

## Research Article

# The Local Strong and Weak Solutions for a Nonlinear Dissipative Camassa-Holm Equation

**Shaoyong Lai**

*Department of Applied Mathematics, Southwestern University of Finance and Economics,  
Chengdu, 610074, China*

Correspondence should be addressed to Shaoyong Lai, laishaoy@swufe.edu.cn

Received 17 January 2011; Revised 3 August 2011; Accepted 8 August 2011

Academic Editor: Yuri V. Rogovchenko

Copyright © 2011 Shaoyong Lai. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Using the Kato theorem for abstract differential equations, the local well-posedness of the solution for a nonlinear dissipative Camassa-Holm equation is established in space  $C([0, T], H^s(R)) \cap C^1([0, T], H^{s-1}(R))$  with  $s > 3/2$ . In addition, a sufficient condition for the existence of weak solutions of the equation in lower order Sobolev space  $H^s(R)$  with  $1 \leq s \leq 3/2$  is developed.

## 1. Introduction

Camassa and Holm [1] used the Hamiltonian method to derive a completely integrable wave equation

$$u_t - u_{xxt} + 2ku_x + 3uu_x = 2u_x u_{xx} + uu_{xxx}, \quad (1.1)$$

by retaining two terms that are usually neglected in the small amplitude, shallow water limit. Its alternative derivation as a model for water waves can be found in Constantin and Lannes [2] and Johnson [3]. Equation (1.1) also models wave current interaction [4], while Dai [5] derived it as a model in elasticity (see Constantin and Strauss [6]). Moreover, it was pointed out in Lakshmanan [7] that the Camassa-Holm equation (1.1) could be relevant to the modeling of tsunami waves (see Constantin and Johnson [8]).

In fact, a huge amount of work has been carried out to investigate the dynamic properties of (1.1). For  $k = 0$ , (1.1) has traveling wave solutions of the form  $c e^{-|x-ct|}$ , called peakons, which capture the main feature of the exact traveling wave solutions of greatest height of the governing equations (see [9–11]). For  $k > 0$ , its solitary waves are stable solitons [6, 11]. It was shown in [12–14] that the inverse spectral or scattering approach was

a powerful tool to handle Camassa-Holm equation. Equation (1.1) is a completely integrable infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian system (in the sense that for a large class of initial data, the flow is equivalent to a linear flow at constant speed [15]). It should be emphasized that (1.1) gives rise to geodesic flow of a certain invariant metric on the Bott-Virasoro group (see [16, 17]), and this geometric illustration leads to a proof that the Least Action Principle holds. It is worthwhile to mention that Xin and Zhang [18] proved that the global existence of the weak solution in the energy space  $H^1(R)$  without any sign conditions on the initial value, and the uniqueness of this weak solution is obtained under some conditions on the solution [19]. Coclite et al. [20] extended the analysis presented in [18, 19] and obtained many useful dynamic properties to other equations (also see [21–24]). Li and Olver [25] established the local well-posedness in the Sobolev space  $H^s(R)$  with  $s > 3/2$  for (1.1) and gave conditions on the initial data that lead to finite time blowup of certain solutions. It was shown in Constantin and Escher [26] that the blowup occurs in the form of breaking waves, namely, the solution remains bounded but its slope becomes unbounded in finite time. After wave breaking, the solution can be continued uniquely either as a global conservative weak solution [21] or a global dissipative solution [22]. For peakons, these possibilities are explicitly illustrated in the paper [27]. For other methods to handle the problems relating to various dynamic properties of the Camassa-Holm equation and other shallow water models, the reader is referred to [10, 28–32] and the references therein.

In this paper, motivated by the work in [25, 33], we study the following generalized Camassa-Holm equation

$$u_t - u_{txx} + 2ku_x + au^m u_x = 2u_x u_{xx} + uu_{xxx} + \beta \partial_x [(u_x)^N], \quad (1.2)$$

where  $m \geq 1$  and  $N \geq 1$  are natural numbers, and  $a$ ,  $k$ , and  $\beta$  are arbitrary constants. Obviously, (1.2) reduces to (1.1) if we set  $a = 3$ ,  $m = 1$ , and  $\beta = 0$ . Actually, Wu and Yin [34] consider a nonlinearly dissipative Camassa-Holm equation which includes a nonlinearly dissipative term  $L(u)$ , where  $L$  is a differential operator or a quasidifferential operator. Therefore, we can regard the term  $\beta \partial_x [(u_x)^N]$  as a nonlinearly dissipative term for the dissipative Camassa-Holm equation (1.2).

Due to the term  $\beta \partial_x [(u_x)^N]$  in (1.2), the conservation laws in previous works [10, 25] for (1.1) lose their powers to obtain some bounded estimates of the solution for (1.2). A new conservation law different from those presented in [10, 25] will be established to prove the local existence and uniqueness of the solution to (2.3) subject to initial value  $u_0(x) \in H^s(R)$  with  $s > 3/2$ . We should address that all the generalized versions of the Camassa-Holm equation in previous works (see [17, 25, 34]) do not involve the nonlinear term  $\partial_x [(u_x)^N]$ . Lai and Wu [33] only studied a generalized Camassa-Holm equation in the case where  $\beta \geq 0$  and  $N$  is an odd number. Namely, (1.2) with  $\beta < 0$  and arbitrary positive integer  $N$  was not investigated in [33].

The main tasks of this paper are two-fold. Firstly, by using the Kato theorem for abstract differential equations, we establish the local existence and uniqueness of solutions for (1.2) with any  $\beta$  and arbitrary positive integer  $N$  in space  $C([0, T], H^s(R)) \cap C^1([0, T], H^{s-1}(R))$  with  $s > 3/2$ . Secondly, it is shown that the existence of weak solutions in lower order Sobolev space  $H^s(R)$  with  $1 \leq s \leq 3/2$ . The ideas of proving the second result come from those presented in Li and Olver [25].

## 2. Main Results

Firstly, we give some notation.

The space of all infinitely differentiable functions  $\phi(t, x)$  with compact support in  $[0, +\infty) \times R$  is denoted by  $C_0^\infty$ .  $L^p = L^p(R)$  ( $1 \leq p < +\infty$ ) is the space of all measurable functions  $h$  such that  $\|h\|_{L^p}^p = \int_R |h(t, x)|^p dx < \infty$ . We define  $L^\infty = L^\infty(R)$  with the standard norm  $\|h\|_{L^\infty} = \inf_{m(\epsilon)=0} \sup_{x \in R} e^{|h(t, x)|}$ . For any real number  $s$ ,  $H^s = H^s(R)$  denotes the Sobolev space with the norm defined by

$$\|h\|_{H^s} = \left( \int_R \left(1 + |\xi|^2\right)^s \left|\widehat{h}(t, \xi)\right|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} < \infty, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\widehat{h}(t, \xi) = \int_R e^{-ix\xi} h(t, x) dx$ .

For  $T > 0$  and nonnegative number  $s$ ,  $C([0, T]; H^s(R))$  denotes the Frechet space of all continuous  $H^s$ -valued functions on  $[0, T)$ . We set  $\Lambda = (1 - \partial_x^2)^{1/2}$ .

In order to study the existence of solutions for (1.2), we consider its Cauchy problem in the form

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - u_{txx} &= -2ku_x - \frac{a}{m+1} \left(u^{m+1}\right)_x + 2u_x u_{xx} + uu_{xxx} + \beta \partial_x \left[(u_x)^N\right] \\ &= -ku_x - \frac{a}{m+1} \left(u^{m+1}\right)_x + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^3 u^2 - \frac{1}{2} \partial_x \left(u_x^2\right) + \beta \partial_x \left[(u_x)^N\right], \\ u(0, x) &= u_0(x), \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + uu_x &= \Lambda^{-2} \left[ -ku - \frac{a}{m+1} \left(u^{m+1}\right)_x \right] + \Lambda^{-2} (uu_x) - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{-2} \partial_x \left(u_x^2\right) + \beta \Lambda^{-2} \partial_x \left[(u_x)^N\right], \\ u(0, x) &= u_0(x). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Now, we state our main results.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $u_0(x) \in H^s(R)$  with  $s > 3/2$ . Then problem (2.2) or problem (2.3) has a unique solution  $u(t, x) \in C([0, T]; H^s(R)) \cap C^1([0, T]; H^{s-1}(R))$  where  $T > 0$  depends on  $\|u_0\|_{H^s(R)}$ .*

**Theorem 2.2.** *Suppose that  $u_0(x) \in H^s$  with  $1 \leq s \leq 3/2$  and  $\|u_{0x}\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$ . Then there exists a  $T > 0$  such that (1.2) subject to initial value  $u_0(x)$  has a weak solution  $u(t, x) \in L^2([0, T], H^s)$  in the sense of distribution and  $u_x \in L^\infty([0, T] \times R)$ .*

## 3. Local Well-Posedness

We consider the abstract quasilinear evolution equation

$$\frac{dv}{dt} + A(v)v = f(v), \quad t \geq 0, \quad v(0) = v_0. \tag{3.1}$$

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Hilbert spaces such that  $Y$  is continuously and densely embedded in  $X$ , and let  $Q : Y \rightarrow X$  be a topological isomorphism. Let  $L(Y, X)$  be the space of all bounded linear operators from  $Y$  to  $X$ . If  $X = Y$ , we denote this space by  $L(X)$ . We state the following conditions in which  $\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3$ , and  $\rho_4$  are constants depending on  $\max\{\|y\|_Y, \|z\|_Y\}$ .

(i)  $A(y) \in L(Y, X)$  for  $y \in X$  with

$$\|(A(y) - A(z))w\|_X \leq \rho_1 \|y - z\|_X \|w\|_Y, \quad y, z, w \in Y, \quad (3.2)$$

and  $A(y) \in G(X, 1, \beta)$  (i.e.,  $A(y)$  is quasi- $m$ -accretive), uniformly on bounded sets in  $Y$ .

(ii)  $QA(y)Q^{-1} = A(y) + B(y)$ , where  $B(y) \in L(X)$  is bounded, uniformly on bounded sets in  $Y$ . Moreover,

$$\|(B(y) - B(z))w\|_X \leq \rho_2 \|y - z\|_Y \|w\|_X, \quad y, z \in Y, w \in X. \quad (3.3)$$

(iii)  $f : Y \rightarrow Y$  extends to a map from  $X$  into  $X$  is bounded on bounded sets in  $Y$ , and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(y) - f(z)\|_Y &\leq \rho_3 \|y - z\|_Y, \quad y, z \in Y, \\ \|f(y) - f(z)\|_X &\leq \rho_4 \|y - z\|_X, \quad y, z \in Y. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

*Kato Theorem (see [35])*

Assume that (i), (ii), and (iii) hold. If  $v_0 \in Y$ , there is a maximal  $T > 0$  depending only on  $\|v_0\|_Y$ , and a unique solution  $v$  to problem (3.1) such that

$$v = v(\cdot, v_0) \in C([0, T]; Y) \cap C^1([0, T]; X). \quad (3.5)$$

Moreover, the map  $v_0 \rightarrow v(\cdot, v_0)$  is a continuous map from  $Y$  to the space

$$C([0, T]; Y) \cap C^1([0, T]; X). \quad (3.6)$$

For problem (2.3), we set  $A(u) = u\partial_x$ ,  $Y = H^s(R)$ ,  $X = H^{s-1}(R)$ ,  $\Lambda = (1 - \partial_x^2)^{1/2}$ ,

$$f(u) = \Lambda^{-2} \left[ -ku - \frac{a}{m+1} (u^{m+1}) \right]_x + \Lambda^{-2} (uu_x) - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{-2} \partial_x (u_x^2) + \beta \Lambda^{-2} \partial_x [(u_x)^N], \quad (3.7)$$

and  $Q = \Lambda$ . In order to prove Theorem 2.1, we only need to check that  $A(u)$  and  $f(u)$  satisfy assumptions (i)–(iii).

**Lemma 3.1.** *The operator  $A(u) = u\partial_x$  with  $u \in H^s(R)$ ,  $s > 3/2$  belongs to  $G(H^{s-1}, 1, \beta)$ .*

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $A(u) = u\partial_x$  with  $u \in H^s$  and  $s > 3/2$ . Then  $A(u) \in L(H^s, H^{s-1})$  for all  $u \in H^s$ . Moreover,

$$\|(A(u) - A(z))w\|_{H^{s-1}} \leq \rho_1 \|u - z\|_{H^{s-1}} \|w\|_{H^s}, \quad u, z, w \in H^s(\mathbb{R}). \quad (3.8)$$

**Lemma 3.3.** For  $s > 3/2$ ,  $u, z \in H^s$  and  $w \in H^{s-1}$ , it holds that  $B(u) = [\Lambda, u\partial_x]\Lambda^{-1} \in L(H^{s-1})$  for  $u \in H^s$  and

$$\|(B(u) - B(z))w\|_{H^{s-1}} \leq \rho_2 \|u - z\|_{H^s} \|w\|_{H^{s-1}}. \quad (3.9)$$

Proofs of the above Lemmas 3.1–3.3 can be found in [29] or [31].

**Lemma 3.4** (see [35]). Let  $r$  and  $q$  be real numbers such that  $-r < q \leq r$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|uv\|_{H^q} &\leq c \|u\|_{H^r} \|v\|_{H^q}, \quad \text{if } r > \frac{1}{2}, \\ \|uv\|_{H^{r+q-1/2}} &\leq c \|u\|_{H^r} \|v\|_{H^q}, \quad \text{if } r < \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $u, z \in H^s$  with  $s > 3/2$ , then  $f(u)$  is bounded on bounded sets in  $H^s$  and satisfies

$$\|f(u) - f(z)\|_{H^s} \leq \rho_3 \|u - z\|_{H^s}, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\|f(u) - f(z)\|_{H^{s-1}} \leq \rho_4 \|u - z\|_{H^{s-1}}. \quad (3.12)$$

*Proof.* Using the algebra property of the space  $H^{s_0}$  with  $s_0 > 1/2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|f(u) - f(z)\|_{H^s} \\ &\leq c \left[ \left\| \Lambda^{-2} \left( \left[ -ku - \frac{a}{m+1} (u^{m+1}) \right]_x - \left[ -kz - \frac{a}{m+1} (z^{m+1}) \right]_x \right) \right\|_{H^s} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left\| \Lambda^{-2} (uu_x - zz_x) \right\|_{H^s} + \left\| \Lambda^{-2} \partial_x (u_x^2 - z_x^2) \right\|_{H^s} + \left\| \Lambda^{-2} \partial_x [(u_x)^N] - \Lambda^{-2} \partial_x [(z_x)^N] \right\|_{H^s} \right] \\ &\leq c \left[ \|u - z\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|u^{m+1} - z^{m+1}\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|uu_x - zz_x\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|u_x^2 - z_x^2\|_{H^{s-1}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left\| (u_x)^N - (z_x)^N \right\|_{H^{s-1}} \right] \\ &\leq c \|u - z\|_{H^s} \left[ 1 + \sum_{j=0}^m \|u\|_{H^s}^{m-j} \|z\|_{H^s}^j + \|u\|_{H^s} + \|z\|_{H^s} + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \|u_x\|_{H^{s-1}}^{N-j} \|z_x\|_{H^{s-1}}^j \right] \\ &\leq \rho_3 \|u - z\|_{H^s}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

from which we obtain (3.11).

Applying Lemma 3.4,  $uu_x = (1/2)(u^2)_x$ ,  $s > 3/2$ ,  $\|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq c\|u\|_{H^{s-1}}$  and  $\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \leq c\|u\|_{H^s}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|f(u) - f(z)\|_{H^{s-1}} \\
& \leq c \left[ \|u - z\|_{H^{s-2}} + \|u^{m+1} - z^{m+1}\|_{H^{s-2}} + \|u^2 - z^2\|_{H^{s-2}} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|(u_x - z_x)(u_x + z_x)\|_{H^{s-2}} + \left\| (u_x - z_x) \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} u_x^{N-1-j} z_x^j \right\|_{H^{s-2}} \right] \\
& \leq c \|u - z\|_{H^{s-1}} \left[ 1 + \sum_{j=0}^m \|u\|_{H^{s-1}}^{m-j} \|z\|_{H^{s-1}}^j + \|u\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|z\|_{H^{s-1}} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|u\|_{H^s} + \|z\|_{H^s} + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \|u_x\|_{H^{s-1}}^{N-j} \|z_x\|_{H^{s-1}}^j \right] \\
& \leq \rho_4 \|u - z\|_{H^{s-1}},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

which completes the proof of (3.12).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* Using the Kato Theorem, Lemmas 3.1–3.3, and 3.5, we know that system (2.2) or problem (2.3) has a unique solution

$$u(t, x) \in C([0, T]; H^s(R)) \cap C^1([0, T]; H^{s-1}(R)). \tag{3.15}$$

$\square$

#### 4. Existence of Weak Solutions

For  $s \geq 2$ , using the first equation of system (2.2) derives

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( u^2 + u_x^2 + 2\beta \int_0^t u_x^{N+1} d\tau \right) dx = 0, \tag{4.1}$$

from which we have the conservation law

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( u^2 + u_x^2 + 2\beta \int_0^t u_x^{N+1} d\tau \right) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( u_0^2 + u_{0x}^2 \right) dx. \tag{4.2}$$

**Lemma 4.1** (Kato and Ponce [36]). *If  $r > 0$ , then  $H^r \cap L^\infty$  is an algebra. Moreover,*

$$\|uv\|_r \leq c(\|u\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_r + \|u\|_r \|v\|_{L^\infty}), \tag{4.3}$$

where  $c$  is a constant depending only on  $r$ .

**Lemma 4.2** (Kato and Ponce [36]). *Let  $r > 0$ . If  $u \in H^r \cap W^{1,\infty}$  and  $v \in H^{r-1} \cap L^\infty$ , then*

$$\|[\Lambda^r, u]v\|_{L^2} \leq c \left( \|\partial_x u\|_{L^\infty} \|\Lambda^{r-1} v\|_{L^2} + \|\Lambda^r u\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^\infty} \right). \quad (4.4)$$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $s \geq 2$  and the function  $u(t, x)$  is a solution of problem (2.2) and the initial data  $u_0(x) \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ . Then the following inequality holds*

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|u\|_{H^1} \leq \|u_0\|_{H^1} e^{|\beta| \int_0^t \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^{N-1} d\tau}. \quad (4.5)$$

For  $q \in (0, s - 1]$ , there is a constant  $c$ , which only depends on  $m, N, k, a$ , and  $\beta$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Lambda^{q+1} u)^2 dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Lambda^{q+1} u_0)^2 dx + c \int_0^t \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{H^{q+1}}^2 (1 + \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{m-1}) d\tau \\ &+ c \int_0^t \|u\|_{H^{q+1}}^2 \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^{N-1} d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

For  $q \in [0, s - 1]$ , there is a constant  $c$ , which only depends on  $m, N, k, a$ , and  $\beta$ , such that

$$\|u_t\|_{H^q} \leq c \|u\|_{H^{q+1}} \left( 1 + (1 + \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{m-1}) \|u\|_{H^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^{N-1} \right). \quad (4.7)$$

*Proof.* Using  $\|u\|_{H^1}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u^2 + u_x^2) dx$  and (4.2) derives (4.5).

Using  $\partial_x^2 = -\Lambda^2 + 1$  and the Parseval equality gives rise to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Lambda^q u \Lambda^q \partial_x^3 (u^2) dx = -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Lambda^{q+1} u) \Lambda^{q+1} (u u_x) dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Lambda^q u) \Lambda^q (u u_x) dx. \quad (4.8)$$

For  $q \in (0, s - 1]$ , applying  $(\Lambda^q u) \Lambda^q$  to both sides of the first equation of system (2.3) and integrating with respect to  $x$  by parts, we have the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} ((\Lambda^q u)^2 + (\Lambda^q u_x)^2) dx &= -a \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Lambda^q u) \Lambda^q (u^m u_x) dx \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Lambda^{q+1} u) \Lambda^{q+1} (u u_x) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Lambda^q u_x) \Lambda^q (u_x^2) dx \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Lambda^q u) \Lambda^q (u u_x) dx - \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Lambda^q u_x \Lambda^q [(u_x)^N] dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

We will estimate the terms on the right-hand side of (4.9) separately. For the first term, by using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Lambda^q u) \Lambda^q (u^m u_x) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Lambda^q u) [\Lambda^q (u^m u_x) - u^m \Lambda^q u_x] dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Lambda^q u) u^m \Lambda^q u_x dx \\
&\leq c \|u\|_{H^q} \left( m \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{m-1} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{H^q} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{m-1} \|u\|_{H^q} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{m-1} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|\Lambda^q u\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq c \|u\|_{H^q}^2 \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{m-1} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Using the above estimate to the second term yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Lambda^{q+1} u) \Lambda^{q+1} (u u_x) dx \leq c \|u\|_{H^{q+1}}^2 \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}. \tag{4.11}$$

For the third term, using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and Lemma 4.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Lambda^q u_x) \Lambda^q (u_x^2) dx &\leq \|\Lambda^q u_x\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^q (u_x^2)\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq c \|u\|_{H^{q+1}} (\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_x\|_{H^q} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u_x\|_{H^q}) \\
&\leq c \|u\|_{H^{q+1}}^2 \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

For the last term in (4.9), using Lemma 4.1 repeatedly results in

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Lambda^q u_x) \Lambda^q (u_x)^N dx \right| &\leq \|u_x\|_{H^q} \|u_x^N\|_{H^q} \\
&\leq c \|u\|_{H^{q+1}}^2 \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^{N-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

It follows from (4.9) to (4.13) that there exists a constant  $c$  depending only on  $m, N$  and the coefficients of (1.2) such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} [(\Lambda^q u)^2 + (\Lambda^q u_x)^2] dx \leq c \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{H^{q+1}}^2 \left( 1 + \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{m-1} \right) + c \|u\|_{H^{q+1}}^2 \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^{N-1}. \tag{4.14}$$

Integrating both sides of the above inequality with respect to  $t$  results in inequality (4.6).

To estimate the norm of  $u_t$ , we apply the operator  $(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}$  to both sides of the first equation of system (2.3) to obtain the equation

$$u_t = (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} \left[ -2ku_x + \partial_x \left( -\frac{a}{m+1} u^{m+1} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 (u^2) - \frac{1}{2} u_x^2 \right) + \beta \partial_x [(u_x)^N] \right]. \tag{4.15}$$



Applying  $(\Lambda^q u_t)\Lambda^q$  to both sides of (4.15) for  $q \in (0, s - 1]$  gives rise to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Lambda^q u_t)^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Lambda^q u_t)\Lambda^{q-2} \left[ \partial_x \left( -2ku - \frac{a}{m+1} u^{m+1} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 (u^2) - \frac{1}{2} u_x^2 \right) + \beta \partial_x [(u_x)^N] \right] d\tau. \quad (4.16)$$

For the right-hand side of (4.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Lambda^q u_t)\Lambda^{q-2} (-2ku_x) dx &\leq c \|u_t\|_{H^q} \|u\|_{H^q}, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Lambda^q u_t) (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} \Lambda^q \partial_x \left( -\frac{a}{m+1} u^{m+1} - \frac{1}{2} u_x^2 \right) dx \\ &\leq c \|u_t\|_{H^q} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + \xi^2)^{q-1} \times \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ -\frac{a}{m+1} \widehat{u^m}(\xi - \eta) \widehat{u}(\eta) - \frac{1}{2} \widehat{u_x}(\xi - \eta) \widehat{u_x}(\eta) \right] d\eta \right]^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq c \|u_t\|_{H^q} \|u\|_{H^1} \|u\|_{H^{q+1}} (1 + \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{m-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

Since

$$\int (\Lambda^q u_t) (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} \Lambda^q \partial_x^2 (uu_x) dx = - \int (\Lambda^q u_t)\Lambda^q (uu_x) dx + \int (\Lambda^q u_t) (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} \Lambda^q (uu_x) dx, \quad (4.18)$$

using Lemma 4.1,  $\|uu_x\|_{H^q} \leq c \|(u^2)_x\|_{H^q} \leq c \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{H^{q+1}}$  and  $\|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|u\|_{H^1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int (\Lambda^q u_t)\Lambda^q (uu_x) dx &\leq c \|u_t\|_{H^q} \|uu_x\|_{H^q} \\ &\leq c \|u_t\|_{H^q} \|u\|_{H^1} \|u\|_{H^{q+1}}, \\ \int (\Lambda^q u_t) (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} \Lambda^q (uu_x) dx &\leq c \|u_t\|_{H^q} \|u\|_{H^1} \|u\|_{H^{q+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and Lemma 4.1 yields

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Lambda^q u_t) (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} \Lambda^q \partial_x (u_x^N) dx \right| \leq c \|u_t\|_{H^q} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^{N-1} \|u\|_{H^{q+1}}. \quad (4.20)$$

Applying (4.17)–(4.20) into (4.16) yields the inequality

$$\|u_t\|_{H^q} \leq c \|u\|_{H^{q+1}} \left( 1 + \left( 1 + \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{m-1} \right) \|u\|_{H^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^{N-1} \right). \quad (4.21)$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.3.  $\square$

Defining

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} e^{1/(x^2-1)}, & |x| < 1, \\ 0, & |x| \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (4.22)$$

and setting  $\phi_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-1/4}\phi(\varepsilon^{-1/4}x)$  with  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/4$  and  $u_{\varepsilon 0} = \phi_\varepsilon \star u_0$ , we know that  $u_{\varepsilon 0} \in C^\infty$  for any  $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$  and  $s > 0$ .

It follows from Theorem 2.1 that for each  $\varepsilon$  the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - u_{txx} &= \partial_x \left( -2ku - \frac{a}{m+1}u^{m+1} \right) + \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^3(u^2) - \frac{1}{2}\partial_x(u_x^2) + \beta\partial_x[(u_x)^N], \\ u(0, x) &= u_{\varepsilon 0}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

has a unique solution  $u_\varepsilon(t, x) \in C^\infty([0, T]; H^\infty)$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** *Under the assumptions of problem (4.23), the following estimates hold for any  $\varepsilon$  with  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/4$  and  $s > 0$*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{\varepsilon 0x}\|_{L^\infty} &\leq c_1 \|u_{0x}\|_{L^\infty}, \\ \|u_{\varepsilon 0}\|_{H^q} &\leq c_1, \quad \text{if } q \leq s, \\ \|u_{\varepsilon 0}\|_{H^q} &\leq c_1 \varepsilon^{(s-q)/4}, \quad \text{if } q > s, \\ \|u_{\varepsilon 0} - u_0\|_{H^q} &\leq c_1 \varepsilon^{(s-q)/4}, \quad \text{if } q \leq s, \\ \|u_{\varepsilon 0} - u_0\|_{H^s} &= o(1), \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

where  $c_1$  is a constant independent of  $\varepsilon$ .

The proof of this Lemma can be found in Lai and Wu [33].

**Lemma 4.5.** *If  $u_0(x) \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$  with  $s \in [1, 3/2]$  such that  $\|u_{0x}\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$ . Let  $u_{\varepsilon 0}$  be defined as in system (4.23). Then there exist two positive constants  $T$  and  $c$ , which are independent of  $\varepsilon$ , such that the solution  $u_\varepsilon$  of problem (4.23) satisfies  $\|u_{\varepsilon x}\|_{L^\infty} \leq c$  for any  $t \in [0, T)$ .*

*Proof.* Using notation  $u = u_\varepsilon$  and differentiating both sides of the first equation of problem (4.23) or (4.15) with respect to  $x$  give rise to

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tx} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 u^2 - \frac{1}{2}u_x^2 &= 2ku + \frac{a}{m+1}u^{m+1} - \frac{1}{2}u^2 - \beta u_x^N \\ &\quad - \Lambda^{-2} \left[ 2ku + \frac{a}{m+1}u^{m+1} - \frac{1}{2}u^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2 - \beta u_x^N \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

Letting  $p > 0$  be an integer and multiplying the above equation by  $(u_x)^{2p+1}$  and then integrating the resulting equation with respect to  $x$  yield the equality

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2p+2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_R (u_x)^{2p+2} dx + \frac{p}{2p+2} \int_R (u_x)^{2p+3} dx \\ &= \int_R (u_x)^{2p+1} \left( 2ku + \frac{a}{m+1} u^{m+1} - \frac{1}{2} u^2 - \beta u_x^N \right) dx \\ & \quad - \int_R (u_x)^{2p+1} \Lambda^{-2} \left[ 2ku + \frac{a}{m+1} u^{m+1} - \frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} u_x^2 - \beta u_x^N \right] dx. \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

Applying the Hölder's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2p+2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_R (u_x)^{2p+2} dx &\leq \left\{ |2k| \left( \int_R |u|^{2p+2} dx \right)^{1/(2p+2)} + \frac{a}{m+1} \left( \int_R |u^{m+1}|^{2p+2} dx \right)^{1/(2p+2)} \right. \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_R |u^2|^{2p+2} dx \right)^{1/(2p+2)} + \beta \left( \int_R |u_x^N|^{2p+2} dx \right)^{1/(2p+2)} \\ & \quad \left. + \left( \int_R |G|^{2p+2} dx \right)^{1/(2p+2)} \right\} \left( \int_R |u_x|^{2p+2} dx \right)^{(2p+1)/(2p+2)} \\ & \quad + \frac{p}{2p+2} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \int_R |u_x|^{2p+2} dx, \end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_R (u_x)^{2p+2} dx \right)^{1/(2p+2)} &\leq |2k| \left( \int_R |u|^{2p+2} dx \right)^{1/(2p+2)} + \frac{a}{m+1} \left( \int_R |u^{m+1}|^{2p+2} dx \right)^{1/(2p+2)} \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_R |u^2|^{2p+2} dx \right)^{1/(2p+2)} + \beta \left( \int_R |u_x^N|^{2p+2} dx \right)^{1/(2p+2)} \\ & \quad + \left( \int_R |G|^{2p+2} dx \right)^{1/(2p+2)} + \frac{p}{2p+2} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \left( \int_R |u_x|^{2p+2} dx \right)^{1/(2p+2)}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

where

$$G = \Lambda^{-2} \left[ 2ku + \frac{a}{m+1} u^{m+1} - \frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} u_x^2 - \beta u_x^N \right]. \tag{4.29}$$

Since  $\|f\|_{L^p} \rightarrow \|f\|_{L^\infty}$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$  for any  $f \in L^\infty \cap L^2$ , integrating both sides of the inequality (4.28) with respect to  $t$  and taking the limit as  $p \rightarrow \infty$  result in the estimate

$$\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|u_{0x}\|_{L^\infty} + \int_0^t c \left[ (\|u\|_{L^\infty} + \|u^2\|_{L^\infty} + \|u^{m+1}\|_{L^\infty} + \beta \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^N + \|G\|_{L^\infty}) + \frac{1}{2} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 \right] d\tau. \quad (4.30)$$

Using the algebra property of  $H^{s_0}(R)$  with  $s_0 > 1/2$  yields ( $\|u_\varepsilon\|_{H^{(1/2)+}}$  means that there exists a sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|u_\varepsilon\|_{(1/2)+} = \|u_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2+\delta}}$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \|G\|_{L^\infty} &\leq c \|G\|_{H^{(1/2)+}} \\ &\leq c \left\| \Lambda^{-2} \left[ 2ku + \frac{a}{m+1} u^{m+1} - \frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} u_x^2 - \beta u_x^N \right] \right\|_{H^{(1/2)+}} \\ &\leq c \left( \|u\|_{H^1} + \|u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|u\|_{H^1}^{m+1} + \left\| \Lambda^{-2}(u_x^2) \right\|_{H^{(1/2)+}} + \left\| \Lambda^{-2}(u_x^N) \right\|_{H^{(1/2)+}} \right) \\ &\leq c \left( \|u\|_{H^1} + \|u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|u\|_{H^1}^{m+1} + \|u_x^2\|_{H^0} + \|u_x^N\|_{H^0} \right) \\ &\leq c \left( \|u\|_{H^1} + \|u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|u\|_{H^1}^{m+1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{H^1} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^{N-1} \|u\|_{H^1} \right) \\ &\leq c e^{c \int_0^t \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^{N-1} d\tau} \left( 1 + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^{N-1} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

in which Lemma 4.3 is used. Therefore, we get

$$\int_0^t \|G\|_{L^\infty} d\tau \leq c \int_0^t e^{c \int_0^\tau \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^{N-1} d\xi} \left( 1 + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^{N-1} \right) d\tau. \quad (4.32)$$

From (4.30) and (4.32), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \|u_{0x}\|_{L^\infty} + c \int_0^t \left[ \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^N + e^{c \int_0^t \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^{N-1} d\tau} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + e^{c \int_0^\tau \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^{N-1} d\xi} \left( 1 + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_x\|_{L^\infty}^{N-1} \right) \right] d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

From Lemma 4.4, it follows from the contraction mapping principle that there is a  $T > 0$  such that the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \|W\|_{L^\infty} &= \|u_{0x}\|_{L^\infty} + c \int_0^t \left[ \|W\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|W\|_{L^\infty}^N + e^{c \int_0^t \|W\|_{L^\infty}^{N-1} d\tau} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + e^{c \int_0^\tau \|W\|_{L^\infty}^{N-1} d\xi} \left( 1 + \|W\|_{L^\infty} + \|W\|_{L^\infty}^{N-1} \right) \right] d\tau \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

has a unique solution  $W \in C[0, T]$ . Using the Theorem presented at page 51 in [25] or Theorem 2 in Section 1.1 presented in [37] yields that there are constants  $T > 0$  and  $c > 0$

independent of  $\varepsilon$  such that  $\|u_x\|_{L^\infty} \leq W(t)$  for arbitrary  $t \in [0, T]$ , which leads to the conclusion of Lemma 4.5.

Using Lemmas 4.3 and 4.5, notation  $u_\varepsilon = u$  and Gronwall's inequality results in the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_\varepsilon\|_{H^q} &\leq C_T e^{C_T}, \\ \|u_{\varepsilon t}\|_{H^r} &\leq C_T e^{C_T},\end{aligned}\tag{4.35}$$

where  $q \in (0, s]$ ,  $r \in (0, s - 1]$  and  $C_T$  depends on  $T$ . It follows from Aubin's compactness theorem that there is a subsequence of  $\{u_\varepsilon\}$ , denoted by  $\{u_{\varepsilon_n}\}$ , such that  $\{u_{\varepsilon_n}\}$  and their temporal derivatives  $\{u_{\varepsilon_n t}\}$  are weakly convergent to a function  $u(t, x)$  and its derivative  $u_t$  in  $L^2([0, T], H^s)$  and  $L^2([0, T], H^{s-1})$ , respectively. Moreover, for any real number  $R_1 > 0$ ,  $\{u_{\varepsilon_n}\}$  is convergent to the function  $u$  strongly in the space  $L^2([0, T], H^q(-R_1, R_1))$  for  $q \in [0, s)$  and  $\{u_{\varepsilon_n t}\}$  converges to  $u_t$  strongly in the space  $L^2([0, T], H^r(-R_1, R_1))$  for  $r \in [0, s - 1]$ . Thus, we can prove the existence of a weak solution to (2.2).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.2.* From Lemma 4.5, we know that  $\{u_{\varepsilon_n x}\}$  ( $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ ) is bounded in the space  $L^\infty$ . Thus, the sequences  $\{u_{\varepsilon_n}\}$  and  $\{u_{\varepsilon_n x}\}$  are weakly convergent to  $u$  and  $u_x$  in  $L^2[0, T], H^r(-R, R)$  for any  $r \in [0, s - 1)$ , respectively. Therefore,  $u$  satisfies the equation

$$\begin{aligned}-\int_0^T \int_R u(g_t - g_{xxt}) dx dt &= \int_0^T \int_R \left[ \left( 2ku + \frac{a}{m+1} u^{m+1} + \frac{1}{2} (u_x^2) \right) g_x \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} u^2 g_{xxx} - \beta (u_x)^N g_x \right] dx dt,\end{aligned}\tag{4.36}$$

with  $u(0, x) = u_0(x)$  and  $g \in C_0^\infty$ . Since  $X = L^1([0, T] \times R)$  is a separable Banach space and  $\{u_{\varepsilon_n x}\}$  is a bounded sequence in the dual space  $X^* = L^\infty([0, T] \times R)$  of  $X$ , there exists a subsequence of  $\{u_{\varepsilon_n x}\}$ , still denoted by  $\{u_{\varepsilon_n x}\}$ , weakly star convergent to a function  $v$  in  $L^\infty([0, T] \times R)$ . It derives from the  $\{u_{\varepsilon_n x}\}$  weakly convergent to  $u_x$  in  $L^2([0, T] \times R)$  that  $u_x = v$  almost everywhere. Thus, we obtain  $u_x \in L^\infty([0, T] \times R)$ .  $\square$

## Acknowledgments

The author is very grateful to the reviewers for their helpful and valuable comments, which have led to a meaningful improvement of the paper. This work is supported by the Key Project of Chinese Ministry of Education (109140).

## References

- [1] R. Camassa and D. D. Holm, "An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solitons," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 71, no. 11, pp. 1661–1664, 1993.
- [2] A. Constantin and D. Lannes, "The hydrodynamical relevance of the Camassa-Holm and Degasperis-Procesi equations," *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, vol. 192, no. 1, pp. 165–186, 2009.
- [3] R. S. Johnson, "Camassa-Holm, Korteweg-de Vries and related models for water waves," *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, vol. 455, no. 1, pp. 63–82, 2002.

- [4] R. S. Johnson, "The Camassa-Holm equation for water waves moving over a shear flow," *Fluid Dynamics Research*, vol. 33, no. 1-2, pp. 97–111, 2003.
- [5] H.-H. Dai, "Model equations for nonlinear dispersive waves in a compressible Mooney-Rivlin rod," *Acta Mechanica*, vol. 127, no. 1–4, pp. 193–207, 1998.
- [6] A. Constantin and W. A. Strauss, "Stability of a class of solitary waves in compressible elastic rods," *Physics Letters A*, vol. 270, no. 3-4, pp. 140–148, 2000.
- [7] M. Lakshmanan, "Integrable nonlinear wave equations and possible connections to tsunami dynamics," in *Tsunami and Nonlinear Waves*, A. Kundu, Ed., pp. 31–49, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2007.
- [8] A. Constantin and R. S. Johnson, "Propagation of very long water waves, with vorticity, over variable depth, with applications to tsunamis," *Fluid Dynamics Research*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 175–211, 2008.
- [9] A. Constantin, "The trajectories of particles in Stokes waves," *Inventiones Mathematicae*, vol. 166, no. 3, pp. 523–535, 2006.
- [10] A. Constantin and J. Escher, "Particle trajectories in solitary water waves," *Bulletin of American Mathematical Society*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 423–431, 2007.
- [11] A. Constantin and W. A. Strauss, "Stability of peakons," *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 53, no. 5, pp. 603–610, 2000.
- [12] A. Constantin and H. P. McKean, "A shallow water equation on the circle," *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 52, no. 8, pp. 949–982, 1999.
- [13] A. Constantin, "On the inverse spectral problem for the Camassa-Holm equation," *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 155, no. 2, pp. 352–363, 1998.
- [14] A. Constantin, V. S. Gerdjikov, and R. I. Ivanov, "Inverse scattering transform for the Camassa-Holm equation," *Inverse Problems*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 2197–2207, 2006.
- [15] H. P. McKean, "Integrable systems and algebraic curves," in *Global Analysis*, vol. 755 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pp. 83–200, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1979.
- [16] A. Constantin and B. Kolev, "Geodesic flow on the diffeomorphism group of the circle," *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, vol. 78, no. 4, pp. 787–804, 2003.
- [17] G. Misiólek, "A shallow water equation as a geodesic flow on the Bott-Virasoro group," *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 203–208, 1998.
- [18] Z. Xin and P. Zhang, "On the weak solutions to a shallow water equation," *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 53, no. 11, pp. 1411–1433, 2000.
- [19] Z. Xin and P. Zhang, "On the uniqueness and large time behavior of the weak solutions to a shallow water equation," *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 27, no. 9-10, pp. 1815–1844, 2002.
- [20] G. M. Coclite, H. Holden, and K. H. Karlsen, "Global weak solutions to a generalized hyperelastic-rod wave equation," *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 1044–1069, 2005.
- [21] A. Bressan and A. Constantin, "Global conservative solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation," *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, vol. 183, no. 2, pp. 215–239, 2007.
- [22] A. Bressan and A. Constantin, "Global dissipative solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation," *Analysis and Applications*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1–27, 2007.
- [23] H. Holden and X. Raynaud, "Dissipative solutions for the Camassa-Holm equation," *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems A*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 1047–1112, 2009.
- [24] H. Holden and X. Raynaud, "Global conservative solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation—a Lagrangian point of view," *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 32, no. 10–12, pp. 1511–1549, 2007.
- [25] Y. A. Li and P. J. Olver, "Well-posedness and blow-up solutions for an integrable nonlinearly dispersive model wave equation," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 162, no. 1, pp. 27–63, 2000.
- [26] A. Constantin and J. Escher, "Wave breaking for nonlinear nonlocal shallow water equations," *Acta Mathematica*, vol. 181, no. 2, pp. 229–243, 1998.
- [27] R. Beals, D. H. Sattinger, and J. Szmigielski, "Multi-peakons and a theorem of Stieltjes," *Inverse Problems*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. L1–L4, 1999.
- [28] D. Henry, "Persistence properties for a family of nonlinear partial differential equations," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 70, no. 4, pp. 1565–1573, 2009.
- [29] G. Rodríguez-Blanco, "On the Cauchy problem for the Camassa-Holm equation," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 309–327, 2001.
- [30] Z. Yin, "On the blow-up scenario for the generalized Camassa-Holm equation," *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 29, no. 5-6, pp. 867–877, 2004.
- [31] Z. Yin, "On the Cauchy problem for an integrable equation with peakon solutions," *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 47, no. 3, pp. 649–666, 2003.

- [32] Y. Zhou, "Wave breaking for a periodic shallow water equation," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 290, no. 2, pp. 591–604, 2004.
- [33] S. Lai and Y. Wu, "The local well-posedness and existence of weak solutions for a generalized Camassa-Holm equation," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 248, no. 8, pp. 2038–2063, 2010.
- [34] S. Wu and Z. Yin, "Global existence and blow-up phenomena for the weakly dissipative Camassa-Holm equation," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 246, no. 11, pp. 4309–4321, 2009.
- [35] T. Kato, "Quasi-linear equations of evolution, with applications to partial differential equations," in *Spectral Theory and Differential Equations*, vol. 448 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pp. 25–70, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1975.
- [36] T. Kato and G. Ponce, "Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations," *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 41, no. 7, pp. 891–907, 1988.
- [37] W. Walter, *Differential and Integral Inequalities*, vol. 55 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und Ihrer Grenzgebiete*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1970.





# Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at  
<http://www.hindawi.com>

