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We define and investigate a new subclass of Salagean-type harmonic univalent functions. We obtain coefficient conditions, extreme points, distortion bounds, convolution, and convex combination for the above subclass of harmonic functions.

## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of functions of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$.
We denote the subclass of A consisting of analytic and univalent functions $f(z)$ in the unit disk $\mathbb{U}$ by $S$.

The following classes of functions and many others are well known and have been studied repeatedly by many authors, namely, Sălăgean [1], Abdul Halim [2], and Darus [3] to mention but a few.
(i) $S_{0}=\{f(z) \in \mathcal{A}: \operatorname{Re}\{f(z) / z\}>0, z \in \mathbb{U}\}$.
(ii) $B(\alpha)=\{f(z) \in \mathcal{A}: \operatorname{Re}\{f(z) / z\}>\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha<1, z \in \mathbb{U}\}$.
(iii) $\delta(\alpha)=\left\{f(z) \in \mathcal{A}: \operatorname{Re}\left\{f^{\prime}(z)\right\}>\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha<1, z \in \mathbb{U}\right\}$.
(iv) $B_{n}(\beta)=\left\{f(z) \in \mathscr{A}: \operatorname{Re}\left\{D^{n} f(z)^{\beta} / z^{\beta}\right\}>0, z \in \mathrm{U}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}=\mathrm{N} \cup\{0\}, \beta>0\right\}$.

In 1994, Opoola defined the class $T_{n}^{\beta}(\alpha)$ to be a subclass of $A$ consisting of analytic functions satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{D^{n} f(z)^{\beta}}{z^{\beta}}\right\}>\alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, 0 \leq \alpha<1, \beta>0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D^{n}$ is the Salagean differential operator defined as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
D^{0} f(z)=f(z) \\
D^{1} f(z)=D f(z)=z f^{\prime}(z)  \tag{1.3}\\
D^{n} f(z)=D\left(D^{n-1} f(z)\right)=z\left(D^{n-1} f(z)\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

We note that $T_{n}^{\beta}(\alpha)$ is a generalization of the classes of functions $S_{0}, B(\alpha), \delta(\alpha)$, and $B_{n}(\beta)$.
Some properties of this class of functions were established by Opoola [4] namely,
(i) $T_{n}^{\beta}(\alpha)$ is a subclass of univalent functions;
(ii) $T_{n+1}^{\beta}(\alpha) \subset T_{n}^{\beta}(\alpha)$;
(iii) if $f(z) \in T_{n}^{\beta}(\alpha)$, then the integral operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{c}(z)^{\beta}=\frac{\beta+c}{z^{\beta}} \int_{0}^{c} t^{\beta-1} f(z)^{\beta} d t \quad(c \geq 0) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is also in $T_{n}^{\beta}(\alpha)$.
Now, by Binomial expansion, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f(z)^{\beta}= & z^{\beta}+\beta a_{2} z^{\beta+1}+\left[\beta a_{3}+\frac{\beta(\beta-1)}{2!} a_{2}^{3}\right] z^{\beta+2} \\
& +\left[\beta a_{4}+\frac{\beta(\beta-1)}{2!} 2 a_{2} a_{3}+\frac{\beta(\beta-1)(\beta-2)}{3!} a_{2}^{3}\right] z^{\beta+3}+\cdots \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, we define

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(z)^{\beta}=z^{\beta}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \beta a_{k} z^{\beta+k-1}, \quad \beta>0, \\
D^{n} f(z)^{\beta}=z^{\beta}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \beta k^{n} a_{k} z^{\beta+k-1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_{0} . \tag{1.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

## 2. Preliminaries

A continuous function $f=u+i v$ is a complex-valued harmonic function in a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ if both $u$ and $v$ are real harmonic in $D$. In any simply connected domain, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=h+\bar{g}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ and $g$ are analytic in $D$. We call $h$ the analytic part and $g$ the coanalytic part of $f$. A necessary and sufficient condition for $f$ to be locally univalent and sense-preserving in $D$ is that $\left|h^{\prime}\right|>\left|g^{\prime}\right|$ in $D$.

Denote by $S_{\mathscr{H}}$ the class of functions $f$ of the form (2.1) that are harmonic univalent and sense-preserving in the unit disk $\mathbb{U}$. The subclasses of harmonic univalent functions have been studied by some authors for different purposes and different properties (see examples [5-12]). In this work, we may express the analytic functions $h$ and $g$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)^{\beta}=z^{\beta}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \beta a_{k} z^{\beta+k-1}, \quad g(z)^{\beta}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta b_{k} z^{\beta+k-1}, \quad\left|b_{1}\right|<1 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)^{\beta}=h(z)^{\beta}+\overline{g(z)^{\beta}} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the modified Salagean operator of $f$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{n} f(z)^{\beta}=D^{n} h(z)^{\beta}+(-1)^{n} \overline{D^{n} g(z)^{\beta}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{n} h(z)^{\beta}=z^{\beta}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \beta k^{n} a_{k} z^{\beta+k-1}, \quad D^{n} g(z)^{\beta}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta k^{n} b_{k} z^{\beta+k-1} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We let $\mathscr{H}(n, \beta, \alpha)$ be the family of harmonic functions of the form (2.3) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)^{\beta}}{D^{n} f(z)^{\beta}}\right\}>\alpha, \quad \beta \geq 1,0 \leq \alpha<1, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D^{n} f(z)^{\beta}$ is defined by (2.4).
It is clear that the class $\mathscr{H}(n, \beta, \alpha)$ includes a variety of well-known subclasses of $S_{\mathscr{H}}$. For example, $\mathscr{H}(0,1, \alpha) \equiv S_{\mathscr{H}}^{*}(\alpha)$ is the class of sense-preserving, harmonic univalent functions $f$ which are starlike of order $\alpha$ in $\mathbb{U}$, that is, $\partial / \partial \theta\left\{\arg \left(f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right)\right\}>\alpha$, and $\mathscr{H}(1,1, \alpha) \equiv$ $\mathscr{H} \nless(\alpha)$ is the class of sense-preserving, harmonic univalent functions $f$ which are convex of order $\alpha$ in $\mathbb{U}$, that is $\partial / \partial \theta\left\{\arg \left(\partial / \partial \theta f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right)\right\}>\alpha$. Note that the classes $S_{\mathscr{\ell}}^{*}(\alpha)$ and $\mathscr{H} \nless(\alpha)$
were introduced and studied by Jahangiri [5]. Also note that the class $\mathscr{H}(n, 1, \alpha) \equiv \mathscr{H} \nless(\alpha)$ is the class of Salagean-type harmonic univalent functions introduced by Jahangiri et al. [13].

We let the subclass $\overline{\mathscr{H}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$ consist of harmonic functions $f_{n}=h+\overline{g_{n}}$ in $\mathscr{H}(n, \beta, \alpha)$ so $h$ and $g$ are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\beta}(z)=z^{\beta}-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right| z^{\beta+k-1}, \quad g_{n}^{\beta}(z)=(-1)^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|b_{k}\right| z^{\beta+k-1} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In 1984, Clunie and Sheil-Small [14] investigated the class $S_{\mathscr{H}}$ as well as its geometric subclasses and obtained some coefficient bounds. Since then, there have been several related papers on $S_{\mathscr{A}}$ and its subclasses such that Silverman [15], Silverman and Silvia [16], and Jahangiri [5,17] studied the harmonic univalent functions. Jahangiri [5] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f=h+\bar{g}$ where $h=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$ and $g=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k}$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k-\alpha}{1-\alpha}\left|a_{k}\right|+\frac{k+\alpha}{1-\alpha}\left|b_{k}\right| \leq 2, \quad(0 \leq \alpha<1) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f$ is sense-preserving, harmonic, and univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ and $f \in S_{\mathscr{d}}^{*}(\alpha)$. The condition (2.8) is also necessary if $f \in \tau H(\alpha) \equiv \overline{\mathscr{H}}(0,1, \alpha)$.

In this paper, we will give the sufficient condition for functions $f^{\beta}=h^{\beta}+\overline{g^{\beta}}$ where $h^{\beta}$ and $g^{\beta}$ are given by (2.2) to be in the class $\mathscr{H}(n, \beta, \alpha)$ and it is shown that these coefficient conditions are also necessary for functions in the class $\overline{\mathscr{H}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$. Also, we obtain distortion theorems and characterize the extreme points for functions in $\overline{\mathscr{H}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$. Convolution and convex combination are also obtained.

## 3. Main Results

In this section, we prove the main results.

### 3.1. Coefficient Estimates

Theorem 3.1. Let $f^{\beta}=h^{\beta}+\overline{g^{\beta}}$, where $h^{\beta}$ and $g^{\beta}$ are given by (2.2). If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[(k-\alpha)\left|a_{k}\right|+(k+\alpha)\left|b_{k}\right|\right] \beta k^{n} \leq(1+\beta)(1-\alpha) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{1}=1, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \beta \geq 1$, and $0 \leq \alpha<1$, then $f^{\beta}$ is sense-preserving, harmonic univalent in $U$, and $f \in \mathscr{H}(n, \beta, \alpha)$.

Proof. If $z_{1}^{\beta} \neq z_{2}^{\beta}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\frac{f\left(z_{1}\right)^{\beta}-f\left(z_{2}\right)^{\beta}}{h\left(z_{1}\right)^{\beta}-h\left(z_{2}\right)^{\beta}}\right| & \geq 1-\left|\frac{g\left(z_{1}\right)^{\beta}-g\left(z_{2}\right)^{\beta}}{h\left(z_{1}\right)^{\beta}-h\left(z_{2}\right)^{\beta}}\right|=1-\left|\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta b_{k}\left(z_{1}^{k+\beta-1}-z_{2}^{k+\beta-1}\right)}{\left(z_{1}^{\beta}-z_{2}^{\beta}\right)+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \beta a_{k}\left(z_{1}^{k+\beta-1}-z_{2}^{k+\beta-1}\right)}\right| \\
& >1-\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k+\beta-1) b_{k}}{1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(k+\beta-1) a_{k}} \geq 1-\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k+\alpha) \beta k^{n} /(1-\alpha)\left|b_{k}\right|}{1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(k-\alpha) \beta k^{n} /(1-\alpha)\left|a_{k}\right|} \geq 0 \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

which proves univalence. Note that $f$ is sense-preserving in $\mathbb{U}$. This is because

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|h^{\prime}(z)^{\beta}\right| & \geq \beta\left(|z|^{\beta-1}-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(k+\beta-1)\left|a_{k}\right||z|^{k+\beta-2}\right)>\beta\left(1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(k-\alpha) \beta k^{n}}{1-\alpha}\left|a_{k}\right|\right) \\
& \geq \beta\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(k+\alpha) \beta k^{n}}{1-\alpha}\left|b_{k}\right|\right) \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta(k+\beta-1)\left|b_{k}\right||z|^{k+\beta-2} \geq\left|g^{\prime}(z)^{\beta}\right| \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.6),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)^{\beta}}{D^{n} f(z)^{\beta}}\right\}=\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{D^{n+1} h(z)^{\beta}+\overline{(-1)^{n+1} D^{n+1} g(z)^{\beta}}}{D^{n} h(z)^{\beta}+\overline{(-1)^{n} D^{n} g(z)^{\beta}}}\right\}>\alpha \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the fact that $\operatorname{Re}(w)>\alpha$ if and only if $|1-\alpha+w| \geq|1+\alpha-w|$, it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|1-\alpha+\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)^{\beta}}{D^{n} f(z)^{\beta}}\right|-\left|1+\alpha-\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)^{\beta}}{D^{n} f(z)^{\beta}}\right| \geq 0  \tag{3.5}\\
\left|D^{n+1} f(z)^{\beta}+(1-\alpha) D^{n} f(z)^{\beta}\right|-\left|D^{n+1} f(z)^{\beta}-(1+\alpha) D^{n} f(z)^{\beta}\right| \geq 0 \tag{3.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting for $D^{n+1} f(z)^{\beta}, D^{n} f(z)^{\beta}$ in (3.6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|D^{n+1} h(z)^{\beta}+\overline{(-1)^{n+1} D^{n+1} g(z)^{\beta}}+(1-\alpha)\left[D^{n} h(z)^{\beta}+\overline{(-1)^{n} D^{n} g(z)^{\beta}}\right]\right| \\
& \quad-\left|D^{n+1} h(z)^{\beta}+\overline{(-1)^{n+1} D^{n+1} g(z)^{\beta}}-(1+\alpha)\left[D^{n} h(z)^{\beta}+\overline{(-1)^{n} D^{n} g(z)^{\beta}}\right]\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & \mid z^{\beta}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \beta k^{n+1} a_{k} z^{\beta+k-1}+(-1)^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta k^{n+1} \overline{b_{k} z^{\beta+k-1}} \\
& +(1-\alpha)\left[z^{\beta}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \beta k^{n} a_{k} z^{\beta+k-1}+(-1)^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta k^{n} \overline{b_{k} z^{\beta+k-1}}\right] \mid \\
- & \mid z^{\beta}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \beta k^{n+1} a_{k} z^{\beta+k-1}+(-1)^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta k^{n+1} \overline{b_{k} z^{\beta+k-1}} \\
& -(1+\alpha)\left[z^{\beta}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \beta k^{n} a_{k} z^{\beta+k-1}+(-1)^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta k^{n} \overline{b_{k} z^{\beta+k-1}}\right] \mid \\
= & \left|(2-\alpha) z^{\beta}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \beta(k+1-\alpha) k^{n} a_{k} z^{\beta+k-1}-(-1)^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta(k-1+\alpha) k^{n} b_{k} z^{\beta+k-1}\right| \\
& -\left|(-\alpha) z^{\beta}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \beta(k-1-\alpha) k^{n} a_{k} z^{\beta+k-1}-(-1)^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta(k+1+\alpha) k^{n} b_{k} z^{\beta+k-1}\right| \\
\geq & 2(1-\alpha)|z|^{\beta}-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 2 \beta k^{n}(k-\alpha)\left|a_{k}\right|\left|z^{\beta+k-1}\right|-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2 \beta k^{n}(k-\alpha)\left|b_{k}\right|\left|z^{\beta+k-1}\right| \\
= & 2(1-\alpha)\left[1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \beta k^{n} \frac{(k-\alpha)}{1-\alpha}\left|a_{k}\right|-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta k^{n} \frac{(k+\alpha)}{1-\alpha}\left|b_{k}\right|\right] . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

This last expression is nonnegative by (3.1), and so the proof is complete.
The harmonic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)^{\beta}=z^{\beta}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \beta \frac{1-\alpha}{(k-\alpha) \beta k^{n}} x_{k} z^{k+\beta-1}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta \frac{1-\alpha}{(k+\alpha) \beta k^{n}} \overline{y_{k} z^{k+\beta-1}}, \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \beta \geq 1,0 \leq \alpha<1$, and $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left|x_{k}\right|+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|y_{k}\right|=1$, shows that the coefficient bound given by (3.1) is sharp. The functions of the form (3.8) are in $\mathscr{H}(n, \beta, \alpha)$ because

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{k-\alpha}{1-\alpha}\left|a_{k}\right|+\frac{k+\alpha}{1-\alpha}\left|b_{k}\right|\right] \beta k^{n}=\beta+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left|x_{k}\right|+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|y_{k}\right|=\beta+1 . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following theorem, it is shown that the condition (3.1) is also necessary for functions $f_{n}^{\beta}=h^{\beta}+\overline{g_{n}^{\beta}}$ where $h^{\beta}$ and $g_{n}^{\beta}$ are of the form (2.7).

Theorem 3.2. Let $f_{n}^{\beta}=h^{\beta}+\overline{g_{n}^{\beta}}$ be given by (2.7). Then $f_{n}^{\beta} \in \overline{\mathscr{L}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$, if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[(k-\alpha)\left|a_{k}\right|+(k+\alpha)\left|b_{k}\right|\right] \beta k^{n} \leq(1+\beta)(1-\alpha), \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{1}=1, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \beta \geq 1$, and $0 \leq \alpha<1$.
Proof. Since $\overline{\mathscr{H}}(n, \beta, \alpha) \subset \mathscr{H}(n, \beta, \alpha)$, we only need to prove the "only if" part of the theorem. To this end, for functions $f_{n}^{\beta}$ of the form (2.7), we notice that the condition (2.6) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{(1-\alpha) z^{\beta}-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(k-\alpha) \beta k^{n} a_{k} z^{k+\beta-1}-(-1)^{2 n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k+\alpha) \beta k^{n} b_{k} \overline{z^{k+\beta-1}}}{z^{\beta}-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \beta k^{n} a_{k} z^{k+\beta-1}+(-1)^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta k^{n} b_{k} \overline{z^{k+\beta-1}}}\right\} \geq 0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above required condition (3.11) must hold for all values of $z$ in $\mathbb{U}$. Upon choosing the values of $z$ on the positive real axis where $0 \leq z=r<1$, we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-\alpha-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(k-\alpha) \beta k^{n} a_{k} r^{k-1}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k+\alpha) \beta k^{n} b_{k} r^{k-1}}{1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \beta k^{n} a_{k} r^{k-1}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta k^{n} \overline{b_{k} r^{k-1}}} \geq 0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the condition (3.10) does not hold, then the numerator in (3.12) is negative for $r$ sufficiently close to 1 . Hence there exist $z_{0}=r_{0}$ in $(0,1)$ for which the quotient in (3.12) is negative. This contradicts the required condition for $f_{n}^{\beta} \in \overline{\mathscr{L}}(n, \lambda, \alpha)$ and so the proof is complete.

### 3.2. Distortion Bounds and Extreme Points

In this section, first we will obtain distortion bounds for functions in $\overline{\mathscr{A}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$.
Theorem 3.3. Let $f_{n}^{\beta} \in \overline{\mathscr{H}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$. Then for $|z|=r<1$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|f_{n}(z)^{\beta}\right| \leq\left(1+\left|b_{1}\right|\right) r^{\beta}+\frac{1}{\beta 2^{n}}\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha}-\frac{1+\alpha}{2-\alpha} \beta\left|b_{1}\right|\right) r^{\beta+1} \\
& \left|f_{n}(z)^{\beta}\right| \geq\left(1-\left|b_{1}\right|\right) r^{\beta}-\frac{1}{\beta 2^{n}}\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha}-\frac{1+\alpha}{2-\alpha} \beta\left|b_{1}\right|\right) r^{\beta+1} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We only prove the right-hand inequality. The proof for the left-hand inequality is similar and will be omitted. Let $f_{n}^{\beta} \in \overline{\mathscr{H}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$. Taking the absolute value of $f_{n}^{\beta}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|f_{n}(z)^{\beta}\right| & =\left|z^{\beta}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k+\beta-1}+(-1)^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k} \overline{z^{k+\beta-1}}\right| \\
& \leq\left(1+\left|b_{1}\right|\right) r^{\beta}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left(\left|a_{k}\right|+\left|b_{k}\right|\right) r^{k+\beta-1} \\
& \leq\left(1+\left|b_{1}\right|\right) r^{\beta}+r^{\beta+1} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left(\left|a_{k}\right|+\left|b_{\mathrm{k}}\right|\right)  \tag{3.14}\\
& \leq\left(1+\left|b_{1}\right|\right) r^{\beta}+\frac{1-\alpha}{(2-\alpha) \beta 2^{n}}\left(\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(2-\alpha) \beta 2^{n}}{1-\alpha}\left|a_{k}\right|+\frac{(2-\alpha) \beta 2^{n}}{1-\alpha}\left|b_{k}\right|\right) r^{\beta+1} \\
& \leq\left(1+\left|b_{1}\right|\right) r^{\beta}+\frac{1-\alpha}{(2-\alpha) \beta 2^{n}}\left(\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(k-\alpha) \beta k^{n}}{1-\alpha}\left|a_{k}\right|+\frac{(k+\alpha) \beta k^{n}}{1-\alpha}\left|b_{k}\right|\right) r^{\beta+1} \\
& \leq\left(1+\left|b_{1}\right|\right) r^{\beta}+\frac{1-\alpha}{(2-\alpha) \beta 2^{n}}\left(1-\frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha} \beta\left|b_{1}\right|\right) r^{\beta+1},
\end{align*}
$$

for $\left|b_{1}\right|<1$. This shows that the bounds given in Theorem 3.3 are sharp.
The following covering result follows from the left-hand inequality in Theorem 3.3.
Corollary 3.4. If function $f_{n}^{\beta}=h^{\beta}+\overline{g^{\beta}}$, where $h^{\beta}$ and $g^{\beta}$ are given by (2.7), is in $\overline{\mathscr{H}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{w:|w|<\frac{\beta 2^{n+1}-1-\left(\beta 2^{n}-1\right) \alpha}{\beta 2^{n}(2-\alpha)}-\frac{2^{n+1}+1}{2^{n}(2-\alpha)}\left|b_{1}\right|\right\} \subset f_{n}(\mathbb{U}) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we determine the extreme points of closed convex hulls of $\overline{\mathscr{H}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$ denoted by clco $\overline{\mathscr{L}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $f_{n}^{\beta}=h^{\beta}+\overline{g^{\beta}}$, where $h^{\beta}$ and $g^{\beta}$ are given by (2.7). Then $f_{n}^{\beta} \in \overline{\mathscr{A}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(z)^{\beta}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(X_{k} h_{k}(z)+Y_{k} g_{n_{k}}(z)\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{1}(z)^{\beta}=z^{\beta}, h_{k}(z)^{\beta}=z^{\beta}-(1-\alpha) /\left((k-\alpha) k^{n}\right) z^{k+\beta-1}(k=2,3, \ldots), g_{n_{k}}(z)^{\beta}=z^{\beta}+(-1)^{n}(1-$ $\alpha) /\left((k+\alpha) k^{n}\right) \overline{z^{k+\beta-1}}(k=1,2,3, .$.$) , and \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(X_{k}+Y_{k}\right)=1, X_{k} \geq 0, Y_{k} \geq 0$. In particular, the extreme points of $\overline{\mathscr{H}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$ are $\left\{h_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{g_{n_{k}}\right\}$.

Proof. For functions $f_{n}^{\beta}=h^{\beta}+\overline{g^{\beta}}$, where $h^{\beta}$ and $g^{\beta}$ are given by (3.16), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{n}(z)^{\beta} & =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(X_{k} h_{k}(z)+Y_{k} g_{n_{k}}(z)\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(X_{k}+Y_{k}\right) z^{\beta}-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1-\alpha}{(k-\alpha) k^{n}} X_{k} z^{k+\beta-1}+(-1)^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-\alpha}{(k+\alpha) k^{n}} \Upsilon_{k} \overline{z^{k+\beta-1}} \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(k-\alpha) \beta k^{n}}{1-\alpha}\left|a_{k}\right|+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(k+\alpha) \beta k^{n}}{1-\alpha}\left|b_{k}\right|=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} X_{k}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Upsilon_{k}=1-X_{1} \leq 1 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so $f_{n}^{\beta} \in \operatorname{clco} \overline{\mathscr{H}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$.
Conversely, suppose that $f_{n}^{\beta} \in$ clco $\overline{\mathscr{H}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$. Setting

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{k}=\frac{(k-\alpha) \beta k^{n}}{1-\alpha}\left|a_{k}\right| \quad 0 \leq X_{k} \leq 1(k=2,3, \ldots) \\
& Y_{k}=\frac{(k+\alpha) \beta k^{n}}{1-\alpha}\left|b_{k}\right| \quad 0 \leq Y_{k} \leq 1(k=1,2,3, \ldots) \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

and $X_{1}=1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} X_{k}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Y_{k}$; therefore, $f_{n}^{\beta}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{n}(z)^{\beta} & =z^{\beta}-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \beta\left|a_{k}\right| z^{k+\beta-1}+(-1)^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta\left|b_{k}\right| \overline{z^{k+\beta-1}} \\
& =z^{\beta}-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\alpha) X_{k}}{(k-\alpha) k^{n}} z^{k+\beta-1}+(-1)^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\alpha) Y_{k}}{(k+\alpha) k^{n}} \overline{z^{k+\beta-1}} \\
& =z^{\beta}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left(h_{k}(z)^{\beta}-z^{\beta}\right) X_{k}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(g_{n_{k}}(z)^{\beta}-z^{\beta}\right) Y_{k}  \tag{3.20}\\
& =\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} h_{k}(z)^{\beta} X_{k}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_{n_{k}}(z)^{\beta} Y_{k}+z^{\beta}\left(1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} X_{k}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Upsilon_{k}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(h_{k}(z)^{\beta} X_{k}+g_{n_{k}}(z)^{\beta} Y_{k}\right), \text { as required. }
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.3. Convolution and Convex Combination

In this section, we show that the class $\overline{\mathscr{H}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$ is invariant under convolution and convex combination of its member.

For harmonic functions $f_{n}(z)^{\beta}=z^{\beta}-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right| z^{k+\beta-1}+(-1)^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|b_{k}\right| \overline{z^{k+\beta-1}}$ and $F_{n}(z)^{\beta}=$ $z^{\beta}-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left|A_{k}\right| z^{k+\beta-1}+(-1)^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|B_{k}\right| \overline{z^{k+\beta-1}}$.

The convolution of $f_{n}^{\beta}$ and $F_{n}^{\beta}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{n}^{\beta} * F_{n}^{\beta}\right)(z)=f_{n}(z)^{\beta} * F_{n}(z)^{\beta}=z^{\beta}-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right|\left|A_{k}\right| z^{k+\beta-1}+(-1)^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|b_{k}\right|\left|B_{k}\right| \overline{z^{k+\beta-1}} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.6. For $0 \leq \lambda \leq \alpha<1$, let $f_{n}^{\beta} \in \overline{\mathscr{H}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$ and $F_{n}^{\beta} \in \overline{\mathscr{H}}(n, \beta, \beta)$. Then $f_{n}^{\beta} * F_{n}^{\beta} \in$ $\overline{\mathscr{L}}(n, \beta, \alpha) \subset \overline{\mathscr{L}}(n, \beta, \lambda)$.

Proof. Let the functions $f_{n}(z)^{\beta}=z^{\beta}-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right| z^{k+\beta-1}+(-1)^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|b_{k}\right| \overline{z^{k+\beta-1}}$ be in the class $\overline{\mathscr{H}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$ and let the functions $F_{n}(z)^{\beta}=z^{\beta}-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left|A_{k}\right| z^{k+\beta-1}+(-1)^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|B_{k}\right| \overline{z^{k+\beta-1}}$ be in the class $\overline{\mathscr{H}}(n, \beta, \lambda)$. Then the convolution $f_{n}^{\beta} * F_{n}^{\beta}$ is given by (3.21). We wish to show that the coefficients of $f_{n}^{\beta} * F_{n}^{\beta}$ satisfy the required condition given in Theorem 3.2. For $F_{n}^{\beta} \in \overline{\mathscr{H}}(n, \beta, \lambda)$, we note that $\left|A_{k}\right| \leq 1$ and $\left|B_{k}\right| \leq 1$. Now, for the convolution function $f_{n}^{\beta} * F_{n}^{\beta}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(k-\beta) \beta k^{n}}{1-\beta}\left|a_{k}\right|\left|A_{k}\right|+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(k+\beta) \beta k^{n}}{1-\beta}\left|b_{k}\right|\left|B_{k}\right| \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(k-\beta) \beta k^{n}}{1-\beta}\left|a_{k}\right|+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(k+\beta) \beta k^{n}}{1-\beta}\left|b_{k}\right|  \tag{3.22}\\
& \quad \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(k-\alpha) \beta k^{n}}{1-\alpha}\left|a_{k}\right|+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(k+\alpha) \beta k^{n}}{1-\alpha}\left|b_{k}\right| \leq 1,
\end{align*}
$$

since $0 \leq \lambda \leq \alpha<1$ and $f_{n}^{\beta} \in \overline{\mathscr{H}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$. Therefore, $f_{n}^{\beta} * F_{n}^{\beta} \in \overline{\mathscr{H}}(n, \beta, \alpha) \subset \overline{\mathscr{L}}(n, \beta, \lambda)$.
We now examine the convex combination of $\overline{\mathscr{L}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$.
Let the functions $f_{n_{j}}(z)^{\beta}$ be defined, for $j=1,2, \ldots$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n_{\mathrm{j}}}(z)^{\beta}=z^{\beta}-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{k, j}\right| z^{k+\beta-1}+(-1)^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|b_{k, j}\right| \overline{z^{k+\beta-1}} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.7. Let the functions $f_{n_{j}}(z)^{\beta}$ defined by (3.23) be in the class $\overline{\mathscr{L}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$ for every $j=$ $1,2, \ldots, m$. Then the functions $t_{j}(z)^{\beta}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{j}(z)^{\beta}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j} f_{n_{j}}(z) \quad\left(0 \leq c_{j} \leq 1\right) \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

are also in the class $\overline{\mathscr{H}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$ where $\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j}=1$.

Proof. According to the definition of $t^{\beta}$, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(z)^{\beta}=z^{\beta}-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j} a_{k, j}\right) z^{k+\beta-1}+(-1)^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j} b_{n, j}\right) \overline{z^{k+\beta-1}} . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, since $f_{n_{j}}(z)^{\beta}$ are in $\overline{\mathscr{L}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$ for every $(j=1,2, \ldots)$, then by (3.1) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\{\left[(k-\alpha)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j}\left|a_{k, j}\right|\right)+(k+\alpha)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j}\left|b_{k, j}\right|\right)\right] \beta k^{n}\right\} \\
& \quad=\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[(k-\alpha)\left|a_{n, j}\right|+(k+\alpha)\left|b_{n, j}\right|\right] \beta k^{n}\right)  \tag{3.26}\\
& \quad \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j} 2(1-\alpha) \leq 2(1-\alpha)
\end{align*}
$$

Hence the theorem follows.
Corollary 3.8. The class $\overline{\mathscr{H}}(n, \beta, \alpha)$ is close under convex linear combination.
Proof. Let the functions $f_{n_{j}}(z)^{\beta}(j=1,2)$ defined by (3.23) be in the class $M_{\overline{\mathscr{R}}}(n, \lambda, \alpha)$. Then the function $\Psi(z)^{\beta}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(z)^{\beta}=\mu f_{n_{1}}(z)^{\beta}+(1-\mu) f_{n_{2}}(z)^{\beta} \quad(0 \leq \mu \leq 1) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

is in the class $M_{\overline{\mathscr{L}}}(n, \lambda, \alpha)$. Also, by taking $m=2, t_{1}=\mu$, and $t_{2}=(1-\mu)$ in Theorem 3.7, we have the above corollary.
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