

Several inequalities about the number of positive divisors of a natural number m^1

Nicușor Minculete¹, Petrică Dicu²

Abstract

In this paper we intend to establish several properties of the number of positive divisors of a natural number m . Among these, we remark the inequality $\tau^2(mn) \geq \tau(m^2)\tau(n^2)$, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A25

Key words and phrases: prime number, arithmetic inequality, the number of natural divisors of m .

1 Introduction

For a positive integer m number, we will note $\tau(m)$ the number of positive divisors of m . We remark that: $\tau(1) = 1$ and if p is a prime number, then

$$\tau(p) = 2, \tau(p^\alpha) = \alpha + 1.$$

In papers [1]–[5], [7] we find the following properties of $\tau(m)$:

For $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r}$, $m > 1$ we have the relation:

$$(1) \quad \tau(m) = (\alpha_1 + 1)(\alpha_2 + 1) \dots (\alpha_r + 1).$$

¹Received 5 May, 2008

Accepted for publication (in revised form) 10 December, 2008

If $(m, n) = 1$, then

$$(2) \quad \tau(mn) = \tau(m)\tau(n), \text{ for all } m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

For $m \geq 2$, we have the relation:

$$(3) \quad \tau(m) = \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\left[\frac{m}{k} \right] - \left[\frac{m-1}{k} \right] \right).$$

In [6], for $m \geq 1$, we have

$$(4) \quad \tau(m) \leq 2\sqrt{m}.$$

In [8] it is shown that

$$(5) \quad \tau(m)\tau(n) \geq \tau(mn), \text{ for all } m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

In [9] are establish the following inequalities:

$$(6) \quad \tau(m) < m^{\frac{2}{3}}, \text{ for any } m > 12,$$

$$(7) \quad \ln \tau(m) < 1,066 \frac{\ln m}{\ln \ln m}, \text{ for any } m \geq 3.$$

In this paper, we establish some new inequalities for the function τ .

2 Main results

We can remark several properties of these functions for two natural non-zero numbers, m and n .

Theorem 2.1.

$$(8) \quad a) \tau(mn) \leq \tau(m)n, \text{ for all } m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*,$$

$$(9) \quad b) n|m, \text{ atunci } \frac{\tau(m)}{m} \leq \frac{\tau(n)}{n}, \text{ for all } m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Proof. We will show that $\tau(m) \leq m$, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$. From the inequality (4), $\tau(m) \leq 2\sqrt{m}$, but $m \geq 2\sqrt{m}$ for $m \geq 4$, therefore $\tau(m) \leq m$, $m \geq 4$.

For $m \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ it is easy to see that the inequality is true.

From the inequality (5), $\tau(m)\tau(n) \geq \tau(mn)$, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, but $\tau(n) \leq n$, so $\tau(mn) \leq \tau(m)n$, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

Because $n|m$, we have $m = nd$, and from the inequality (8) we obtain $\tau(nd) \leq \tau(n)d$, which is equivalent with $n\tau(m) \leq nd\tau(n) = m\tau(n)$.

Corollary 2.1. *We have*

$$(10) \quad a) \frac{\tau(mn)}{mn} \leq \frac{\tau(m) + \tau(n)}{m + n}, \text{ for all } m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*,$$

$$(11) \quad b) \tau(mn) \leq \frac{m^2\tau(n) + n^2\tau(m)}{m + n}, \text{ for all } m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Proof. We apply the inequality (8) and we deduce $(m + n)\tau(mn) = m\tau(mn) + n\tau(mn) \leq mn\tau(m) + mn\tau(n) = mn(\tau(m) + \tau(n))$, which means that the proof is complete.

Similarly, we prove the inequality $(m + n)\tau(mn) = m\tau(mn) + n\tau(mn) \leq m^2\tau(n) + n^2\tau(m)$, consequently the inequality (11).

Theorem 2.2.

$$(12) \quad \tau((m, n))\tau([m, n]) = \tau(m)\tau(n), \text{ for all } m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*,$$

where (m, n) is the greatest common divisor of m and n and $[m, n]$ is the least common multiple of m and n .

Proof. Let m and n be two natural non-zero numbers. We will factorize the numbers m and n in prime factors, thus:

$$m = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k} \cdot q_1^{\beta_1} q_2^{\beta_2} \dots q_s^{\beta_s}, \quad n = p_1^{\gamma_1} p_2^{\gamma_2} \dots p_k^{\gamma_k} \cdot r_1^{\delta_1} r_2^{\delta_2} \dots r_t^{\delta_t}, \quad q_j \neq r_l, \text{ for all } j \in \{1, \dots, s\} \text{ and for all } l \in \{1, \dots, t\},$$

therefore $\tau(m) = \prod_{i=1}^k (\alpha_i + 1) \prod_{j=1}^s (\beta_j + 1)$, $\tau(n) = \prod_{i=1}^k (\gamma_i + 1) \prod_{l=1}^t (\delta_l + 1)$, we obtain $\tau((m, n)) = \prod_{i=1}^k (\min\{\alpha_i, \gamma_i\} + 1)$

and $\tau([m, n]) = \prod_{i=1}^k (\max\{\alpha_i, \gamma_i\} + 1) \prod_{j=1}^s (\beta_j + 1) \prod_{l=1}^t (\delta_l + 1)$, which means that $\tau((m, n))\tau([m, n]) = \tau(m)\tau(n)$, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

Theorem 2.3.

$$(13) \quad \tau^2(mn) \geq \tau(m^2)\tau(n^2), \text{ for all } m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Proof. We consider $m = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i} \prod_{j=1}^s q_j^{\beta_j}$, $n = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\gamma_i} \prod_{l=1}^t r_l^{\delta_l}$, which means that

$$mn = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i + \gamma_i} \prod_{j=1}^s q_j^{\beta_j} \prod_{l=1}^t r_l^{\delta_l}, \text{ hence } \tau(m) = \prod_{i=1}^k (\alpha_i + 1) \prod_{j=1}^s (\beta_j + 1) \text{ and } \tau(n) =$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^k (\gamma_i + 1) \prod_{l=1}^t (\delta_l + 1), \text{ therefore } \tau(mn) = \prod_{i=1}^k (\alpha_i + \gamma_i + 1) \prod_{j=1}^s (\beta_j + 1) \prod_{l=1}^t (\delta_l + 1),$$

$$\text{so } \tau(m)\tau(n) = \tau(mn) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{(\alpha_i + 1)(\gamma_i + 1)}{\alpha_i + \gamma_i + 1} = \tau(mn) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_i \gamma_i}{\alpha_i + \gamma_i + 1}\right) \geq$$

$$\tau(mn). \text{ Because } \tau(m^2) = \prod_{i=1}^k (2\alpha_i + 1) \prod_{j=1}^s (2\beta_j + 1) \text{ and } \tau(n^2) = \prod_{i=1}^k (2\gamma_i +$$

1) $\prod_{l=1}^t (2\delta_l + 1)$, we obtain the equality:

$$\tau(m^2)\tau(n^2) = \prod_{i=1}^k (2\alpha_i + 1) \prod_{j=1}^s (2\beta_j + 1) \prod_{i=1}^k (2\gamma_i + 1) \prod_{l=1}^t (2\delta_l + 1),$$

$$\text{but } \tau^2(mn) = \prod_{i=1}^k (\alpha_i + \gamma_i + 1)^2 \prod_{j=1}^s (\beta_j + 1)^2 \prod_{l=1}^t (\delta_l + 1)^2. \text{ It is easy to see the}$$

$$\text{equality } \tau^2(mn) = \tau(m^2)\tau(n^2) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 + \frac{(\alpha_i + \gamma_i)^2}{(2\alpha_i + 1)(2\gamma_i + 1)}\right) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^s \left(1 + \frac{\beta_j^2}{2\beta_j + 1}\right) \cdot$$

$$\prod_{l=1}^t \left(1 + \frac{\delta_l^2}{2\delta_l + 1}\right).$$

$$\text{Since } 1 + \frac{(\alpha_i + \gamma_i)^2}{(2\alpha_i + 1)(2\gamma_i + 1)} \geq 1, \text{ for all } i = \overline{1, k}, 1 + \frac{\beta_j^2}{2\beta_j + 1} \geq$$

$$1, \text{ for all } j = \overline{1, s}, 1 + \frac{\delta_l^2}{2\delta_l + 1} \geq 1, \text{ for all } l = \overline{1, t}, \text{ we obtain } \tau^2(mn) \geq$$

$$\tau(m^2)\tau(n^2).$$

Theorem 2.4. Let m and n be two natural non-zero numbers, then $\tau(mn) \leq n\sqrt{m} + m\sqrt{n}$.

Proof. We apply the inequality (4) for m and n , we have $n\tau(m) \leq 2n\sqrt{m}$ and $m\tau(n) \leq 2m\sqrt{n}$. By adding the inequalities, we obtain

$$(14) \quad n\tau(m) + m\tau(n) \leq 2n\sqrt{m} + 2m\sqrt{n},$$

but using the inequality (8), we have $\tau(mn) \leq \tau(m)n$ and $\tau(mn) \leq \tau(n)m$, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we deduce

$$(15) \quad 2\tau(mn) \leq \tau(m)n + \tau(n)m,$$

so, from the inequalities (14) and (15), we obtain the inequality

$$\tau(mn) \leq n\sqrt{m} + m\sqrt{n}.$$

References

- [1] Acu D., *Aritmetică și teoria numerelor*, Editura Universității "Lucian Blaga" din Sibiu, 1999 (in romanian).
- [2] Alexandru, V. and Goșonoiu, N., M., *Elemente de teoria numerelor*, Editura Universității din București, 1999 (in romanian).
- [3] Creangă, I. and col., *Introducere în teoria numerelor*, Editura Didactică și Pedagogică, București, 1965 (in romanian).
- [4] Minculete N. și Dicu P., *Concerning the Euler totient*, General Mathematics, vol. 16, No. 1 (2008), 85-91.
- [5] Panaitopol, L. and Gica, Al., *Probleme celebre de teoria numerelor*, Editura Universității din București, 1998 (in romanian).
- [6] Panaitopol, L. and Gica, Al., *O introducere în aritmetică și teoria numerelor*, Editura Universității din București, 2001 (in romanian).

- [7] Panaitopol, L. and Gica, Al., *Probleme de aritmetică și teoria numerelor*, Editura GIL, Zalău, 2006 (in romanian).
- [8] Sandor, J., *Geometric Theorems, Diophantine Equations and Arithmetic Functions*, American Research Press, Rehoboth, 2002.
- [9] -<http://www.nationmaster.com/encyclopedia>

¹University "Dimitrie Cantemir" of Brașov
Department of REI Str. Bisericii Române, Nr. 107,
Brașov, Romania.
E-mail address: *minculeten@yahoo.com*

²University "Lucian Blaga" of Sibiu
Department of Mathematics
Str. Dr. I. Rațiu, Nr. 5-7,
550012 - Sibiu, Romania.
E-mail address: *petrica.dicu@ulbsibiu.ro*