General Mathematics Vol. 12, No. 4 (2004), 11-16

Conjugacy in Hyper Semi-dynamical Systems

Molaei M.R. and Iranmanesh F.

Abstract

The notion of conjugacy on hyper semi-dynamical systems is studied from algebraic and topological points of views. Topological join operations for a new approach to topological hypergroups are considered. By using topological join operations, topological conjugacy are studied. Hyper orbits and limit points as two invariant sets under conjugacy are deduced.

2000 Mathematical Subject Classification: 37B99, 20N20

Keywords: hyper semi-dynamical systems, Conjugacy, Invariant Set.

1 Introduction

Theory of hyper-group as a generalization of group theory presented new mathematical research branches [1, 2]. As a result of this theory the notion of generalized dynamical systems deduced in [3].

In fact a generalized dynamical system is a triple (M, D, H), where (H, \cdot) is a hyper-group, M is a non-empty set and D is a set of mappings $h^a : M \to M$ where $a \in H$ with the following property: If $a, b \in H$, and $m \in M$, then $h^a o h^b(m) \in h^{ab}(m)$, where $h^{ab}(m) = \{h^u(m) : u \in ab\}.$

If the image of join operation contains only singletons, then (M, D, H), will be a semi-dynamical systems [3]. So for more stress on the manner of generalization we rename (M, D, H) by hyper semi-dynamical system. **Definition 1.1** Two hyper semi-dynamical systems $(M, \{h^a\}, H)$ and $(\tilde{M}, \{f^b\}, \tilde{H})$ are called conjugate hyper semi-dynamical systems if there exist one to one and onto maps $\psi : M \to \tilde{M}$ and $\phi : H \to \tilde{H}$ such that the following two axioms hold.

(i) φ(ab) = φ(a)φ(b) for all a, b ∈ H;
(ii) ψoh^a = f^{φ(a)}oψ for all a ∈ H (see diagram 1.)

(1)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \stackrel{\psi}{\to} & \tilde{M} \\ h^a \downarrow & \downarrow f^{\phi(a)} \\ M & \stackrel{\psi}{\to} & \tilde{M} \end{array}$$

In the next theorem we show that the conjugate relation is an equivalence relation on hyper semi-dynamical systems.

Theorem 1.1 Let (ψ, ϕ) be a conjugate relation between $(M, \{h^a\}, H)$ and $(\tilde{M}, \{f^b\}, \tilde{H})$, and let $(\tilde{\psi}, \tilde{\phi})$ be a conjugate relation between $(\tilde{M}, \{f^b\}, \tilde{H})$ and $(\hat{M}, \{g^c\}, \hat{H})$. Then (i) (ψ^{-1}, ϕ^{-1}) is a conjugate relation between $(\tilde{M}, \{f^b\}, \tilde{H})$ and $(M, \{h^a\}, H)$. (ii) $(\tilde{\psi}o\psi, \tilde{\phi}o\phi)$ is a conjugate relation between $(M, \{h^a\}, H)$ and $(\hat{M}, \{g^c\}, \hat{H})$. **Proof.** (i) If $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \tilde{H}$, then $\phi^{-1}(\tilde{a}\tilde{b}) = \phi^{-1}(\phi(ab)) = ab = \phi^{-1}(\phi(a))\phi^{-1}(\phi(b)) = \phi^{-1}(\tilde{a})\phi^{-1}(\tilde{b})$. For $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{H}$ we have $\psi oh^{\phi^{-1}(\tilde{a})}o\psi^{-1} = f^{\phi(\phi^{-1}(\tilde{a}))}o\psi o\psi^{-1} = f^{\tilde{a}}$. So $h^{\phi^{-1}(\tilde{a})}o\psi^{-1} = \psi^{-1}of^{\tilde{a}}$. (ii) For $a \in H$ we have Conjugacy in Hyper Semi-dynamical Systems

$$g^{(\tilde{\phi}o\phi)(a)}o(\tilde{\psi}o\psi) = (\tilde{\psi}of^{\phi(a)})o\psi = \tilde{\psi}o(\psi oh^a) = (\tilde{\psi}o\psi)oh^a.\square$$

Hyper semi-dynamical systems creates a method for constructing new hyper groups. In fact for $m \in M$ the hyper-orbit of m which is the set $O^H(m) = \{h^a(m) : a \in H\}$ is a hyper group [3]. The next theorem implies that the conjugate relation preserves hyper-orbits.

Theorem 1.2 If $(M, \{h^a\}, H)$ and $(\tilde{M}, \{f^b\}, \tilde{H})$ are hyper conjugate under (ψ, ϕ) , then $\psi(O^H(m)) = O^{\tilde{H}}(\psi(m))$.

Proof. If $y \in \psi(O^H(m))$, then

$$y = \psi(h^a(m)) = (f^{\phi(a)}o\psi)(m) = f^{\phi(a)}(\psi(m)) \in O^H(\psi(m)).$$

Hence $\psi(O^H(m)) \subseteq O^{\tilde{H}}(\psi(m)).$

Since conjugate relation is an equivalence relation then the first part of the proof shows that $\psi^{-1}(O^{\tilde{H}}(\psi(m))) \subseteq O^{H}(m)$. Thus $O^{\tilde{H}}(\psi(m)) \subseteq \psi(O^{H}(m))$.

2 Continuity in Hyper Semi-dynamical Systems

In this section we assume that H is a Hausdorff topological space and * is a join operation on H.

Definition 2.1 * is called a continuous join operation if for given $a, b \in H$, and for all open set W with $W \cap a * b \neq \emptyset$ there exist open neighborhoods U of a and V of b and a subset $Z \subseteq U * V$ such that

i) $W \cap Z \neq \emptyset$, and;

ii) $c * d \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ for all $c \in U$ and $d \in V$.

We ask the reader to pay attention to this point that: if the image of join operation contains only singletons, then the condition (ii) implies that Z = U * V, and we will have the definition of topological semigroups. So Definition 2.1 is an extension of the topological semigroups.

With a continuous join operation we can define a topology on $P_*(H)$.

In fact we say that U is open in $P_*(H)$ if $*^{-1}(U)$ is open in $H \times H$ where the topology of $H \times H$ is the product topology.

Example 2.1 let *H* be the two sphere S^2 , and let \star be defined by

 $a * b = (meridian passing through a) \cup (cirquit passing through b)$

is a continuous join operation.

Definition 2.2 A hyper semi-dynamical system (M, D, H) is called a topological hyper semi-dynamical system if

i) H is a Hausdorff topological space and the join operation of H is a continuous join operation;

ii) M is a topological space

iv) The members of D are homeomorphims.

If H and I are two hyper group, then $H \times I$ with the join operation $(h,i)(f,g) = (hf) \times (ig)$ is hyper-group.

Theorem 2.1 If (M, D, H) and (N, E, I) are two topological hyper semidynamical systems, then $(M \times N, F, H \times I)$ is a topological hyper semidynamical system, where

 $F = \{g^{(a,b)} : M \times N \to M \times N : (a,b) \in H \times I \text{ and } g^{(a,b)}(m,n) = (h^a(m), f^b(n))\}$

Proof. In theorem 3.1 of [3] proved that $(M \times N, F, H \times I)$ is a hyper semi-dynamical system.

Now we show that the join operation of $H \times I$ is a continuous one. Let $(h,i), (f,g) \in H \times I$ be given. Moreover let $W = W_1 \times W_2$ be an open set where $W \cap (h,i)(f,g) \neq \emptyset$ and W_1 and W_2 are open sets in H and Irespectively. Then $W_1 \cap hf \neq \emptyset$ and $W_2 \cap ig \neq \emptyset$. So there exist open neighborhoods U_1 of h, V_1 of i, U_2 of f and V_2 of g and the sets $Z_1 \subseteq U_1V_1$ and $Z_2 \subseteq U_2V_2$ such that $W_1 \cap Z_1 \neq \emptyset$, and $c_1d_1 \cap Z_1 \neq \emptyset$ for all $c_1 \in U_1$ and $d_1 \in V_1$ Moreover $W_2 \cap Z_2 \neq \emptyset$ and $c_2d_2 \cap Z_2 \neq \emptyset$ for all $c_2 \in U_2$ and $d_2 \in V_2$. Thus if $Z = Z_1 \times Z_2$, $U = U_1 \times U_2$ and $V = V_1 \times V_2$, then $(h, i) \in U$, $(f, g) \in V$, $Z \subseteq UV$, $W \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ and $cd \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ for all $c \in U$, and $d \in V$. Hence the join operation of $H \times I$ is a continuous one.

If $g^{(a,b)} \in F$, then since the components of $g^{(a,b)}$ are homeomorphisms, then $g^{(a,b)}$ is a homeomorphisms of the topological space $M \times M$. Moreover the Hausdorff property protect under product topology. So $H \times I$ is also a Hausdorff topological space. Thus the proof is complete. \Box

3 Topological Conjugacy

We assume that $(M, \{h^a\}, H)$ and $(\tilde{M}, \{f^b\}, \tilde{H})$ are two conjugate topological hyper semi-dynamical systems under conjugate relation (ψ, φ) . Then we say that these two hyper semi-dynamical systems are topological conjugate if ψ and φ are two homeomorphisms. In this case (ψ, φ) is called a topological conjugacy.

Definition 3.1 Let $(M, \{h^a\}, H)$ be a topological hyper semi-dynamical system and let $m \in M$. Then the limit set of m is the set of limit points of $O^H(m)$ and denoted by $\Lambda^H(m)$.

Theorem 3.1 Let (ψ, φ) be a topological conjugacy between two topological hyper semi-dynamical system $(M, \{h^a\}, H)$ and $(\tilde{M}, \{f^b\}, \tilde{H})$. Then $\psi(\Lambda^H(m)) = \tilde{\Lambda}^{\tilde{H}}(\psi(m))$, where $m \in M$.

Proof. If $q \in \psi(\Lambda^H(m))$, then $q = \psi(p)$ where $p \in \Lambda^H(m)$. Hence p is a limit point of $O^H(m)$. Since ψ is a homeomorphism then $q = \psi(p)$ is a limit point of $\psi(O^H(m))$. So theorem 1.2 implies that q is a limit point of $O^{\tilde{H}}(\psi(m))$. Hence $q \in \tilde{\Lambda}^{\tilde{H}}(\psi(m))$. Thus $\psi(\Lambda^H(m)) \subseteq \tilde{\Lambda}^{\tilde{H}}(\psi(m))$.

Similarly by replacing ψ with ψ^{-1} we have $\psi^{-1}(\tilde{\Lambda}^{\tilde{H}}(\psi(m)) \subseteq \Lambda^{H}(m))$. So $\tilde{\Lambda}^{\tilde{H}}(\psi(m)) \subseteq \psi(\Lambda^{H}(m))$. Therefore $\tilde{\Lambda}^{\tilde{H}}(\psi(m)) = \psi(\Lambda^{H}(m))$. \Box

Conclusion

Beside the results of this paper we have also prepared the means for approaching to stability of hyper semi-dynamical system which is a new research topic.

References

- [1] P. Corsini, V. Leoreanu, Applications of Hyperstructure Theory, Advances in Mathematics, Vol. 5, Klawer Academic Publisher, 2003.
- [2] J. Mittas, Hypergroupes Canoniques, Math. Balkania, 2 (1972).
- [3] M.R. Molaei, Generalized Dynamical Systems, Pure Mathematics and Applications, Volume 14, Number 1-2, 117-120 (2003).

Department of Mathematics University of Kerman Kerman, Iran E-mail: mrmolaei@mail.uk.ac.ir

Department of Mathematics Chamran Faculty Kerman, Iran

16