Hindawi Publishing Corporation
Abstract and Applied Analysis
Volume 2011, Article ID 419157, 13 pages
doi:10.1155/2011/419157

Research Article

On the Inversion of Bessel Ultrahyperbolic Kernel of Marcel Riesz

Darunee Maneetus[1] and Kamsing Nonlaopon[1,2]

[1] *Department of Mathematics, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand*
[2] *Centre of Excellence in Mathematics, CHE, Si Ayutthaya Road, Bangkok 10400, Thailand*

Correspondence should be addressed to Kamsing Nonlaopon, nkamsi@kku.ac.th

Received 26 August 2011; Accepted 8 October 2011

Academic Editor: Chaitan Gupta

We define the Bessel ultrahyperbolic Marcel Riesz operator on the function f by $U^{\alpha}(f) = R_{\alpha}^{B} * f$, where R_{α}^{B} is Bessel ultrahyperbolic kernel of Marcel Riesz, $\alpha \ldots \mathbb{C}$, the symbol $*$ designates as the convolution, and $f \in \mathcal{S}$, $\mathcal{S}$ is the Schwartz space of functions. Our purpose in this paper is to obtain the operator $E^{\alpha} = (U^{\alpha})^{-1}$ such that, if $U^{\alpha}(f) = \varphi$, then $E^{\alpha}\varphi = f$.

1. Introduction

The n-dimensional ultrahyperbolic operator $\square^k$ iterated k times is defined by

$$\square^k = \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} + \cdots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_p^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p+1}^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p+2}^2} - \cdots - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p+q}^2} \right)^k, \tag{1.1}$$

where $p + q = n$ is the dimension of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and k is a nonnegative integer.

Consider the linear differential equation in the form of

$$\square^k u(x) = f(x), \tag{1.2}$$

where $u(x)$ and $f(x)$ are generalized functions and $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Gel′fand and Shilov [1] have first introduced the fundamental solution of (1.2), which is a complicated form. Later, Trione [2] has shown that the generalized function $R_{2k}^{H}(x)$,

defined by (2.6) with $\gamma = 2k$, is the unique fundamental solution of (1.2) and Téllez [3] has also proved that $R_{2k}^{H}(x)$ exists only when $n = p + q$ with odd p.

Next, Kananthai [4] has first introduced the operator $\Diamond^k$ called the diamond operator iterated k times, which is defined by

$$\Diamond^k = \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}\right)^2 - \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}\right)^2\right]^k, \tag{1.3}$$

where $n = p + q$ is the dimension of $\mathbb{R}^n$, for all $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$, and k is a nonnegative integer. The operator $\Diamond^k$ can be expressed in the form

$$\Diamond^k = \triangle^k \square^k = \square^k \triangle^k, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\square^k$ is defined by (1.1), and

$$\triangle^k = \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} + \cdots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2}\right)^k \tag{1.5}$$

is the Laplace operator iterated k times. On finding the fundamental solution of this product, Kananthai uses the convolution of functions which are fundamental solutions of the operators $\square^k$ and $\triangle^k$. He found that the convolution $(-1)^k R_{2k}^{e}(x) * R_{2k}^{H}(x)$ is the fundamental solution of the operator $\Diamond^k$, that is,

$$\Diamond^k\left((-1)^k R_{2k}^{e}(x) * R_{2k}^{H}(x)\right) = \delta(x), \tag{1.6}$$

where $R_{2k}^{H}(x)$ and $R_{2k}^{e}(x)$ are defined by (2.6) and (2.11), respectively with $\gamma = 2k$ and $\delta(x)$ is the Dirac delta distribution. The fundamental solution $(-1)^k R_{2k}^{e}(x) * R_{2k}^{H}(x)$ is called the diamond kernel of Marcel Riesz. A wealth of some effective works on the diamond kernel of Marcel Riesz have been presented by Kananthai [5–10].

In 1978, Domínguez and Trione [11] have introduced the distributional functions $H_\alpha(P \pm i0, n)$ which are causal (anticausal) analogues of the elliptic kernel of Riesz [12]. Next, Cerutti and Trione [13] have defined the causal (anticausal) generalized Marcel Riesz potentials of order α, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, by

$$R^{\alpha}\varphi = H_\alpha(P \pm i0, n) * \varphi, \tag{1.7}$$

where $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$, $\mathcal{S}$ is the Schwartz space of functions [14] and $H_\alpha(P \pm i0, n)$ is given by

$$H_\alpha(P \pm i0, n) = \frac{e^{\mp\alpha\pi i/2} e^{\pm q\pi i/2}\Gamma((n-\alpha)/2)(P \pm i0)^{(\alpha-n)/2}}{2^{\alpha}\pi^{n/2}\Gamma(\alpha/2)}. \tag{1.8}$$

Here, P is defined by

$$P = P(x) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_p^2 - x_{p+1}^2 - x_{p+2}^2 - \cdots - x_{p+q}^2, \tag{1.9}$$

where q is the number of negative terms of the quadratic form P. The distributions $(P \pm i0)^\lambda$ are defined by

$$(P \pm i0)^\lambda = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left(P \pm i\epsilon |x|^2 \right)^\lambda, \tag{1.10}$$

where $\epsilon > 0$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, and $|x|^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$; see [1]. They have also studied the inverse operator of R^α, denoted by $(R^\alpha)^{-1}$, such that, if $f = R^\alpha \varphi$, then $(R^\alpha)^{-1} f = \varphi$.

Later, Aguirre [15] has defined the ultrahyperbolic Marcel Riesz operator M^α of the function f by

$$M^\alpha(f) = R_\alpha^H * f, \tag{1.11}$$

where R_α^H is defined by (2.6) and $f \in \mathcal{S}$. He has also studied the operator $N^\alpha = (M^\alpha)^{-1}$ such that, if $M^\alpha(f) = \varphi$, then $N^\alpha \varphi = f$.

Let us consider the diamond kernel of Marcel Riesz $K_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$ introduced by Kananthai in [6], which is given by the convolution

$$K_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = R_\alpha^e * R_\beta^H, \tag{1.12}$$

where R_α^e is elliptic kernel defined by (2.11) and R_β^H is the ultrahyperbolic kernel defined by (2.6). Tellez and Kananthai [16] have proved that $K_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$ exists and is in the space of rapidly decreasing distributions. Moreover, they have also shown that the convolution of the distributional families $K_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$ relates to the diamond operator.

Later, Maneetus and Nonlaopon [17] have defined the diamond Marcel Riesz operator of order (α, β) of the function f by

$$M^{(\alpha,\beta)}(f) = K_{\alpha,\beta} * f, \tag{1.13}$$

where $K_{\alpha,\beta}$ is defined by (1.12), $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, and $f \in \mathcal{S}$. They have also studied the operator $N^{(\alpha,\beta)} = [M^{(\alpha,\beta)}]^{-1}$ such that, if $M^{(\alpha,\beta)}(f) = \varphi$, then $N^{(\alpha,\beta)} \varphi = f$.

In this paper, we define the Bessel ultrahyperbolic Marcel Riesz operator of order α of the function f by

$$U^\alpha(f) = R_\alpha^B * f, \tag{1.14}$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}$, $\mathcal{S}$ is the Schwartz space of functions. Our aim in this paper is to obtain the operator $E^\alpha = (U^\alpha)^{-1}$ such that, if $U^\alpha(f) = \varphi$, then $E^\alpha \varphi = f$.

Before we proceed to our main theorem, the following definitions and concepts require some clarifications.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ be a point in the n-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let

$$u = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_p^2 - x_{p+1}^2 - x_{p+2}^2 - \cdots - x_{p+q}^2 \tag{2.1}$$

be the nondegenerated quadratic form, where $p + q = n$ is the dimension of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\Gamma_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : u > 0 \text{ and } x_i > 0\,(i = 1, 2, \ldots, p)\}$ be the interior of a forward cone, and let $\overline{\Gamma}_+$ denote its closure. For any complex number γ, we define

$$R_\gamma^B(x) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{u^{(\gamma-2|\nu|-n)/2}}{K_n^{|\nu|}(\gamma)}, & \text{for } x \in \Gamma_+, \\ 0, & \text{for } x \notin \Gamma_+, \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$K_n^{|\nu|}(\gamma) = \frac{\pi^{(n-1+2|\nu|)/2}\Gamma\big((2+\gamma-n-2|\nu|)/2\big)\Gamma\big((1-\gamma)/2\big)\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma\big((2+\gamma-p-2|\nu|)/2\big)\Gamma\big((p-\gamma)/2\big)}, \tag{2.3}$$

$2v_i = 2\alpha_i + 1$, $\alpha_i > -1/2$ and $|\nu| = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \cdots + \nu_n$, see [18–20].

The function $R_\gamma^B(x)$ is called the Bessel ultrahyperbolic kernel and was introduced by Aguirre [21]. It is well known that $R_\gamma^B(x)$ is an ordinary function if $\mathrm{Re}(\gamma - 2|\nu|) \geq n$ and is a distribution of $(\gamma - 2|\nu|)$ if $\mathrm{Re}(\gamma - 2|\nu|) < n$. Let $\mathrm{supp} R_\gamma^B(x)$ denote the support of $R_\gamma^B(x)$ and suppose that $\mathrm{supp} R_\gamma^B(x) \subset \overline{\Gamma}_+$ (i.e., $\mathrm{supp} R_\gamma^B(x)$ is compact).

Letting $\gamma = 2k$ in (2.2) and (2.3), we obtain

$$R_{2k}^B(x) = \frac{u^{(2k-n-2|\nu|)/2}}{K_n(2k)}, \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$K_n(2k) = \frac{\pi^{(n-1+2|\nu|)/2}\Gamma\big((2+2k-n-2|\nu|)/2\big)\Gamma\big((1-2k)/2\big)\Gamma(2k)}{\Gamma\big((2+2k-p-2|\nu|)/2\big)\Gamma\big((p-2k)/2\big)}. \tag{2.5}$$

By putting $|\nu| = 0$ in (2.2) and (2.3), then formulae (2.2) and (2.3) reduce to

$$R_\gamma^H(x) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{u^{(\gamma-n)/2}}{K_n(\gamma)}, & \text{for } x \in \Gamma_+, \\ 0, & \text{for } x \notin \Gamma_+, \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

$$K_n(\gamma) = \frac{\pi^{(n-1)/2}\Gamma\big((\gamma-n)/2+1\big)\Gamma\big((1-\gamma)/2\big)\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma\big((\gamma-p)/2+1\big)\Gamma\big((p-\gamma)/2\big)}. \tag{2.7}$$

The function $R_\gamma^H(x)$ is called the ultrahyperbolic kernel of Marcel Riesz and was introduced by Nozaki [22]. It is well known that $R_\gamma^H(x)$ is an ordinary function if $\mathrm{Re}(\gamma) \geq n$ and is a distribution of γ if $\mathrm{Re}(\gamma) < n$. Let $\mathrm{supp} R_\gamma^H(x)$ denote the support of $R_\gamma^H(x)$ and suppose that $\mathrm{supp} R_\gamma^H(x) \subset \overline{\Gamma}_+$ (i.e., $\mathrm{supp} R_\gamma^H(x)$ is compact).

By putting $p = 1$ in $R_{2k}^H(x)$ and taking into account Legendre's duplication formula for $\Gamma(z)$, that is,

$$\Gamma(2z) = 2^{2z-1}\pi^{-1/2}\Gamma(z)\Gamma\left(z+\frac{1}{2}\right), \tag{2.8}$$

we obtain

$$I_\gamma^H(x) = \frac{v^{(\gamma-n)/2}}{H_n(\gamma)} \tag{2.9}$$

and $v = x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2 - \cdots - x_n^2$, where

$$H_n(\gamma) = \pi^{(n-2)/2}2^{\gamma-1}\Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma+2-n}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right). \tag{2.10}$$

The function $I_\gamma^H(x)$ is called the hyperbolic kernel of Marcel Riesz.

Definition 2.2. Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ be a point of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\omega = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$. The elliptic kernel of Marcel Riesz is defined by

$$R_\gamma^e(x) = \frac{\omega^{(\gamma-n)/2}}{W_n(\gamma)}, \tag{2.11}$$

where n is the dimension of $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, and

$$W_n(\gamma) = \frac{\pi^{n/2}2^\gamma\Gamma(\gamma/2)}{\Gamma((n-\gamma)/2)}. \tag{2.12}$$

Note that $n = p + q$. By putting $q = 0$ (i.e., $n = p$) in (2.6) and (2.7), we can reduce $u^{(\gamma-n)/2}$ to $\omega_p^{(\gamma-p)/2}$, where $\omega_p = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_p^2$, and reduce $K_n(\gamma)$ to

$$K_p(\gamma) = \frac{\pi^{(p-1)/2}\Gamma((1-\gamma)/2)\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma((p-\gamma)/2)}. \tag{2.13}$$

Using Legendre's duplication formula

$$\Gamma(2z) = 2^{2z-1}\pi^{-1/2}\Gamma(z)\Gamma\left(z+\frac{1}{2}\right), \tag{2.14}$$

and

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+z\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-z\right)=\pi\sec(\pi z), \tag{2.15}$$

we obtain

$$K_p(\gamma)=\frac{1}{2}\sec\left(\frac{\gamma\pi}{2}\right)W_p(\gamma). \tag{2.16}$$

Thus, for $q=0$, we have

$$R_\gamma^H(x)=\frac{u^{(\gamma-p)/2}}{K_p(\gamma)}=2\cos\left(\frac{\gamma\pi}{2}\right)\frac{u^{(\gamma-p)/2}}{W_p(\gamma)}=2\cos\left(\frac{\gamma\pi}{2}\right)R_\gamma^e(x). \tag{2.17}$$

In addition, if $\gamma=2k$ for some nonnegative integer k, then

$$R_{2k}^H(x)=2(-1)^kR_{2k}^e(x). \tag{2.18}$$

The proofs of Lemma 2.3 are given in [2].

Lemma 2.3. *The function $R_\alpha^H(x)$ has the following properties:*

(i) $R_0^H(x)=\delta(x)$;

(ii) $R_{-2k}^H(x)=\Box^k\delta(x)$;

(iii) $\Box^kR_\alpha^H(x)=R_{\alpha-2k}^H(x)$;

(iv) $\Box^kR_{2k}^H(x)=\delta(x)$.

Lemma 2.4. *If $|\nu|\neq 0$, then*

$$R_\gamma^B(x)=h_{\gamma,p,|\nu|}R_{\gamma-2|\nu|}^H(x), \tag{2.19}$$

where $R_\gamma^B(x)$ and $R_{\gamma-2|\nu|}^H(x)$ are defined by (2.2) *and* (2.6)*, respectively, and*

$$h_{\gamma,p,|\nu|}=\frac{\Gamma\left((1-\gamma)/2+|\nu|\right)\Gamma(\gamma-2|\nu|)\Gamma\left((p-\gamma)/2\right)}{\pi^{|v|}\Gamma\left((p-\gamma)/2+|\nu|\right)\Gamma\left((1-\gamma)/2\right)\Gamma(\gamma)}. \tag{2.20}$$

Proof. We get (2.19) by computing directly from definition of $R_\gamma^B(x)$ and $R_{\gamma-2|\nu|}^H(x)$. □

The proof of the following lemma is given in [23].

Lemma 2.5 (the convolutions of $R_\alpha^H(x)$). *(i) If p is odd, then*

$$R_\alpha^H(x)*R_\beta^H(x)=R_{\alpha+\beta}^H(x)+A_{\alpha,\beta}, \tag{2.21}$$

where

$$A_{\alpha,\beta} = -\frac{i}{2}\frac{\sin(\alpha\pi/2)\sin(\beta\pi/2)}{\sin((\alpha+\beta)\pi/2)}\left[H^{+}_{\alpha+\beta} - H^{-}_{\alpha+\beta}\right], \tag{2.22}$$

$$H^{\pm}_{\alpha+\beta} = H_{\alpha+\beta}(P \pm i0, n) \tag{2.23}$$

as defined by (1.8).

(ii) If p is even, then

$$R^{H}_{\alpha}(x) * R^{H}_{\beta}(x) = B_{\alpha,\beta}R^{H}_{\alpha+\beta}(x), \tag{2.24}$$

where

$$B_{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{\cos(\alpha\pi/2)\cos(\beta\pi/2)}{\cos((\alpha+\beta)\pi/2)}. \tag{2.25}$$

Lemma 2.6 (the convolutions of $R^{B}_{\alpha}(x)$). *(i) If p is odd, then*

$$R^{B}_{\alpha}(x) * R^{B}_{\beta}(x) = h_{\alpha,p,|\nu|}h_{\beta,p,|\mu|}\left(R^{H}_{\alpha+\beta-2(|\nu|+|\mu|)} + A_{\alpha-2|\nu|,\beta-2|\mu|}\right), \tag{2.26}$$

where $R^{H}_{\alpha}(x)$ and $A_{\alpha-2|\nu|,\beta-2|\mu|}$ are defined by (2.6) *and* (2.22), *respectively.*

(ii) If p is even, then

$$R^{B}_{\alpha}(x) * R^{B}_{\beta}(x) = h_{\alpha,p,|\nu|}h_{\beta,p,|\mu|}\left(B_{\alpha-2|\nu|,\beta-2|\mu|}R^{H}_{\alpha+\beta-2(|\nu|+|\mu|)}\right), \tag{2.27}$$

where $B_{\alpha-2|\nu|,\beta-2|\mu|}$ is defined by (2.25).

The proof of this lemma can be easily seen from Lemmas 2.4, 2.5 and [23].

3. The Convolution $R^{B}_{\alpha}(x) * R^{B}_{\beta}(x)$ When $\beta = -\alpha$

We will now consider the property of $R^{B}_{\alpha}(x) * R^{B}_{\beta}(x)$ when $\beta = -\alpha$.

From (2.26) and (2.27), we immediately obtain the following properties.

(1) If p is odd and q is even, then

$$R^{B}_{\alpha}(x) * R^{B}_{\beta}(x) = h_{\alpha,p,|\nu|}h_{\beta,p,|\mu|}\left(R^{H}_{\alpha+\beta-2(|\nu|+|\mu|)} + A_{\alpha-2|\nu|,\beta-2|\mu|}\right), \tag{3.1}$$

where $R^{H}_{\alpha}(x)$ and $A_{\alpha-2|\nu|,\beta-2|\mu|}$ are defined by (2.6) and (2.22), respectively.

(2) If p and q are both odd, then

$$R_\alpha^B(x) * R_\beta^B(x) = h_{\alpha,p,,|\nu|}h_{\beta,p,,|\mu|}\left(R^H_{\alpha+\beta-2(|\nu|+|\mu|)} + A_{\alpha-2|\nu|,\beta-2|\mu|}\right). \tag{3.2}$$

(3) If p is even and q is odd, then

$$R_\alpha^B(x) * R_\beta^B(x) = h_{\alpha,p,,|\nu|}h_{\beta,p,,|\mu|}\left(\frac{\cos((\alpha-2|\nu|)\pi/2)\cdot\cos\left((\beta-2|\mu|\right)\pi/2)}{\cos\left((\alpha+\beta-2(|\nu|+|\mu|)\right)\pi/2)}R^H_{\alpha+\beta-2(|\nu|+|\mu|)}\right). \tag{3.3}$$

(4) If p and q are both even, then

$$R_\alpha^B(x) * R_\beta^B(x) = h_{\alpha,p,,|\nu|}h_{\beta,p,,|\mu|}\left(\frac{\cos((\alpha-2|\nu|)\pi/2)\cdot\cos\left((\beta-2|\mu|\right)\pi/2)}{\cos\left((\alpha+\beta-2(|\nu|+|\mu|)\right)\pi/2)}R^H_{\alpha+\beta-2(|\nu|+|\mu|)}\right). \tag{3.4}$$

Moreover, it follows from (2.22) that

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\alpha-2|\nu|,-(\alpha-2|\nu|)} &= \lim_{\beta-2|\mu|\to-(\alpha-2|\nu|)} A_{\alpha-2|\nu|,\beta-2|\mu|} \\ &= -\frac{i}{2}\lim_{\gamma\to0}\frac{\sin((\alpha-2|\nu|)\pi/2)\sin\left(\left(\gamma-(\alpha-2|\nu|)\right)\pi/2\right)}{\sin(\gamma\pi/2)}\left[H_\gamma^+ - H_\gamma^-\right] \\ &= -\frac{i}{2}\lim_{\gamma\to0}\frac{\sin((\alpha-2|\nu|)\pi/2)\sin\left(\left(\gamma-(\alpha-2|\nu|)\right)\pi/2\right)}{\sin(\gamma\pi/2)}\cdot\lim_{\gamma\to0}\left[H_\gamma^+ - H_\gamma^-\right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where $\gamma = \alpha+\beta-2(|\nu|+|\mu|)$.

On the other hand, using (2.23) and (1.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\gamma\to0}\left[H_\gamma^+ - H_\gamma^-\right] &= \frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{\pi^{n/2}}\left[\lim_{\gamma\to0}e^{-\gamma\pi i/2}e^{q\pi i/2}\frac{(P+i0)^{(\gamma-n)/2}}{\Gamma(\gamma/2)}\right. \\ &\qquad \left. -\lim_{\gamma\to0}e^{\gamma\pi i/2}e^{-q\pi i/2}\frac{(P-i0)^{(\gamma-n)/2}}{\Gamma(\gamma/2)}\right] \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{\pi^{n/2}}\left[\lim_{\gamma\to0}e^{-\gamma\pi i/2}e^{q\pi i/2}\cdot\frac{\mathrm{Res}_{\beta=-n/2}(P+i0)^\beta}{\mathrm{Res}_{\beta=-n/2}\Gamma(\beta+n/2)}\right. \\ &\qquad \left. -\lim_{\gamma\to0}e^{\gamma\pi i/2}e^{-q\pi i/2}\cdot\frac{\mathrm{Res}_{\beta=-n/2}(P-i0)^\beta}{\mathrm{Res}_{\beta=-n/2}\Gamma(\beta+n/2)}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Now, taking n as an odd integer, we obtain

$$\operatorname*{Res}_{\lambda=-n/2-k}(P\pm i0)^{\lambda}=\frac{e^{\pm q\pi i/2}\pi^{n/2}}{2^{2k}k!\Gamma(n/2+k)}\Box^{k}\delta(x), \tag{3.7}$$

where $\Box^k$ is defined by (1.1), $p+q=n$, and k is nonnegative integer; see [24, 25]. If p and q are both even, then

$$\operatorname*{Res}_{\lambda=-n/2-k}(P\pm i0)^{\lambda}=\frac{e^{\pm q\pi i/2}\pi^{n/2}}{2^{2k}k!\Gamma(n/2+k)}\Box^{k}\delta(x). \tag{3.8}$$

Nevertheless, if p and q are both odd, then

$$\operatorname*{Res}_{\lambda=-n/2-k}(P\pm i0)^{\lambda}=0. \tag{3.9}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\gamma\to 0}\left[H_{\gamma}^{+}-H_{\gamma}^{-}\right]&=\frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{\pi^{n/2}}\cdot\frac{\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2)}\left[\lim_{\gamma\to 0}e^{-\gamma\pi i/2}-\lim_{\gamma\to 0}e^{\gamma\pi i/2}\right]\delta(x)\\&=\lim_{\gamma\to 0}\left[-2i\sin\left(\gamma\pi/2\right)\right]\delta(x).\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

From (3.6) and (3.9), we have

$$\lim_{\gamma\to 0}\left[H_{\gamma}^{+}-H_{\gamma}^{-}\right]=0 \tag{3.11}$$

if p and q are both odd (n even).

Applying (3.10) and (3.11) into (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}A_{\alpha-2|\nu|,-\alpha+2|\nu|}&=-\frac{i}{2}\lim_{\gamma\to 0}\frac{\sin((\alpha-2|\nu|)\pi/2)\sin\left(\left(\gamma-(\alpha-2|\nu|)\right)\pi/2\right)}{\sin\left(\gamma\pi/2\right)}\cdot\lim_{\gamma\to 0}\left[-2i\sin\left(\gamma\pi/2\right)\right]\delta(x)\\&=\sin^{2}((\alpha-2|\nu|)\pi/2)\delta(x)\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

if p is odd and q is even and

$$A_{\alpha-2|\nu|,-\alpha+2|\nu|}=0 \tag{3.13}$$

if p and q are both odd.

From (3.1)—(3.4) and using Lemmas 2.3, and 2.6 and formulae (3.12) and (3.13), if p is odd and q is even, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\alpha}^{B}(x) * R_{-\alpha}^{B}(x) &= h_{\alpha,p,|\nu|}h_{-\alpha,p,|\nu|}\left(R_{0}^{H} + A_{\alpha-2|\nu|,-\alpha+2|\nu|}\right) \\ &= h_{\alpha,p,|\nu|}h_{-\alpha,p,|\nu|}\left[\delta(x) + \sin^{2}((\alpha-2|\nu|)\pi/2)\delta(x)\right] \\ &= h_{\alpha,p,|\nu|}h_{-\alpha,p,|\nu|}\left[1 + \sin^{2}((\alpha-2|\nu|)\pi/2)\right]\delta(x). \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

If p and q are both odd, then

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\alpha}^{B}(x) * R_{-\alpha}^{B}(x) &= h_{\alpha,p,|\nu|}h_{-\alpha,p,|\nu|}\left(R_{0}^{H} + A_{\alpha-2|\nu|,-\alpha+2|\nu|}\right) \\ &= h_{\alpha,p,|\nu|}h_{-\alpha,p,|\nu|}\delta(x). \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

If p is even and q is odd, then

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\alpha}^{B}(x) * R_{-\alpha}^{B}(x) &= h_{\alpha,p,|\nu|}h_{-\alpha,p,|\nu|}\frac{\cos((\alpha-2|\nu|)\pi/2)\cos((-\alpha+2|\nu|)\pi/2)}{\cos((\alpha-\alpha-2|\nu|+2|\nu|)\pi/2)}R_{0}^{H} \\ &= h_{\alpha,p,|\nu|}h_{-\alpha,p,|\nu|}\cos^{2}((\alpha-2|\nu|)\pi/2)\delta(x). \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Finally, if p and q are both even, then

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\alpha}^{B}(x) * R_{-\alpha}^{B}(x) &= h_{\alpha,p,|\nu|}h_{-\alpha,p,|\nu|}\frac{\cos((\alpha-2|\nu|)\pi/2)\cos((-\alpha+2|\nu|)\pi/2)}{\cos((\alpha-\alpha-2|\nu|+2|\nu|)\pi/2)}R_{0}^{H} \\ &= h_{\alpha,p,|\nu|}h_{-\alpha,p,|\nu|}\cos^{2}((\alpha-2|\nu|)\pi/2)\delta(x). \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

4. The Main Theorem

Let $M^{\alpha}(f)$ be the Bessel ultrahyperbolic Marcel Riesz operator of order α of the function f, which is defined by

$$U^{\alpha}(f) = R_{\alpha}^{B} * f, \tag{4.1}$$

where R_{α}^{B} is defined by (2.2), $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, and $f \in \mathcal{S}$.

Recall that our objective is to obtain the operator $E^{\alpha} = (U^{\alpha})^{-1}$ such that, if $U^{\alpha}(f) = \varphi$, then $E^{\alpha}\varphi = f$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

We are now ready to state our main theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *If $U^{\alpha}(f) = \varphi$ (where $U^{\alpha}(f)$ is defined by (4.1) and $f \in \mathcal{S}$), then $E^{\alpha}\varphi = f$ such that*

$$E^{\alpha} = (U^{\alpha})^{-1} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{h_{\alpha,p,|\nu|}h_{-\alpha,p,|\nu|}}\left[1+\sin^2((\alpha-2|\nu|)\pi/2)\right]^{-1}R^{B}_{-\alpha} & \text{if } p \text{ is odd and } q \text{ is even,} \\ \dfrac{1}{h_{\alpha,p,|\nu|}h_{-\alpha,p,|\nu|}}R^{B}_{-\alpha} & \text{if } p \text{ and } q \text{ are both odd,} \\ \dfrac{1}{h_{\alpha,p,|\nu|}h_{-\alpha,p,|\nu|}}\sec^2((\alpha-2|\nu|)\pi/2)R^{B}_{-\alpha} & \text{if } p \text{ is even with} (\alpha-2|\nu|)/2 \neq 2s+1 \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

for any nonnegative integer s.

Proof. By (4.1), we have

$$U^{\alpha}(f) = R^{B}_{\alpha} * f = \varphi, \tag{4.3}$$

where R^{B}_{α} is defined by (2.2), $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, and $f \in \mathcal{S}$. If p is odd and q is even, then, in view of (3.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{h_{\alpha,p,|\nu|}h_{-\alpha,p,|\nu|}}\left[1+\sin^2((\alpha-2|\nu|)\pi/2)\right]^{-1}R^{B}_{-\alpha} * \left(R^{B}_{\alpha} * f\right) \\ &\quad = \frac{1}{h_{\alpha,p,|\nu|}h_{-\alpha,p,|\nu|}}\left[1+\sin^2((\alpha-2|\nu|)\pi/2)\right]^{-1}\left(R^{B}_{-\alpha} * R^{B}_{\alpha}\right) * f \\ &\quad = \frac{1}{h_{\alpha,p,|\nu|}h_{-\alpha,p,|\nu|}}\left[1+\sin^2((\alpha-2|\nu|)\pi/2)\right]^{-1} \\ &\qquad \times \left\{h_{\alpha,p,|\nu|}h_{-\alpha,p,|\nu|}\left[1+\sin^2((\alpha-2|\nu|)\pi/2)\right]\delta(x)\right\} * f \\ &\quad = \delta * f = f. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{h_{\alpha,p,|\nu|}h_{-\alpha,p,|\nu|}}\left[1+\sin^2((\alpha-2|\nu|)\pi/2)\right]^{-1}R^{B}_{-\alpha} = (U^{\alpha})^{-1} = \left(R^{B}_{\alpha}\right)^{-1} \tag{4.5}$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

Similarly, if both p and q are odd, then, by (3.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_{\alpha,p,|\nu|}h_{-\alpha,p,|\nu|}}R^{B}_{-\alpha} * \left(R^{B}_{\alpha} * f\right) &= \frac{1}{h_{\alpha,p,|\nu|}h_{-\alpha,p,|\nu|}}\left(R^{B}_{-\alpha} * R^{B}_{\alpha}\right) * f \\ &= \frac{1}{h_{\alpha,p,|\nu|}h_{-\alpha,p,|\nu|}}h_{\alpha,p,|\nu|}h_{-\alpha,p,|\nu|}\delta(x) * f \\ &= f. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{h_{\alpha,p,|\nu|}h_{-\alpha,p,|\nu|}}R^B_{-\alpha} = (U^\alpha)^{-1} = \left(R^B_\alpha\right)^{-1} \tag{4.7}$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

Finally, if p is even, then, by (3.16) and (3.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{h_{\alpha,p,|\nu|}h_{-\alpha,p,|\nu|}}\sec^2((\alpha-2|\nu|)\pi/2)R^B_{-\alpha} * \left(R^B_\alpha * f\right)\\
&\quad= \frac{1}{h_{\alpha,p,|\nu|}h_{-\alpha,p,|\nu|}}\sec^2((\alpha-2|\nu|)\pi/2)\left(R^B_{-\alpha} * R^B_\alpha\right) * f\\
&\quad= \frac{1}{h_{\alpha,p,|\nu|}h_{-\alpha,p,|\nu|}}\sec^2((\alpha-2|\nu|)\pi/2)\left\{h_{\alpha,p,|\nu|}h_{-\alpha,p,|\nu|}\cos^2((\alpha-2|\nu|)\pi/2)\delta(x)\right\} * f\\
&\quad= \delta * f = f,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

provided that $(\alpha - 2|\nu|)/2 \neq 2s+1$ for any nonnegative integer s.

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{h_{\alpha,p,|\nu|}h_{-\alpha,p,|\nu|}}\sec^2((\alpha-2|\nu|)\pi/2)R^B_{-\alpha} = (U^\alpha)^{-1} = \left(R^B_\alpha\right)^{-1} \tag{4.9}$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $(\alpha - 2|\nu|)/2 \neq 2s+1$ for any nonnegative integer s.

In this conclusion, formulae (4.5), (4.7), and (4.9) are the desired results, and this completes the proof. □

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Commission on Higher Education, the Thailand Research Fund, and Khon Kaen University (Contract no. MRG5380118) and the Centre of Excellence in Mathematics, Thailand.

References

[1] I. M. Gel'fand and G. E. Shilov, *Generalized Functions*, vol. 1, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1964.

[2] S. E. Trione, "On Marcel Riesz's ultra-hyperbolic kernel," *Trabajos de Mathematica*, vol. 116, pp. 1–12, 1987.

[3] M. A. A. Téllez, "The distributional Hankel transform of Marcel Riesz's ultrahyperbolic kernel," *Studies in Applied Mathematics*, vol. 93, no. 2, pp. 133–162, 1994.

[4] A. Kananthai, "On the solutions of the n-dimensional diamond operator," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 88, no. 1, pp. 27–37, 1997.

[5] A. Kananthai, "On the convolution equation related to the diamond kernel of Marcel Riesz," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 100, no. 1, pp. 33–39, 1998.

[6] A. Kananthai, "On the convolutions of the diamond kernel of Marcel Riesz," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 114, no. 1, pp. 95–101, 2000.

[7] A. Kananthai, "On the diamond operator related to the wave equation," *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 1373–1382, 2001.
[8] A. Kananthai, "On the Fourier transform of the diamond kernel of Marcel Riesz," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 101, no. 2-3, pp. 151–158, 1999.
[9] A. Kananthai, "On the green function of the diamond operator related to the Klein-Gordon operator," *Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society*, vol. 93, no. 5, pp. 353–360, 2001.
[10] G. Sritanratana and A. Kananthai, "On the nonlinear Diamond operator related to the wave equation," *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 465–470, 2002.
[11] A. G. Domínguez and S. E. Trione, "On the Laplace transform of retarded invariant functions," *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 51–62, 1978.
[12] M. Riesz, "L'intégrale de Riemann-Liouville et le problème de Cauchy," *Acta Mathematica*, vol. 81, pp. 1–223, 1949.
[13] R. A. Cerutti and S. E. Trione, "The inversion of Marcel Riesz ultrahyperbolic causal operators," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 25–30, 1999.
[14] L. Schwartz, *Theories des Distributions*, vol. 1-2 of *Actualites Scientifiques et Industriel*, Hermann & Cie, Paris, France, 1959.
[15] M. A. Aguirre, "The inverse ultrahyperbolic Marcel Riesz kernel," *Le Matematiche*, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 55–66, 1999.
[16] M. A. A. Tellez and A. Kananthai, "On the convolution product of the distributional families related to the diamond operator," *Le Matematiche*, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 39–48, 2002.
[17] D. Maneetus and K. Nonlaopon, "The inversion of Diamond kernel of Marcel Riesz," *Journal of Applied Mathematics*. In press.
[18] H. Yildirim, M. Z. Sarıkaya, and S. Öztürk, "The solutions of the n-dimensional Bessel diamond operator and the Fourier-Bessel transform of their convolution," *Indian Academy of Sciences. Proceedings. Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 114, no. 4, pp. 375–387, 2004.
[19] M. Z. Sarıkaya and H. Yıldırım, "On the Bessel diamond and the nonlinear Bessel diamond operator related to the Bessel wave equation," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 68, no. 2, pp. 430–442, 2008.
[20] R. Damkengpan and K. Nonlaopon, "On the general solution of the ultrahyperbolic bessel operator," *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, vol. 2011, Article ID 579645, 10 pages, 2011.
[21] M. A. Aguirre, "Some properties of Bessel elliptic kernel and Bessel ultrahyperbolic kernel," *Thai Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 273–293, 2006.
[22] Y. Nozaki, "On Riemann-Liouville integral of ultra-hyperbolic type," *Kōdai Mathematical Seminar Reports*, vol. 16, pp. 69–87, 1964.
[23] M. A. Téllez and S. E. Trione, "The distributional convolution products of Marcel Riesz' ultra-hyperbolic kernel," *Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina*, vol. 39, no. 3-4, pp. 115–124, 1995.
[24] M. A. Téllez, "The expansion and Fourier's transform of $\delta^{(k-1)}(m^2+P)$," *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 113–134, 1995.
[25] M. A. Téllez and A. L. Barrenechea, "A relation between the kth derivate of the Dirac delta in $(P \pm i0)$ and the residue of distributions $(P \pm i0)^{\lambda}$," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 108, no. 1-2, pp. 31–40, 1999.