=1$ if $(x y) \in E, \epsilon=0$ if $(x y) \notin E$, and $\epsilon^{\prime}=1$ if $(y x) \in E, \epsilon^{\prime}=0$ if $(y x) \notin E$.

Let $D_{i}^{\prime}$ be a bipartite digraph of order $2(n-1)$ with vertex-set $V\left(D_{i}^{\prime}\right)=$ $V(D) \backslash\{x, y\}$ and edge-set $E\left(D_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ defined as follows:

Case 1 If $x \notin S, y \notin S, D_{1}^{\prime}$ is the subgraph of $D$ induced by $V(D) \backslash\{x, y\} ;$ then
$\left|E\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right|=|E(D)|-d(x)-d(y)+\epsilon+\epsilon^{\prime} \geq G(n, \beta)-d(x)-d(y)+\epsilon+\epsilon^{\prime}$, hence $\left|E\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq G(n, \beta)-(4 n-2)=F(n-1, \beta)$.
Case 2 If $x \in S, y \notin S, E\left(D_{2}^{\prime}\right)=E\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left(E\left(u_{0}, Y \cap S\right)\right)$; then
$\left|E\left(D_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right|=|E(D)|-d(x)-d(y)+\epsilon+\epsilon^{\prime}-\left|E\left(u_{0}, Y \cap S\right)\right| \geq$
$G(n, \beta)-d(x)-d(y)+\epsilon+\epsilon^{\prime}-\left|E\left(u_{0}, Y \cap S\right)\right|$,
hence $\left|E\left(D_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq G(n, \beta)-(4 n-2)=F(n-1, \beta)$.
Case 3 If $x \in S, y \in S, E\left(D_{3}^{\prime}\right)=E\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left(E\left(u_{0}, Y \cap S\right) \cup E\left(v_{0}, X \cap\right.\right.$ $\left.S) \cup E\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right)$; then
$\left|E\left(D_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right|=|E(D)|-d(x)-d(y)-\left|E\left(u_{0},(Y \cap S \backslash\{y\})\right)\right|-\mid E\left(v_{0},(X \cap\right.$ $S \backslash\{x\}))\left|-\left|E\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right| \geq\right.$
$G(n, \beta)-4(n-\beta)-4(\beta-1)-2$,
hence $\left|E\left(D_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq G(n, \beta)-(4 n-2)=F(n-1, \beta)$.
Moreover $S$ (resp. $S \backslash\{x\} \cup\left\{u_{0}\right\}$, resp. $S \backslash\{x, y\} \cup\left\{u_{0}, v_{0}\right\}$ ) is a balanced independent set of $D_{1}^{\prime}$ (resp. of $D_{2}^{\prime}$, resp. of $D_{3}^{\prime}$ ) of order $2 \beta$.

For every $z \in V\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, for $z \neq u_{0}$ in $D_{2}^{\prime}$ and for $z \neq u_{0}$ and $z \neq v_{0}$ in $D_{3}^{\prime}$, the conditions $d^{+}(z) \geq k, d^{-}(z) \geq k$ imply $d_{D_{i}^{\prime}}^{+}(z) \geq k-1, d_{D_{i}^{\prime}}^{-}(z) \geq k-1$,

In Case 2 the conditions $d^{+}\left(u_{0}\right) \geq \beta+k, d^{-}\left(u_{0}\right) \geq \beta+k$, imply $d_{D_{2}^{\prime}}^{+}\left(u_{0}\right) \geq k-1, d_{D_{2}^{\prime}}^{-}\left(u_{0}\right) \geq k-1$.

In Case 3 the conditions $d^{+}\left(u_{0}\right) \geq \beta+k, d^{-}\left(u_{0}\right) \geq \beta+k, d^{+}\left(v_{0}\right) \geq \beta+k$, $d^{-}\left(v_{0}\right) \geq \beta+k$ imply $d_{D_{3}^{\prime}}^{+}\left(u_{0}\right) \geq k-1, d_{D_{3}^{\prime}}^{-}\left(u_{0}\right) \geq k-1, d_{D_{3}^{\prime}}^{+}\left(v_{0}\right) \geq k-1$, $d_{D_{3}^{\prime}}^{-}\left(v_{0}\right) \geq k-1$.

At least the equality $n-1=2 \beta+k-1$ is satisfied.
We can conclude that in every case $D_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{D}(n-1, \beta, k-1)$, and satisfies $\left|E\left(D_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq F(n-1, \beta)$.

By the induction hypothesis 5.1, $D_{i}^{\prime}$ is hamiltonian.
Let $C$ be a hamiltonian cycle in $D_{i}^{\prime}$.
If $d^{+}(x)+d^{-}(y) \geq n+2 \epsilon$, let $a \in V(C)$ such that $a \in N^{-}(y), a^{+} \in$ $N^{+}(x)$, then the path $\left(x, a^{+}, C, a, y\right)$ is a hamiltonian path in $D$ from $x$ to $y$.

If $D_{i}^{\prime}$ is hamiltonian-biconnected, let $c$ and $d$ be vertices in $V\left(D_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $d \in N^{+}(x), c \in N^{-}(y)$, and let $P$ be a hamiltonian path in $D_{i}^{\prime}$ from $d$ to $c$; then $(x, d, P, c, y)$ is a hamiltonian path in $D$ from $x$ to $y$.

Then we may assume that $d^{+}(x)+d^{-}(y) \leq n-1+2 \epsilon$ and that $D_{i}^{\prime}$ is hamiltonian but not hamiltonian-biconnected, and by the induction hypothesis 5.1 that $\left|E\left(D_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right|<G(n-1, \beta)$.

Then $|E(D)|-\left|E\left(D_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq G(n, \beta)-G(n-1, \beta)+1=4 n-1-\beta$.

This inequality implies:
Case 1: $|E(D)|-\left|E\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right|=d(x)+d(y)-\epsilon-\epsilon^{\prime} \geq 4 n-1-\beta$.
As $d^{-}(x)+d^{+}(y) \leq 2(n-1)+2 \epsilon^{\prime}, d^{+}(x)+d^{-}(y) \geq 2 n+1-2 \beta+$ $\epsilon-\epsilon^{\prime}=n+k+1+\epsilon-\epsilon^{\prime} \geq n+2 \epsilon$, a contradiction with the assumption $d^{+}(x)+d^{-}(y) \leq n-1+2 \epsilon$.

Case 2: $|E(D)|-\left|E\left(D_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right|=d(x)+d(y)-\epsilon-\epsilon^{\prime}+\left|E\left(u_{0}, Y \cap S\right)\right| \geq 4 n-1-\beta$, then
$d(x)+d(y) \geq 4 n-1-3 \beta+\epsilon+\epsilon^{\prime}$.
As $d^{-}(x)+d^{+}(y) \leq 2(n-1)-\beta+2 \epsilon^{\prime}, d^{+}(x)+d^{-}(y) \geq 2 n+1-2 \beta+$ $\epsilon-\epsilon^{\prime}=n+k+1+\epsilon-\epsilon^{\prime} \geq n+2 \epsilon$, a contradiction with the assumption $d^{+}(x)+d^{-}(y) \leq n-1+2 \epsilon$.

Case 3: $|E(D)|-\left|E\left(D_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right|=$

$$
d(x)+d(y)+\left|E\left(u_{0},(Y \cap S \backslash\{y\})\right)\right|+\left|E\left(v_{0},(X \cap S \backslash\{x\})\right)\right|+\left|E\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right| \geq
$$ $4 n-1-\beta$.

As $d^{-}(x)+d^{+}(y) \leq 2(n-\beta), d^{+}(x)+d^{-}(y) \geq 2 n+1-3 \beta$.
If $x \in V(S)$, and $y \in V(S), \epsilon=\epsilon^{\prime}=0$.
$d(x)+d(y) \geq 4 n-1-\beta-4(\beta-1)-2=4 n-5 \beta+1$.
The only remaining problem is Case 3 , when $2 n+5-3 \beta \leq d^{+}(x)+$ $d^{-}(y) \leq n-1$.

As $d^{+}(x)+d^{-}(y) \geq 2 n+1-3 \beta=\beta+2 k+1, d^{+}(x) \leq \beta+k \Rightarrow$ $d^{-}(y) \geq k+1$, and $d^{-}(y) \leq \beta+k \Rightarrow d^{+}(x) \geq k+1$. Moreover the condition $d^{+}(x)+d^{-}(y) \leq n-1$ implies
$d(x)+d(y) \leq 2(n-\beta)+n-1=3 n-2 \beta-1$; then:
$\left|E\left(D_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq G(n, \beta)-(3 n-2 \beta-1)-4 \beta+2=G(n, \beta)-4 n+2+k+1=$ $G(n-1, \beta)-(\beta-k-1)$.

We obtain the following
Claim 5.8. If there is no hamiltonian path in $D$ from $x$ to $y$, then $\forall a \in N^{-}(y)$, and $\forall b \in N^{+}(x), d^{+}(a)+d^{-}(b) \geq 2 n-\beta+k+2$.

Proof:
If $a \neq u_{0}$ and $b \neq v_{0}$, Claim 5.8 follows from Lemma 5.4 applied to $D_{3}^{\prime}$, the vertices $b \in N^{+}(x)$ and $a \in N^{-}(y)$ and $p=\beta-k-1$.

If $u_{0} \in N^{-}(y)$ or $v_{0} \in N^{+}(x)$, the condition $\beta \geq k+2$ implies that there exist $u$ and $v, u \neq u_{0}$, or $v \neq v_{0}$, satisfying $d^{+}(u) \geq \beta+k, d^{+-}(u) \geq \beta+k$ or $d^{+}(v) \geq \beta+k, d^{-}(v) \geq \beta+k$.

We can consider for $D_{3}^{\prime}: D_{3}^{\prime}=D \backslash(\{x, y\} \cup E(u, Y \cap S) \cup E(v, X \cap S) \cup$ $E(u, v))$ and Claim 5.8 follows in all cases.

Conditions $d^{+}(x) \geq k+1, d^{-}(y) \geq k+1$ imply, by a counting argument and Claim 5.7, that there exists a vertex $a_{1} \in N^{-}(y), a_{1} \neq u_{0}$ and a vertex $b_{1} \in N^{+}(x), b_{1} \neq v_{0}$ which satisfy the conditions $d^{+}\left(b_{1}\right) \geq \beta+k, d^{-}\left(a_{1}\right) \geq$ $\beta+k$ and by Claim 5.8, $d^{+}\left(a_{1}\right)+d^{-}\left(b_{1}\right) \geq 2 n-\beta+k+2$.

Let us consider the digraph $\Delta$ obtained from $D$ by contracting the vertices $x$ and $a_{1}$, and the vertices $y$ and $b_{1}$, i.e.:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V(\Delta)=V(D) \backslash\left\{x, y, a_{1}, b_{1}\right\} \cup\{A, B\} \text { with : } \\
& N_{\Delta}^{+}(A)=N^{+}(x) \backslash\left\{b_{1}\right\} ; N_{\Delta}^{-}(A)=N^{-}\left(a_{1}\right) \backslash\left((Y \cap S) \cup\left\{b_{1}\right\}\right) ; \\
& N_{\Delta}^{+}(B)=N^{+}\left(b_{1}\right) \backslash\left((X \cap S) \cup\left\{a_{1}\right\}\right) ; N_{\Delta}^{-}(B)=N^{-}(y) \backslash\left\{a_{1}\right\} ; \\
& \text { for } z \notin\{A, B\}, N_{\Delta}^{+}(z)=N^{+}(z) \backslash\left\{x, y, a_{1}, b_{1}\right\} \cup\{B\} \text { if }(z y) \in E(D), \\
& N_{\Delta}^{+}(z)=N^{+}(z) \backslash\left\{x, y, a_{1}, b_{1}\right\} \cup\{A\} \text { if }\left(z a_{1}\right) \in E(D), \\
& N_{\Delta}^{-}(z)=N^{-}(z) \backslash\left\{x, y, a_{1}, b_{1}\right\} \cup\{A\} \text { if }(x z) \in E(D), \\
& N_{\Delta}^{-}(z)=N^{-}(z) \backslash\left\{x, y, a_{1}, b_{1}\right\} \cup\{B\} \text { if }\left(b_{1} y\right) \in E(D) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $d_{\Delta}^{+}(A)=d^{+}(x)-1, d_{\Delta}^{-}(A) \geq d^{-}\left(a_{1}\right)-(\beta+1)$, that implies $d_{\Delta}^{+}(A) \geq k-1, d_{\Delta}^{-}(A) \geq k-1$,
$d_{\Delta}^{+}(B) \geq d^{+}\left(b_{1}\right)-(\beta+1), d_{\Delta}^{-}(B)=d^{-}(y)-1$, that implies $d_{\Delta}^{+}(B) \geq k-1$, $d_{\Delta}^{-}(B) \geq k-1$,
$\forall z \in V(\Delta) \backslash\{A, B\}, d_{\Delta}^{+}(z) \geq d^{+}(z)-1, d_{\Delta}^{-}(z) \geq d^{-}(z)-1$, then
$\forall x \in V(\Delta), d_{\Delta}^{+}(x) \geq k-1, d_{\Delta}^{-}(x) \geq k-1$.
The digraph $\Delta$ is a balanced bipartite digraph of order $2(n-1)$.
The set $S \backslash\{x, y\} \cup\{A, B\}$ is a balanced independent set of cardinality $2 \beta$ in $\Delta$.

Hence $\Delta \in \mathcal{D}(n-1, \beta, k-1)$ and $|E(\Delta)| \geq G(n, \beta)-d^{-}(x)-d^{+}(y)-$ $d^{+}\left(a_{1}\right)-d^{-}\left(b_{1}\right)+\eta-\eta^{\prime}-2 \beta+2$, with $\eta=1$ if $\left(a_{1} b_{1}\right) \in E, \eta=0$ if $\left(a_{1} b_{1}\right) \notin E$, and $\eta^{\prime}=1$ if $\left(b_{1} a_{1}\right) \in E, \eta^{\prime}=0$ if $\left(b_{1} a_{1}\right) \notin E$.

Then $|E(\Delta)| \geq G(n, \beta)-4 n+2=F(n-1, \beta)$.
By the induction hypothesis $5.1, \Delta$ is hamiltonian, and from a hamiltonian cycle in $\Delta$, we can deduce two disjoint paths $P_{1}$ from $x$ to $y$, and $P_{2}$ from $b_{1}$ to $a_{1}$ with $V\left(P_{1}\right) \cup V\left(P_{2}\right)=V(D)$.

Let $\left|V\left(P_{1}\right)\right|=2 n_{1}$ and $\left|V\left(P_{2}\right)\right|=2 n_{2}$.
As $d^{+}\left(a_{1}\right)+d^{-}\left(b_{1}\right) \geq 2 n-\beta+k+2$ the following inequality is satisfied: $d_{P_{1}}^{+}\left(a_{1}\right)+d_{P_{1}}^{-}\left(b_{1}\right) \geq 2 n-\beta+k+2-2 n_{2}=2 n_{1}-\beta+k+2$.

> If $d_{P_{1}}^{+}\left(a_{1}\right)+d_{P_{1}}^{-}\left(b_{1}\right) \geq n_{1}+1$, let $v \in V\left(P_{1}\right) \cap N^{-}\left(b_{1}\right)$ such that $v^{+} \in$ $N^{+}\left(a_{1}\right) ;$
> $\quad\left(x, P_{1}, v, b_{1}, P_{2}, a_{1}, v^{+}, P_{1}, y\right)$ is a hamiltonian path from $x$ to $y$.
> If $d_{P_{1}}^{+}\left(a_{1}\right)+d_{P_{1}}^{-}\left(b_{1}\right) \leq n_{1}$, then $n_{1} \leq \beta-k-2$, and $n_{2} \geq \beta+2 k+2$

If $y^{-}$is the predecessor of $y$ on $P_{1}$ and $x^{+}$is the successor of $x$ on $P_{1}$, by Claim 5.8:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad d^{+}\left(y^{-}\right)+d^{-}\left(x^{+}\right) \geq 2 n-\beta+k+2 ; \\
& d_{P_{1}}^{+}\left(y^{-}\right)+d_{P_{1}}^{-}\left(x^{+}\right) \leq 2 n_{1} \Rightarrow d_{P_{2}}^{+}\left(y^{-}\right)+d_{P_{2}}^{-}\left(x^{+}\right) \geq 2 n_{2}-\beta+k+2 \geq n_{2}+1 . \\
& \text { Let } \alpha \in N_{P_{2}}^{-}\left(x^{+}\right) \text {such that } \alpha^{+} \in N_{P_{2}}^{+}\left(y^{-}\right) ; \\
& \left(x, b_{1}, P_{2}, \alpha, x^{+}, P_{1}, y^{-}, \alpha^{+}, P_{2}, a_{1}, y\right) \text { is a hamiltonian path from } x \text { to } y . \\
& \text { Proposition 5.2 is proved. } \square
\end{aligned}
$$

### 5.4 Proof of Proposition 5.3

Proposition 5.3 Under the induction hypothesis 5.1, if $D \in \mathcal{D}(n, \beta, k)$ satisfies $|E| \geq F(n, \beta), D$ is hamiltonian.

Let $D \in \mathcal{D}(n, \beta, k)$ satisfy $|E| \geq F(n, \beta)$. If we assume that $D$ is not hamiltonian, for any $\operatorname{arc}(x, y) \in E(D)$ there is no hamiltonian path in $D$ from $y$ to $x$; as $|E| \geq F(n, \beta)=G(n, \beta)-\beta$, we can apply Lemma 5.5 with $p=\beta$ and obtain the following Claim:

Claim 5.9. If $D \in \mathcal{D}(n, \beta, k)$ satisfying $|E| \geq F(n, \beta)$ is not hamiltonian, then for any arc $(x y) \in E$ :
(i) If $x \in S, y \notin S$, or $x \notin S, y \in S, d^{+}(x)+d^{-}(y) \geq 2 n-2 \beta+1$,
(ii) If $x \notin S, y \notin S, d^{+}(x)+d^{-}(y) \geq 2 n-\beta+1$,
(iii) $\forall x \in S, d^{+}(x) \geq k+1, d^{-}(x) \geq k+1$,
(iv) $\forall x \notin S, d^{+}(x) \geq \beta+k+1, d^{-}(x) \geq \beta+k+1$.

### 5.4.1 Preliminary Lemma

Lemma 5.10. If $D \in \mathcal{D}(n, \beta, k)$ satisfying $|E| \geq F(n, \beta)$ is not hamiltonian, there exists in $D$ a cycle $C$ of length $2 \beta$ which saturates $X \cap S$ or $Y \cap S$.

The proof is based on the following Claim:
Claim 5.11. If $D \in \mathcal{D}(n, \beta, k)$, and if $|E| \geq F(n, \beta)$, there exists a perfect matching of $X \cap S$ into $Y \cap(D \backslash S)$, and a perfect matching of $Y \cap S$ into $X \cap(D \backslash S)$

Proof:
We use the Hall-Konig Theorem (see [7] p 128) to prove Claim 5.11:

Theorem 5.12. (HALL-KONIG) Let $G=(U, V, E)$ be a bipartite digraph with partite sets $U$ and $V$; if for any subset $A \subset U,\left|N^{+}(A)\right| \geq|A|$, then there exists a perfect matching of $U$ into $V$.

We assume there exists $A \subset X \cap S$, such that if $B=N^{+}(A),|B|<|A|$; the condition $d^{+}(x) \geq k$ for any $x \in A$ implies the inequality:

$$
k \leq|B| \leq|A|-1 \leq \beta-1
$$

and at least $|A|(\beta+k-|B|)$ arcs are missing between $X \cap S$ and $Y \cap(D \backslash S)$; let $t=|B|$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |A|(\beta+k-|B|) \geq(t+1)(\beta+k-t), \text { with } k \leq t \leq \beta-1 . \\
& |A|(\beta+k-|B|) \geq \min _{k \leq t \leq \beta-1}((t+1)(\beta+k-t))=\beta(k+1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then at least $\beta(k+1)$ arcs are missing between $X \cap S$ and $Y \cap(D \backslash S)$, then
$|E(D)| \leq 2 n^{2}-2 \beta^{2}-\beta(k+1)<F(n, \beta)$, a contradiction with the condition $|E(D)| \geq F(n, \beta)$.

Claim 5.11 is proved.

Proof of Lemma 5.10:
Set $l=\min \left(k,\left\lfloor\frac{\beta}{2}\right\rfloor\right)$; we consider the two following cases:
Case 1. There exists a vertex $x_{0} \notin S$ with $\left|E\left(x_{0}, S\right)\right| \leq \beta+l$,
Case 2. For any vertex $x \notin S,|E(x, S)|>\beta+l$.
Case 1: W.l.o.g. we can assume $\left|E\left(x_{0}, S\right)\right| \leq \beta+l$ for a vertex $x_{0} \in X \backslash S$. Let $\left(x_{i} y_{i}\right), 1 \leq i \leq \beta$, be a matching from $X \cap S$ into $Y \cap(D \backslash S)$.
For $1 \leq i \leq \beta$ let $D_{i}^{\prime}=D \backslash\left(\left\{x_{i}, y_{i}\right\} \cup E\left(x_{0}, S\right)\right) ; D_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{D}(n-1, \beta, k-1)$ and :
$\left|E\left(D_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq F(n, \beta)-d\left(x_{i}\right)-d\left(y_{i}\right)+1+\epsilon_{i}-\left|E\left(x_{0}, S\right)\right|$, with $\epsilon_{i}=1$ if $\left(y_{i} x_{i}\right) \in E, \epsilon_{i}=0$ if $\left(y_{i} x_{i}\right) \notin E$.

Case 1-1: $\exists i, 1 \leq i \leq \beta$ such that:
$d\left(x_{i}\right)+d\left(y_{i}\right)-1-\epsilon_{i}+\left|E\left(x_{0}, S\right)\right| \leq F(n, \beta)-F(n-1, \beta)=4 n-2-\beta$.
Then $\left|E\left(D_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq F(n-1, \beta)$ and by the induction hypothesis $5.1 D_{i}^{\prime}$ is hamiltonian.

If $d^{-}\left(x_{i}\right)+d^{+}\left(y_{i}\right) \geq n+2 \epsilon_{i}$, by Lemma 3.3, $D$ is hamiltonian.
If $d^{-}\left(x_{i}\right)+d^{+}\left(y_{i}\right) \leq n-1+2 \epsilon_{i}$, by Claim 5.9, the $\operatorname{arc}\left(y_{i} x_{i}\right) \notin E(D)$, then $\epsilon_{i}=0$.

As $d^{+}\left(x_{i}\right)+d^{-}\left(y_{i}\right) \leq 2 n-\beta$, then $\left.d\left(x_{i}\right)+d y_{i}\right) \leq 3 n-1-\beta$.
$\left|E\left(D_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq F(n, \beta)-(3 n-1-\beta)-\beta-l \geq F(n, \beta)-(3 n+k-2)=G(n-1, \beta) ;$ then $D_{i}^{\prime}$ is hamiltonian-biconnected.

Let $b \in N^{-}\left(x_{i}\right), a \in N^{+}\left(y_{i}\right)$ and let $P$ be a hamiltonian path in $D_{i}$ from $a$ to $b$; the cycle $\left(a, P, b, x_{i}, y_{i}, a\right)$ is a hamiltonian cycle in $D$.

Case 1-2: $\forall i, 1 \leq i \leq \beta$ :

$$
d\left(x_{i}\right)+d\left(y_{i}\right)-1-\epsilon_{i}+\left|E\left(x_{0}, S\right)\right|>F(n, \beta)-F(n-1, \beta)=4 n-2-\beta .
$$

Then $d\left(x_{i}\right)+d\left(y_{i}\right)>4 n-2 \beta-l-1+\epsilon_{i}$; the conditions $d\left(y_{i}\right) \leq 2 n-1+\epsilon_{i}$ and $d\left(x_{i}\right) \leq 2 n-2 \beta-1+\epsilon_{i}$ imply $d\left(x_{i}\right)>2 n-2 \beta-l$ and $d\left(y_{i}\right)>2 n-l$.

As $d^{+}\left(x_{i}\right) \leq n-\beta, d^{-}\left(x_{i}\right) \leq n-\beta, d^{+}\left(y_{i}\right) \leq n, d^{-}\left(y_{i}\right) \leq n$, then we have :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d^{+}\left(x_{i}\right)>n-\beta-l \geq \beta+k-\left\lfloor\frac{\beta}{2}\right\rfloor ; d^{-}\left(x_{i}\right)>n-\beta-l \geq \beta+k-\left\lfloor\frac{\beta}{2}\right\rfloor \\
& d^{+}\left(y_{i}\right)>n-l \geq n-\left\lfloor\frac{\beta}{2}\right\rfloor ; d^{-}\left(y_{i}\right)>n-l \geq n-\left\lfloor\frac{\beta}{2}\right\rfloor .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $H$ be the subgraph induced by $\left\{x_{i}, y_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq \beta\right\}$;
$\forall i, 1 \leq i \leq \beta$, the following inequalities are satisfied:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{H}^{+}\left(x_{i}\right)>\beta+k-\left\lfloor\frac{\beta}{2}\right\rfloor-k=\left\lfloor\frac{\beta+1}{2}\right\rfloor ; d_{H}^{-}\left(x_{i}\right)>\left\lfloor\frac{\beta+1}{2}\right\rfloor \\
& d_{H}^{+}\left(y_{i}\right)>n-\left\lfloor\frac{\beta}{2}\right\rfloor-\beta-k=\left\lfloor\frac{\beta+1}{2}\right\rfloor ; d_{H}^{-}\left(y_{i}\right)>\left\lfloor\frac{\beta+1}{2}\right\rfloor .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem 1.4, $H$ is hamiltonian, and a hamiltonian cycle of $H$ is a cycle of length $2 \beta$ that saturates $X \cap S$.

Case 2 : $\forall x \in S,|E(x, S)|>\beta+l$ with $l=\min \left(k,\left\lfloor\frac{\beta}{2}\right\rfloor\right)$.
As in Definition 3.2, let $D_{1}$ (resp. $D_{2}$ ) denote the subgraph induced by the set of vertices $(X \cap S) \cup(Y \cap(D \backslash S))$, (resp. $(X \cap(D \backslash S) \cup(Y \cap S))$.

As $\left|E\left(D_{1}\right)\right|+\left|E\left(D_{2}\right)\right| \geq F(n, \beta)-2(n-\beta)^{2}=2 \beta(n-\beta)+\beta(n-2 \beta+1)+1$, w.o.l.g. we may assume $\left|E\left(D_{1}\right)\right| \geq 2 \beta(n-\beta)-\frac{1}{2} \beta(n-2 \beta+1)+\frac{1}{2}$.

Case 2-1 : $\beta \geq 2 k+1$, then $l=k$, and $\forall y \in V\left(D_{1}\right) \cap Y, d_{D_{1}}^{+}(y) \geq$ $l+1=k+1, d_{D_{1}}^{-}(y) \geq k+1$; by Claim 5.9, $\forall x \in V\left(D_{1}\right) \cap S, d_{D_{1}}^{+}(x) \geq k+1$, $d_{D_{1}}^{-}(x) \geq k+1$ and

$$
\left|E\left(D_{1}\right)\right| \geq 2 \beta(n-\beta)-\frac{1}{2} \beta(n-2 \beta+1)+\frac{1}{2} \geq 2 \beta(n-\beta)-(k+1)(\beta-k)+1 ; \text { by }
$$

Theorem 1.3, $D_{1}$ has a cycle of length $2 \beta$, hence a cycle that saturates $X \cap S$.
Case 2-2 : $\beta \leq 2 k$, then $l=\left\lfloor\frac{\beta}{2}\right\rfloor$, and $\forall y \in V\left(D_{1}\right) \cap Y$, by the assumption of case 2 ,
$d_{D_{1}}^{+}(y)>\beta+l-\beta \geq\left\lfloor\frac{\beta}{2}\right\rfloor, d_{D_{1}}^{-}(y)>\left\lfloor\frac{\beta}{2}\right\rfloor$, and by Claim 5.9,
$\forall x \in V\left(D_{1}\right) \cap S, d_{D_{1}}^{+}(x) \geq k+1 \geq\left\lfloor\frac{\beta}{2}\right\rfloor+1, d_{D_{1}}^{-}(x) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{\beta}{2}\right\rfloor+1$.
By Theorem 1.4, $D_{1}$ has a cycle of length $2 \beta$ that saturates $X \cap S$.
Lemma 5.10 is proved.

### 5.4.2 Proof of Proposition 5.3

Claim 5.13. Under the assumption of Lemma 5.10, let $C$ be a cycle of length $2 \beta$ in $D$ that saturates $X \cap S$ or $Y \cap S$ and let $\Gamma$ be the subgraph of $D$ induced by $V(D) \backslash V(C)$, then $\Gamma$ is hamiltonian.

Proof:
The subgraph $\Gamma$ satisfies: $|V(\Gamma)|=2(n-\beta),|E(\Gamma)| \geq|E(D)|-2 \beta n$.
By Claim 5.9, $\forall x \in S, d^{+}(x) \geq k+1, d^{-}(x) \geq k+1$ then $\forall x \in V(\Gamma) \cap S, d_{\Gamma}^{+}(x) \geq k+1, d_{\Gamma}^{-}(x) \geq k+1$,
and $\forall x \notin S, d^{+}(x) \geq \beta+k+1, d^{-}(x) \geq \beta+k+1 \Rightarrow$
$\forall x \in V(\Gamma) \cap(D \backslash S), d_{\Gamma}^{+}(x) \geq k+1, d_{\Gamma}^{-}(x) \geq k+1$.
Moreover $|E(\Gamma)| \geq 2(n-\beta)^{2}-\beta(n-2 \beta+1)+1=2(n-\beta)^{2}-(k+$ 1) $(n-\beta-k)+1$.

By Theorem $1.3 \Gamma$ is hamiltonian. $\square$

## Proof of Proposition 5.3 :

If $D \in \mathcal{D}(n, \beta, k)$ satisfying $|E| \geq F(n, \beta)$ is not hamiltonian, by Lemma 5.10 there exists in $D$ a cycle $C$ of length $2 \beta$ which saturates $X \cap S$ or $Y \cap S$; by Claim 5.13 the subgraph $\Gamma$ of $D$ induced by $V(D) \backslash V(C)$ is hamiltonian. As $|V(\Gamma)|=2(n-\beta)>2 \beta=|S|$, then on a hamiltonian cycle of $\Gamma$, there exist arcs with both ends in $D \backslash S$; by Claim 5.9 , if $(x y)$ is such an arc, $d^{+}(x)+d^{-}(y) \geq 2 n-\beta+1$, then $d_{\Gamma}^{+}(x)+d_{\Gamma}^{-}(y) \geq \beta+1$; by Lemma 3.3, $D$ is hamiltonian.

Proposition 5.3 is proved. $\square$
Remark 5.14. For $\beta \geq k+1$, Theorem 2.3 is best possible in some sense because of the following examples :

Example 1 :
Let $D=(X, Y, E)$ where $X=X_{1} \cup X_{2}, Y=Y_{1} \cup Y_{2} \cup Y_{3}$ with $\left|X_{1}\right|=\left|Y_{1}\right|=\beta,\left|X_{2}\right|=\beta+k,\left|Y_{2}\right|=k+1,\left|Y_{3}\right|=\beta-1$.

In $D$, there exist all the arcs between $X_{2}$ and $Y$, between $X_{1}$ and $Y_{3}$ and all the arcs from $Y_{2}$ to $X_{1}$ (no arc from $X_{1}$ to $Y_{2}$ ); $D \in \mathcal{D}(n, \beta, k)$, $|E|=F(n, \beta)-1$ and $D$ is not hamiltonian (there is no perfect matching from $X_{1}$ into $Y$ ).

## Example 2:

Same definition than example 1, with $\left|Y_{2}\right|=k,\left|Y_{3}\right|=\beta$; then $|E|=$ $G(n, \beta)-1$ and if $x \in X_{1}, y \in Y_{3}$, there is no hamiltonian path from $x$ to $y$.
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