

## On a problem in the theory of univalent functions <sup>1</sup>

Sukhwinder Singh, Sushma Gupta and Sukhjit Singh

### Abstract

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the class of functions  $f$ , analytic in  $\mathbb{E} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  and normalized by the conditions  $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$ . In the present note, we prove that  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , satisfying the differential inequality

$$\Re \left[ (1 - \alpha)f'(z) + \alpha \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right] < \beta, \quad z \in \mathbb{E},$$

implies that  $\Re f'(z) > 0$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{E}$ , for all real numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  satisfying  $\alpha \geq \beta > 1$  and hence  $f$  is univalent.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 30C45, 30C50.

**Key words and phrases:** Analytic function, univalent function.

---

<sup>1</sup>Received 25 September, 2008

Accepted for publication (in revised form) 22 October, 2008

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the class of functions  $f$ , analytic in  $\mathbb{E} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  and normalized by the conditions  $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$ .

For real number  $\alpha$ , let

$$I(\alpha, f(z)) = (1 - \alpha)f'(z) + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right).$$

It is well-known that any close-to-convex function is univalent.

In 1934/35, Noshiro [3] and Warchawski [5] obtained a simple but interesting criterion for univalence of analytic functions. They proved that if an analytic function  $f$  satisfies  $\Re f'(z) > 0$  for all  $z$  in  $\mathbb{E}$ , then  $f$  is close-to-convex and hence univalent in  $\mathbb{E}$ .

Al-Amiri and Reade [1], in 1975, have shown that for  $\alpha \leq 0$  and also for  $\alpha = 1$ , the functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , satisfying the differential inequality  $\Re [I(\alpha, f(z))] > 0$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{E}$ , are univalent in  $\mathbb{E}$ .

In 2005, Singh, Singh and Gupta [4] proved that for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , satisfying the differential inequality  $\Re [I(\alpha, f(z))] > \alpha$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{E}$ , are univalent in  $\mathbb{E}$ .

The univalence of the above problem is still open for  $\alpha > 1$ .

In the present note, we prove, if  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies the differential inequality  $\Re [I(\alpha, f(z))] < \beta$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{E}$ , then  $\Re f'(z) > 0$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{E}$ , for all real numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  satisfying  $\alpha \geq \beta > 1$  and hence  $f$  is univalent.

We use the following celebrated lemma of Miller to prove our result.

**Lemma 1** ([2]). *Let  $\mathbb{D}$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$  ( $\mathbb{C}$  is the complex plane) and let  $\phi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a complex function. For  $u = u_1 + iu_2$ ,  $v = v_1 + iv_2$*

( $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2$  are reals), let  $\phi$  satisfy the following conditions:

(i)  $\phi$  is continuous in  $\mathbb{D}$ ;

(ii)  $(1, 0) \in \mathbb{D}$  and  $\Re \phi(1, 0) > 0$ ; and

(iii)  $\Re \phi(iu_2, v_1) \leq 0$  for all  $(iu_2, v_1) \in \mathbb{D}$  such that  $v_1 \leq -(1 + u_2^2)/2$ .

Let  $p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + \dots$  be regular in the unit disc  $E$ , such that  $(p(z), zp'(z)) \in \mathbb{D}$ , for all  $z \in \mathbb{E}$ . If  $\Re [\phi(p(z), zp'(z))] > 0$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{E}$ , then  $\Re p(z) > 0$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{E}$ .

## 2 Main Result

**Theorem 1** Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be real numbers such that  $\alpha \geq \beta > 1$ . Assume that an analytic function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies

$$(1) \quad \Re [I(\alpha, f(z))] < \beta, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}.$$

Then  $\Re f'(z) > 0$  in  $\mathbb{E}$ . So,  $f$  is close to convex and hence univalent in  $\mathbb{E}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + \dots$  be analytic in  $\mathbb{E}$  such that,

$$(2) \quad f'(z) = p(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{E}.$$

Then,

$$I(\alpha, f(z)) = (1-\alpha)f'(z) + \alpha \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) = (1-\alpha)p(z) + \alpha \left( 1 + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right).$$

Thus, condition (1) is equivalent to

$$(3) \quad \Re \left( \frac{1-\alpha}{1-\beta} p(z) + \frac{\alpha}{1-\beta} \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} + \frac{\alpha-\beta}{1-\beta} \right) > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{E}.$$

If  $\mathbb{D} = (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{C}$ , define  $\phi(u, v) : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  as

$$\phi(u, v) = \frac{1-\alpha}{1-\beta}u + \frac{\alpha}{1-\beta}\frac{v}{u} + \frac{\alpha-\beta}{1-\beta}.$$

Then  $\phi$  is continuous in  $\mathbb{D}$ ,  $(1, 0) \in \mathbb{D}$  and  $\Re \phi(1, 0) = 1 > 0$ . Further, in view of (3), we get  $\Re \phi(p(z), zp'(z)) > 0$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{E}$ . Let  $u = u_1 + iu_2, v = v_1 + iv_2$  where  $u_1, u_2, v_1$  and  $v_2$  are all reals. Then, for  $(iu_2, v_1) \in \mathbb{D}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \phi(iu_2, v_1) &= \Re \left( \frac{1-\alpha}{1-\beta}iu_2 + \frac{\alpha}{1-\beta}\frac{v_1}{iu_2} + \frac{\alpha-\beta}{1-\beta} \right) \\ &= \frac{\alpha-\beta}{1-\beta} \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (2) and Lemma 1, proof now follows.

## References

- [1] Al-Amiri, H. S. and Reade, M. O., *On a linear combination of some expressions in the theory of univalent functions*, Monatshefte für mathematik, 80(1975), 257-264.
- [2] Miller, S. S., *Differential Inequalities and Carathéodory functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 81(1975), 79-81.
- [3] Noshiro, K., *On the theory of schlicht functions*, J. Fac. Sci., Hokkaido Univ., 2(1934-35), 129-155.
- [4] Singh, V., Singh, S. and Gupta, S., *A problem in the theory of univalent functions*, Integral Transforms and Special Functions, 16, 2(2005), 179-186.

- [5] Warchawski, S. E., *On the higher derivatives at the boundary in conformal mappings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 38(1935), 310-340.

Sukhwinder Singh

Department of Applied Sciences

B.B.S.B.Engineering College

Fatehgarh Sahib-140 407 (Punjab) India

e-mail: ss\_billing@yahoo.co.in

Sushma Gupta and Sukhjit Singh

Department of Mathematics

S.L.I.E.T. Longowal-148 106 (Punjab) India

e-mail: sushmagupta1@yahoo.com

e-mail: sukhjit\_d@yahoo.com