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We consider the stability of stationary solutions $\mathbf{w}$ for the exterior Navier-Stokes flows with a nonzero constant velocity $\mathbf{u}_{\infty}$ at infinity. For $\mathbf{u}_{\infty}=0$ with nonzero stationary solution $\mathbf{w}$, Chen (1993), Kozono and Ogawa (1994), and Borchers and Miyakawa (1995) have studied the temporal stability in $L^{p}$ spaces for $1<p$ and obtained good stability decay rates. For the spatial direction, we recently obtained some results. For $\mathbf{u}_{\infty} \neq 0$, Heywood (1970, 1972) and Masuda (1975) have studied the temporal stability in $L^{2}$ space. Shibata (1999) and Enomoto and Shibata (2005) have studied the temporal stability in $L^{p}$ spaces for $p \geq 3$. Then, Bae and Roh recently improved Enomoto and Shibata's results in some sense. In this paper, we improve Bae and Roh's result in the spaces $L^{p}$ for $p>1$ and obtain $L^{r}-L^{p}$ stability as Kozono and Ogawa and Borchers and Miyakawa obtained for $\mathbf{u}_{\infty}=0$.

## 1. Introduction

The motion of nonstationary flow of an incompressible viscous fluid past an isolated rigid body is formulated by the following initial boundary value problem of the Navier-Stokes equations:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{u}-\Delta \mathbf{u}+(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}+\nabla p=\mathbf{f}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty)  \tag{1.1}\\
\left.\mathbf{u}\right|_{t=0}=\mathbf{u}_{0},\left.\quad \mathbf{u}\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0, \quad \lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{u}(x, t)=\mathbf{u}_{\infty},
\end{array}
$$

where $\Omega$ is an exterior domain in $R^{n}$ with a smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$, and $\mathbf{u}_{\infty}$ denotes a given constant vector describing the velocity of the fluid at infinity. In this paper, we consider a nonzero constant $\mathbf{u}_{\infty}$. The physical model of the exterior Navier-Stokes equations with a nonzero constant $\mathbf{u}_{\infty}$ can be considered as the motion of water in the sea when a boat is
moving with the speed $-\mathbf{u}_{\infty}$, while the one with zero constant $\mathbf{u}_{\infty}$ can be considered when a boat is stopped. There are few known results for the case $\mathbf{u}_{\infty} \neq 0$, while, with $\mathbf{u}_{\infty}=0$, many results were obtained for the temporal decay and weighted estimates of solutions of (1.1) (refer [1-12]).

Now, we set $\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{u}_{\infty}+\mathbf{v}$ in (1.1) and have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{v}-\Delta \mathbf{v}+\left(\mathbf{u}_{\infty} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{v}+(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}+\nabla p_{1}=\mathbf{f},  \tag{1.2}\\
\left.\mathbf{v}\right|_{t=0}=\mathbf{u}_{0}-\mathbf{u}_{\infty}, \quad \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty) \\
\left.\right|_{\partial \Omega}=-\mathbf{u}_{\infty}, \quad \lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{v}(x, t)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

Consider the following linear problem:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{u}-\Delta \mathbf{u}+\left(\mathbf{u}_{\infty} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{u}+\nabla p=0, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty)  \tag{1.3}\\
\left.\mathbf{u}\right|_{t=0}=\mathbf{u}_{0},\left.\quad \mathbf{u}\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0, \quad \lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{u}(x, t)=0
\end{array}
$$

which is referred to as the Oseen equations; see [13].
In order to formulate the problem (1.3), Enomoto and Shibata [14] used the Helmholtz decomposition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{p}(\Omega)^{n}=J_{p}(\Omega) \oplus G_{p}(\Omega) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1<p<\infty$,

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{p}(\Omega)^{n} & =\left\{u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right): u_{j} \in L_{p}(\Omega), j=1, \ldots, n\right\}, \\
C_{0, \sigma}^{\infty} & =\left\{u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)^{n}: \nabla \cdot u=0 \text { in } \Omega\right\}, \\
J_{p}(\Omega) & =\text { the completion of } C_{0, \sigma}^{\infty}(\Omega), \text { in } L_{p}(\Omega)^{n},  \tag{1.5}\\
G_{p}(\Omega) & =\left\{\nabla \pi \in L_{p}(\Omega)^{n}: \pi \in L_{p, \operatorname{loc}}(\bar{\Omega})\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

The Helmholtz decomposition of $L_{p}(\Omega)^{n}$ was proved by Fujiwara and Morimoto [15], Miyakawa [16], and Simader and Sohr [17]. Let $P$ be a continuous projection from $L_{p}(\Omega)^{n}$ onto $J_{p}(\Omega)^{n}$.

By applying $P$ into (1.3) and setting $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{u}_{\infty}}=P\left(-\Delta+\mathbf{u}_{\infty} \cdot \nabla\right)$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}_{t}+\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{u}_{\infty}} \mathbf{u}=0, \quad \text { for } t>0, \mathbf{u}(0)=\mathbf{u}_{0} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the domain of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{u}_{\infty}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{p}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{u}_{\infty}}\right)=\left\{u \in J_{p}(\Omega) \cap W_{p}^{2}(\Omega)^{n}:\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0\right\} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, Enomoto and Shibata [14] proved that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{u}_{\infty}}$ generates an analytic semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ which is called the Oseen semigroup (one can also refer to $[16,18]$ ) and obtained the following properties.

Proposition 1.1. Let $\sigma_{0}>0$ and assume that $\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right| \leq \sigma_{0}$. Let $1 \leq r \leq q \leq \infty$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T(t) a\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)} \leq C_{r, q, \sigma_{0}} t^{-(3 / 2)(1 / r-1 / q)}\|a\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)}, \quad t>0, \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(r, q) \neq(1,1)$ and $(\infty, \infty)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla T(t) a\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)} \leq C_{r, q, \sigma_{0}} t^{-(3 / 2)(1 / r-1 / q)-1 / 2}\|a\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)}, \quad t>0, \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 \leq r \leq q \leq 3$ and $(r, q) \neq(1,1)$.
The main purpose of this paper is to discuss the temporal stability of stationary solution $\mathbf{w}$ of the nonlinear Navier-Stokes equation (1.2). One can note that $\mathbf{w}$ satisfies the following equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta \mathbf{w}+\left(\mathbf{u}_{\infty} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{w}+(\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{w}+\nabla p_{2}=\mathbf{f}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}=0, \\
\left.\mathbf{w}\right|_{\partial \Omega}=-\mathbf{u}_{\infty}, \quad \lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{w}(x)=0 . \tag{1.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

For suitable $f$, Shibata [19] proved that, for any given $0<\delta<1 / 4$, there exists $\epsilon$ such that if $0<\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right| \leq \epsilon$, then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathbf{w}\|_{p, 2}+\left|\|\mathbf{w}\|_{\delta}+\left\|p_{2}\right\|_{p, 1} \leq\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right|^{\beta},\right. \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|\mathbf{u}\|_{p, m}=\left\|\partial^{m} \mathbf{u}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}, \\
\left|\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\delta}=\sup _{x \in \Omega}(1+|x|)\left(1+s_{\mathbf{u}_{\infty}}(x)\right)^{\delta}\right| \mathbf{u}(x)\left|+\sup _{x \in \Omega}(1+|x|)^{3 / 2}\left(1+s_{\mathbf{u}_{\infty}}(x)\right)^{1 / 2+\delta}\right| \nabla \mathbf{u}(x) \mid,  \tag{1.12}\\
s_{\mathbf{u}_{\infty}}(x)=|x|-x^{T} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{u}_{\infty}}{\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right|} \quad \delta<\beta<1-\delta .
\end{gather*}
$$

Throughout this paper, we assume that $\mathbf{f}$ satisfies the assumption in Shibata [19]. Now, we consider the polar coordinate system

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=r \cos \theta, \quad y_{2}=r \sin \theta \cos \phi, \quad y_{3}=r \sin \theta \sin \phi, \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi, 0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi$, and $0 \leq r<\infty$. Let $S$ be an orthogonal matrix such that $S \mathbf{u}_{\infty}=$ $\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right|(1,0,0)^{T}$ and put $s(y)=|y|-y_{1}$. By a change of variable $y=S x$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x|=|y|=r, \quad s_{u_{\infty}}(x)=s(y)=r(1-\cos \theta) . \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

See Shibata [19] for the detail. Now, by using the above change of variable, we can see easily that $\mathbf{w}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathbf{w}\|_{L^{3 /\left(1+\delta_{1}\right)}(\Omega)}+\|\mathbf{w}\|_{L^{3 /\left(1-\delta_{2}\right)}(\Omega)}+\|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_{L^{3 /\left(2+\delta_{1}\right)}(\Omega)}+\|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_{L^{3 /\left(2-\delta_{2}\right)}(\Omega)} \leq C\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right|^{1 / 2} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for small $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}$, where $C$ is independent on $\mathbf{u}_{\infty}$.
One can also refer to [20] for more general cases of the existence and regularity of stationary Navier-Stokes equations.

For the stability of stationary solutions $\mathbf{w}$, by setting $\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{w}$ and $p=p_{1}-p_{2}$ for $\mathbf{v}, p_{1}$, $\mathbf{w}, p_{2}$ in (1.2) and (1.10), we have the following equations in $\Omega$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{u}-\Delta \mathbf{u}+\left(\mathbf{u}_{\infty} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{u}+(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{w}+(\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}+(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}+\nabla p=0, \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}=0,  \tag{1.16}\\
\mathbf{u}(x, 0)=\mathbf{u}_{0}(x) \quad \text { for } x \in \Omega \\
\mathbf{u}(x, t)=0 \quad \text { for } x \in \partial \Omega, \quad \lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{u}(x, t)=0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Here, in fact, the initial data should be $\mathbf{u}_{0}-\mathbf{u}_{\infty}-\mathbf{w}$, but for our convenience, we denote by $\mathbf{u}_{0}$ for $\mathbf{u}_{0}-\mathbf{u}_{\infty}-\mathbf{w}$ if there is no confusion.

First, Heywood [21, 22] and Masuda [23] have studied the temporal stability in $L^{2}$ space. Shibata [19] proved that there exists small $\epsilon$ such that if $0<\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right| \leq \epsilon$ and $\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{3} \leq \epsilon$, then a unique solution $\mathbf{u}(x, t)$ of (1.16) has the following properties: for any $3<p<\infty$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
{[\mathbf{u}]_{3,0, t}+[\mathbf{u}]_{p, \mu(p), t}+[\nabla \mathbf{u}]_{3,1 / 2, t} \leq \sqrt{\epsilon}} \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left[\left\|\mathbf{u}(t)-\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{3}+[\mathbf{u}]_{p, \mu(p), t}+[\nabla \mathbf{u}]_{3,1 / 2, t}\right]=0 \tag{1.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathbf{z}]_{p, p, t}=\sup _{0<s<t} s^{\rho}\|\mathbf{z}(s, \cdot)\|_{p}, \quad \mu(p)=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3}{2 p} . \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

After that, Enomoto and Shibata [14] considered the stability for arbitrary $\mathbf{u}_{\infty}$ by deleting the smallness condition of $\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right|$. But in this case, all constants in their results depend on $\sigma_{0}$ when $\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right| \leq \sigma_{0}$. Also, they assumed the existence of stationary solution $\mathbf{w}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathbf{w}\|_{L^{3 /\left(1+\delta_{1}\right)}(\Omega)}+\|\mathbf{w}\|_{L^{3 /\left(1-\delta_{2}\right)}(\Omega)}+\|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_{L^{3 /\left(2+\delta_{1}\right)}(\Omega)}+\|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_{L^{3 /\left(2-\delta_{2}\right)}(\Omega)} \leq \alpha \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for small $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}$ and $\alpha$. Then, as a result, they proved (1.16) has a unique solution $\mathbf{u}(x, t)$ with

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left\{\left\|\mathbf{u}(t)-\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{3}+t^{1 / 2}\left(\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^{\infty}}+\|\nabla \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^{3}}\right)\right\}=0 \\
\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{u}(t)}=o\left(t^{-((1 / 2)-(3 / 2 p))}\right), \quad \text { for any } 3 \leq p \leq \infty  \tag{1.20}\\
\|\nabla \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{3}=o\left(t^{-1 / 2}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$ when $\mathbf{u}_{0}$ is small enough in the space $L^{3}(\Omega)$.

Also, Bae and Roh [24] improved Enomoto-Shibata's result in some sense. But their result is limited in the space $L^{p}$ for $3 / 2<p$, while we consider all $1<p$. Moreover, their result depends on $s$ and $r$, while ours only depends on $r$, where $\mathbf{w} \in L^{s}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{0} \in L^{r}$. Also, their optimal decay rate is $2 / 3+\delta$, while ours is $3 / 2+\delta$.

Now, in the next main Theorem, we settle the temporal stability of stationary solutions for the Navier-Stokes equations with a nonzero constant vector at infinity. The idea of the proof is initiated by Kato [25] for $\mathbf{w}=0$ and a very well-known method. Also, for $\mathbf{w} \neq 0$ with $\mathbf{u}_{\infty}=0$, Kozono and Ogawa [12] also used similar method.

Theorem 1.2. There exists small $\epsilon(p, q, r)$ such that if $0<\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right| \leq \epsilon$ and $\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{L^{3}(\Omega)}<\epsilon$, then a unique solution $\mathbf{u}(x, t)$ of (1.16) has the following properties:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq C_{\epsilon} t^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / p)}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r} \quad \text { for } 1<r<p \leq \infty, t>0 \\
\|\nabla \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)} \leq C_{\epsilon} t^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / q)-1 / 2}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r} \quad \text { for } 1<r<q \leq 3, t>0 \tag{1.21}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mathbf{u}_{0} \in L^{3}(\Omega) \cap L^{r}(\Omega)$.

## 2. Proof of Main Theorem

First, we consider the following linear problem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{u}-\Delta \mathbf{u}+\left(\mathbf{u}_{\infty} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{u}+(\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}+(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{w}+\nabla p=0, \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}=0,  \tag{2.1}\\
\left.\mathbf{u}\right|_{t=0}=\mathbf{u}_{0},\left.\quad \mathbf{u}\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0, \quad \lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{u}(x, t)=0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

By applying Helmholtz-Leray projection $P$ and setting

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}} & =P\left[-\Delta \mathbf{u}+\left(\mathbf{u}_{\infty} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{u}+(\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}+(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{w}\right]  \tag{2.2}\\
& =\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{u}_{\infty}} \mathbf{u}+P[(\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}+(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{w}]
\end{align*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}_{t}+£_{\mathbf{u}}=0, \quad \text { for } t>0, \mathbf{u}(0)=\mathbf{u}_{0} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

And we note that the domain of $\mathcal{L}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{p}(\mathcal{L})=\Phi_{p}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{u}_{\infty}}\right)=\left\{u \in J_{p}(\Omega) \cap W_{p}^{2}(\Omega)^{n}|u|_{\partial \Omega}=0\right\} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $S(t)$ be a semigroup generated by the linear operator $£$, then, by Duharmel's Principle, a solution $\mathbf{u}(x, t)$ of (2.1) can be written as in the following integral form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}(x, t)=S(t) \mathbf{u}_{0}=T(t) \mathbf{u}_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} T(t-\tau) P[(\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}+(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{w}] d \tau \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T(t)$ is an analytic semigroup generated by the Oseen operator $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{u}_{\infty}}$.
Lemma 2.1. Let $\mathbf{u}_{0} \in L^{3}(\Omega) \cap L^{r}(\Omega)$ for $1<r<3$, then there exists a small $\epsilon(p, q, r)$ such that if $\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right| \leq \epsilon$ and $\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{L^{3}(\Omega)}<\epsilon$, then a solution $\mathbf{u}(x, t)$ represented by (2.5) satisfies $1<p \leq \infty$ with $1 / r-1 / p<2 / 3$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}=\left\|S(t) \mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq C_{\epsilon} t^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / p)}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)}, \quad t>0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $1<q \leq 3$ with $1 / r-1 / q<1 / 3$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)}=\left\|\nabla S(t) \mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)} \leq C_{\epsilon} t^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / q)-1 / 2}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)} \quad t>0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Before we prove Lemma 2.1 note from (1.15) that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathbf{w}\|_{L^{3 /\left(1+\delta_{1}\right)}(\Omega)}+\|\mathbf{w}\|_{L^{3 /\left(1-\delta_{2}\right)}(\Omega)}+\|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_{L^{3 /\left(2+\delta_{1}\right)}(\Omega)}+\|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_{L^{3 /\left(2-\delta_{2}\right)}(\Omega)} \leq C\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right|^{1 / 2} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for small $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}>0$. In fact, by straight calculations, we can choose any $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2} \leq 3 / 16$.
Step 1. Let $3<p \leq \infty$ with $1 / 3 \leq 1 / r-1 / p<2 / 3$ and $3 / 2<q \leq 3$ with $1 / r-1 / q<1 / 3$. We consider the following iteration method to obtain our estimates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}_{k+1}(t)=T(t) \mathbf{u}_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} T(t-\tau) P\left[(\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_{k}+\left(\mathbf{u}_{k} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{w}\right] d \tau \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We let $1 / q-1 / p=1 / 3$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p}^{k}=\sup _{t \in[0, \infty)} t^{n / 2(1 / r-1 / p)}\left\|u^{k}(t)\right\|_{p^{\prime}} \quad N_{q}^{k}=\sup _{t \in(0, \infty)} t^{n / 2(1 / r-1 / q)+1 / 2}\left\|\nabla u^{k}(t)\right\|_{q} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $t \geq 2$, then by Proposition 1.1, for small $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}>0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{t}\left\|T(t-\tau) P\left[(\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_{k}+\left(\mathbf{u}_{k} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{w}\right]\right\|_{p} d \tau \\
& \leq C\left[\int_{0}^{t-1}(t-\tau)^{-n / 2\left(1 / r_{1}-1 / p\right)}\left\|(\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_{k}\right\|_{r_{1}} d \tau+\int_{t-1}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-n / 2\left(1 / r_{2}-1 / p\right)}\left\|(\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_{k}\right\|_{r_{2}} d \tau\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\int_{0}^{t-1}(t-\tau)^{-n / 2\left(1 / r_{1}-1 / p\right)}\left\|\left(\mathbf{u}_{k} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{w}\right\|_{r_{1}} d \tau+\int_{t-1}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-n / 2\left(1 / r_{2}-1 / p\right)}\left\|\left(\mathbf{u}_{k} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{w}\right\|_{r_{2}} d \tau\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq C\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right| N_{q}^{k}\left[\int_{0}^{t-1}(t-\tau)^{-1-\delta_{1} / 2} \tau^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / q)-1 / 2} d \tau+\int_{t-1}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-1+\delta_{2} / 2} \tau^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / q)-1 / 2} d \tau\right] \\
& \quad+C\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right| M_{p}^{k}\left[\int_{0}^{t-1}(t-\tau)^{-1-\delta_{1} / 2} \tau^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / p)} d \tau+\int_{t-1}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-1+\delta_{2} / 2} \tau^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / p)} d \tau\right] \\
& \leq C\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right|\left(M_{p}^{k}+N_{q}^{k}\right) t^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / p)} \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $1 / r_{1}=1 / p+2 / 3+\delta_{1} / 3$ and $1 / r_{2}=1 / p+2 / 3-\delta_{2} / 3$. If $0<t<2$, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{t}\left\|T(t-\tau) P\left[(\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_{k}+\left(\mathbf{u}_{k} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{w}\right]\right\|_{p} d \tau \\
& \quad \leq C\left[\int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-n / 2\left(1 / r_{3}-1 / p\right)}\left\|(\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_{k}\right\|_{r_{3}} d \tau+\int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-n / 2\left(1 / r_{3}-1 / p\right)}\left\|\left(\mathbf{u}_{k} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{w}\right\|_{r_{3}} d \tau\right] \\
& \quad \leq C\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right|\left(M_{p}^{k}+N_{q}^{k}\right) t^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / p)} \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $1 / r_{3}=1 / p+2 / 3-\delta_{2} / 3$. So, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathbf{u}_{k+1}(t)\right\|_{p} \leq C t^{-n / 2(1 / r-1 / p)}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r}+C\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right| t^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / p)}\left[M_{p}^{k}+N_{q}^{k}\right], \quad \forall t>0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p}^{k+1} \leq C\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r}+C\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right|\left(M_{p}^{k}+N_{q}^{k}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we obtain for $t \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{k+1}(t)\right\|_{q} \leq\left\|\nabla T(t) \mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{q}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla T(t-\tau) P\left[(\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_{k}+\left(\mathbf{u}_{k} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{w}\right]\right\|_{q} d \tau \\
& \leq C t^{-n / 2(1 / r-1 / q)-1 / 2}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r}+C\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right| N_{q}^{k} \int_{0}^{t-1}(t-\tau)^{-1-\delta_{1} / 2} \tau^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / q)-1 / 2} d \tau \\
& \quad+C\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right| N_{q}^{k} \int_{t-1}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-1+\delta_{2} / 3} \tau^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / q)-1 / 2} d \tau  \tag{2.15}\\
& \quad+C\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right| M_{p}^{k} \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-n / 2\left(1 / r_{4}-1 / q\right)-1 / 2} \tau^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / p)} d \tau \\
& \leq C t^{-n / 2(1 / r-1 / q)-1 / 2}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r}+C\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right| t^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / q)-1 / 2}\left[M_{p}^{k}+N_{q}^{k}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $1 / r_{4}=2 / 3+1 / p=1 / 3+1 / q$. Also, for $0<t<2$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\nabla T(t-\tau) P\left[(\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_{k}+\left(\mathbf{u}_{k} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{w}\right]\right\|_{q} d \tau  \tag{2.16}\\
& \quad \leq C\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right|\left(M_{p}^{k}+N_{q}^{k}\right) t^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / q)-1 / 2+\delta_{2} / 2} \leq C\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right|\left(M_{p}^{k}+N_{q}^{k}\right) t^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / q)-1 / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p}^{k+1}+N_{q}^{k+1} \leq C\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r}+C\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right|\left(M_{p}^{k}+N_{q}^{k}\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

So if $C\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right|<1$ (the constant $C$ is bounded as $\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right|$ goes to zero, so we can make $C\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right|<1$ by choosing small $\mathbf{u}_{\infty}$ ), then we have some $K$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p}^{k+1}+N_{q}^{k+1}<K \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k$. Hence, by taking the limit, we complete the proof.
Step 2. Now, we want to prove $1<r<p \leq 3$. For this case, we choose $3 / 2<q \leq 3$ and $p_{1}>3$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{q}<\frac{1}{3}, \quad \frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{p_{1}}<\frac{2}{3} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{p} \leq & \left\|T(t) \mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{p}+\int_{0}^{t}\|T(t-\tau) P[(\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}+(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{w}]\|_{p} d \tau \\
\leq & C t^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / p)}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r}+C \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-3 / 2\left(1 / r_{1}-1 / p\right)}\|\mathbf{w}\|_{3}\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{q} d \tau  \tag{2.20}\\
& +C \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-3 / 2\left(1 / r_{2}-1 / p\right)}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{p_{1}}\|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_{3 / 2} d \tau \\
\leq & C_{\epsilon} t^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / p)}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r}
\end{align*}
$$

where $1 / r_{1}=1 / 3+1 / q$ and $1 / r_{2}=1 / p_{1}+2 / 3$. One can note that $1 / r_{1}-1 / p<2 / 3$ and $1 / r_{2}-1 / p<2 / 3$.

Step 3. Now, we want to prove $1<r<q \leq 3 / 2$. For this case, we choose $3 / 2<q_{1} \leq 3$ and $p>3$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{q_{1}}<\frac{1}{3}, \quad \frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{p}<\frac{2}{3} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to Step 2, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\nabla u(t)\|_{q} \leq & \left\|\nabla T(t) \mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{q}+\int_{0}^{t}\|\nabla T(t-\tau) P[(\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}+(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{w}]\|_{q} d \tau \\
\leq & C t^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / q)-1 / 2}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r}+C \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-3 / 2\left(1 / r_{1}-1 / q\right)-1 / 2}\|\mathbf{w}\|_{3}\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{q_{1}} d \tau  \tag{2.22}\\
& +C \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-3 / 2\left(1 / r_{2}-1 / q\right)-1 / 2}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{p}\|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_{3 / 2} d \tau \\
\leq & C t^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / q)-1 / 2}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r}
\end{align*}
$$

where $1 / r_{1}=1 / 3+1 / q_{1}$ and $1 / r_{2}=1 / p+2 / 3$. One can note that $1 / r_{1}-1 / q<1 / 3$ and $1 / r_{2}-1 / q<1 / 3$.

Step 4. At last, we want to prove $3<p<\infty$ with $1 / r-1 / p<1 / 3$. In this case, we can do easily, by interpolation inequality, Steps 1 and 2.

Therefore, we complete the proof by Steps 1-4.
Now, by applying the Helmholtz-Leray projection $P$ into (1.16), we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}_{t}+\varrho_{\mathbf{u}}+P[(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}]=0, \quad \text { for } t>0, \mathbf{u}(0)=\mathbf{u}_{0} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{L} \mathbf{u} & =P\left[-\Delta \mathbf{u}+\left(\mathbf{u}_{\infty} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{u}+(\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}+(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{w}\right] \\
& =\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{u}_{\infty}} \mathbf{u}+P[(\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}+(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{w}],  \tag{2.24}\\
\Phi_{p}(\mathcal{L}) & =\Phi_{p}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{u}_{\infty}}\right)=\left\{u \in J_{p}(\Omega) \cap W_{p}^{2}(\Omega)^{n}|u|_{\partial \Omega}=0\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

One can note from of $\left[14\right.$, Lemma 2.6] that for $1<p<\infty$ and $\mathbf{u} \in \Phi_{p}(\mathcal{L})=\Phi_{p}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{u}_{\infty}}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2, p}(\Omega)} \leq C_{p}\left(\left\|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{u}_{\infty}} \mathbf{u}\right\|_{p}+\|\mathbf{u}\|_{p}\right) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, from (1.11), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|(\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}+(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{w}\|_{p} & \leq\left(\|\mathbf{w}\|_{\infty}+\|\nabla \mathbf{w}\|_{\infty}\right)\|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2, p}(\Omega)} \\
& \leq\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right|\|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{2, p}(\Omega)} \leq C_{p}\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right|\left(\left\|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{u}_{\infty}} \mathbf{u}\right\|_{p}+\|\mathbf{u}\|_{p}\right) \tag{2.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the linear operator $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{u}_{\infty}}$ generates an analytic semigroup $T(t)$ (refer to [14, 19]), we obtain an analytic semigroup $S(t)$ generated by the linear operator $\mathcal{\perp}$ if $\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right|$ is small enough. The proof is from perturbation theory of analytic semigroup (refer to [26, Theorem 2.4, page 499]).

Remark 2.2. In Lemma 2.1, by the property of a semigroup, we can remove the conditions $1 / r-1 / p<2 / 3$ for $\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}$ and $1 / r-1 / p<1 / 3$ for $\|\nabla \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}$, because we have $\mathbf{u}(x, t)$ $=S(t) \mathbf{u}_{0}=S(t / 2) S(t / 2) \mathbf{u}_{0}$.

Now, we are in the position to prove Theorem 1.2. For the proof, we consider a solution $\mathbf{u}(x, t)(1.16)$ as the limit of the following usual iteration method:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}_{k+1}(t)=S(t) \mathbf{u}_{0}-\int_{0}^{t} S(t-\tau) P\left[\left(\mathbf{u}_{k} \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{u}_{k}\right] d \tau \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, we will prove by a similar method with the proof of Lemma 2.1. One can note that we will prove without Remark 2.2.

Step 1. We prove that, for any $p>3$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{3}<C t^{-1 / 2}, \quad\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{p}<C t^{-1 / 2+3 / 2 p}, \quad \forall t>0 \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{gather*}
M_{p}^{k}=\sup _{t \in[0, \infty)} t^{1 / 2-3 / 2 p}\left\|u^{k}(t)\right\|_{p^{\prime}} \quad \text { for } p>3 \\
N_{3}^{k}=\sup _{t \in(0, \infty)} t^{1 / 2}\left\|\nabla u^{k}(t)\right\|_{3} \tag{2.29}
\end{gather*}
$$

By Lemma 2.1 and (2.27), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\mathbf{u}_{k+1}(t)\right\|_{p} & \leq C t^{-1 / 2+3 / 2 p}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{3}+C \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-1 / 2}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{k}(t)\right\|_{p}\left\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{k}(t)\right\|_{3} d \tau \\
& \leq C t^{-1 / 2+3 / 2 p}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{3}+C M_{p}^{k} N_{3}^{k} \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-1 / 2} \tau^{-1 / 2+3 / 2 p} \tau^{-1 / 2} d \tau  \tag{2.30}\\
& \leq t^{-1 / 2+3 / 2 p}\left[C\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{3}+C M_{p}^{k} N_{3}^{k}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p}^{k+1} \leq C\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{3}+C M_{p}^{k} N_{3}^{k} \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we have
$\left\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{k+1}(t)\right\|_{3} \leq C t^{-1 / 2}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{3}+C \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-3 / 2 p-1 / 2}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{k}(t)\right\|_{p}\left\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{k}(t)\right\|_{3} d \tau \leq t^{-1 / 2}\left[C\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{3}+C M_{p}^{k} N_{3}^{k}\right]$,
which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{3}^{k+1} \leq C\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{3}+C M_{p}^{k} N_{3}^{k} . \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p}^{k+1}+N_{3}^{k+1}<C\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{3}+C\left(M_{p}^{k}+N_{3}^{k}\right)^{2} \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we have a sequence of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k+1} \leq \alpha+\beta x_{k}^{2} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we know that such sequence satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k} \leq M \equiv \frac{1-(1-4 \alpha \beta)^{1 / 2}}{2 \beta}<\frac{1}{2 \beta}, \quad \text { if } \alpha<\frac{1}{4 \beta} \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by recurrence estimates, smallness of $\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{3}$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p}^{k+1}+N_{3}^{k+1}<K \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $K$. Finally, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{3}<C t^{-1 / 2}, \quad\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{p}<C t^{-1 / 2+3 / 2 p}, \quad \forall t>0 \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2. We prove that if $3 / 2<p$ with $1 / r-1 / p<1 / 3$ and $\mathbf{u}_{0} \in L^{r}(\Omega) \cap L^{3}(\Omega)$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{p} \leq C t^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / p)}, \quad \forall t>0 \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p}=\sup _{t \in(0, \infty)} t^{3 / 2(1 / r-1 / p)}\|u(t)\|_{p} \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

From estimates of Step 1, one can note that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{3} \leq C t^{-1 / 2}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{3}, \quad \forall t>0 \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{p} & \leq C t^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / p)}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r}+C \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-n / 2\left(1 / r_{8}-1 / p\right)}\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{p}\|\nabla \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{3} d \tau \\
& \leq C t^{-n / 2(1 / r-1 / p)}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r}+C\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{3} \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-1 / 2} \tau^{-n / 2(1 / r-1 / p)} \tau^{-1 / 2} d \tau  \tag{2.42}\\
& \leq t^{-n / 2(1 / r-1 / p)}\left[C\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r}+C\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{3} M_{p}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p}<C\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r}+C\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{3} M_{p}, \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 / r_{8}=1 / 3+1 / p$.
Hence, we complete the proof with $C\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{3}<1$.
Step 3. We prove that if $3 / 2<q \leq 3$ with $1 / r-1 / q<1 / 3$ and $\mathbf{u}_{0} \in L^{r}(\Omega) \cap L^{3}(\Omega)$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{q} \leq C t^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / q)-1 / 2}, \quad \forall t>0 . \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{q}=\sup _{t \in(0, \infty)} t^{n / 2(1 / r-1 / q)+1 / 2}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{q} . \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose some $p_{1} \approx 3$ with $p_{1}>3$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{q} & \leq C t^{-n / 2(1 / r-1 / q)-1 / 2}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r}+C \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-n / 2\left(1 / r_{7}-1 / q\right)-1 / 2}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{p_{1}}\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{q} d \tau \\
& \leq C t^{-n / 2(1 / r-1 / q)-1 / 2}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r}+C\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{3} N_{q} \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-1 / 2-3 / 2 p} \tau^{-1 / 2+3 / 2 p_{1}} \tau^{-n / 2(1 / r-1 / q)-1 / 2} d \tau \\
& \leq t^{-n / 2(1 / r-1 / q)-1 / 2}\left[C\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r}+C\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{3} N_{q}\right] . \tag{2.46}
\end{align*}
$$

So we complete the proof with $C\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{3}<1$.
Step 4. We prove that if $1<r<p<\infty, 1<r<3$, and $\mathbf{u}_{0} \in L^{r}(\Omega) \cap L^{3}(\Omega)$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{p} \leq C t^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / p)}, \quad \forall t>0 . \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 1 (let $p>3 / 2$ ). Since we proved for $1 / r-1 / p<1 / 3$ in Step 2, we can assume that $1 / 3 \leq 1 / r-1 / p$. One notes that we can rewrite solutions $\mathbf{u}(t)$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}(t)=S\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \mathbf{u}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)-\int_{t / 2}^{t} S(t-\tau) P[(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}] d \tau . \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $r>1$, we choose $l>3 / 2$ such that $1 / r-1 / l<1 / 3$ and $1 / l-1 / p<2 / 3$. Also, for any $1<r<p \leq \infty$ with $1<r<3$, we choose $s_{1}>3$ and $3 / 2<s_{2}<3$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s_{2}}<\frac{1}{3}, \quad \frac{1}{s_{1}}+\frac{1}{s_{2}}-\frac{1}{p}<\frac{2}{3} \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by Steps 1-3, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{p} & \leq C t^{-3 / 2(1 / l-1 / p)}\left\|\mathbf{u}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right\|_{l}+C \int_{t / 2}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-3 / 2(1 / s-1 / p)}\|(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}\|_{s} d \tau \\
& \leq C t^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / p)}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r}+C\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r} \int_{t / 2}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-3 / 2(1 / s-1 / p)} \tau^{-1 / 2-3 / 2\left(1 / r-1 / s_{2}\right)} \tau^{-1 / 2+3 / 2 s_{1}} d \tau \\
& \leq C t^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / p)}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r}, \quad \forall t>0 \tag{2.50}
\end{align*}
$$

Case 2 (let $1<p \leq 3 / 2$ ). By Step 1-3, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{p} & \leq C t^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / p)}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r}+C \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-3 / 2(1 / s-1 / p)}\|(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}\|_{S} d \tau \\
& \leq C t^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / p)}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r}+C\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r} \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-3 / 2(1 / s-1 / p)} \tau^{-3 / 2\left(1 / r-1 / s_{1}\right)} \tau^{-1 / 2} d \tau  \tag{2.51}\\
& \leq C t^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / p)}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r}, \quad \forall t>0
\end{align*}
$$

where $s_{1}>3 / 2,1 / r-1 / s_{1}<1 / 3,1 / s=1 / s_{1}+1 / 3$.
Step 5. We prove that if $1<r<q \leq 3$ and $\mathbf{u}_{0} \in L^{r}(\Omega) \cap L^{3}(\Omega)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{q} \leq C t^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / q)-1 / 2} \tag{2.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 1 (let $3 / 2<q \leq 3$ ). Since we proved $1 / r-1 / q<1 / 3$ in Step 3, we can assume that $1 / 3 \leq 1 / r-1 / q$. Now, we choose $l>3 / 2$ such that $1 / r-1 / l<1 / 3$ and $1 / l-1 / q<1 / 3$. We also can have $s_{1}>3$ and $3 / 2<s_{2}<3$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{s}=\frac{1}{s_{1}}+\frac{1}{s_{2}}, \quad \frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{s_{2}}<\frac{1}{3}, \quad \frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{q}<\frac{1}{3} \tag{2.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, by Step 1-4, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\nabla \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{q} \leq & C t^{-3 / 2(1 / l-1 / q)-1 / 2}\left\|\mathbf{u}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right\|_{l}+C \int_{t / 2}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-3 / 2(1 / s-1 / q)-1 / 2}\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{s_{1}}\|\nabla \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{s_{2}} d \tau \\
\leq & C t^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / q)-1 / 2}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r}+C\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r} \\
& \times \int_{t / 2}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-3 / 2(1 / s-1 / q)-1 / 2} \tau^{-1 / 2+3 / 2 s_{1}} \tau^{-3 / 2\left(1 / r-1 / s_{2}\right)-1 / 2} d \tau \\
\leq & C t^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / q)-1 / 2}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r} \tag{2.54}
\end{align*}
$$

Case 2 (Let $1<q \leq 3 / 2$ ). By Step 1-Step 3, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\nabla \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{q} & \leq C t^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / q)-1 / 2}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r}+C \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-3 / 2(1 / s-1 / q)-1 / 2}\|(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}\|_{S} d \tau \\
& \leq C t^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / q)-1 / 2}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r}+C\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r} \int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{-3 / 2(1 / s-1 / q)-1 / 2} \tau^{-3 / 2\left(1 / r-1 / s_{1}\right)} \tau^{-1 / 2} d \tau \\
& \leq C t^{-3 / 2(1 / r-1 / q)-1 / 2}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{0}\right\|_{r}, \quad \forall t>0 \tag{2.55}
\end{align*}
$$

where $s_{1}>3 / 2,1 / r-1 / s_{1}<1 / 3,1 / s=1 / s_{1}+1 / 3$, and $1 / s-1 / q<1 / 3$.
Therefore, by Step 1-5, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.
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