

# A improvement of Becker's condition of univalence

Mugur Acu

## Abstract

Let  $A$  be the class of all analytic functions  $f$  in the unit disc  $U = U(0, 1)$  normed with the conditions  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $f'(0) = 1$ . In this paper we give a sufficient condition for univalence which generalize the well known Becker's criterion of univalence.

**2000 Mathematical Subject Classification:** 30C45

## 1 Introduction

Let  $A$  be the class of functions  $f$ , which are analytic in the unit disc  $U = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| < 1\}$ , with  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $f'(0) = 1$ .

In this paper we shall find, using the theory of Löwner chains, a sufficient condition for univalence of a class of functions which generalize Becker's univalence criterion.

A function  $L(z, t)$ ,  $z \in U$ ,  $t \geq 0$  is called a Löwner chain, or a subordination chain if  $L(z, t)$  is analytic and univalent in  $U$  for all positive  $t$  and, for all  $s, t$  with  $0 \leq s < t$ ,  $L(z, s) \prec L(z, t)$  (by " $\prec$ " we denote the relation of subordination). In addition,  $L(z, t)$  must be continuously differentiable on  $[0, \infty]$  for all  $z \in U$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $0 < r \leq 1$  and  $U_r$  the disc of the complex plane  $\{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| < r\}$ .

**Theorem 2.1** (Pommerenke)([4]). *Let  $r_0 \in (0, 1]$  and let  $L(z, t) = a_1(t) \cdot z + a_2(t) \cdot z^2 + \dots$ ,  $a_1(t) \neq 0$ , be analytic in  $U_{r_0}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , locally absolutely continuous in  $[0, \infty)$  locally uniform with respect to  $U_{r_0}$ . For almost all  $t \geq 0$  suppose*

$$(1) \quad z \cdot \frac{\partial L(z, t)}{\partial z} = p(z, t) \cdot \frac{\partial L(z, t)}{\partial t}, \quad z \in U_{r_0}$$

where  $p(z, t)$  is analytic in  $U$  and  $\operatorname{Re} p(z, t) > 0$ ,  $z \in U$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . If  $|a_1(t)| \rightarrow \infty$  for  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\left\{ \frac{L(z, t)}{a_1(t)} \right\}$  forms a normal family in  $U_{r_0}$ , then, for each  $t \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $L(z, t)$  has an analytic and univalent extension to the whole disc, and is, consequently, a Löwner chain.

**Theorem 2.2** (Becker)([1],[2]). *If  $f \in A$  and*

$$(2) \quad (1 - |z|^2) \cdot \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq 1 \text{ for all } z \in U$$

then  $f$  is univalent in  $U$ .

## 3 Main results

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $f, g, h \in A$  and let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  be complex numbers with  $|\alpha| + |\beta| + |\gamma| > 0$ . If*

$$(3) \quad |\alpha + \beta + \gamma| < 1$$

$$(4) \quad \left| |z|^2 \cdot (\alpha + \beta + \gamma) + (1 - |z|^2) \cdot \left( \alpha \cdot \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \beta \cdot \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} + \gamma \cdot \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} \right) \right| \leq 1, \quad z \in U$$

then the function

$$(5) \quad F_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(z) = \left[ (1 + \alpha + \beta + \gamma) \cdot \int_0^z f^\alpha(u) \cdot g^\beta(u) \cdot h^\gamma(u) du \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 1}}$$

is analytic and univalent in  $U$ .

**Proof.** The functions  $h_1(u) = \frac{f(u)}{u} = 1 + a_1 \cdot u + a_2 \cdot u^2 + \dots$ ,  $h_2(u) = \frac{g(u)}{u} = 1 + b_1 \cdot u + b_2 \cdot u^2 + \dots$ ,  $h_3(u) = \frac{h(u)}{u} = 1 + c_1 \cdot u + c_2 \cdot u^2 + \dots$  are analytic in  $U$  and  $h_1(0) = h_2(0) = h_3(0) = 1$ . Then, we can choose  $r_0$ ,  $0 < r_0 \leq 1$  so that all these functions do not vanish in  $U_{r_0}$ . In this case we denote by  $h_1^*$ ,  $h_2^*$ ,  $h_3^*$ , the uniform branches of  $[h_1(u)]^\alpha$ , of  $[h_2(u)]^\beta$ , and of  $[h_3(u)]^\gamma$ , respectively, which are analytic in  $U_{r_0}$  and  $h_1^*(0) = h_2^*(0) = h_3^*(0) = 1$ . Let  $h_4(u) = h_1^*(u) \cdot h_2^*(u) \cdot h_3^*(u)$  and

$$(6) h_5(u) = (1 + \alpha + \beta + \gamma) \int_0^{e^{-t}z} h_4(u) \cdot u^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} du = (e^{-t}z)^{1+\alpha+\beta+\gamma} + \dots .$$

It is clear that, if  $z \in U_{r_0}$ , then  $e^{-t}z \in U_{r_0}$ , and, from the analyticity of  $h_4$  in  $U_{r_0}$ , we have that  $h_5(z, t)$  is also analytic in  $U_{r_0}$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and:

$$(7) \quad h_5(z, t) = (e^{-t}z)^{1+\alpha+\beta+\gamma} \cdot h_6(z, t) \text{ where}$$

$$(8) \quad h_6(z, t) = 1 + \dots .$$

If we put

$$(9) \quad h_7(z, t) = h_6(z, t) + (e^{2t} - 1) \cdot h_4(e^{-t}z)$$

we have that  $h_7(0, t) = e^{2t} \neq 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Then, we can choose  $r_1$ ,  $0 < r_1 \leq r_0$  so that  $h_7$  does not vanish in  $U_{r_1}$  ( $t \geq 0$ ).

Now, denote by  $h_8(z, t)$  the uniform branch of  $[h_7(z, t)]^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha+\beta+\gamma}}$ , which is analytic in  $U_{r_1}$  and  $h_8(0, t) = e^{\frac{2t}{1+\alpha+\beta+\gamma}}$ . It follows that the function

$$(10) \quad L(z, t) = e^{-t}z \cdot h_8(z, t)$$

is analytic in  $U_{r_1}$  and  $L(0, t) = 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . It also clear that

$e^{-t} \cdot h_8(0, t) = e^{\frac{1-(\alpha+\beta+\gamma)}{1+(\alpha+\beta+\gamma)} \cdot t}$ . Now, we can formally write (using (6), (7), (8), (9), (10)):

$$(11) \quad L(z, t) = \left[ (1 + \alpha + \beta + \gamma) \cdot \int_0^{e^{-t}z} f^\alpha(u) \cdot g^\beta(u) \cdot h^\gamma(u) du + (e^{2t} - 1) e^{-t}z \cdot f^\alpha(e^{-t}z) \cdot g^\beta(e^{-t}z) \cdot h^\gamma(e^{-t}z) \right]^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha+\beta+\gamma}} = e^{\frac{1-(\alpha+\beta+\gamma)}{1+(\alpha+\beta+\gamma)} \cdot t} \cdot z + \dots = a_1(t) \cdot z + \dots .$$

From (3) we have that  $Re \frac{1-(\alpha+\beta+\gamma)}{1+(\alpha+\beta+\gamma)} > 0$  and then:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |a_1(t)| = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left| e^{\frac{1-(\alpha+\beta+\gamma)}{1+(\alpha+\beta+\gamma)} \cdot t} \right| = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{t \cdot Re \frac{1-(\alpha+\beta+\gamma)}{1+(\alpha+\beta+\gamma)}} = \infty .$$

$\frac{L(z,t)}{a_1(t)}$  is analytic in  $U_{r_1}$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and then, it follows that  $\left\{ \frac{L(z,t)}{a_1(t)} \right\}$  is uniformly bounded in  $U_{\frac{r_1}{2}}$ .

Applying Montel's theorem, we have that  $\left\{ \frac{L(z,t)}{a_1(t)} \right\}$  forms a normal family in  $U_{\frac{r_1}{2}}$ . Using (9) and (10) we have:

$$(12) \quad \frac{\partial L(z,t)}{\partial t} = e^{-t} z \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{1+\alpha+\beta+\gamma} \cdot (h_7(z,t))^{\frac{-\alpha-\beta-\gamma}{1+\alpha+\beta+\gamma}} \cdot \frac{\partial h_7(z,t)}{\partial t} - (h_7(z,t))^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha+\beta+\gamma}} \right]$$

Because  $h_7(0,t) = e^{2t} \neq 0$ , we consider an uniform branch of  $(h_7(z,t))^{\frac{-\alpha-\beta-\gamma}{1+\alpha+\beta+\gamma}}$  which is analytic in  $U_{r_2}$ , where  $r_2$ ,  $0 < r_2 \leq \frac{r_1}{2}$  is chosen so that the above-mentioned uniform branch, which takes in  $(0,t)$  the value  $e^{\frac{-2t \cdot (\alpha+\beta+\gamma)}{1+\alpha+\beta+\gamma}}$ , does not vanish in  $U_{r_2}$ . It is also clear that  $\frac{\partial h_7(z,t)}{\partial t}$  is analytic in  $U_{r_2}$ , and then, it follows that  $\frac{\partial L(z,t)}{\partial t}$  is also. Then  $L(z,t)$  is locally absolutely continuous. Let

$$(13) \quad p(z,t) = \frac{z \cdot \frac{\partial L(z,t)}{\partial z}}{\frac{\partial L(z,t)}{\partial t}}.$$

In order to prove that  $p(z,t)$  has an analytic extension with positive real part in  $U$ , for all  $t \geq 0$ , it is sufficient to prove that the function:

$$(14) \quad w(z,t) = \frac{p(z,t) - 1}{p(z,t) + 1}$$

is analytic in  $U$  for  $t \geq 0$  and

$$(15) \quad |w(z,t)| < 1$$

for all  $z \in U$  and  $t \geq 0$ . Using (14), after simple calculations we obtain:

$$(16) \quad w(z,t) = \frac{[(\alpha + \beta + \gamma) \cdot h_1(e^{-t}z)h_2(e^{-t}z)h_3(e^{-t}z)] \frac{1}{e^{2t} \cdot h_1(e^{-t}z)h_2(e^{-t}z)h_3(e^{-t}z)} + (e^{2t} - 1) \cdot \left[ \alpha f'(e^{-t}z)h_2(e^{-t}z)h_3(e^{-t}z) + \beta g'(e^{-t}z)h_1(e^{-t}z)h_3(e^{-t}z) + \gamma h'(e^{-t}z)h_1(e^{-t}z)h_2(e^{-t}z) \right]}{e^{2t} \cdot h_1(e^{-t}z)h_2(e^{-t}z)h_3(e^{-t}z)}$$

Because  $h_1, h_2$  and  $h_3$  do not vanish in  $U_{r_2}$  and are analytic, it follows that  $w(z,t)$  is also analytic in the same disc, for all  $t \geq 0$ . Then,  $w(z,t)$  has an analytic extension in  $U$  denoted also by  $w(z,t)$ .

For  $t = 0$ ,  $|w(z, 0)| = |\alpha + \beta + \gamma| < 1$  from (3). Let now  $t > 0$ . In this case  $w(z, t)$  is analytic in  $\bar{U}$  because  $|e^{-t}z| \leq e^{-t} < 1$  for all  $z \in \bar{U}$ . Then

$$(17) \quad |w(z, t)| < \max_{|z|=1} |w(z, t)| = |w(e^{i\theta}, t)| \quad \text{with } \theta \text{ real.}$$

To prove (15) it is sufficient that:

$$(18) \quad |w(e^{i\theta}, t)| \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

Note  $u = e^{-t} \cdot e^{i\theta}$ ,  $u \in U$ . Then  $|u| = e^{-t}$  and from (16) we obtain:

$$(19) \quad |w(e^{i\theta}, t)| = \left| |u|^2 \cdot (\alpha + \beta + \gamma) + (1 - |u|^2) \cdot \left[ \alpha \frac{uf'(u)}{f(u)} + \beta \frac{ug'(u)}{g(u)} + \gamma \frac{uh'(u)}{h(u)} \right] \right|$$

and inequality (18) becomes:

$$(20) \quad \left| |u|^2 \cdot (\alpha + \beta + \gamma) + (1 - |u|^2) \cdot \left[ \alpha \frac{uf'(u)}{f(u)} + \beta \frac{ug'(u)}{g(u)} + \gamma \frac{uh'(u)}{h(u)} \right] \right| \leq 1.$$

Because  $u \in U$ , relation (4) implies (20). Combining (17), (18), (19) and (20), it follows that  $|w(z, t)| < 1$  for all  $z \in U$  and  $t \geq 0$ . Applying Theorem 2.1, we have that  $L(z, t)$  is a Löwner chain and, then the function  $L(z, 0) = F_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(z)$ , defined by (5), is analytic and univalent in  $U$ .

**Remark 3.1** *From Theorem 3.1, with  $\beta + \gamma = -\alpha$  and  $h = g$  we have: If  $f, g \in A$  and  $\alpha$  is a complex number,  $\alpha \neq 0$ , and*

$$(21) \quad \left| (1 - |z|^2) \cdot \left[ \alpha \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} \right] \right| \leq 1$$

for all  $z \in U$ , then the function

$$(22) \quad F(z) = \int_0^z \left[ \frac{f(u)}{g(u)} \right]^\alpha du$$

is analytic and univalent in  $U$ .

After simple calculations, we have that condition (21) is equivalent to:

$$(23) \quad \left| (1 - |z|^2) \cdot \frac{zF''(z)}{F'(z)} \right| \leq 1.$$

It follows that condition (23) implies the univalence of  $F$ . This is Becker's criterion of univalence (see Theorem 2.2). Then Theorem 3.1 is a generalization of Becker's criterion of univalence.

**Remark 3.2** *It's easy to see that for  $\gamma = 0$  in Theorem 3.1 we obtain the results from [3].*

## 4 Some particular cases

**Corollary 4.1** *If  $f \in A$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ , are complex numbers,  $|\alpha| + |\beta| + |\gamma| > 0$ , satisfying:*

$$(24) \quad |\alpha + \beta + \gamma| < 1$$

$$(25) \quad \left| |z|^2 \cdot (\alpha + \beta + \gamma) + (1 - |z|^2) \cdot \left[ (\alpha + \beta) \cdot \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \gamma \cdot \left( \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + 1 \right) \right] \right| \leq 1$$

*then the function*

$$(26) \quad F_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(z) = \left[ (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 1) \cdot \int_0^z f^{\alpha + \beta}(u) \cdot u^\gamma \cdot [f'(u)]^\gamma du \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 1}}$$

*is analytic and univalent in  $U$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $h(z) = zf'(z) \in A$  and  $g(z) = f(z)$ . By applying Theorem 3.1 we obtain the assertion.

**Corollary 4.2** *If  $f \in A$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ , are complex numbers,  $|\alpha| + |\beta| + |\gamma| > 0$ , satisfying:*

$$(27) \quad |\alpha + \beta + \gamma| < 1$$

$$(28) \quad \left| |z|^2 \cdot (\alpha + \beta + \gamma) + (1 - |z|^2) \cdot \left[ \alpha \cdot \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + (\beta + \gamma) \cdot \left( \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + 1 \right) \right] \right| \leq 1$$

*then the function*

$$(29) \quad F_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(z) = \left[ (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 1) \cdot \int_0^z f^\alpha(u) \cdot u^{\beta + \gamma} \cdot [f'(u)]^{\beta + \gamma} du \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 1}}$$

*is analytic and univalent in  $U$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $g(z) = h(z) = zf'(z) \in A$ . By applying Theorem 3.1 we obtain the assertion.

**Corollary 4.3** *If  $f \in A$  and  $c \in U$  satisfying:*

$$(30) \quad \left| |z|^2 \cdot c + (1 - |z|^2) \cdot c \cdot \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right| \leq 1$$

*then the function*

$$(31) \quad F_c(z) = \left[ (c + 1) \cdot \int_0^z f^c(u) du \right]^{\frac{1}{c+1}}$$

*is analytic and univalent in  $U$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $g(z) = h(z) = f(z) \in A$ . By applying Theorem 3.1 , with  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = c$ , we obtain the assertion.

## References

- [1] L.V. Ahlfors, *Sufficient conditions for Q.C. extension* , Ann. Math. Studies 79, Princeton, 1974
- [2] J. Becker, *Löwnersche Differentialgleichung und quasikonform fortsetzbare schichte Functionen*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 255(1972), 23-24, M.R. 45-8828
- [3] E. Drăghici, *An improvment of Becker's condition of univalence* , Mathematica, Tome 34/57, No 2/1992, pp 139-144
- [4] Ch. Pommerenke, *Über die Subordination-analytischer Functionen* , J. Reine Angew. Mathematik, 218(1965), 159-173

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Sciences  
"Lucian Blaga" University of Sibiu  
Str. I. Rațiu 5-7  
2400 Sibiu, Romania  
E-mail: *acu\_mugur@yahoo.com*