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We present some monotonicity, convexity, and inequalities for the Agard distortion function $\eta_{K}(t)$ and improve some well-known results.

## 1. Introduction

For $r \in[0,1]$, Lengedre's complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kind [1] are defined by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}(r)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left(1-r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta\right)^{-1 / 2} d \theta,  \tag{1.1}\\
\mathcal{K}^{\prime}(r)=\mathcal{K}\left(r^{\prime}\right), \quad \mathcal{K}(0)=\frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \nless K(1)=\infty, \\
\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{E}(r)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left(1-r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta\right)^{1 / 2} d \theta,  \tag{1.2}\\
\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(r)=\mathcal{E}\left(r^{\prime}\right), \quad \mathcal{E}(0)=\frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \mathcal{E}(1)=1,
\end{gather*}
$$

respectively. Here and in what follows, we set $r^{\prime}=\sqrt{1-r^{2}}$.

Let $\mu(r)$ be the modulus of the plan Grötzsch ring $\mathbf{B}^{2} \backslash[0, r]$ for $r \in(0,1)$, where $\mathbf{B}^{2}$ is the unit disk. Then, it follows from [2] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(r)=\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{K^{\prime}(r)}{\nless} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $K \in(0, \infty)$, the Hersch-Pfluger distortion function $\varphi_{K}(r)$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{K}(r)=\mu^{-1}\left(\frac{\mu(r)}{K}\right) \quad \text { for } r \in(0,1), \quad \varphi_{K}(0)=\varphi_{K}(1)-1=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the Agard distortion function $\eta_{K}(t)$ and the linear distortion function $\lambda(K)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{K}(t)=\left[\frac{\varphi_{K}(r)}{\varphi_{1 / K}\left(r^{\prime}\right)}\right], \quad \lambda(K)=\eta_{K}(1), \quad r=\sqrt{\frac{t}{1+t}} \quad t \in(0, \infty) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively.
It is well known that the functions $\eta_{K}(t)$ and $\lambda(K)$ play a very important role in quasiconformal theory, quasiregular theory, and some other related fields [3-8]. For example, Martin [8] found that the sharp upper bound in Schottky's theorem can be expressed by $\eta_{K}(t)$, and in [9-15] the authors established a number of remarkable properties for the Agard distortion function $\eta_{K}(t)$.

In [14], the authors proved that

$$
\begin{gather*}
e^{\pi(K-1)}<\lambda(K)<e^{a(K-1)},  \tag{1.6}\\
e^{b(K-1 / K)}<\lambda(K)<e^{\pi(K-1 / K)} \tag{1.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $K \in(1, \infty)$, where $a=(4 / \pi) \not\left(K(1 / \sqrt{2})^{2}=4.3768 \ldots, b=a / 2\right.$. Recently, Anderson et al. [15] established that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda(K)<e^{(\pi+b / K)(K-1)}  \tag{1.8}\\
e^{[\log 2+(a-\log 2) / K](K-1)}<\lambda(K)<e^{[\pi+(a-\log 2) / K](K-1)} \tag{1.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $K \in(1, \infty)$, where $a$ and $b$ are defined as in inequalities (1.6) and (1.7), respectively.
The purpose of this paper is to present the new monotonicity, convexity, and inequalities for the Agard distortion function $\eta_{K}(t)$ and improve inequalities (1.6)-(1.9).

Our main results are Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 as follows.
Theorem 1.1. Let $K \in(1, \infty), a=(4 / \pi) \nVdash(1 / \sqrt{2})^{2}=4.3768 \ldots, b=a / 2$, and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, the following statements are true.
(1) $f(K)=\lambda(K) / K^{c}$ is strictly increasing from $(1, \infty)$ onto $(1, \infty)$ for $c \leq a$; if $c>a$, then there exists $K_{0} \in(1, \infty)$, such that $f$ is strictly decreasing in $\left(1, K_{0}\right)$ and strictly increasing
in $\left(K_{0}, \infty\right)$. In particular, the inequality $\lambda(K) \geq K^{c}$ holds for all $K \in(1, \infty)$ with the best possible constant $c=a$.
(2) $g(K)=\left[\log \eta_{K}(t)-\log t\right] /(K-1)$ is convex in $(1, \infty)$ for fixed $t \in(0, \infty)$.
(3) If $t \geq 1$ and $r=\sqrt{t /(1+t)}$, then $h(K)=\left[\log \eta_{K}(t)-\log t\right] /(K-1 / K)$ is strictly increasing from $(1, \infty)$ onto $\left(2 \mathcal{K}(r) \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(r) / \pi, \pi \mathcal{K}(r) / \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(r)\right)$.

Theorem 1.2. Let $t \in(0, \infty), r=\sqrt{t /(1+t)}, a=(4 / \pi) \mathcal{K}(1 / \sqrt{2})^{2}, b=a / 2, A(r)=\pi^{2} /(2 \mu(r))$, $B(r)=8 \mathcal{K}(r) \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(r)^{2}\left[\mathcal{E}(r)-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}(r)\right] / \pi^{2}$, and $F_{c}(K)=K\left[\left(\log \eta_{K}(t)-\log t\right) /(K-1)-c\right]$. Then, the following statements are true.
(1) $F_{c}(K)$ is strictly decreasing from $(1, \infty)$ onto $\left(-\infty, 4 \mathcal{K}(r) \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(r) / \pi-c\right)$ for $c>A(r)$. If $c=A(r)$, then $F_{c}(K)$ is strictly decreasing from $(1, \infty)$ onto $(A(r)-4 \log 2-$ $\left.\log t, 4 \mathcal{K}(r) \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(r) / \pi-A(r)\right)$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
t e^{(K-1)(A(r)+((A(r)-4 \log 2-\log t) / K))}<\eta_{K}(t)<t e^{(K-1)\left(A(r)+\left(\left(4 \mathcal{X}(r) \mathcal{X}^{\prime}(r) / \pi-A(r)\right) / K\right)\right)} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in(0, \infty)$ and $K \in(1, \infty)$. In particular, if $t=1$, then (1.10) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{(K-1)(\pi+((\pi-4 \log 2) / K))}<\lambda(K)<e^{(K-1)(\pi+((a-\pi) / K))} . \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) If $c \leq B(r)$, then $F_{c}(K)$ is strictly increasing from $(1, \infty)$ onto $\left(4 \mathcal{K}(r) \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(r) / \pi-c, \infty\right)$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{K}(t)>t e^{(K-1)\left(B(r)+\left(\left(4 \mathcal{X}(r) \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(r) / \pi-B(r)\right) / K\right)\right)} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in(0, \infty)$ and $K \in(1, \infty)$. In particular, if $t=1$, then (1.12) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(K)>e^{(K-1)(b+(b / K))}=e^{b(K-(1 / K))} . \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) If $B(r)<c<A(r)$, then there exists $K_{1} \in(1, \infty)$ such that $F_{c}(K)$ is strictly decreasing on $\left(1, K_{1}\right)$ and strictly increasing on $\left(K_{1}, \infty\right)$.
(4) $F_{c}(K)$ is convex in $(1, \infty)$.

## 2. Lemmas

In order to prove our main results, we need several formulas and lemmas, which we present in this section.

The following formulas were presented in [14, Appendix E, pp. 474-475]. Let $t \in$ $(0, \infty), K \in(0, \infty), r=\sqrt{t /(1+t)} \in(0,1)$, and $s=\varphi_{K}(r)$. Then,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d \mathcal{K}(r)}{d r}=\frac{\mathcal{E}(r)-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}(r)}{r r^{\prime 2}}, \quad \frac{d \mathcal{E}(r)}{d r}=\frac{\mathcal{E}(r)-\mathcal{K}(r)}{r}, \\
\mathcal{K}(r) \mathcal{E}^{\prime}(r)+\mathcal{K}^{\prime}(r) \mathcal{E}(r)-\mathcal{K}(r) \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(r)=\frac{\pi}{2}, \\
\frac{d \mu(r)}{d r}=-\frac{\pi^{2}}{4 r r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}(r)^{2}}, \\
\frac{\partial s}{\partial r}=\frac{s s^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}(s) \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(s)}{r r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}(r) \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(r)}, \quad \frac{\partial s}{\partial K}=\frac{2}{\pi K} s s^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}(s) \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(s),  \tag{2.1}\\
\varphi_{K}(r)^{2}+\varphi_{1 / K}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2}=1, \\
\eta_{K}(t)=\left(\frac{s}{s^{\prime}}\right)^{2}, \quad \frac{\partial \eta_{K}(t)}{\partial K}=\frac{4}{\pi K} \eta_{K}(t) \mathcal{K}(s) \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(s)=\frac{2}{\mu(r)} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(s)^{2} \eta_{K}(t) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Lemma 2.1 (see [14, Theorem 1.25]). For $-\infty<a<b<\infty$, let $f, g:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable on $(a, b)$, and let $g^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$ on $(a, b)$. If $f^{\prime}(x) / g^{\prime}(x)$ is increasing (decreasing) on $(a, b)$, then so are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(x)-f(a)}{g(x)-g(a)}, \quad \frac{f(x)-f(b)}{g(x)-g(b)} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f^{\prime}(x) / g^{\prime}(x)$ is strictly monotone, then the monotonicity in the conclusion is also strict.
The following lemma can be found in [14, Theorem 3.21(1) and (7), Lemma 3.32(1) and Theorem 5.13(2)].

Lemma 2.2. (1) $\left[\mathcal{E}(r)-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}(r)\right] / r^{2}$ is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(\pi / 4,1)$;
(2) $r^{\prime c} \mathcal{K}(r)$ is strictly decreasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(0, \pi / 2)$ if and only if $c \geq 1 / 2$;
(3) $\nVdash(r) \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(r)$ is strictly decreasing in $(0, \sqrt{2} / 2)$ and strictly increasing in $(\sqrt{2} / 2,1)$;
(4) $\mu(r)+\log r$ is strictly decreasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(0, \log 4)$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $r \in[1 / \sqrt{2}, 1), K \in(1, \infty)$, and $s=\varphi_{K}(r)$. Then, $G(K) \equiv\{\pi /[2 \not \mathcal{X}(s)]\}^{2}+$ $\left[\mu(r) / \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(s)\right]^{2}$ is strictly decreasing from $(1, \infty)$ onto $\left(\mathcal{K}^{\prime}(r)^{2} / \mathcal{K}(r)^{2}, \pi^{2} /\left[2 \mathcal{K}(r)^{2}\right]\right)$.

Proof. Clearly $G\left(1^{+}\right)=\pi^{2} /\left(2 \mathcal{K}(r)^{2}\right), G(+\infty)=\mathcal{K}^{\prime}(r)^{2} / \mathcal{K}(r)^{2}$. Differentiating $G(K)$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
G^{\prime}(K)= & \frac{4}{\pi K} \mu(r)^{2} \mathcal{K}(s) \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(s)^{-2}\left[\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(s)-s^{2} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(s)\right] \\
& -\frac{\pi}{K} \not{K}(s)^{-2} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(s)\left[\varepsilon(s)-s^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}(s)\right]  \tag{2.3}\\
= & \frac{4}{\pi K} \mathcal{K}(s)^{-2} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(s)^{-2} G_{1}(K),
\end{align*}
$$

where $G_{1}(K)=\left[\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(s)-s^{2} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(s)\right] \mathcal{K}(s)^{3} \mu(r)^{2}-\pi^{2}\left[\mathcal{E}(s)-s^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}(s)\right] \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(s)^{3} / 4$.

From Lemma 2.2(1) and (2), we clearly see that $G_{1}(K)$ is strictly decreasing in $(1, \infty)$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{K \rightarrow 1^{+}} G_{1}(K) & =\left[\varepsilon^{\prime}(r)-r^{2} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(r)\right] \not{K}(r)^{3} \mu(r)^{2}-\frac{\pi^{2}}{4}\left[\mathcal{L}(r)-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}(r)\right] \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(r)^{3}  \tag{2.4}\\
& =\frac{\pi^{2}}{4} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(r)^{2} G_{2}(r)
\end{align*}
$$

where $G_{2}(r)=\mathcal{K}(r)\left[\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(r)-r^{2} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(r)\right]-\mathcal{K}^{\prime}(r)\left[\mathcal{E}(r)-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}(r)\right]$ is also strictly decreasing in $(0,1)$. Thus, $G_{2}(r) \leq G_{2}(\sqrt{2} / 2)=0$ for $r \in[1 / \sqrt{2}, 1)$, and $G_{1}(K)<G_{1}\left(1^{+}\right) \leq 0$ for $K \in(1, \infty)$.

Therefore, the monotonicity of $G(K)$ follows from (2.3) and (2.4) together with the fact that $G_{1}(K)<0$ for $K \in(1, \infty)$.

## 3. Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For part (1), clearly $f\left(1^{+}\right)=1$. Let $r=\mu^{-1}[\pi /(2 K)]$ for $K \in(1, \infty)$, then $\lambda(K)=\left(r / r^{\prime}\right)^{2}, r \in(1 / \sqrt{2}, 1)$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d r}{d K}=\frac{2}{\pi} r r^{\prime 2} \not^{\prime}(r)^{2}, \quad \frac{d \lambda(K)}{d K}=\frac{4}{\pi} \lambda(K) K^{\prime}(r)^{2},  \tag{3.1}\\
\lim _{K \rightarrow+\infty} f(K)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{r^{2} \not^{\prime}(r)}{r^{\prime 2} \nless K(r)}=+\infty . \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Making use of (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{K^{c+1} f^{\prime}(K)}{\lambda(K)}=f_{1}(K) \equiv \frac{4}{\pi} \not K^{\prime}(r) \not \not K(r)-c \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2(3) that $f_{1}(K)$ is strictly increasing from $(1, \infty)$ onto ( $a-$ $c, \infty)$. Then, from (3.2) and (3.3), we know that $f$ is strictly increasing from $(1, \infty)$ onto $(1, \infty)$ for $c \leq a$. If $c>a$, then there exists $K_{0} \in(1, \infty)$ such that $f$ is strictly decreasing in $\left(1, K_{0}\right)$ and strictly increasing in $\left(K_{0}, \infty\right)$. Moreover, the inequality $\lambda(K) \geq K^{c}$ holds for all $K \in(1, \infty)$ with the best possible constant $c=a$.

For part (2), denote $r=\sqrt{t /(1+t)}$. Differentiating $g(K)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(K)=\frac{2 \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(s)^{2}(K-1) / \mu(r)-\left(\log \eta_{K}(t)-\log t\right)}{(K-1)^{2}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $g_{1}(K)=2 K^{\prime}(s)^{2}(K-1) / \mu(r)-\left(\log \eta_{K}(t)-\log t\right)$ and $g_{2}(K)=(K-1)^{2}$, then $g_{1}(1)=g_{2}(1)=0, g^{\prime}(K)=g_{1}(K) / g_{2}(K)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{g_{1}{ }^{\prime}(K)}{g_{2}{ }^{\prime}(K)}=g_{3}(K) \equiv-\frac{2}{\mu(r)^{2}}\left[\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(s)-s^{2} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(s)\right] \not \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(s)^{3} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $g_{3}(K)$ is strictly increasing in $(1, \infty)$. Then, (3.5) and Lemma 2.1 lead to the conclusion that $g^{\prime}(K)$ is strictly increasing in $(1, \infty)$. Therefore, $g(K)$ is convex in $(1, \infty)$.

For part (3), if $t \geq 1$, then $r \geq \sqrt{2} / 2$. Let $h_{1}(K)=\log \eta_{K}(t)-\log t$ and $h_{2}(K)=K-1 / K$, then $h_{1}(1)=h_{2}(1)=0, h(K)=h_{1}(K) / h_{2}(K)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h_{1}^{\prime}(K)}{h_{2}^{\prime}(K)}=\frac{2 K^{\prime}(s)^{2} / \mu(r)}{1+K^{-2}}=\frac{2 \mu(r)}{G(K)} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G(K)$ is defined as in Lemma 2.2.
Therefore, $h(K)$ is strictly increasing in $(1, \infty)$ for $t \geq 1$ follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 together with (3.6). Moreover, making use of l'Hôpital's rule, we have $h\left(1^{+}\right)=$ $2 \nless(r) \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(r) / \pi, h(\infty)=\pi \nless(r) / \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(r)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Differentiating $F_{c}(K)$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{c}^{\prime}(K) & =\frac{\log \eta_{K}(t)-\log t}{K-1}-c+K\left[\frac{\left(2 K^{\prime}(s)^{2}(K-1)\right) / \mu(r)-\left(\log \eta_{K}(t)-\log t\right)}{(K-1)^{2}}\right]  \tag{3.7}\\
& =\frac{2 K^{\prime}(s)^{2} K(K-1) / \mu(r)-\left(\log \eta_{K}(t)-\log t\right)}{(K-1)^{2}}-c .
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(K)=\frac{\left[2 \not^{\prime}(s)^{2} K(K-1) / \mu(r)\right]-\left[\log \eta_{K}(t)-\log t\right]}{(K-1)^{2}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H_{1}(K)=2 K^{\prime}(s)^{2} K(K-1) / \mu(r)-\left(\log \eta_{K}(t)-\log t\right)$, and $H_{2}(K)=(K-1)^{2}$, then $H(K)=$ $H_{1}(K) / H_{2}(K), H_{1}(1)=H_{2}(1)=0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{H_{1}{ }^{\prime}(K)}{H_{2}{ }^{\prime}(K)}=H_{3}(K) \equiv \frac{4}{\pi \mu(r)}\left[\mathcal{E}(s)-s^{\prime 2} \nless K(s)\right] \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(s)^{3} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, that $H_{3}(K)$ is strictly increasing in $(1, \infty)$ follows from Lemma 2.2(1) and (2). Then, from (3.8) and (3.9) together with Lemma 2.1, we know that $H(K)$ is strictly increasing in $(1, \infty)$. Moreover, l'Hôpital's rule leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{K \rightarrow 1} H(K)=B(r), \quad \lim _{K \rightarrow \infty} H(K)=A(r) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For part (1), if $c>A(r)$, then from (3.7) and (3.8), we know that $F_{c}^{\prime}(K)<0$ for $K \in$ $(1, \infty)$ and $F_{c}(K)$ is strictly decreasing in $(1, \infty)$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{K \rightarrow 1} F_{c}(K)=\left[4 \nless(r) K^{\prime}(r) / \pi\right]-c, \quad \lim _{K \rightarrow \infty} F_{c}(K)=-\infty \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $c=A(r)$, then $F_{c}(K)$ is also strictly decreasing in $(1, \infty)$ and $F_{c}\left(1^{+}\right)=\left[4 \nless(r) \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(r) / \pi\right]-$ $A(r)$, and from Lemma 2.2(4) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{K \rightarrow \infty} F_{c}(K) & =\lim _{K \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K}{K-1}\left[-2 \log \left(s^{\prime}\right)-2 \mu\left(s^{\prime}\right)+A(r)-\log t\right]  \tag{3.12}\\
& =A(r)-4 \log 2-\log t .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, inequalities (1.10) and (1.11) follows from (3.12) and the monotonicity of $F_{c}(K)$ when $c=A(r)$.

For part (2), if $c \leq B(r)$, then that $F_{c}(K)$ is strictly increasing in $(1, \infty)$ follows from (3.7) and (3.8). Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{K \rightarrow 1} F_{c}(K)=\left[4 \nless(r) \not K^{\prime}(r) / \pi\right]-c, \quad \lim _{K \rightarrow \infty} F_{c}(K)=+\infty \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, inequalities (1.12) and (1.13) follow from (3.13) and the monotonicity of $F_{c}(K)$ when $c=B(r)$.

For part (3), if $B(r)<c<A(r)$, then from (3.7) and (3.8) together with the monotonicity of $H(K)$ we clearly see that there exists $K_{1} \in(1, \infty)$, such that $F_{c}^{\prime}(K)<0$ for $K \in\left(1, K_{1}\right)$ and $F_{c}^{\prime}(K)>0$ for $K \in\left(K_{1}, \infty\right)$. Hence, $F_{c}(K)$ is strictly decreasing in $\left(1, K_{1}\right)$ and strictly increasing in $\left(K_{1}, \infty\right)$.

Part (4) follows from (3.7) and (3.8) together with the monotonicity of $H(K)$.
Taking $t=1$ in Theorem 1.2, we get the following corollary.
Corollary 3.1. Let $a$ and $b$ be defined as in Theorem 1.2, $c \in \mathbb{R}$, and $f_{c}(K)=K\{[\log \lambda(K)] /(K-$ 1) $-c\}$. Then,
(1) if $c>\pi$, then $f_{c}(K)$ is strictly decreasing from $(1, \infty)$ onto $(-\infty, a-c)$; if $c=\pi$, then $f_{c}(K)$ is strictly decreasing from $(1, \infty)$ onto $(\pi-4 \log 2, a-\pi)$;
(2) if $c \leq b$, then $f_{c}(K)$ is strictly increasing from $(1, \infty)$ onto $(a-c, \infty)$;
(3) if $b<c<\pi$, then there exists $K_{2} \in(1, \infty)$, such that $f_{c}(K)$ is strictly decreasing in $\left(1, K_{2}\right)$ and strictly increasing in $\left(K_{2}, \infty\right)$;
(4) $f_{c}(K)$ is convex in $(1, \infty)$.

Inequalities (1.11) and (1.13) lead to the following corollary, which improve inequalities (1.6)-(1.9).

Corollary 3.2. Let $a$ and $b$ be defined as in Theorem 1.2, then the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{e^{(K-1)(\pi+((\pi-4 \log 2) / K))}, e^{b(K-(1 / K))}\right\}<\lambda(K)<e^{(K-1)(\pi+((a-\pi) / K))} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $K \in(1, \infty)$.
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