



Gen. Math. Notes, Vol. 6, No. 2, October 2011, pp.19-23
ISSN 2219-7184; Copyright ©ICSRS Publication, 2011
www.i-csrs.org
Available free online at <http://www.geman.in>

A Generalization of Badshah and Singh's Result through Compatibility

T. Phaneendra¹ and M. Chandrashekhar²

¹Applied Analysis Division, School of Advanced Sciences,
VIT University, Vellore-632014, TN, India
E-mail: drtp.indra@gmail.com

²Vijay Rural Engineering College, Nizamabad-503003 (A.P.)
E-mail: maisa.chandrashekhar@gmail.com

(Received: 11-6-11/ Accepted: 14-10-11)

Abstract

Using the idea of compatibility of self-maps, due to Gerald Jungck, we obtain a modest generalization of Badshah and Singh's result.

Keywords: *Compatible self-maps, continuity and common fixed point.*

1 Introduction

In this paper, X denotes a complete metric space with metric d . If f and g are self-maps on X , we write fg for their composition $f \circ g$, f^n for the composition of f of order n , and fx for the f -image of a point x in X .

Badshah and Singh [1] proved the following result for commuting self-maps:

Theorem 1.1 *Let f and g be self-maps on X satisfying the inclusion*

$$f(X) \subset g(X) \quad (1)$$

and the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} [d(fx, fy)]^2 \leq & \alpha [d(fx, gx)d(fy, gy) + d(fy, gx)d(fx, gy)] \\ & + \beta [d(fx, gx)d(fx, gy) + d(fy, gx)d(fy, gy)] \\ & \text{for all } x, y \in X, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where

- (a) α and β are non negative constants with $\alpha + 2\beta \leq 1$,
- (b) (f, g) is a commuting pair,
- (c) f and g are continuous.

Then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

We prove a generalization of Theorem 1.1 by replacing the condition (b) with a weaker condition, namely the compatibility, and dropping the continuity of f . In fact according to Gerald Jungck [2], self-maps f and g on X form a compatible pair, if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fgx_n, gfx_n) = 0 \quad (3)$$

whenever $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = t \quad (4)$$

for some $t \in X$.

It is easy to observe that every commuting pair of self-maps is necessarily compatible. However, one can refer to [2], [3], and [4] for compatible self-maps which are not commuting.

Our result is

Theorem 1.2 *Let f and g be self-maps on X satisfying the inclusion (1), and the inequality (2) with the choice (a). If g is continuous, and (f, g) is a compatible pair, then f and g have a unique common fixed point.*

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be arbitrary.

In view of (1), we can choose points $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots$ in X inductively such that

$$fx_{n-1} = gx_n = y_n \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1. \quad (5)$$

We now prove that $\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Writing $x = x_{n-1}$ and $y = x_n$ in (2) and using (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} [d(y_n, y_{n+1})]^2 &= [d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)]^2 \\ &\leq \alpha[d(fx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1})d(fx_n, gx_n) + d(fx_n, gx_{n-1})d(fx_{n-1}, gx_n)] \\ &\quad + \beta[d(fx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1})d(fx_{n-1}, gx_n) + d(fx_n, gx_{n-1})d(fx_n, gx_n)] \\ &= \alpha[d(y_n, y_{n-1})d(y_{n+1}, y_n) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n-1}) \cdot 0] \\ &\quad + \beta[d(y_n, y_{n-1}) \cdot 0 + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n-1})d(y_{n+1}, y_n)] \\ &= [d(y_n, y_{n+1})] [\alpha d(y_n, y_{n-1}) + \beta d(y_{n+1}, y_{n-1})] \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) &= \alpha d(y_n, y_{n-1}) + \beta d(y_{n+1}, y_{n-1}) \\ &\leq \alpha d(y_n, y_{n-1}) + \beta [d(y_{n-1}, y_n) + d(y_n, y_{n+1})] \end{aligned}$$

so that $d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{1-\beta}\right) d(y_n, y_{n-1})$.

Repeating this argument, we get

$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq q^{n-2} d(y_n, y_{n-1}), \quad (6)$$

where $q = \frac{\alpha+\beta}{1-\beta}$.

Now from (a), we see that $\alpha + \beta < 1 - \beta$ or $q < 1$.

Thus for any positive integer k , (6), and the triangle inequality give

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, y_{n+k}) &\leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \cdots + d(y_{n+k-1}, y_{n+k}) \\ &\leq d(y_2, y_1) (q^{n-2} + q^{n-1} + \cdots + q^{n+k-3}) \\ &= q^{n-2} (1 + q + \cdots + q^{k-1}) d(y_2, y_1). \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, this gives $d(y_n, y_{n+k}) \rightarrow 0$, since $q^{n-2} \rightarrow 0$. Hence $\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X , and hence converges in it.

That is there is a point $z \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = z. \quad (7)$$

Now the compatibility of f and g , and (7) imply that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f g x_n, g f x_n) = 0, \quad (8)$$

while the sequential property of the continuity of g and (7) give

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g f x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g^2 x_n = g z. \quad (9)$$

Hence it follows from (8) and (9), that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f g x_n, g z) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f g x_n = g z. \quad (10)$$

But the use of (2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} [d(f g x_n, f z)]^2 &\leq \alpha [d(f g x_n, g^2 x_n) d(f z, g z) + d(f z, g^2 x_n) d(f g x_n, g z)] \\ &\quad + \beta [d(f g x_n, g^2 x_n) d(f g x_n, g z) + d(f z, g^2 x_n) d(f z, g z)]. \end{aligned}$$

Now applying the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in this, and using (9) and (10),

$$\begin{aligned} [d(g z, f z)]^2 &\leq \alpha [d(g z, g z) d(f z, g z) + d(f z, g z) d(g z, g z)] \\ &\quad + \beta [d(g z, g z) d(g z, g z) + d(f z, g z) d(f z, g z)] \end{aligned}$$

or

$$[d(g z, f z)]^2 \leq \beta [d(f z, g z)]^2$$

so that

$$g z = f z. \quad (11)$$

Finally again from (2), we see that

$$[d(fx_n, fz)]^2 \leq \alpha[d(fx_n, gx_n)d(fz, gz) + d(fz, gx_n)d(fx_n, gz)] \\ + \beta[d(fx_n, gx_n)d(fx_n, gz) + d(fz, gx_n)d(fz, gz)].$$

The limiting case of this as $n \rightarrow \infty$, (7), and (9) would imply that

$$[d(z, fz)]^2 \leq \alpha [d(fz, z)]^2 \quad \text{or} \quad fz = z.$$

Thus $gz = fz = z$, that is z is a common fixed point of f and g .

The uniqueness of the common fixed point follows easily from the inequality (2).

Remark 1: Theorem 1.2 does not require the continuity of f .

Remark 2: Since every commuting pair is compatible, Theorem 1.1 follows as a particular case of *our result*.

References

- [1] V.H. Badshah and B. Singh, On common fixed points of commuting mappings, *Vikram Mathematical Journal*, 5(1984), 13-16.
- [2] G. Jungck, Compatible maps and common fixed points, *Int.J. Math. & Math. Sci.*, 9(4)(1986), 771-779.
- [3] H.K. Pathak and M.S. Khan, A comparison of various types of compatible maps and common fixed points, *Indian. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 28(4)(1997), 477-485.
- [4] T. Phaneendra, Certain fixed point theorems for self-maps of metric spaces, *Thesis*, (1998).