On the Iterative Combinations of Baskakov Operator¹

Naokant Deo

Dedicated to Professor Alexandru Lupaş on the occasion of his 65th birthday

Abstract

In the present paper, we obtain a quantitative result and asymptotic approximation of sufficiently smooth functions by Baskakov operator.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 41A35, 41A36.

Key words and phrases: Baskakov operator, Asymptotic approximation.

1 Introduction and Basic Properties

Let $p_{n,k} = \binom{n+k-1}{k} x^k (1+x)^{-(n+k)}, x \in [0,\infty), n \in N$. The Baskakov operator defined by

(1)
$$V_n f = V_n(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n,k}(x) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)$$

¹Received 27 November, 2006

Accepted for publication (in revised form) 1 December, 2006

the operator (1) was introduced by V. A. Baskakov [2] and can be used to approximate a function f defined on $[0, \infty)$.

In the present paper, we consider iterative combination due to Micchelli [6] for the operators V_n , defined by (1) and obtain the quantitative result as well as study the asymptotic approximation of sufficiently smooth functions by these operators. Few researchers studied iterative combinations on the different operators (see [1], [3]). For $f \in C[0, \infty)$, we define the operators $T_{n,k}(f, x)$ as

(2)
$$T_{n,k}(f,x) = \left[I - (I - V_n)^k\right](f,x) = \sum_{k=1}^k (-1)^{r+1} \binom{k}{r} V_n^r(f,x)$$

where V_n^r denotes the r - th iterate (superposition) of the operator V_n . As far as the degree of approximation is concerned, the behavior of operator V_n is similar to the exponential type operators.

Analogous manner of [4], for r = 1, 2, ..., we get

(3)
$$V_n^r(1;x) = 1,$$

(4)
$$V_n^r(t;x) = x,$$

(5)
$$V_n^r(t^2; x) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^r x^2 + \left\{\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^r - 1\right\} x.$$

Let $m \in N_0 = \{0, 1, ...\}$, $p \in N = \{1, 2, ...\}$ and $[\beta]$ denote the integral part of β . Now, suppose that the m - th order moment $\mu_{n,m}^{\{p\}}(x)$ of V_n^p be defined by

(6)
$$\mu_{n,m}^{\{p\}}(x) = V_n^p \left((t-x)^m ; x \right).$$

We shall write $\mu_{n,m}(x)$ for $\mu_{n,m}^{\{1\}}(x)$ and let Q(j,k,x) denote the coefficient of n^{-k} in $T_{n,k}\left((t-x)^j;x\right)$.

2 A Set of Lemmas

To prove of main results we need the following results:

Lemma 2.1. Since the degree of $\mu_{n,m}(x)$ is n^{-1} , which is less than or equal to m with

$$\mu_{n,m}(x) = O\left(n^{-\left[\frac{m+1}{2}\right]}\right).$$

The coefficient of n^{-m} in $\mu_{n,2m}(x)$ is $(2m-1)!!p^m(x)$, where a!! is the semifactorial of a and p(x) = x(1+x). Also, the coefficient of n^{-m} in $\mu_{n,2m+1}(x)$ is $(2m+1)!!(m/3)p^m(x)p'(x)$.

Proof. Since Baskakov operator is exponential type operator, the proof of this lemma follows from May [5, Proposition 3.2].

Remark 2.1. Now from Lemma 2.1, it is clear that the degree of $\mu_{n,m}^{\{p\}}(x)$ less than or equal to m and $\mu_{n,m}^{\{p\}}(x)$ is defined by (6).

Lemma 2.2. There holds the recurrence relation

(7)
$$\mu_{n,m}^{\{p+1\}}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} \binom{m}{j} \sum_{i=0}^{m-j} \frac{1}{i!} \frac{d^{i}}{dx^{i}} \left(\mu_{n,m}^{\{p\}}(x)\right) \mu_{n,i+j}(x).$$

Proof. By definition of
$$\mu_{n,m}^{\{p\}}(x)$$
, we have $\mu_{n,m}^{\{p+1\}}(x) = V_n (V_n^p (t-x)^m; x)$
 $= \sum_{j=o}^m \binom{m}{j} V_n \left((u-x)^j \left(V_n^p (t-u)^{m-j}; u \right); x \right)$
 $= \sum_{j=o}^m \binom{m}{j} V_n \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-j} \frac{(u-x)^{i+j}}{i!} \frac{d^i}{dx^i} \left(\mu_{n,m-j}^{\{p\}}(x) \right); x \right)$. This leads to require result (7).

Lemma 2.3. Again from the definition of $\mu_{n,m}^{\{p\}}(x)$, we have

(8)
$$\mu_{n,m}^{\{p\}}(x) = O\left(n^{-\left[\frac{m+1}{2}\right]}\right).$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.1, this result is true for p = 1. Now we assuming the result for a certain p, we have to prove it for p + 1. Since $\mu_{n,m-j}^{\{p\}}(x) = O\left(n^{-\left[\frac{m-j+1}{2}\right]}\right)$ and the degree of $\mu_{n,m-j}^{\{p\}}(x) \leq m-j$, it follows that

$$\frac{d^i}{dx^i}\left(\mu_{n,m-j}^{\{p\}}(x)\right) = O\left(n^{-\left[\frac{m-j+1}{2}\right]}\right).$$

Thus, from (7), we have

$$\mu_{n,m}^{\{p+1\}}(x) = O\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-j} n^{-\left(\left[\frac{m-j+1}{2}\right] + \left[\frac{i+j+1}{2}\right]\right)}\right)$$
$$= O\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-j} n^{-\left[\frac{m+i+1}{2}\right]}\right),$$

the result (8) is immediate.

Lemma 2.4. The l-th moment $(l \in N)$ of $T_{n,k}$, we have

(9)
$$T_{n,k}\left((t-x)^l;x\right) = O\left(n^{-k}\right).$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.1, the result easily holds for k = 1. Now assuming that the result (9) holds, for certain values of k, then from Lemma 2.2 and 2.3, it may be seen that it also holds for k + 1.

3 Main Results

In this section, we first prove the following result.

Theorem 3.1. Let $f \in C[0,\infty)$ and $[0,b] \subseteq [0,\infty)$, then for all n

(10)
$$||T_{n,k}(f) - f|| \leq \omega(f; n^{-1/2}) \left[(2^k - 1) + n\xi \left\{ \left(2 + \frac{1}{n} \right)^k - 2^k \right\} \right]$$

where $\omega(f,.)$ is the modulus of continuity of f and $\|.\|$ is the supremum norm.

Proof. From (2) and (3), we obtain

$$T_{n,k}(f;x) - f(x) = \sum_{r=1}^{k} (-1)^{r+1} \binom{k}{r} V_n^r (f(t) - f(x);x).$$

Hence,

$$|T_{n,k}(f;x) - f(x)| \le \sum_{r=1}^{k} \binom{k}{r} V_n^r (|f(t) - f(x)|;x).$$

It has been shown in [7] that for all $t, x \in [0, \infty)$ and $\delta > 0$

(11)
$$|f(t) - f(x)| \leq \left(1 + \frac{(t-x)^2}{2}\right)\omega(f;\delta).$$

Using (3)-(5) and (11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |T_{n,k}(f;x) - f(x)| &\leq \sum_{r=1}^{k} \binom{k}{r} V_n^r \left(\left(1 + \frac{(t-x)^2}{2}\right) \omega(f;\delta);x \right) \\ &\leq \omega(f;\delta) \left[\sum_{r=1}^{k} \binom{k}{r} V_n^r(1;x) + \frac{1}{\delta^2} \sum_{r=1}^{k} \binom{k}{r} V_n^r((t-x)^2;x) \right] \\ &= \omega(f;\delta) \left[(2^k - 1) + \frac{1}{\delta^2} \left\{ \left(2 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^k - 2^k \right\} b(1+b) \right]. \end{aligned}$$
Finally, we get

$$||T_{n,k}(f) - f|| \leq \omega(f;\delta) \left[(2^k - 1) + \frac{\xi}{\delta^2} \left\{ \left(2 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^k - 2^k \right\} \right],$$

where $\xi = b(1 + b)$. Choosing $\delta = n^{-1/2}$ in above, we arrive at required result.

Remark 3.1. For all k and n, we can easily verify that

(12)
$$\frac{\left(2+\frac{1}{n}\right)^k - 2^k}{2^k - 1} \leqslant \frac{k}{n}.$$

Applying (12) in (10), we obtain

(13)
$$||T_{n,k}(f) - f|| \leq (1 + \xi k) (2^k - 1) \omega (f; n^{-1/2}).$$

Theorem 3.2. Suppose $f \in C[0,\infty)$ and if $f^{(2k)}$ exists at a point $x \in [0,\infty)$, then

(14)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n^k \left[T_{n,k}(f;x) - f(x) \right] = \sum_{j=2}^{2k} \frac{f^{(j)}(x)}{j!} Q(j,k,x)$$

and

(15)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n^k \left[T_{n,k+1}(f;x) - f(x) \right] = 0 .$$

Proof. Using Taylor expansion of f(t), when t = x, we obtain that

$$n^{k} \left[T_{n,k}(f;x) - f(x) \right] = n^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{2k} \frac{f^{(j)}(x)}{j!} T_{n,k} \left((t-x)^{j};x \right) + n^{k} \sum_{r=1}^{k} (-1)^{r+1} {r \choose k} V_{n}^{r} \left(\varepsilon(t,x)(t-x)^{2k};x \right) = E_{1} + E_{2},$$

where $\varepsilon(t, x) \to 0$ as $t \to x$.

First, we estimate E_1 . Since $T_{n,k}(t;x)$ and using Lemma 2.4, we get

$$E_1 = n^k \sum_{j=2}^{2k} \frac{f^{(j)}(x)}{j!} T_{n,k} \left((t-x)^j; x \right) = \sum_{j=2}^{2k} \frac{f^{(j)}(x)}{j!} Q(j,k,x) + O(1).$$

For a given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$|\varepsilon(t,x)| < \varepsilon$$
, whenever $0 < |t-x| < \delta$.

If $\chi_{\delta}(t)$ is characteristic function of the interval $(t - \delta, t + \delta)$, then we get $|E_2| \leq n^k \sum_{r=1}^k \binom{k}{r} V_n^r \left(|\varepsilon(t, x)| (t - x)^{2k} \chi_{\delta}(t); x \right)$ $+ n^k \sum_{r=1}^k \binom{k}{r} V_n^r \left(|\varepsilon(t, x)| (t - x)^{2k} (1 - \chi_{\delta}(t)); x \right)$ $= E_{2.1} + E_{2.2}.$

Finally, we estimate $E_{2.1}$ and $E_{2.2}$, in view of Lemma 2.3

$$E_{2.1} \le \left(\sup_{|t-x|<\delta} |\varepsilon(t,x)|\right) n^k \sum_{r=1}^k \binom{k}{r} V_n^r \left((t-x)^{2k};x\right) < \varepsilon C_1,$$

for an arbitrary v > k, by Lemma 2.3, we get

$$|E_{2,2}| \le n^k \sum_{r=1}^k \binom{k}{r} V_n^r \left(\frac{C_2(t-x)^{2v}}{\delta^2(v-k)}; x \right) < \frac{C_3}{n^{v-k}} = O(1).$$

Hence, due to arbitrariness of $\varepsilon > 0$, we conclude that $E_2 = O(1)$.

Now by appropriate estimates of E_1 and E_2 , this leads to (14).

Proceeding along the similar lines by noting that

$$T_{n,k+1}\left((t-x)^{j};x\right) = O\left(n^{-(k+1)}\right),$$

the assertion (15) can be proved.

Finally, the last assertion follows since uniform continuity of $f^{(2k)}$ on $[0,\infty)$ and the uniformness of O(1) term in the estimate of E_1 . This complete the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Acknowledgment

We would like to express our sincere thanks to Professor Margareta Heilmann for valuable suggestions.

References

- Agrawal P. N. and Gupta V., On the iterative combination of Phillips operators, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad Sinica, 18(1990), 361-368.
- Baskakov V. A., An example of a sequence of linear positive operators in the space of continuous functions, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 113(1957), 249-251 (in Russian).
- [3] Govil N. K. and Gupta V., Direct estimates in simultaneous approximation for Durrmeyer type operators, Math. Inequal. Appl., (Accepted).

- [4] Karlin S. and Zeigler Z., Iteration of positive approximation operators, J. Approx. Theory, 3(1970), 310-319.
- [5] May C. P., Saturation and inverse theorems for combinations of a class of exponential type operators, Canad. J. Math., 28(1976), 1224-1250.
- [6] Micchelli C. A., Saturation classes and iterates of operators, Ph. D. Thesis, Stanford University (1969).
- [7] Mond B., On the degree of approximation by linear positive operators, J. Approx. Theory, 18(1976), 304-306.

This research is supported by UNESCO (CAS-TWAS postdoctoral fellowship)

Department of Applied Mathematics, Delhi College of Engineering, Bawana Road, Delhi-110042, India E-mail address: dr_naokant_deo@yahoo.com