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#### Abstract

It is well known that for the Hilbert space $H$ the minimum value of the functional $F_{\mu}(f)=\int_{H}\|f-g\|^{2} d \mu(g), f \in H$, is achived at the mean of $\mu$ for any probability measure $\mu$ with strong second moment on $H$. We show that the validity of this property for measures on a normed space having support at three points with norm 1 and arbitrarily fixed positive weights implies the existence of an inner product that generates the norm.
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Let $X$ be a real normed space, $\operatorname{dim} X \geq 2$, and $\mu$ be a Borel probability measure on $X$ with strong second moment. Denote by $F_{\mu}$ the following functional on $X$ :

$$
F_{\mu}(f)=\int_{X}\|f-g\|^{2} d \mu(g), \quad f \in X .
$$

It is easy to show that if $X$ is an inner product space and there exists the mean $m$ of $\mu$ (in the usual weak sense as the Pettis integral), then $F_{\mu}(f) \geq F_{\mu}(m)$ for all $f \in X$.

The problem which was brought to my attention by N. Vakhania was to find a class of probability measures as small as possible, for which this property of $F_{\mu}$ characterizes the inner product spaces. It is easy to see that the class of measures with supports containing two points is not a sufficient class since the minimum of $F_{\mu}$ is attained at the mean of $\mu$ for any such $\mu$ whatever the normed space $X$ is. Indeed, for any normed space $X$ let $\mu$ be a probability measure concentrated at two points $f, g \in X$ and let $\mu(f)=\alpha, \mu(g)=\beta, \alpha>0, \beta>0$, $\alpha+\beta=1$. It is clear that $m=\alpha f+\beta g$ and $F_{\mu}(m)=\alpha \beta\|f-g\|^{2}$. The condition $F_{\mu}(h) \geq F_{\mu}(m), h \in X$, gives the inequality

$$
\alpha\|f-h\|^{2}+\beta\|g-h\|^{2} \geq \alpha \beta\|f-g\|^{2}
$$

Denoting $f-h$ and $g-h$ by $p$ and $q$ respectively we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\|p\|^{2}+\beta\|q\|^{2} \geq \alpha \beta\|p-q\|^{2} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, this inequality is true for any normed space since by the triangle inequality we have

$$
\alpha \beta\|p-q\|^{2} \leq \alpha \beta\|p\|^{2}+2 \alpha \beta\|p\| \cdot\|q\|+\alpha \beta\|q\|^{2}
$$
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and, using the obvious relation $2 \alpha \beta\|p\| \cdot\|q\| \leq \alpha^{2}\|p\|^{2}+\beta^{2}\|q\|^{2}$, we get (1).
As the referee of the present paper noticed ${ }^{1}$, a sufficient class can be constructed using measures concentrated at three points. There are two types of results in this direction:
a) the sufficient class consists of measures $\mu=\frac{1}{3} \delta_{f_{1}}+\frac{1}{3} \delta_{f_{2}}+\frac{1}{3} \delta_{f_{3}}$ for all triplets $\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right\}$ from $X\left(\delta_{p}\right.$ being the Dirac measure at $\left.p \in X\right)$ (see [1], Proposition (1.12), p. 10)
b) the sufficient class consists of measures $\mu=\alpha \delta_{f_{1}}+\beta \delta_{f_{2}}+\gamma \delta_{f_{3}}$ for all triplets $\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right\}$ with unit norms and all weights $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ such that $\alpha f_{1}+\beta f_{2}+\gamma f_{3}=0$ (Theorem 5.3 in [2], p. 236).

The aim of this paper is to show that in fact yet a smaller class of measures can be taken.

Theorem. Let $X$ be a real normed space, $\operatorname{dim} X \geq 2, S(X)$ be the set of points of norm one, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ be arbitrarily fixed positive numbers and $\delta_{p}$ be the Dirac measure at $p \in X$. The following propositions are equivalent:
(i) $X$ is an inner-product space.
(ii) For any two points $f, g$ from $S(X)$ and the point $h=0$, the mean of the measure $\mu=\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}\left(\alpha \delta_{f}+\beta \delta_{g}+\gamma \delta_{0}\right)$ is a point of a local minimum for the functional

$$
F_{\mu}(t)=\alpha\|t-f\|^{2}+\beta\|t-g\|^{2}+\gamma\|t\|^{2}, t \in X
$$

(iii) For any three points $f, g, h$ from $S(X)$ the mean of $\mu=\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}\left(\alpha \delta_{f}+\beta \delta_{g}+\right.$ $\gamma \delta_{h}$ ) is a point of a local minimum for the functional

$$
F_{\mu}(t)=\alpha\|t-f\|^{2}+\beta\|t-g\|^{2}+\gamma\|t-h\|^{2}, t \in X
$$

According to the well-known von Neumann-Jordan criterion it is enough to prove the Theorem for the case $\operatorname{dim} X=2$. Thus we should prove that the surface $S(X)$ of the unit ball in $\left(R^{2},\|\cdot\|\right)$ is an ellipse. It is clear that we may assume $\alpha+\beta+\gamma=1$.

The proof of the Theorem is based on the following auxiliary results.
Lemma 1. Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ be given positive reals with $\alpha+\beta+\gamma=1$. For any two noncollinear $A$ and $B$ from $S(X)$ there exist:
(i) $A_{1}$ and $B_{1}$ on $S(X)$ such that

$$
\frac{A_{1}-M}{\left\|A_{1}-M\right\|}=A, \quad \frac{B_{1}-M}{\left\|B_{1}-M\right\|}=B
$$

where $M=\alpha A_{1}+\beta B_{1}$.
(ii) $\bar{A}_{1}, \bar{B}_{1}, \bar{C}_{1}$ on $S(X)$ such that

$$
\frac{\bar{A}_{1}-\bar{M}}{\left\|\bar{A}_{1}-\bar{M}\right\|}=A, \quad \frac{\bar{B}_{1}-\bar{M}}{\left\|\bar{B}_{1}-\bar{M}\right\|}=B
$$

where $\bar{M}=\alpha \bar{A}_{1}+\beta \bar{B}_{1}+\gamma \bar{C}_{1}$.

[^0]Proof. (i). Denote by $S^{\prime}(X)$ the part of $S(X)$ which is inside the smaller angle generated by the vectors $A$ and $B$. Let $C$ be any point of $S^{\prime}(X)$. It is clear that for all $u, 0<u<1$, there exist $A_{u}$ and $B_{u}$ on $S^{\prime}(X)$ such that for some $u_{1}>0$, $u_{2}>0$ we have $A_{u}-u C=u_{1} A$ and $B_{u}-u C=u_{2} B$. Since $M_{u}=u C$ is inside the triangle $A_{u} O B_{u}$, where $O$ denotes the zero vector, we have

$$
M_{u}=\alpha_{u} A_{u}+\beta_{u} B_{u}
$$

for some $\alpha_{u}>0, \beta_{u}>0, \gamma_{u}>0, \alpha_{u}+\beta_{u}+\gamma_{u}=1$. Therefore we have to prove that for some $C \in S^{\prime}(X)$ and $u>0$ there exist $\alpha_{u}, \beta_{u}$ and $\gamma_{u}$ such that $\alpha_{u}=$ $\alpha, \beta_{u}=\beta, \gamma_{u}=\gamma$. It is clear that $\frac{\left\|M_{u}\right\|}{\left\|O_{u}-M_{u}\right\|}=\frac{1-\gamma_{u}}{\gamma_{u}}$ where $O_{u}$ is the intersection of the lines $\left(A_{u} B_{u}\right)$ and $(O C)$. Consider the function $\varphi(u)=\frac{\left\|M_{u}\right\|}{\left\|O_{u}-M_{u}\right\|}=\frac{1-\gamma_{u}}{\gamma_{u}}$. Since $S(X)$ is a continuous curve, the function $\varphi$ defined on the interval $(0,1)$ is continuous and $\lim _{u \rightarrow 1} \varphi(u)=+\infty, \lim _{u \rightarrow 0} \varphi(u)=0$. Therefore there exists $u_{C}$ such that $\varphi\left(u_{C}\right)=\frac{\left\|M_{u_{C}}\right\|}{\left\|M_{u_{C}}-O_{u_{C}}\right\|}=\frac{1-\gamma}{\gamma}$. Now we consider the following two continuous functions on $S^{\prime}(X)$ :

$$
\psi_{1}(C)=\frac{\left\|A_{u_{C}}-M_{u_{C}}\right\|}{\left\|A_{u_{C}}^{\prime}-M_{u_{C}}\right\|}=\frac{1-\alpha_{u_{C}}}{\alpha_{u_{C}}}, \quad \psi_{2}(c)=\frac{\left\|B_{u_{C}}-M_{u_{C}}\right\|}{\left\|B_{u_{C}}-M_{u_{C}}\right\|}=\frac{1-\beta_{u_{C}}}{\beta_{u_{C}}}
$$

where $A^{\prime}{ }_{u_{C}}\left(B^{\prime}{ }_{u_{C}}\right)$ denotes the intersection of the lines $\left(A_{u_{C}} M_{u_{C}}\right)$ and $\left(O B_{u_{C}}\right)$ $\left(\left(B_{u_{C}} M_{u_{C}}\right)\right.$ and $\left.\left(O A_{u_{C}}\right)\right)$. Obviously, $\lim _{C \rightarrow B} \psi_{1}(C)=+\infty, \lim _{C \rightarrow A} \psi_{2}(C)=+\infty$. Since $\gamma_{u_{C}}=\gamma$ and $\alpha_{u_{C}}+\beta_{u_{C}}+\gamma=1$, we get $\frac{1}{1+\psi_{1}(C)}+\frac{1}{1+\psi_{2}(C)}+\gamma=1$. This equality gives $\lim _{C \rightarrow A} \psi_{1}(C)=\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}$. As $\psi_{1}$ receives all values from the interval $\left(\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma},+\infty\right)$, the inequality $\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}>\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}$ shows the existence of a point $C_{1} \in S^{\prime}(X)$ such that $\psi_{1}\left(C_{1}\right)=\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}, \psi_{2}\left(C_{1}\right)=\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}$. For such $C_{1}$ we have $\alpha_{u_{C_{1}}}=\alpha, \beta_{u_{C_{1}}}=$ $\beta, \gamma_{u_{C_{1}}}=\gamma$ which proves the statement (i).
(ii). Now we consider the same points $A_{1}, B_{1}$ as in (i) and the other point $A_{2}$ of the intersection $S(X) \cap\left(A_{1} M\right)$.

Let $M_{1}$ be a point on the line $\left(A_{1} A_{2}\right)$ which is inside the unit ball $B(X)$. Let now $B_{2}$ be the point on $S(X)$ for which $B_{2}-M_{1}=u B, u>0$. Denote by $C_{2}$ the point $\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(M_{1}-\alpha A_{1}-\beta B_{2}\right)$. Since $\frac{A_{1}-M_{1}}{\left\|A_{1}^{\prime}-M_{1}\right\|}=\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}$, where $A_{1}^{\prime}=\left(B_{2} C_{2}\right) \cap\left(A_{1} A_{2}\right)$, $A_{1}^{\prime}$ is outside of $B(X)$ if $\left\|M_{1}-A_{2}\right\|$ is small enough and hence $C_{2}$ is outside of $B(X)$ as well. Therefore there exists a point $M_{1}$ on $\left(A_{1} A_{2}\right)$ such that the points $B_{2}=M_{1}+u B, u>0$, and $C_{2}=\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(M_{1}-\alpha A_{1}-\beta B_{2}\right)$ are on $S(X)$ and the proof is complete.

Lemma 2. There exists an ellipse which is inside the unit ball $B(X)$ and touches $S(X)$ at four points at least.

Proof. It is easy to show that an ellipse of maximum area inside $B(X)$ touches $S(X)$ at four points at least (this argument seems to be used frequently, see, e.g., [3], p. 322).

Lemma 3. Let $\varphi$ and $\psi$ be two functions defined on the interval $I=(a-$ $\varepsilon, a+\varepsilon), \varepsilon>0$, such that $\psi(x) \geq \varphi(x), \forall x \in I, \psi(a)=\varphi(a)$ and the derivatives $\varphi^{\prime}(a), \psi_{-}^{\prime}(a), \psi_{+}^{\prime}(a)$ exist. If $\psi_{-}^{\prime}(a) \geq \psi_{+}^{\prime}(a)$, then $\psi_{-}^{\prime}(a)=\psi_{+}^{\prime}(a)=\varphi^{\prime}(a)$.

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi^{\prime}(a)=\lim _{u \rightarrow 0, u>0} \frac{\varphi(a)-\varphi(a-u)}{u} \geq \lim _{u \rightarrow 0, u>0} \frac{\psi(a)-\psi(a-u)}{u}=\psi_{-}^{\prime}(a) \\
& \geq \psi_{+}^{\prime}(a)=\lim _{u \rightarrow 0, u>0} \frac{\psi(a+u)-\psi(a)}{u} \geq \lim _{u \rightarrow 0, u>0} \frac{\varphi(a+u)-\varphi(a)}{u}=\varphi^{\prime}(a)
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the lemma.
Proof of the Theorem. Let $E$ be the ellipse from Lemma 2 and $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}$ be the points of the intersection $S(X) \cap E, A^{\prime} \neq B^{\prime}, A^{\prime} \neq-B^{\prime}$. Apply an affine transformation $T$ that carries $E$ into the unit circle of $\left(R^{2},\|\cdot\|_{2}\right),\|\cdot\|_{2}$ being the usual $l_{2}$ norm. Let XOY be an orthogonal Cartesian system on $R^{2}$ such that $T\left(A^{\prime}\right)=(-1,0)$. Denote $(-1,0)$ by $A$, and $T\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ by $B=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)$. Obviously, $b_{1}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}=1$ and $b_{2} \neq 0$. By Lemma 1 there exist the points $\bar{A}_{1}, \bar{B}_{1}, \bar{C}_{1}$ from $T(S(X))$ for which the following equalities hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\bar{A}_{1}-\bar{M}}{\left\|\bar{A}_{1}-\bar{M}\right\|}=A, \quad \frac{\bar{B}_{1}-\bar{M}}{\left\|\bar{B}_{1}-\bar{M}\right\|}=B, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{M}=\alpha \bar{A}_{1}+\beta \bar{B}_{1}+\gamma \bar{C}_{1} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\bar{C}_{1}-\bar{M}}{\left\|\bar{C}_{1}-\bar{M}\right\|} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\beta>0$ relation (2) and (3) show that $y^{\prime} \neq 0$.
Let $M_{\varepsilon}$ be the point $M_{\varepsilon}=(a \varepsilon, \varepsilon), a=\frac{x^{\prime}}{y^{\prime}}$. Introduce the notation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{A}_{1}-\bar{M}-M_{\varepsilon}=\left(x_{1}-x_{0}-a \varepsilon, y_{1}-y_{0}-\varepsilon\right):=\left(m_{1}-a \varepsilon, n_{1}-\varepsilon\right) \\
& \bar{B}_{1}-\bar{M}-M_{\varepsilon}=\left(x_{2}-x_{0}-a \varepsilon, y_{2}-y_{0}-\varepsilon\right):=\left(m_{2}-a \varepsilon, n_{2}-\varepsilon\right), \\
& \bar{C}_{1}-\bar{M}-M_{\varepsilon}=\left(x_{3}-x_{0}-a \varepsilon, y_{3}-y_{0}-\varepsilon\right):=\left(m_{3}-a \varepsilon, n_{3}-\varepsilon\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that $n_{1}=0, m_{1} \neq 0, n_{2} \neq 0, n_{3} \neq 0$ and $\left\|\bar{A}_{1}-\bar{M}\right\|=-m_{1}$, $\left\|\bar{B}_{1}-\bar{M}\right\|=\frac{n_{2}}{b_{2}},\left\|\bar{C}_{1}-\bar{M}\right\|=\frac{n_{3}}{y^{\prime}}$. Since $a=\frac{x^{\prime}}{y^{\prime}}=\frac{x_{3}-x_{0}}{y_{3}-y_{0}}=\frac{m_{3}}{n_{3}}$ we get $\bar{C}_{1}-\bar{M}-$ $M_{\varepsilon}=\left(m_{3}-a \varepsilon, n_{3}-\varepsilon\right)=\left(m_{3}-\frac{m_{3}}{n_{3}} \varepsilon, n_{3}-\varepsilon\right)=\frac{n_{3}-\varepsilon}{y^{\prime}}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\bar{C}_{1}-\bar{M}-M_{\varepsilon}\right\|=\frac{n_{3}-\varepsilon}{y^{\prime}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are going to estimate the norms of the two other vectors. First we consider the case $\varepsilon>0$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $b_{2}<0$. Consider the two lines $\left(L_{1}\right): y=-u x-u$ and $\left(L_{2}\right): y=(-b-\omega(u))\left(x-b_{1}\right)+b_{2}$ where $u>$ $0, b=b_{1} / b_{2}$ and $\omega$ is a positive continuous function defined on $[0, \infty)$ such that
$\lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} \omega(u)=0$. By Lemma 3 there exist the tangents to $T(S(X))$ at the points $A$ and $B$ and they are expressed by the equations $x=-1, y=-b\left(x-b_{1}\right)+b_{2}$, respectively. The line $\left(L_{1}\right)$ passes the point $A$ and is different from the tangent at $A$. Therefore $\left(L_{1}\right)$ intersects $T(S(X))$ at some other point $A_{u} \neq A$. By the convexity of the unit ball the segment $\overline{A_{u} A}=\left\{v A+(1-v) A_{u}, 0 \leq\right.$ $v \leq 1\}$ is inside $T(B(X))$. Let $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ be the point of intersection of the lines $\left\{v\left(\bar{A}_{1}-\bar{M}-M_{\varepsilon}\right), v \in R\right\}$ and $\left(L_{1}\right)$, i.e., $\bar{x}=\frac{-u\left(m_{1}-a \varepsilon\right)}{\left(m_{1}-a \varepsilon\right) u-\varepsilon}$. If $\varepsilon$ is small enough, then the point $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ is on the segment $\overline{A_{u} A}$ and therefore we get the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\bar{A}_{1}-\bar{M}-M_{\varepsilon}\right\| \leq \frac{\left\|\bar{A}_{1}-\bar{M}-M_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2}}{\|(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\|_{2}}=\frac{m_{1}-a \varepsilon}{\bar{x}}=-m_{1}+a \varepsilon+\varepsilon / u \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\varepsilon, 0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{u}^{\prime}, \varepsilon_{u}^{\prime}>0$.
Now we consider the intersection $(\overline{\bar{x}}, \overline{\bar{y}})$ of the lines $\left\{v\left(\bar{B}_{1}-\bar{M}-M_{\varepsilon}\right), v \in R\right\}$ and $\left(L_{2}\right)$. We get $\overline{\bar{x}}=\frac{\left(m_{2}-a \varepsilon\right)\left(b_{1} b+b_{2}+b_{1} \omega(u)\right)}{n_{2}-\varepsilon+\left(m_{2}-a \varepsilon\right)(b+\omega(u))}$. The same arguments show that there exists $\varepsilon_{u}^{\prime \prime}>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\bar{B}_{1}-\bar{M}-M_{\varepsilon}\right\| \leq \frac{m_{2}-a \varepsilon}{\overline{\bar{x}}}=\frac{n_{2}-\varepsilon+\left(m_{2}-a \varepsilon\right)(b+\omega(u))}{b_{1} b+b_{2}+b_{1} \omega(u)}
$$

for all $\varepsilon, 0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{u}^{\prime \prime}$.
Since $\frac{m_{2}}{n_{2}}=\frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}}=b$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\bar{B}_{1}-\bar{M}-M_{\varepsilon}\right\| \leq \frac{n_{2}}{b_{2}}-\frac{1+a b+a \omega(u)}{\left(1+b^{2}+b \omega(u)\right) b_{2}} \cdot \varepsilon . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the property of the functional $F_{\mu}$, there exists $\varepsilon^{\prime}>0$ such that $F(\bar{M}) \leq$ $F\left(\bar{M}+M_{\varepsilon}\right)$ for all $\varepsilon, 0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon^{\prime}$. If $\varepsilon<\min \left(\varepsilon^{\prime}, \varepsilon_{u}^{\prime}, \varepsilon_{u}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\varepsilon_{u}$, we obtain, using relations (5), (6) and (7),

$$
\begin{gathered}
F(\bar{M})=\alpha m_{1}^{2}+\beta \frac{n_{2}^{2}}{b_{2}^{2}}+\gamma \frac{n_{3}^{2}}{y^{\prime 2}} \\
\leq \alpha\left(m_{1}-\left(a+\frac{1}{u}\right) \varepsilon\right)^{2}+\beta\left(\frac{n_{2}}{b_{2}}-\frac{1+a b+a \omega(u)}{\left(1+b^{2}+b \omega(u)\right) b_{2}} \varepsilon\right)^{2}+\gamma\left(\frac{n_{3}-\varepsilon}{y^{\prime}}\right)^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

i.e., $0 \leq 2 h_{u} \varepsilon+h_{u}^{\prime} \varepsilon^{2}$, where

$$
h_{u}=-\alpha m_{1}\left(a+\frac{1}{u}\right)-\beta \frac{n_{2}(1+a b+a \omega(u))}{b_{2}^{2}\left(1+b^{2}+b \omega(u)\right)}-\gamma \frac{n_{3}}{y^{\prime 2}} .
$$

Since $\varepsilon>0$, we have

$$
h_{u} \geq-\frac{\varepsilon h_{u}^{\prime}}{2}
$$

for all $\varepsilon, 0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{u}$, i.e. $h_{u} \geq 0$ for all $u>0$. Let $\bar{h}=-\alpha m_{1} a-\frac{\beta n_{2}(1+a b)}{b_{2}^{2\left(1+b^{2}\right)}}-\gamma \frac{n_{3}}{y^{\prime 2}}$. We have $\bar{h}=\lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} h_{u} \geq 0$. Passing now to the case $\varepsilon<0$, we consider the two lines $y=u x+u$ and $y=(-b+\omega(u))\left(x-b_{1}\right)+b_{2}$. Using the same arguments as
for the case $\varepsilon>0$, we can derive the inequality $\bar{h} \leq 0$. Therefore $\bar{h}=0$, which gives

$$
y^{\prime 2}=-\frac{\gamma n_{3}}{\alpha a m_{1}+\beta(1+a b) n_{2}}
$$

Using the relations $x_{0}=x_{2}+b\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right), y_{0}=y_{1}, x_{3}=-\frac{\alpha}{\gamma} x_{1}+\frac{1-\beta}{\gamma} x_{2}+\frac{b}{\gamma}\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right)$, $y_{3}=\frac{1-\alpha}{\gamma} y_{1}-\frac{\beta}{\gamma} y_{2}$ which follow from (2), (3), (4), we get $\alpha m_{1}=\beta a n_{2}-\beta b n_{2}$ and $n_{3}=-\frac{\beta}{\gamma} n_{2}$, i.e.,

$$
{x^{\prime}}^{2}+y^{\prime 2}=\left(1+a^{2}\right) y^{\prime 2}=\frac{\beta n_{2}\left(1+a^{2}\right)}{\beta a^{2} n_{2}-\beta a b n_{2}+\beta n_{2}+\beta a b n_{2}}=1 .
$$

Denote by $\operatorname{arc}(A, B)$ the part of the circle $T(E)$ which is inside the smaller angle generated by the vectors $A$ and $B$. As we have just proved, if $T(S(X))$ and $T(E)$ coincide at two points $A$ and $B$ they coincide at one more point $C \in$ $\operatorname{arc}(A, B)$. Continuing this process, we see that $T(S(X))$ and $\operatorname{arc}(A, B)$ coincide on a dense set of points. Hence $\operatorname{arc}(A, B) \subset T(S(X))$ and by the symmetry argument $\operatorname{arc}(-A,-B) \subset T(S(X))$. The same reasoning for the points $A$ and $-B$ shows that $\operatorname{arc}(A,-B) \subset T(S(X))$ and therefore $\operatorname{arc}(-A, B) \subset T(S(X))$ as well. The proof of statement (ii) is complete. Statement (i) can be proved similarily.

Remarks: 1. In the Theorem we can replace the unit sphere $S(X)$ by any sphere with center at $\bar{x}$ and radius $R$. Moreover, in the case $\operatorname{dim} X=2, S(X)$ and its center can be replaced by any continuous convex closed curve $S$ on $R^{2}$ and any point from the area which is bounded by $S$.
2. The Theorem holds true for measures concentrated at $n$ points of $S(X)$, $n \geq 3$, with any fixed positive weights $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$.
3. The complex and quaternion versions of the Theorem are easily derived from the real one.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The author takes this opportunity to express his deep gratitude to the referee for his comments including this information.

