

A LARGE WIENER SAUSAGE FROM CRUMBS

OMER ANGEL

*Department of Mathematics, The Weizmann Institute of Science,
Rehovot, Israel 76100*

email: omer@wisdom.weizmann.ac.il

ITAI BENJAMINI

*Department of Mathematics, The Weizmann Institute of Science,
Rehovot, Israel 76100*

email: itai@wisdom.weizmann.ac.il

<http://www.wisdom.weizmann.ac.il/~itai/>

YUVAL PERES

*Institute of Mathematics, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem
and Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley, USA*

email: peres@math.huji.ac.il

<http://www.math.huji.ac.il/~peres/>

submitted March 20, 2000 Final version accepted April 14, 2000

AMS 1991 Subject classification: Primary 60J45; Secondary 60J65, 31C15
Brownian motion, capacity, polar set, Wiener sausage

Abstract

Let $B(t)$ denote Brownian motion in \mathbb{R}^d . It is a classical fact that for any Borel set A in \mathbb{R}^d , the volume $V_1(A)$ of the Wiener sausage $B[0, 1] + A$ has nonzero expectation iff A is nonpolar. We show that for any nonpolar A , the random variable $V_1(A)$ is unbounded.

1. Introduction

The impetus for this note was the following message, that was sent to one of us (Y. P.) by Harry Kesten:

“... First a question, though. It is not of major importance but has bugged me for a while in connection with some large deviation result for the Wiener sausage (with Yuji Hamana). Let $V_1(A)$ be the volume of the Wiener sausage at time 1, that is, $V_1(A) = \text{Vol}_d\left(\cup_{s \leq 1} B_s + A\right)$, where B_s is d -dimensional Brownian motion, and A is a d -dimensional

set of positive capacity. Is it true that the support of $V_1(A)$ is unbounded, i.e., is $\mathbf{P}[V_1(A) > x] > 0$ for all x ? This is easy if A has a section of positive $(d-1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure, but I cannot prove it in general. Do you have any idea? ”

We were intrigued by this question, because it led us to ponder the source of the volume of the Wiener sausage when A is a “small” set (*e.g.*, a nonpolar set of zero Hausdorff dimension, in the plane). Is it due to the macroscopic movement of B (in which case $V_1(A)$ would not be bounded) or to the microscopic fluctuations (in which case $V_1(A)$ might be bounded, like the quadratic variation)?

Our proof of the following theorem indicates that while the microscopic fluctuations of B are necessary for the positivity of $V_1(A)$, the macroscopic behaviour of B certainly affects the magnitude of $V_1(A)$.

Theorem 1. *If the capacity $\mathcal{C}(A)$ of $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is positive, then $V_1(A)$ is not bounded.*

The relevant capacity can be defined for $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $d \geq 3$, by

$$\mathcal{C}(A) = \sup_{\mu} \frac{\mu(A)^2}{\mathcal{E}(\mu)}$$

$$\text{where } \mathcal{E}(\mu) = \iint \frac{c_d d\mu(x) d\mu(y)}{|x-y|^{d-2}},$$

and the supremum is over measures supported on A . (the constant c_d is unimportant for our purpose). A similar formula holds for $d = 2$ with a logarithmic kernel; in that case $\mathcal{C}(A)$ is often called Robin’s constant, and it will be convenient to restrict attention to sets A of diameter less than 1.

Denote by τ_A the hitting time of A by Brownian motion. By Fubini’s theorem

$$\mathbf{E}[V_1(A)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{P}_x[\tau_A \leq 1] dx.$$

It follows from the relation between potential theory and Brownian motion, that $\mathbf{E}[V_1(A)]$ is nonzero if and only if A has positive capacity; see, *e.g.*, [3], [2], or [4].

2. The recipe

For any kernel $K(x, y)$, the corresponding capacity is defined by $\mathcal{C}_K(A) = \sup_{\mu} \frac{\mu(A)^2}{\mathcal{E}_K(\mu)}$ where $\mathcal{E}_K(\mu) = \iint K(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y)$ and the supremum is over measures on A . We assume that $K(x, x) = \infty$ for all x , and that for $0 < |x - y| < R_K$, the kernel K is continuous and $K(x, y) > 0$.

The following lemma holds for all such kernels.

Lemma 1. *If a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ has $\mathcal{C}_K(A) > 0$, then for any $L < \infty$ there exists $\epsilon > 0$ and subsets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m of A such that $\sum_{i=1}^m \mathcal{C}_K(A_i) \geq L$, and the distance between A_i and A_j is at least ϵ for all $i \neq j$. (m and ϵ depend on A and L).*

Proof: We can assume that $\text{diam}(A) < R_K$, for otherwise we can replace A by a subset of positive capacity and diameter less than R_K .

Let μ be a measure supported on A such that $\mu(A) = 1$ and $\mathcal{E}_K(\mu) < \infty$.

By dominated convergence,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \iint_{|x-y| \leq \delta} K(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) = 0.$$

Choose δ so that this integral is less than $2^{-2d}L^{-1}$. Let $\epsilon = \delta d^{-1/2}$ and let \mathcal{F} be a grid of cubes of side ϵ , *i.e.*,

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^d [\epsilon \ell_i, \epsilon \ell_i + \epsilon) : (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \right\}.$$

We can partition \mathcal{F} into 2^d subcollections $\{\mathcal{F}_v : v \in \{0, 1\}^d\}$ according to the vector of parities of (ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_d) . Then the distance between any two cubes in the same \mathcal{F}_v is at least ϵ . Since μ is a probability measure, there exists $v \in \{0, 1\}^d$ such that

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}_v} \mu(Q) \geq 2^{-d}. \quad (1)$$

Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m be all the nonempty sets among $\{A \cap Q : Q \in \mathcal{F}_v\}$. Since μ is supported on A , we can rewrite (1) as $\sum_{i=1}^m \mu(A_i) \geq 2^{-d}$.

Denote by $e_i = \iint_{A_i \times A_i} K(x, y) d\mu d\mu$ the energy in A_i . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^m e_i \leq \iint_{|x-y| \leq \delta} K(x, y) d\mu d\mu < 2^{-2d}L^{-1}. \quad (2)$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^m e_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\mu(A_i)^2}{e_i} \right) \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \mu(A_i) \right)^2 \geq 2^{-2d}. \quad (3)$$

We have $\mathcal{C}_K(A_i) \geq \mu(A_i)^2/e_i$, whence

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \mathcal{C}_K(A_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\mu(A_i)^2}{e_i} \geq L,$$

by (2) and (3). □

Proof of Theorem 1: Suppose that

$$\text{esssup } V_1(A) = M < \infty. \quad (4)$$

Let $V_t(A)$ denote the volume of the Wiener sausage $B[0, t] + A$. From Spitzer [3] (see also [2] or [1]) it follows that $\mathbf{E}[V_1(A)] > 2\alpha_d \mathcal{C}(A)$ for some absolute constant α_d . (If $d = 2$ we assume that $\text{diam} A < 1$). We infer that $\mathbf{E}[V_t(A)] > \alpha_d t \mathcal{C}(A)$ for $0 < t < 1$, by subadditivity of Lebesgue measure and monotonicity of $V_t(A)$,

Fix $L > 6M/\alpha_d$, and let A_1, \dots, A_m be the subsets of A given by the lemma. A Wiener sausage on A contains the union of Wiener sausages on the A_i , and the sum of their volumes is expected to be large. If we can arrange for the intersections to be small, then $V_1(A)$ will be large as well.

Consider the event

$$H_n = \left\{ \max_{0 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2n}} |B_s| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right\}.$$

By Brownian scaling and standard estimates for the maximum of Brownian motion,

$$\mathbf{P}[H_n^c] \leq 4d \exp\left(-\frac{n\epsilon^2}{4d}\right).$$

Choose n large enough so that the right-hand side is less than $\frac{1}{nm}$. For each i , we have $\mathbf{E}[V_{\frac{1}{2n}}(A_i) \mid H_n^c] \leq M$ by (4), so

$$\mathbf{E}[V_{\frac{1}{2n}}(A_i) \mid H_n] \geq \mathbf{E}[V_{\frac{1}{2n}}(A_i)] - M\mathbf{P}[H_n^c] \geq \frac{\alpha_d}{2n}\mathcal{C}(A_i) - \frac{M}{mn}. \quad (5)$$

For $0 \leq j < n$, denote by G_j the event that

$$\max_{\frac{2j}{2n} \leq s \leq \frac{2j+1}{2n}} |B_s - B_{\frac{2j}{2n}}| < \epsilon/2$$

and the first coordinate of the increment $B_{\frac{2j+2}{2n}} - B_{\frac{2j+1}{2n}}$ is greater than the $\text{diam}(A) + 2\epsilon$.

Define $G = \bigcap_{j=0}^{n-1} G_j$. We will see that the expectation of $V_1(A)$ given G is large.

On the event G , for each fixed j , the m sausages $\{B[\frac{2j}{2n}, \frac{2j+1}{2n}] + A_i\}_{i=1}^m$ are pairwise disjoint due to the separation of the A_i and the localization of B in the time interval $[\frac{2j}{2n}, \frac{2j+1}{2n}]$. Therefore,

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\text{Vol}_d\left(B\left[\frac{2j}{2n}, \frac{2j+1}{2n}\right] + A\right) \mid G\right] \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\alpha_d}{2n}\mathcal{C}(A_i) - \frac{M}{mn}\right) \geq \frac{\alpha_d L}{2n} - \frac{M}{n} > \frac{2M}{n}.$$

Also, on G , the sausages on the odd intervals, $B[\frac{2j}{2n}, \frac{2j+1}{2n}] + A$ for $0 \leq j < n$, are pairwise disjoint due to the large increments of B (in the first coordinate) on the even intervals. We conclude that

$$\mathbf{E}[V_1(A) \mid G] \geq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{E}\left[\text{Vol}_d\left(B\left[\frac{2j}{2n}, \frac{2j+1}{2n}\right] + A\right) \mid G\right] > 2M. \quad (6)$$

This contradicts the assumption (4) and completes the proof. \square

Questions:

- Can the event G that we conditioned on at the end of the preceding proof, be replaced by a simpler event involving just the endpoint of the Brownian path? In particular, does every nonpolar $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfy

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}\left[V_1(A) \mid |B(1)| > R\right] = \infty?$$

- Can one estimate precisely the tail probabilities $\mathbf{P}[V_1(A) > v]$ for specific nonpolar fractal sets A and large v , *e.g.*, when $d = 2$ and A is the middle-third Cantor set on the x -axis?

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Harry Kesten for suggesting the problem, and for a correction to an earlier version of this note. We thank Dimitris Gatzouras and Yimin Xiao for helpful comments. Research of Peres was partially supported by

NSF grant #DMS-9803597 and by the Landau Center for Mathematical Analysis at the Hebrew University.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Benjamini, R. Pemantle and Y. Peres, Martin capacity for Markov chains. *Ann. Probab.* **23** (1995), 1332–1346.
- [2] K. Itô and H. P. McKean (1974), *Diffusion Processes and Their Sample Paths*, Springer-Verlag.
- [3] F. Spitzer (1964), Electrostatic capacity, heat flow, and Brownian motion. *Z. Wahrschein. Verw. Gebiete* **3**, 110–121.
- [4] A. S. Sznitman (1998), *Brownian motion, Obstacles and Random Media*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.