

DEVIATION INEQUALITIES AND MODERATE DEVIATIONS FOR ESTIMATORS OF PARAMETERS IN AN ORNSTEIN-UHLENBECK PROCESS WITH LINEAR DRIFT

FUQING GAO¹

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, P.R.China
email: fqgao@whu.edu.cn

HUI JIANG

School of Science, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing 210016, P.R.China
email: huijiang@nuaa.edu.cn

Submitted December 29, 2008, accepted in final form April 21, 2009

AMS 2000 Subject classification: 60F12, 62F12, 62N02

Keywords: Deviation inequality, logarithmic Sobolev inequality, moderate deviations, Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process

Abstract

Some deviation inequalities and moderate deviation principles for the maximum likelihood estimators of parameters in an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process with linear drift are established by the logarithmic Sobolev inequality and the exponential martingale method.

1 Introduction and main results

1.1 Introduction

We consider the following Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process

$$dX_t = (-\theta X_t + \gamma)dt + dW_t, \quad X_0 = x \tag{1.1}$$

where W is a standard Brownian motion and θ, γ are unknown parameters with $\theta \in (0, +\infty)$. We denote by $P_{\theta, \gamma, x}$ the distribution of the solution of (1.1).

It is known that the maximum likelihood estimators (MLE) of the parameters θ and γ are (cf.

¹RESEARCH SUPPORTED BY THE NATIONAL NATURAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION OF CHINA (10871153)

[15])

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\theta}_T &= \frac{-T \int_0^T X_t dX_t + (X_T - x) \int_0^T X_t dt}{T \int_0^T X_t^2 dt - \left(\int_0^T X_t dt \right)^2} \\ &= \theta + \frac{W_T \hat{\mu}_T - \int_0^T X_t dW_t}{T \hat{\sigma}_T^2},\end{aligned}\quad (1.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\gamma}_T &= \frac{-\int_0^T X_t dt \int_0^T X_t dX_t + (X_T - x) \int_0^T X_t^2 dt}{T \int_0^T X_t^2 dt - \left(\int_0^T X_t dt \right)^2} \\ &= \gamma + \frac{W_T}{T} + \frac{\hat{\mu}_T (W_T \hat{\mu}_T - \int_0^T X_t dW_t)}{T \hat{\sigma}_T^2},\end{aligned}\quad (1.3)$$

where

$$\hat{\mu}_T = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt, \quad \hat{\sigma}_T^2 = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t^2 dt - \hat{\mu}_T^2. \quad (1.4)$$

It is known that $\hat{\theta}_T$ and $\hat{\gamma}_T$ are consistent estimators of θ and γ and have asymptotic normality (cf. [15]).

For $\gamma \equiv 0$ case, Florens-Landais and Pham ([9]) calculated the Laplace functional of $(\int_0^T X_t dX_t, \int_0^T X_t^2 dt)$ by Girsanov's formula and obtained large deviations for $\hat{\theta}_T$ by Gärtner-Ellis theorem. Bercu and Rouault ([1]) presented a sharp large deviation for $\hat{\theta}_T$. Lezaud ([14]) obtained the deviation inequality of quadratic functional of the classical OU processes. We refer to [8] and [11] for the moderate deviations of some non-linear functionals of moving average processes and diffusion processes. In this paper we use the logarithmic Sobolev inequality (LSI) to study the deviation inequalities and the moderate deviations of $\hat{\theta}_T$ and $\hat{\gamma}_T$ for $\gamma \neq 0$ case.

1.2 Main results

Throughout this paper, let $\lambda_T, T \geq 1$ be a positive sequence satisfying

$$\lambda_T \rightarrow \infty, \quad \frac{\lambda_T}{\sqrt{T}} \rightarrow 0. \quad (1.5)$$

Theorem 1.1. *There exist finite positive constants C_0, C_1, C_2 and C_3 such that for all $r > 0$ and all $T \geq 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned}P_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(|\hat{\theta}_T - \theta| \geq r \right) &\leq C_0 \exp \left\{ -C_1 r T E_{\theta, \gamma, x} (\hat{\sigma}_T^2) \min \{1, C_2 r\} \right\} \\ &\quad + C_0 \exp \left\{ -C_3 T E_{\theta, \gamma, x} (\hat{\sigma}_T^2) \right\}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}P_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(|\hat{\gamma}_T - \gamma| \geq r \right) &\leq C_0 \exp \left\{ -C_1 r T E_{\theta, \gamma, x} (\hat{\sigma}_T^2) \min \{1, C_2 r\} \right\} \\ &\quad + C_0 \exp \left\{ -C_3 T E_{\theta, \gamma, x} (\hat{\sigma}_T^2) \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.1. *In this theorem and the remainder of the paper, all the constants involved depend on θ, γ and the initial point x .*

Theorem 1.2. (1). $\left\{P_{\theta,\gamma,x}\left(\sqrt{\frac{T}{\lambda_T}}(\hat{\theta}_T - \theta) \in \cdot\right), T \geq 1\right\}$ satisfies the large deviation principle with speed λ_T and rate function $I_1(u) = \frac{u^2}{4\theta}$, that is, for any closed set F in \mathbb{R} ,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_T} \log P_{\theta,\gamma,x}\left(\sqrt{\frac{T}{\lambda_T}}(\hat{\theta}_T - \theta) \in F\right) \leq -\inf_{u \in F} \frac{u^2}{4\theta}$$

and open set G in \mathbb{R} ,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_T} \log P_{\theta,\gamma,x}\left(\sqrt{\frac{T}{\lambda_T}}(\hat{\theta}_T - \theta) \in G\right) \geq -\inf_{u \in G} \frac{u^2}{4\theta}.$$

(2). $\left\{P_{\theta,\gamma,x}\left(\sqrt{\frac{T}{\lambda_T}}(\hat{\gamma}_T - \gamma) \in \cdot\right), T \geq 1\right\}$ satisfies the large deviation principle with speed λ_T and rate function $I_2(u) = \frac{\theta u^2}{2(\theta + 2\gamma^2)}$, that is, for any closed set F in \mathbb{R} ,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_T} \log P_{\theta,\gamma,x}\left(\sqrt{\frac{T}{\lambda_T}}(\hat{\gamma}_T - \gamma) \in F\right) \leq -\inf_{u \in F} \frac{\theta u^2}{2(\theta + 2\gamma^2)}$$

and open set G in \mathbb{R} ,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_T} \log P_{\theta,\gamma,x}\left(\sqrt{\frac{T}{\lambda_T}}(\hat{\gamma}_T - \gamma) \in G\right) \geq -\inf_{u \in G} \frac{\theta u^2}{2(\theta + 2\gamma^2)}.$$

In $\gamma = 0$ case, the deviation inequalities of quadratic functionals of the classical OU process are obtained in [14]. For the large deviations and the moderate deviations of $\hat{\theta}_T$, we refer to [1], [9] and [11]. The proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 are based on the LSI with respect to L^2 -norm in the Wiener space and Herbst's argument (cf. [10], [12]).

2 Deviation inequalities

In this section, we give some deviation inequalities for the estimators $\hat{\theta}_T$ and $\hat{\gamma}_T$ by the logarithmic Sobolev inequality and the exponential martingale method. For deviation bounds for additive functionals of Markov processes, we refer to [3] and [18].

2.1 Moments

It is known that the solution of equation (1.1) has the following expression:

$$X_t = \left(x - \frac{\gamma}{\theta}\right) e^{-\theta t} + \frac{\gamma}{\theta} + e^{-\theta t} \int_0^t e^{\theta s} dW_s. \quad (2.1)$$

From this expression, it is easily seen that for any $t \geq 0$,

$$\mu_t := E_{\theta,\gamma,x}(X_t) = \left(x - \frac{\gamma}{\theta}\right) e^{-\theta t} + \frac{\gamma}{\theta}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\sigma_t^2 := \text{Var}_{\theta,\gamma,x}(X_t) = \frac{1}{2\theta}(1 - e^{-2\theta t}) \quad (2.3)$$

and for any $0 \leq s \leq t$,

$$\text{Cov}_{\theta,\gamma,x}(X_s, X_t) = \frac{1}{2\theta}(1 - e^{-2\theta s})e^{-\theta(t-s)}. \quad (2.4)$$

Therefore

$$E_{\theta,\gamma,x}(\hat{\mu}_T) = \frac{1}{T}E_{\theta,\gamma,x}\left(\int_0^T X_t dt\right) = \frac{1}{\theta T}\left(x - \frac{\gamma}{\theta}\right)(1 - e^{-\theta T}) + \frac{\gamma}{\theta}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_{\theta,\gamma,x}(\hat{\mu}_T) &= \frac{1}{T^2}E_{\theta,\gamma,x}\left(\left(\int_0^T e^{-\theta t}\int_0^t e^{\theta s}dW_s dt\right)^2\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta^2 T^2}\left(T - \frac{1}{2\theta}(e^{-2\theta T} - 1) + \frac{2}{\theta}(e^{-\theta T} - 1)\right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

and so for all $T \geq 1$,

$$\text{Var}_{\theta,\gamma,x}(\hat{\mu}_T) \leq \frac{1}{2\theta^3 T}(2\theta + 1) \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\theta,\gamma,x}(\hat{\sigma}_T^2) &= \frac{1}{2\theta} + \frac{1}{4\theta^2 T}(1 - e^{-2\theta T})\left(-1 + 2\theta\left(x - \frac{\gamma}{\theta}\right)^2\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\theta^2 T^2}(1 - e^{-\theta T})^2\left(x - \frac{\gamma}{\theta}\right)^2(1 - e^{-\theta T}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\theta^2 T^2}\left(T - \frac{1}{2\theta}(e^{-2\theta T} - 1) + \frac{2}{\theta}(e^{-\theta T} - 1)\right) \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\left|E_{\theta,\gamma,x}(\hat{\sigma}_T^2) - \frac{1}{2\theta}\right| \leq \frac{1}{\theta^2 T}\left(\theta\left(x - \frac{\gamma}{\theta}\right)^2 + \frac{2}{\theta}\right). \quad (2.8)$$

Lemma 2.1. For any $0 \leq \alpha \leq \theta^2/4$, for all $T \geq 1$,

$$E_{\theta,\gamma,x}\left(\exp\left(\alpha\int_0^T X_t^2 dt\right)\right) < \infty,$$

and there exist finite positive constants L_1 and L_2 such that for all $0 \leq \alpha \leq \theta^2/4$ and $T \geq 1$,

$$E_{\theta,\gamma,x}\left(\exp\left(\alpha\int_0^T X_t^2 dt\right)\right) \leq L_1 e^{L_2 \alpha T}.$$

Proof. For any $0 \leq \alpha \leq \theta^2/4$, set $\kappa = \sqrt{\theta^2 - 2\alpha}$. Then by Girsanov theorem, we have

$$\frac{dP_{\theta,\gamma,x}}{dP_{\kappa,\gamma,x}} = \exp\left\{-\int_0^T (\theta - \kappa)X_t dX_t - \int_0^T (\alpha X_t^2 - \gamma(\theta - \kappa)X_t)dt\right\}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
& E_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\exp \left(\alpha \int_0^T X_t^2 dt \right) \right) \\
&= E_{\kappa, \gamma, x} \left(\frac{dP_{\theta, \gamma, x}}{dP_{\kappa, \gamma, x}} \exp \left\{ \alpha \int_0^T X_t^2 dt \right\} \right) \\
&= E_{\kappa, \gamma, x} \left(\exp \left\{ (-\theta + \kappa) \int_0^T X_t dX_t + \gamma \int_0^T (\theta - \kappa) X_t dt \right\} \right) \\
&= E_{\kappa, \gamma, x} \left(\exp \left\{ \frac{-(\theta - \kappa)}{2} (X_T^2 - T) + \gamma \int_0^T (\theta - \kappa) X_t dt \right\} \right) \\
&\leq \exp \left\{ \frac{(\theta - \kappa)T}{2} \right\} E_{\kappa, \gamma, x} \left(\exp \left\{ \gamma \int_0^T (\theta - \kappa) X_t dt \right\} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is due to $\theta \geq \kappa$. Now we have to estimate $E_{\kappa, \gamma, x}(\exp\{\gamma \int_0^T (\theta - \kappa) X_t dt\})$. Since under $P_{\kappa, \gamma, x}$,

$$\hat{\mu}_T \sim N \left(\frac{1}{\kappa T} (x - \frac{\gamma}{\kappa})(1 - e^{-\kappa T}) + \frac{\gamma}{\kappa}, \frac{1}{\kappa^2 T^2} \left(T - \frac{1}{2\kappa} (e^{-2\kappa T} - 1) + \frac{2}{\kappa} (e^{-\kappa T} - 1) \right) \right),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E_{\kappa, \gamma, x} \left(\exp \left\{ \gamma \int_0^T (\theta - \kappa) X_t dt \right\} \right) \\
&= \exp \left\{ \frac{\gamma(\theta - \kappa)}{\kappa} \left(\left(x - \frac{\gamma}{\kappa} \right) (1 - e^{-\kappa T}) + \gamma T \right) \right\} \\
&\quad \cdot \exp \left\{ \frac{\gamma^2 (\theta - \kappa)^2}{2\kappa^2} \left(T - \frac{1}{2\kappa} (e^{-2\kappa T} - 1) + \frac{2}{\kappa} (e^{-\kappa T} - 1) \right) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Noting $\theta/\sqrt{2} \leq \kappa \leq \theta$, $0 \leq \theta - \kappa = 2\alpha/(\theta + \kappa) \leq 2\alpha/\theta$ and $(\theta - \kappa)^2 \leq \alpha\theta$ for all $0 \leq \alpha \leq \theta^2/4$, we complete the proof of the lemma. \square

2.2 Logarithmic Sobolev inequality

Since the LSI with respect to the Cameron-Martin metric does not produce the concentration inequality of correct order in large time T for the functionals

$$F(X) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \left(\int_0^T g(X_s) ds - \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T g(X_s) ds \right) \right),$$

in order to get the concentration inequality of correct order for the functionals $F(X)$, as pointed out by Djellout, Guillin and Wu ([7]) we should establish the LSI with respect to the L^2 -metric.

Let us introduce the logarithmic Sobolev inequality on W with respect to the gradient in $L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ ([10]). Let μ be the Wiener measure on $W = C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$. A function $f : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be

differentiable with respect to the L^2 -norm, if it can be extend to $L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ and for any $w \in W$, there exists a bounded linear operator $Df(w) : g \rightarrow D_g f(w)$ on $L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\lim_{\|g\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(w+g) - f(w) - D_g f(w)|}{\|g\|_{L^2}} = 0.$$

If $f : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable with respect to the L^2 -norm, then there exists a unique element $\nabla f(w) = (\nabla_t f(w), t \in [0, T])$ in $L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$D_g f(w) = \langle \nabla f(w), g \rangle_{L^2}, \quad \text{for all } g \in L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R}).$$

Denote by $C_b^1(W/L^2)$ the space of all bounded function f on W , differentiable with respect to the L^2 -norm, such that ∇f is also continuous and bounded from W equipped with L^2 -norm to $L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R})$. Applying Theorem 2.3 in [10] to the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process with linear drift, we have

$$Ent_{P_{\theta, \gamma, x}}(f^2) \leq \frac{2}{\theta^2} E_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\int_0^T |\nabla_t f|^2 dt \right), \quad f \in C_b^1(W/L^2) \quad (2.9)$$

where the entropy of f^2 is given by

$$Ent_{P_{\theta, \gamma, x}}(f^2) = E_{\theta, \gamma, x}(f^2 \log f^2) - E_{\theta, \gamma, x}(f^2) \log E_{\theta, \gamma, x}(f^2).$$

Lemma 2.2. For any $|\alpha| \leq \theta^2/4$,

$$E_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\exp \left\{ \alpha \left(\int_0^T X_t^2 dt - E_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\int_0^T X_t^2 dt \right) \right) \right\} \right) \leq E_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\exp \left\{ \frac{4\alpha^2}{\theta^2} \int_0^T X_t^2 dt \right\} \right)$$

and

$$E_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\exp \left\{ \alpha T (\hat{\mu}_T^2 - E_{\theta, \gamma, x}(\hat{\mu}_T^2)) \right\} \right) \leq E_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\exp \left\{ \frac{4\alpha^2}{\theta^2} \int_0^T X_t^2 dt \right\} \right).$$

Proof. We apply Theorem 2.7 in [12] to prove the conclusions of the lemma. Take $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{\alpha f; |\alpha| \leq \theta^2/4\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_2 = \{ah; |\alpha| \leq \theta^2/4\}$, where

$$f(w) = \int_0^T w_t^2 dt, \quad h(w) = \frac{1}{T} \left(\int_0^T w_t dt \right)^2.$$

Define

$$\Gamma_1(g_1) = \frac{4}{\theta^2} \frac{g_1^2}{f}, \quad g_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1; \quad \Gamma_2(g_2) = \frac{4}{\theta^2} \frac{g_2^2}{h}, \quad g_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2.$$

Then for any $\lambda \in [-1, 1]$, $g_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $g_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$, $\lambda g_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, $\lambda g_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$, $\Gamma_1(\lambda g_1) = \lambda^2 \Gamma_1(g_1)$, $\Gamma_2(\lambda g_2) = \lambda^2 \Gamma_2(g_2)$ and by Lemma 2.1

$$E_{\theta, \gamma, x}(\exp\{\lambda \Gamma_1(g_1)\}) < \infty, \quad E_{\theta, \gamma, x}(\exp\{\lambda \Gamma_2(g_2)\}) < \infty.$$

Choose a sequence of real C^∞ -functions Φ_n , $n \geq 1$ with compact support such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|x| \leq M} |\Phi_n(x) - e^x| = 0$ for all $M \in (0, \infty)$. For any $g_1 = \alpha f \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $g_2 = ah \in \mathcal{A}_2$, set

$$F_n(w) = \Phi_n(g_1(w)/2), \quad H_n(w) = \Phi_n(g_2(w)/2).$$

Then for any $g \in L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R})$,

$$\lim_{\|g\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0} \frac{|F_n(w+g) - F_n(w) - \alpha \Phi'_n(g_1(w)/2) \langle w, g \rangle_{L^2}|}{\|g\|_{L^2}} = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{\|g\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0} \frac{|H_n(w+g) - H_n(w) - \alpha \Phi'_n(g_2(w)/2) \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T w_t dt \int_0^T g_t dt|}{\|g\|_{L^2}} = 0.$$

Therefore, $F_n, H_n \in C_b^1(W/L^2)$, $\nabla F_n = \alpha \Phi'_n(g_1(w)/2) w$, and

$$\nabla H_n = \frac{\alpha}{T} \int_0^T w_t dt \Phi'_n(g_2(w)/2)$$

and so by (2.9), we have

$$Ent_{P_{\theta, \gamma, x}}(F_n^2) \leq \frac{2}{\theta^2} E_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\int_0^T |\alpha w_t|^2 dt (\Phi'_n(g_1(w)/2))^2 \right)$$

and

$$Ent_{P_{\theta, \gamma, x}}(H_n^2) \leq \frac{2}{\theta^2} E_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\frac{1}{T} \left(\alpha \int_0^T w_t dt \right)^2 (\Phi'_n(g_2(w)/2))^2 \right).$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and by Lemma 2.1, we get

$$Ent_{P_{\theta, \gamma, x}}(e^{g_1}) \leq \frac{1}{2} E_{\theta, \gamma, x}(\Gamma_1(g_1)e^{g_1}), \quad Ent_{P_{\theta, \gamma, x}}(e^{g_2}) \leq \frac{1}{2} E_{\theta, \gamma, x}(\Gamma_2(g_2)e^{g_2}), \quad (2.10)$$

and so the conclusions of the lemma hold by Theorem 2.7 in [12] and $T\hat{\mu}_T^2 \leq \int_0^T X_t^2 dt$. \square

2.3 Deviation inequalities

Since $X_T \sim N(\mu_T, \sigma_T^2)$, and under $P_{\theta, \gamma, x}$

$$\hat{\mu}_T \sim N\left(\frac{1}{\theta T}(x - \frac{\gamma}{\theta})(1 - e^{-\theta T}) + \frac{\gamma}{\theta}, \frac{1}{\theta^2 T^2} \left(T - \frac{1}{2\theta}(e^{-2\theta T} - 1) + \frac{2}{\theta}(e^{-\theta T} - 1)\right)\right),$$

it is easily to get from Chebyshev inequality, for any $r > 0$,

$$P_{\theta, \gamma, x}(|X_T - E_{\theta, \gamma, x}(X_T)| \geq r) \leq 2 \exp\{-\theta r^2\}, \quad (2.11)$$

$$P_{\theta, \gamma, x}(|\hat{\mu}_T - E_{\theta, \gamma, x}(\hat{\mu}_T)| \geq r) \leq 2 \exp\left\{-\frac{\theta^3 T r^2}{2\theta + 1}\right\} \quad (2.12)$$

where we used (2.7).

Lemma 2.3. *There exist finite positive constants C_0, C_1, C_2 such that for all $r > 0$ and all $T \geq 1$,*

$$P_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\left| \int_0^T X_t^2 dt - E_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\int_0^T X_t^2 dt \right) \right| \geq rT \right) \leq C_0 \exp \{-C_1 rT \min \{1, C_2 r\}\}$$

and

$$P_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\left| \hat{\mu}_T^2 - E_{\theta, \gamma, x}(\hat{\mu}_T^2) \right| \geq r \right) \leq C_0 \exp \{-C_1 rT \min \{1, C_2 r\}\}.$$

In particular, there exist finite positive constants C_0, C_1, C_2 such that for all $r > 0$ and all $T \geq 1$,

$$P_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\left| \hat{\sigma}_T^2 - E_{\theta, \gamma, x}(\hat{\sigma}_T^2) \right| \geq r \right) \leq C_0 \exp \{-C_1 rT \min \{1, C_2 r\}\}.$$

Proof. We only prove the first inequality. By Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.1, there exist finite positive constants L_1 and L_2 such that for all $T \geq 1$, for any $|\alpha| \leq \theta^2/4$,

$$E_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\exp \left\{ \alpha \left(\int_0^T X_t^2 dt - E_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\int_0^T X_t^2 dt \right) \right) \right\} \right) \leq L_1 e^{L_2 \alpha^2 T}.$$

Therefore, by Chebyshev inequality, for any $r > 0$, $T \geq 1$ and $|\alpha| \leq \theta^2/4$,

$$P_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\int_0^T X_t^2 dt - E_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\int_0^T X_t^2 dt \right) \geq rT \right) \leq L_1 e^{-(\alpha r - L_2 \alpha^2)T}$$

and

$$P_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\int_0^T X_t^2 dt - E_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\int_0^T X_t^2 dt \right) \leq -rT \right) \leq L_1 e^{-(\alpha r - L_2 \alpha^2)T}.$$

Now, by

$$\sup_{|\alpha| \leq \theta^2/4} \{\alpha r - L_2 \alpha^2\} \geq \frac{\theta^2 r}{8} \min \left\{ 1, \frac{2r}{L_2 \theta^2} \right\},$$

we obtain the first inequality of the lemma from the above estimates. \square

Lemma 2.4. *There exist finite positive constants C_0, C_1 and C_2 such that for all $r > 0$ and all $T \geq 1$,*

$$P_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\left| W_T \left(\hat{\mu}_T - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) \right| \geq rT \right) \leq C_0 \exp \{-C_1 rT \min \{1, C_2 r\}\}.$$

Proof. Since for any $r > 0$ and $T \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \left| W_T \left(\hat{\mu}_T - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) \right| \geq rT \right\} \\ & \subset \left\{ \left| W_T (\hat{\mu}_T - E_{\theta, \gamma, x}(\hat{\mu}_T)) \right| \geq rT/2 \right\} \cup \left\{ \left| W_T \left(E_{\theta, \gamma, x}(\hat{\mu}_T) - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) \right| \geq rT/2 \right\} \\ & \subset \left\{ |W_T| \geq \sqrt{r}T/2 \right\} \cup \left\{ \left| (\hat{\mu}_T - E_{\theta, \gamma, x}(\hat{\mu}_T)) \right| \geq \sqrt{r} \right\} \cup \left\{ \left| W_T \right| \geq \frac{\theta r T}{2 \left| x - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right|} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

by (2.12) and $W_T \sim N(0, T)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & P_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\left| W_T \left(\hat{\mu}_T - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) \right| \geq rT \right) \\ & \leq 2 \exp \left\{ -\frac{Tr}{8} \right\} + 2 \exp \left\{ -\frac{\theta^3 Tr}{2\theta + 1} \right\} + 2 \exp \left\{ -\frac{\theta^2 r^2 T}{8 \left(x - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right)^2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.5. For each $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ fixed, there exist finite positive constants C_0, C_1, C_2 such that for all $r > 0$ and all $T \geq 1$,

$$P_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\left| \int_0^T (X_t - \beta) dW_t \right| \geq rT \right) \leq C_0 \exp \{ -C_1 rT \min \{ 1, C_2 r \} \}.$$

Proof. It is known that for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$M_T^{(\alpha)} = \exp \left\{ \alpha \int_0^T (X_t - \beta) dW_t - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \int_0^T (X_t - \beta)^2 dt \right\}, \quad T \geq 0$$

is \mathcal{F}_T -martingale, where $\mathcal{F}_T := \sigma(W_t, t \leq T)$. Therefore, by Hölder inequality, we can get that for any $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} & E_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\exp \left\{ \alpha \int_0^T (X_t - \beta) dW_t \right\} \right) \\ & \leq \left(E_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\exp \left\{ \frac{(1+\epsilon)^2 \alpha^2}{2\epsilon} \int_0^T (X_t - \beta)^2 dt \right\} \right) \right)^{\frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} \left(E_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(M_T^{((1+\epsilon)\alpha)} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}} \\ & = \left(E_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\exp \left\{ \frac{(1+\epsilon)^2 \alpha^2}{2\epsilon} \int_0^T (X_t - \beta)^2 dt \right\} \right) \right)^{\frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, take $\epsilon = 1$, then by Lemma 2.1, there exists finite positive constants $L_1 = L_1(\theta, \beta, \gamma, x)$ and $L_2 = L_2(\theta, \beta, \gamma, x)$ such that for all $T \geq 1$, for any $\alpha^2 \leq \theta^2/16$, by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & E_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\exp \left\{ \alpha \int_0^T (X_t - \beta) dW_t \right\} \right) \\ & \leq \left(E_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\exp \left\{ 2\alpha^2 \int_0^T (X_t - \beta)^2 dt \right\} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \left(E_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\exp \left\{ 4\alpha^2 \int_0^T X_t^2 dt \right\} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(E_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\exp \left\{ 4\alpha^2 \int_0^T (-2\beta X_t + \beta^2) dt \right\} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ & \leq L_1 e^{L_2 \alpha^2 T}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Chebyshev inequality, the conclusion of the lemma holds.

□

Proof of Theorem 1.1

We only show the first inequality. The second one is similar. By

$$\hat{\theta}_T - \theta = \frac{W_T \left(\hat{\mu}_T - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) - \int_0^T \left(X_t - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) dW_t}{T \hat{\sigma}_T^2}$$

for any $r > 0$ and $T \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & P_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(|\hat{\theta}_T - \theta| \geq r \right) \\ & \leq P_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\left| \hat{\sigma}_T^2 - E_{\theta, \gamma, x}(\hat{\sigma}_T^2) \right| \geq E_{\theta, \gamma, x}(\hat{\sigma}_T^2)/2 \right) \\ & \quad + P_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\left| W_T \left(\hat{\mu}_T - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) - \int_0^T \left(X_t - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) dW_t \right| \geq E_{\theta, \gamma, x}(\hat{\sigma}_T^2) r T / 2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemmas 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5, we obtain the first inequality of the theorem. \square

3 Moderate deviations

In this section, we show Theorem 1.2. By (1.2) and (1.3), we have the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (\hat{\theta}_T - \theta) + \frac{2\theta}{T} \int_0^T \left(X_t - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) dW_t \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|W_T \left(\hat{\mu}_T - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right)|}{T \hat{\sigma}_T^2} + \frac{|2\theta \hat{\sigma}_T^2 - 1| \left| \int_0^T \left(X_t - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) dW_t \right|}{T \hat{\sigma}_T^2} \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

and for

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (\hat{\gamma}_T - \gamma) - \frac{W_T}{T} + \frac{2\gamma}{T} \int_0^T \left(X_t - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) dW_t \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|\hat{\mu}_T| |W_T \left(\hat{\mu}_T - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right)|}{T \hat{\sigma}_T^2} + \frac{|2\gamma \hat{\sigma}_T^2 - \hat{\mu}_T| \left| \int_0^T \left(X_t - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) dW_t \right|}{T \hat{\sigma}_T^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Lemma 3.1. (1). For any $r > 0$,

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_T} \log P_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\left| \hat{\mu}_T - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right| |W_T| \geq \sqrt{T \lambda_T r} \right) = -\infty,$$

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_T} \log P_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\left| \hat{\mu}_T - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right| \left| \int_0^T \left(X_t - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) dW_t \right| \geq \sqrt{T \lambda_T r} \right) = -\infty$$

and

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_T} \log P_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\left| \hat{\sigma}_T^2 - \frac{1}{2\theta} \right| \left| \int_0^T \left(X_t - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) dW_t \right| \geq \sqrt{T \lambda_T r} \right) = -\infty.$$

(2). For any $\delta > 0$,

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_T} \log P_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\left| (\hat{\theta}_T - \theta) - \frac{2\theta}{T} \int_0^T \left(X_t - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) dW_t \right| \geq \delta \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_T}{T}} \right) = -\infty$$

and

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_T} \log P_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\left| (\hat{\gamma}_T - \gamma) - \frac{W_T}{T} - \frac{2\gamma}{T} \int_0^T \left(X_t - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) dW_t \right| \geq \delta \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_T}{T}} \right) = -\infty.$$

Proof. (1). We only give the proof of the third assertion in (1). The rest is similar. For any $L > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \left| \hat{\sigma}_T^2 - \frac{1}{2\theta} \right| \left| \int_0^T \left(X_t - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) dW_t \right| \geq \sqrt{T\lambda_T r} \right\} \\ & \subset \left\{ \left| \hat{\sigma}_T^2 - \frac{1}{2\theta} \right| \geq \frac{r}{L} \right\} \cup \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{T\lambda_T}} \left| \int_0^T \left(X_t - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) dW_t \right| \geq L \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3, and Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_T} \log P_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\left| \hat{\sigma}_T^2 - \frac{1}{2\theta} \right| \geq \frac{r}{L} \right) = -\infty$$

and

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_T} \log P_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T\lambda_T}} \left| \int_0^T \left(X_t - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) dW_t \right| \geq L \right) \leq -L^2 C_1 C_2.$$

Hence,

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_T} \log P_{\theta, \gamma, x} \left(\left| \hat{\sigma}_T^2 - \frac{1}{2\theta} \right| \left| \int_0^T \left(X_t - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) dW_t \right| \geq \sqrt{T\lambda_T r} \right) \leq -L^2 C_1 C_2.$$

Letting $L \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the third conclusion.

(2). It follows from (3.1) and (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\left| (\hat{\theta}_T - \theta) - \frac{2\theta}{T} \int_0^T \left(X_t - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) dW_t \right| \geq \delta \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_T}{T}} \right) \\ & \subset \left\{ \left| W_T \left(\hat{\mu}_T - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) \right| \geq \delta \hat{\sigma}_T^2 \frac{\sqrt{T\lambda_T}}{2} \right\} \cup \left\{ \left| 2\theta \hat{\sigma}_T^2 - 1 \right| \left| \int_0^T \left(X_t - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) dW_t \right| \geq \delta \hat{\sigma}_T^2 \frac{\sqrt{T\lambda_T}}{2} \right\} \\ & \subset \left\{ \left| W_T \left(\hat{\mu}_T - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) \right| \geq \delta E_{\theta, \gamma, x}(\hat{\sigma}_T^2) \frac{\sqrt{T\lambda_T}}{4} \right\} \cup \left\{ \left| \hat{\sigma}_T^2 - E_{\theta, \gamma, x}(\hat{\sigma}_T^2) \right| \geq E_{\theta, \gamma, x}(\hat{\sigma}_T^2)/2 \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ \left| 2\theta \hat{\sigma}_T^2 - 1 \right| \left| \int_0^T \left(X_t - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) dW_t \right| \geq \delta E_{\theta, \gamma, x}(\hat{\sigma}_T^2) \frac{\sqrt{T\lambda_T}}{4} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left| (\hat{\gamma}_T - \gamma) - \frac{2\gamma}{T} \int_0^T \left(X_t - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) dW_t \right| \geq \delta \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_T}{T}} \right) \\
& \subset \left\{ |\hat{\mu}_T| |W_T| \left(\hat{\mu}_T - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) \geq \delta \hat{\sigma}_T^2 \frac{\sqrt{T\lambda_T}}{2} \right\} \cup \left\{ |2\gamma \hat{\sigma}_T^2 - \hat{\mu}_T| \left| \int_0^T \left(X_t - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) dW_t \right| \geq \delta \hat{\sigma}_T^2 \frac{\sqrt{T\lambda_T}}{2} \right\} \\
& \subset \left\{ \left| \hat{\mu}_T - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right| \geq \frac{\gamma}{2\theta} \right\} \cup \left\{ |\hat{\sigma}_T^2 - E_{\theta,\gamma,x}(\hat{\sigma}_T^2)| \geq E_{\theta,\gamma,x}(\hat{\sigma}_T^2)/2 \right\} \\
& \cup \left\{ \frac{3\gamma}{2\theta} |W_T| \left(\hat{\mu}_T - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) \geq \delta E_{\theta,\gamma,x}(\hat{\sigma}_T^2) \frac{\sqrt{T\lambda_T}}{4} \right\} \\
& \cup \left\{ \left(\left| 2\gamma \hat{\sigma}_T^2 - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right| + \left| \hat{\mu}_T - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right| \right) \left| \int_0^T \left(X_t - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) dW_t \right| \geq \delta E_{\theta,\gamma,x}(\hat{\sigma}_T^2) \frac{\sqrt{T\lambda_T}}{4} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemmas 2.3 and (1), we get the conclusions. \square

Lemma 3.2. For each $\beta, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ fixed, $\left\{ P_{\theta,\gamma,x} \left(\frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{T\lambda_T}} \int_0^T (X_t - \beta) dW_t \in \cdot \right), T \geq 1 \right\}$ satisfies the LDP with speed λ_T and rate function $J(u) = \frac{\theta^2 u^2}{\kappa^2(\theta + 2(\gamma - \theta\beta)^2)}$.

Proof. By (2.12) and Lemma 2.3, we can get for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \log P_{\theta,\gamma,x} \left(\left| \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T (X_t - \beta)^2 dt - \left(\frac{1}{2\theta} + \frac{1}{\theta^2} (\gamma - \theta\beta)^2 \right) \right| \geq \delta \right) < 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Therefore, Proposition 1 in [4] yields the conclusion of the lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2

By Lemma 3.1, $\{P_{\theta,\gamma,x}(\sqrt{\frac{T}{\lambda_T}}(\hat{\theta}_T - \theta) \in \cdot), T \geq 1\}$ and $\{P_{\theta,\gamma,x}(\sqrt{\frac{T}{\lambda_T}}(\hat{\gamma}_T - \gamma) \in \cdot), T \geq 1\}$ are exponential equivalent to

$$\left\{ P_{\theta,\gamma,x} \left(\sqrt{\frac{T}{\lambda_T}} \frac{2\theta}{T} \int_0^T \left(X_t - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) dW_t \in \cdot \right), T \geq 1 \right\}$$

and

$$\left\{ P_{\theta,\gamma,x} \left(\sqrt{\frac{T}{\lambda_T}} \left(\frac{W_T}{T} + \frac{2\gamma}{T} \int_0^T \left(X_t - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) dW_t \right) \in \cdot \right), T \geq 1 \right\},$$

respectively. Noting for $\gamma \neq 0$, $\frac{W_T}{T} + \frac{2\gamma}{T} \int_0^T \left(X_t - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} \right) dW_t = \frac{2\gamma}{T} \int_0^T \left(X_t - \frac{\gamma}{\theta} + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \right) dW_t$, Theorem 1.2 follows from Lemma 3.2.

□

Acknowledgments The authors are grateful to referees for their comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] B. Bercu, A. Rouault. Sharp large deviations for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. *Theory of Prob. and its Appl.*, 46(2002), 1-19. MR1968706
- [2] S. G. Bobkov, F. Götze. Exponential Integrability and Transportation Cost Related to Logarithmic Sobolev Inequalities. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 163(1999), 1-28. MR1682772
- [3] P. Cattiaux, A. Guillin. Deviation bounds for additive functionals of Markov process. *ESAIM: Probability and Statistics*. 12(2008), 12-29. MR2367991
- [4] A. Dembo. Moderate Deviations for Martingales with Bounded Jumps. *Electronic Communications in Probability*, 1 (1996),11-17. MR1386290
- [5] A. Dembo, D. Zeitouni. *Large Deviations Techniques and Applications*, Springer-Verlag, 1998. MR1619036
- [6] J. D. Deuschel, D. W. Stroock. *Large Deviations*, New York, 1989. MR0997938
- [7] H. Djellout, A. Guillin, L. M. Wu. Transportation cost-information inequalities and applications to random dynamical system and diffusions. *Ann. Probab.*, 32(2004), 2702-2732. MR2078555
- [8] H. Djellout, A. Guillin, L. M. Wu. Moderate deviations for non-linear functionals and empirical spectral density of moving average processes. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.*, 42(2006), 393-416. MR2242954
- [9] D. Florens-Landais, H. Pham, Large deviations in estimate of an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck model. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 36(1999), 60-77. MR1699608
- [10] M. Gourcy, L. M. Wu, Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities of diffusions for the L^2 metric. *Potential Analysis*, 25(2006), 77-102. MR2238937
- [11] A. Guillin, R. Liptser, Examples of moderate deviation principles for diffusion processes. *Discrete and continuous dynamical systems-series B*, 6(2006), 803-828. MR2223909
- [12] M. Ledoux, Concentration of Measure and Logarithmic Sobolev Inequalities. Séminaire de probabilités XXXIII, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1709(1999), 120-216. MR1767995
- [13] M. Ledoux, *The Concentration of Measure Phenomenon*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 89, American Mathematical Society, 2001. MR1849347
- [14] P. Lezaud, Chernoff and Berry-Essen's inequalities for Markov processes. *ESAIMS: Probability and Statistics*, 5(2001), 183-201. MR1875670
- [15] Yury A. Kutoyants, *Statistical Inference for Ergodic Diffusion Processes*. Springer Series in Statistics, London, 2004, MR2144185

-
- [16] B. L. S. Prakasa Rao, *Statistical Inference for Diffusion Type Processes*. Oxford University Press, New York, 1999.
- [17] D. Revuz, M. Yor, *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion*. Springer-Verlag, 1991. MR1083357
- [18] L. M. Wu, A deviation inequality for non-reversible Markov processes. *Ann. Inst. Henri. Poincaré, Probabilités et Statistiques*. 36(2000), 435-445. MR1785390