

## Central Extension of Mappings on von Neumann Algebras<sup>1</sup>

M. Mirzavaziri and M. S. Moslehian

### Abstract

Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a von Neumann algebra and  $\rho : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  be a  $*$ -homomorphism. Then  $\rho$  is called a centrally extendable  $*$ -homomorphism (CEH) if there is a maximal abelian subalgebra (masa)  $\mathcal{M}$  of the commutant  $\mathfrak{M}'$  of  $\mathfrak{M}$  and a surjective  $*$ -homomorphism  $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\varphi(Z) = \rho(Z)$  for all  $Z$  in the center of  $\mathfrak{M}$ . A  $*$ - $\rho$ -derivation  $\delta : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  is called a centrally extendable  $*$ - $\rho$ -derivation (CED) if there is a masa  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $\mathfrak{M}'$  such that  $\delta$  has a norm preserving extension  $\tilde{\delta} : C^*(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow C^*(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{M})$  which is a  $*$ - $\tilde{\rho}$ -derivation for some  $*$ -homomorphism  $\tilde{\rho} : C^*(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow C^*(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{M})$  as an extension of  $\rho$ , where  $C^*(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{M})$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $\mathfrak{M} \cup \mathcal{M}$ . In this paper we give some sufficient conditions for a  $*$ -homomorphism to be a CEH and prove that  $\delta$  is a CED if and only if  $\rho$  is a CEH. Thus the study of  $\rho$ -derivations on arbitrary von Neumann algebras is reduced to the case of type I von Neumann algebras.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary 46L57;  
Secondary 46L05, 47B47

**Key words and phrases:**  $*$ -homomorphism, maximal abelian subalgebra (masa), centrally extendable  $*$ -homomorphism (CEH),

---

<sup>1</sup>Received 17 January, 2008

Accepted for publication (in revised form) 25 March, 2008

centrally extendable  $*$ - $\rho$ -derivation (CED), modular conjugation operator, von Neumann algebra,  $\rho$ -derivation.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  be two algebras,  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a  $\mathfrak{B}$ -bimodule and  $\rho : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$  be a homomorphism. A linear mapping  $\delta : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  is called a  $\rho$ -derivation if  $\delta(ab) = \delta(a)\rho(b) + \rho(a)\delta(b)$  for all  $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}$ . These maps have been extensively investigated in pure algebra. Recently, they have been treated in the Banach algebra theory (see [1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 9] and references therein). Now suppose that  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a von Neumann algebra and  $\delta : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  is a  $*$ - $\rho$ -derivation, where  $\rho : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism. Our problem is to find a maximal abelian subalgebra (masa)  $\mathcal{M}$  of the commutant  $\mathfrak{M}'$  of  $\mathfrak{M}$  such that  $\delta$  has a norm preserving extension  $\tilde{\delta} : C^*(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow C^*(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{M})$  which is a  $*$ - $\tilde{\rho}$ -derivation for some  $*$ -homomorphism  $\tilde{\rho} : C^*(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow C^*(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{M})$  as an extension of  $\rho$ , where  $C^*(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{M})$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $\mathfrak{M} \cup \mathcal{M}$ . Toward solving the problem, we are naturally interested in finding some sufficient conditions to ensure us that the  $*$ -homomorphism  $\rho$  has the desired extension. Surprisingly,  $\rho$  has the property if its restriction to the center  $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M})$  of  $\mathfrak{M}$  is extendable. We therefore deal with the so-called centrally extendable  $*$ -homomorphisms. We shall find some sufficient conditions on a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\rho : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  to be centrally extendable. Our discussion concerning centrally extendable  $*$ -homomorphisms is interesting on its own right. We also deal with CEH's in the next section by using some ideas from [8] and consider the main problem, i.e. extending a  $\rho$ -derivation on  $\mathfrak{M}$  to  $C^*(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{M})$ . The importance of our work is to extend a  $\rho$ -derivation on an arbitrary von Neumann algebra to a type I von Neumann algebra.

Throughout the paper,  $\mathfrak{M}$  and  $\mathfrak{N}$  denote von Neumann algebras acting on a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$ . we denote by  $\mathfrak{M}'$  the commutant of  $\mathfrak{M}$ , i.e. the set of all  $T$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$  such that  $TA = AT$  for every  $A \in \mathfrak{M}$ . The double commutant theorem states that  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a von Neumann algebra if and only

if  $\mathfrak{M}'' = \mathfrak{M}$ . We denote the center  $\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{M}'$  of  $\mathfrak{M}$  by  $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M})$ . The Tomita–Takesaki Theorem states that  $\mathfrak{M}' = J\mathfrak{M}J$ , where  $J$  is a modular conjugation on  $\mathfrak{H}$  which satisfies, among many useful properties,  $J^2 = I$  and  $J^* = J$  and  $\langle J\eta, J\xi \rangle = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle$  for each  $\eta, \xi \in \mathfrak{H}$ . Moreover, we know that  $JZJ = Z^*$  for each  $Z \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M})$ . For more detailed information on von Neumann algebras the reader is referred to [4, 5].

## 2 Centrally Extendable \*-Homomorphisms

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a von Neumann algebra and  $\rho : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  be a \*-homomorphism. Then  $\rho$  is called a centrally extendable \*-homomorphism (CEH) if there is a maximal abelian subalgebra (masa)  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $\mathfrak{M}'$  and a surjective \*-homomorphism  $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\varphi(Z) = \rho(Z)$  for each  $Z \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M})$ . In this case we say that  $(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$  is a central structure corresponding to  $\rho$ .*

If  $\rho$  is the identity mapping on  $\mathfrak{M}$  then it is obviously a CEH. If  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a masa in  $B(\mathfrak{H})$  then  $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}'$  and so each surjective \*-homomorphism on  $\mathfrak{M}$  is clearly a CEH. There are also nontrivial situations as the following proposition shows.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a von Neumann algebra with a modular conjugation  $J$ ,  $\rho : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  be a \*-homomorphism such that  $\rho(\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M})) \subseteq \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M})$  and there is a masa  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\mathfrak{M}$  with  $\rho(\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{N}$ . Then  $\rho$  is a CEH.*

**Proof.** Set  $\mathcal{M} = J\mathcal{N}J$ . It is easily seen that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a masa of  $\mathfrak{M}'$ . Define  $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  by  $\varphi(M) = J\rho(N)J$  where  $M = JN$  for some  $N \in \mathcal{N}$ . Obviously  $\varphi$  is well-defined and for  $M_1 = JN_1J, M_2 = JN_2J \in \mathcal{M}$  we have

$$\varphi(M_1M_2) = \varphi(JN_1N_2J) = J\rho(N_1N_2)J = J\rho(N_1)JJ\rho(N_2)J = \varphi(M_1)\varphi(M_2).$$

Hence  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism. Moreover, for  $M = JNJ \in \mathcal{M}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (JNJ)^* J \eta, \xi \rangle &= \langle J \eta, JNJ \xi \rangle \\ &= \langle NJ \xi, \eta \rangle \\ &= \langle J \xi, N^* \eta \rangle \\ &= \langle JN^* \eta, \xi \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $(JNJ)^* J = JN^*$  and so  $(JNJ)^* = JN^* J$ . Thus

$$\varphi(M^*) = \varphi(JN^* J) = J\rho(N^*)J = J\rho(N)^* J = (J\rho(N)J)^* = \varphi(M)^*.$$

Therefore  $\varphi$  preserves  $*$ . Furthermore,  $\varphi$  is onto. To show this, let  $M = JNJ \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then  $N = JMJ \in \mathcal{N} = \rho(\mathcal{N})$  and so there is an  $N' \in \mathcal{N}$  with  $N = \rho(N')$ . Thus  $M = J\rho(N')J = \varphi(JN'J)$ . Furthermore, for each  $Z \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M})$  we have  $\rho(Z) \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M})$  and

$$\varphi(Z) = \varphi(JZ^*J) = J\rho(Z)^* J = \rho(Z).$$

Thus  $(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$  is a central structure corresponding to  $\rho$ .

As a simple result of the arguments stated in Theorem 2.3.2 of [8] we have

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an abelian subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{M}'$ .*

*If  $\mu_{\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{M}} : \mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$  is defined by  $\mu_{\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{M}}(\sum_{i=1}^n A_i \otimes M_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i M_i$ , then there is an  $*$ -isomorphism  $\tilde{\mu}_{\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{M}} : (\mathfrak{M} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{M}) / \ker \mu \rightarrow C^*(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{M})$ .*

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $\rho : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  be a  $*$ -homomorphism with  $\rho(\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M})) \subseteq \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M})$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of projections of  $\mathfrak{M}$  whose closed linear span is  $\mathfrak{M}$ . If  $\rho(P) \preceq P$  for each projection  $P \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\rho$  is a CEH.*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a masa of  $\mathfrak{M}' \subseteq \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M})'$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}$  is an abelian subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M})'$  and so one may consider  $\mu = \mu_{\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M}), \mathcal{M}} : \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M}) \otimes \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ . We show that  $\rho \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M}) \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M}) \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{M}$  leaves  $\ker \mu$  invariant.

Let  $K = \sum_{i=1}^n P_i \otimes M_i \in \ker \mu$ , where  $P_i \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $M_i = N_i N_i^*$ 's are positive elements of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu((\rho \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{M}})K) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \rho(P_i)M_i = \sum_{i=1}^n N_i \rho(P_i) N_i^* \\ &\preceq \sum_{i=1}^n N_i P_i N_i^* = \sum_{i=1}^n P_i M_i = \mu(K) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the mapping  $\rho_1$  defined on  $(\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M}) \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{M}) / \ker \mu$  by

$$\rho_1(Z \otimes M + \ker \mu) = (\rho \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{M}})(Z \otimes M) + \ker \mu,$$

is well-defined. Define  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{M} = C^*(\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M}), \mathcal{M})$  by  $\varphi = \tilde{\mu} \rho_1 \tilde{\mu}^{-1}$ . Since  $\rho$  is surjective on  $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M})$ , so is  $\rho_1$  and hence  $\varphi$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism on  $\mathcal{M}$  onto  $\mathfrak{M}$ . For each  $Z \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M})$  and  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(ZM) &= \tilde{\mu} \rho_1(Z \otimes M + \ker \mu) \\ &= \tilde{\mu}(\rho(Z) \otimes M + \ker \mu) \\ &= \rho(Z)M. \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $M = I$  we have  $\varphi(Z) = \rho(Z)$ , for all  $Z \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M})$ . This shows that  $(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$  is a central structure corresponding to  $\rho$ .

**Corollary 2.5.** *If  $\rho : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  is a CEH then  $\rho(\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M})) \subseteq \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M})$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$  be a central structure corresponding to  $\rho$ . Then using the notations of the above proposition we can define  $\rho_1$  by  $\rho_1 = \tilde{\mu}^{-1} \varphi \tilde{\mu}$  which maps  $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M})$  into  $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M})$  and is equal to  $\rho$  on  $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M})$ . Now  $\rho(I) \in \rho(\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M})) \subseteq \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M})$  implies that  $\rho(I)$  commutes with each member of  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

### 3 Centrally Extendable $\rho$ -Derivations

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an abelian  $*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{M}$ . By the Gelfand representation,  $\mathcal{M}$  is of the form  $\mathcal{C}(\Omega)$  for some compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega$ . It is known that  $\mathfrak{M} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$  is isometrically  $*$ -isomorphic to the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{C}(\Omega, \mathfrak{M})$  of  $\mathfrak{M}$ -valued continuous functions on  $\Omega$ . Let us state the first result.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $\rho : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  be a  $*$ -homomorphism,  $\delta : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  be a  $*$ - $\rho$ -derivation,  $\mathcal{M}$  be an abelian subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{M}'$  and  $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  be a surjective  $*$ -homomorphism. Then  $\rho \otimes \varphi$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism and  $\delta \otimes \varphi : \mathfrak{M} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{M}$  is a  $(\rho \otimes \varphi)$ -derivation with  $\|\delta \otimes \varphi\| \leq \|\delta\|$ .*

**Proof.** Identifying  $\mathcal{M}$  with  $\mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ , the character space of  $\mathcal{C}(\Omega)$  with  $\Omega$ , and  $\mathfrak{M} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$  with  $\mathcal{C}(\Omega, \mathfrak{M})$ , we define  $\delta_0 : \mathcal{C}(\Omega, \mathfrak{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\Omega, \mathfrak{M})$  by  $\delta_0(f)(\omega) = \delta(f(\hat{\omega} \circ \varphi))$  where  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega, \mathfrak{M})$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$  and  $\hat{\omega}$  is the character on  $\mathcal{C}(\Omega)$  defined by  $\hat{\omega}(h) = h(\omega)$ ,  $h \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ . Similarly we can define  $\rho_0 : \mathcal{C}(\Omega, \mathfrak{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\Omega, \mathfrak{M})$  by  $\rho_0(f)(\omega) = \rho(f(\hat{\omega} \circ \varphi))$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega, \mathfrak{M})$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Since  $\varphi$  is surjective,  $\hat{\omega} \circ \varphi$  is a character on  $\mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ .

Since  $\rho$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism we easily infer that  $\rho_0$  is also a  $*$ -homomorphism.  $\delta_0$  is a  $\rho_0$ -derivation since

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_0(fg)(\omega) &= \delta((fg)(\hat{\omega} \circ \varphi)) \\ &= \delta(f(\hat{\omega} \circ \varphi)g(\hat{\omega} \circ \varphi)) \\ &= \delta(f(\hat{\omega} \circ \varphi))\rho(g(\hat{\omega} \circ \varphi)) \\ &\quad + \rho(f(\hat{\omega} \circ \varphi))\delta(g(\hat{\omega} \circ \varphi)) \\ &= \delta_0(f)(\omega)\rho_0(g)(\omega) + \rho_0(f)(\omega)\delta_0(g)(\omega), \end{aligned}$$

in which  $f, g \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega, \mathfrak{M})$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta_0(f)(\omega)\| &= \|\delta(f(\hat{\omega} \circ \varphi))\| \\ &\leq \|\delta\| \|f(\hat{\omega} \circ \varphi)\| \\ &\leq \|\delta\| \|f\| \|\hat{\omega} \circ \varphi\|, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega, \mathfrak{M})$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\delta_0(f)\| &= \sup_{\omega \in \Omega} \|\delta_0(f)(\omega)\| \\
 &\leq \|\delta\| \|f\| \sup_{\omega \in \Omega} \|\hat{\omega} \circ \varphi\| \\
 &\leq \|\delta\| \|f\| \sup_{\omega \in \Omega} \sup_{h \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega)} \|(\hat{\omega} \circ \varphi)(h)\| \\
 &\leq \|\delta\| \|f\| \sup_{h \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega)} \sup_{\omega \in \Omega} \|\varphi(h)(\omega)\| \\
 &\leq \|\delta\| \|f\|,
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega, \mathfrak{M})$ . Thus  $\|\delta_0\| \leq \|\delta\|$ .

Now we show that under the isomorphism  $\pi : \mathfrak{M} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{C}(\Omega) \simeq \mathcal{C}(\Omega, \mathfrak{M})$ , the  $\rho_0$ -derivation  $\delta_0$  is corresponded to  $\delta \otimes \varphi$ . By the same argument one can prove that  $\rho_0$  is indeed  $\rho \otimes \varphi$ . Given  $A \in \mathfrak{M}$ ,  $h \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$  we have

$$\pi((\delta \otimes \varphi)(A \otimes h))(\omega) = \pi(\delta(A) \otimes \varphi(h))(\omega) = \varphi(h)(\omega)\delta(A).$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta_0(\pi(A \otimes h))(\omega) &= \delta(\pi(A \otimes h)(\hat{\omega} \circ \varphi)) = \delta(h(\hat{\omega} \circ \varphi)A) \\
 &= h(\hat{\omega} \circ \varphi)\delta(A) = \varphi(h)(\omega)\delta(A)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\pi((\delta \otimes \varphi)(A \otimes h)) = \delta_0(\pi(A \otimes h))$ .

The above Proposition shows the importance of the definition of a CEH.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $\rho : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  be a  $*$ -homomorphism and  $\delta : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  be a  $*$ - $\rho$ -derivation.  $\delta$  is called a centrally extendable  $*$ - $\rho$ -derivation (CED) if there is a masa of  $\mathfrak{M}'$  such that  $\delta$  has a norm preserving extension  $\tilde{\delta} : C^*(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow C^*(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{M})$  which is a  $*$ - $\tilde{\rho}$ -derivation for some  $*$ -homomorphism  $\tilde{\rho} : C^*(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow C^*(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{M})$  as an extension of  $\rho$ .

The following Theorem determines our motivation for introducing the notion of CEH.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $\rho : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  be a  $*$ -homomorphism and  $\delta : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  be a  $*$ - $\rho$ -derivation. Then  $\delta$  is a CED if and only if  $\rho$  is a CEH.

**Proof.** If  $\delta$  is a CED then  $\rho$  is obviously a CEH. Thus let  $\rho$  is a CEH and  $(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$  be a central structure corresponding to  $\rho$ .

We show that  $\rho \otimes \varphi : \mathfrak{M} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{M}$  leaves  $\ker \mu$  invariant. Let  $K = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \otimes M_i \in \ker \mu$ , where  $A_i \in \mathfrak{M}$  and  $M_i \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^n A_i M_i = 0$ . By Theorem 5.5.4 of [4], there are operators  $Z_{ik}$ ,  $1 \leq i, k \leq n$  in  $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M})$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n A_i Z_{ik} = 0$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , and  $\sum_{k=1}^n Z_{ik} M_k = M_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Since  $\rho|_{\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M})} = \varphi|_{\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M})}$  we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \rho(A_i) \varphi(Z_{ik}) = 0$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \varphi(Z_{ik}) \varphi(M_k) = \varphi(M_i)$$

Using again Theorem 5.5.4 of [4] and noting  $\varphi(Z_{ik}) \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{M})$ , we conclude that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \rho(A_i) \varphi(M_i) = 0$$

Thus  $\mu(\rho \otimes \varphi)(K) = \sum_{i=1}^n \rho(A_i) \varphi(M_i) = 0$ .

Moreover,  $\delta \otimes \varphi$  leaves  $\ker \mu$  invariant. To see this, let  $K$  be a positive element of  $\ker \mu$ . Then there is an  $S \in \ker \mu$  such that  $K = S^2$  and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu((\delta \otimes \varphi)S^2) &= \mu((\delta \otimes \varphi)S(\rho \otimes \varphi)S + (\rho \otimes \varphi)S(\delta \otimes \varphi)S) \\ &= \mu((\delta \otimes \varphi)S)\mu((\rho \otimes \varphi)S) + \mu((\rho \otimes \varphi)S)\mu((\delta \otimes \varphi)S) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the mappings  $\rho_1$  and  $\delta_1$  defined on  $(\mathfrak{M} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{M})/\ker \mu$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1(A \otimes M + \ker \mu) &= (\rho \otimes \varphi)(A \otimes M) + \ker \mu \\ \delta_1(A \otimes M + \ker \mu) &= (\delta \otimes \varphi)(A \otimes M) + \ker \mu \end{aligned}$$



are well-defined. Note that  $\|\delta_1\| \leq \|\delta \otimes \varphi\| \leq \|\delta\|$ .

Define  $\tilde{\rho}$  and  $\tilde{\delta}$  on  $C^*(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{M})$  by  $\tilde{\rho} = \tilde{\mu}\rho_1\tilde{\mu}^{-1}$  and  $\tilde{\delta} = \tilde{\mu}\delta_1\tilde{\mu}^{-1}$ , respectively.

Then  $\tilde{\delta}$  is a  $\tilde{\rho}$ -derivation and for  $A \in \mathfrak{M}$ ,  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\delta}(AM) &= \tilde{\mu}\delta_1(A \otimes M + \ker \mu) \\ &= \tilde{\mu}(\delta(A) \otimes \varphi(M) + \ker \mu) \\ &= \delta(A)\varphi(M).\end{aligned}$$

Taking  $M = I$  we have  $\tilde{\delta}(A) = \delta(A)\rho(I) = \delta(A)$ . This shows that  $\tilde{\delta}$  extends  $\delta$ . Similarly one can prove that  $\tilde{\rho}$  is an extension of  $\rho$ . Since  $\rho \otimes \varphi$  has a norm dense range,  $\tilde{\rho}$  has also a norm dense range. Furthermore,  $\|\tilde{\delta}\| \leq \|\tilde{\mu}\| \|\delta_1\| \|\tilde{\mu}^{-1}\| \leq \|\delta\|$ . Thus  $\|\tilde{\delta}\| = \|\delta\|$ .

## References

- [1] Gh. Abbaspour, M. S. Moslehian and A. Niknam, *Generalized derivations on modules*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. **32** (2006) no. 1, 22–31.
- [2] M. Brešar and A. R. Villena, *The noncommutative Singer-Wermer conjecture and  $\phi$ -derivations*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **66** (2002), no. 3, 710–720.
- [3] S. Hejazian, A. R. Janfada, M. Mirzavaziri and M. S. Moslehian, *Achievement of continuity of  $(\varphi, \psi)$ -derivations without linearity*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc.-Simon Stevn. **14** (2007), no. 4, 641–652.
- [4] R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras. I.*, Elementary theory. Reprint of the 1983 original. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 15. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [5] R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator algebras. II.*, Advanced theory. Corrected reprint of the 1986

original. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 16. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.

- [6] M. Mirzavaziri and M. S. Moslehian,  *$\sigma$ -amenability of Banach algebras*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. **33** (2009), 8999.
- [7] M. Mirzavaziri and M. S. Moslehian, *Automatic continuity of  $\sigma$ -derivations in  $C^*$ -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (2006), no. 5, 805–813.
- [8] A. M. Sinclair and R. R. Smith, *Hochschild Cohomology of von Neumann Algebras*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [9] J.M. Zhan and Z.S. Tan,  *$T$ -local derivations of von Neumann algebras*, Northeast. Math. J. **20** (2004), no. 2, 145-152.

Department of Pure Mathematics,  
Ferdowsi University of Mashhad,  
P. O. Box 1159, Mashhad 91775, Iran;

Banach Mathematical Research Group (BMRG), Mashhad, Iran;  
Centre of Excellence in Analysis on Algebraic Structures (CEAAS),  
Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran.

E-mail: mirzavaziri@math.um.ac.ir and mirzavaziri@gmail.com

E-mail: moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir and moslehian@ams.org