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#### Abstract

We have characterized a new type of core for double sequences, $P_{C}$-core, and determined the necessary and sufficient conditions on a four-dimensional matrix $A$ to yield $P_{C}$-core $\{A x\} \subseteq \alpha(P$ core $\{x\}$ ) for all $\ell_{2}^{\infty}$.


## 1. Introduction

A double sequence $x=\left[x_{j k}\right]_{j, k=0}^{\infty}$ is said to be convergent in the Pringsheim sense or $P$ convergent if for every $\epsilon>0$ there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left|x_{j k}-\ell\right|<\varepsilon$ whenever $j, k>N,[1]$. In this case, we write $P-\lim x=\ell$. By $c_{2}$, we mean the space of all $P$-convergent sequences.

A double sequence $x$ is bounded if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|=\sup _{j, k \geq 0}\left|x_{j k}\right|<\infty \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\ell_{\infty}^{2}$, we denote the space of all bounded double sequences.
Note that, in contrast to the case for single sequences, a convergent double sequence need not be bounded. So, we denote by $c_{2}^{\infty}$ the space of double sequences which are bounded and convergent.

A double sequence $x=\left[x_{j k}\right]$ is said to converge regularly if it converges in Pringsheim's sense and, in addition, the following finite limits exist:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{j k}=\ell_{j}, \quad(j=1,2,3, \ldots), \\
& \lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} x_{j k}=t_{j}, \quad(k=1,2,3, \ldots) . \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $A=\left[a_{j k}^{m n}\right]_{j, k=0}^{\infty}$ be a four-dimensional infinite matrix of real numbers for all $m, n=0,1, \ldots$. The sums

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{m n}=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{j k}^{m n} x_{j k} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

are called the $A$-transforms of the double sequence $x=\left[x_{j k}\right]$. We say that a sequence $x=\left[x_{j k}\right]$ is $A$-summable to the limit $\ell$ if the $A$-transform of $x=\left[x_{j k}\right]$ exists for all $m, n=0,1, \ldots$ and is convergent to $\ell$ in the Pringsheim sense, that is,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{p, q \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{p} \sum_{k=0}^{q} a_{j k}^{m n} x_{j k}=y_{m n}  \tag{1.4}\\
\lim _{m, n \rightarrow \infty} y_{m n}=\ell
\end{gather*}
$$

We say that a matrix $A$ is bounded-regular if every bounded-convergent sequence $x$ is $A$-summable to the same limit and the $A$-transforms are also bounded. The necessary and sufficient conditions for $A$ to be bounded-regular or RH-regular (cf., Robison [2]) are

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{m, n \rightarrow \infty} a_{j k}^{m n}=0, \quad(j, k=0,1, \ldots), \\
\lim _{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{j k}^{m n}=1, \\
\lim _{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{j k}^{m n}\right|=0, \quad(k=0,1, \ldots)  \tag{1.5}\\
\lim _{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{j k}^{m n}\right|=0, \quad(j=0,1, \ldots), \\
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{j k}^{m n}\right| \leq C<\infty \quad(m, n=0,1, \ldots) .
\end{gather*}
$$

A double sequence $x=\left[x_{j k}\right]$ is said to be almost convergent (see [3]) to a number $L$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{p, q \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{s, t \geq 0} \frac{1}{p q} \sum_{j=0}^{p} \sum_{k=0}^{q} x_{s+j, t+k}=L . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\sigma$ be a one-to-one mapping from $\mathbb{N}$ into itself. The almost convergence of double sequences has been generalized to the $\sigma$-convergence in [4] as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{p, q \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{s, t \geq 0} \frac{1}{p q} \sum_{j=0}^{p} \sum_{k=0}^{q} x_{\sigma^{j}(s), \sigma^{k}(t)}=\ell \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma^{j}(s)=\sigma\left(\sigma^{j-1}(s)\right)$. In this case, we write $\sigma-\lim x=\ell$. By $V_{\sigma}^{2}$, we denote the set of all $\sigma$-convergent and bounded double sequences. One can see that in contrast to the case for single sequences, a convergent double sequence need not be $\sigma$-convergent. But every bounded convergent double sequence is $\sigma$-convergent. So, $c_{2}^{\infty} \subset V_{\sigma}^{2} \subset \ell_{2}^{\infty}$. In the case $\sigma(i)=$ $i+1, \sigma$-convergence of double sequences reduces to the almost convergence. A matrix $A=$ [ $\left.a_{j k}^{m n}\right]_{j, k=0}^{\infty}$ is said to be $\sigma$-regular if $A x \in V_{2}^{\sigma}$ for $x=\left[x_{j k}\right] \in c_{2}^{\infty}$ with $\sigma-\lim A x=\lim x$, and we denote this by $A \in\left(c_{2}^{\infty}, V_{2}^{\sigma}\right)_{\text {reg }}$, (see $[5,6]$ ). Mursaleen and Mohiuddine defined and characterized $\sigma$-conservative and $\sigma$-coercive matrices for double sequences [6].

A double sequence $x=\left[x_{j k}\right]$ of real numbers is said to be Cesáro convergent (or $C_{1}-$ convergent) to a number $L$ if and only if $x \in C_{1}$, where

$$
\begin{gather*}
C_{1}=\left\{x \in \ell_{2}^{\infty}: \lim _{p, q \rightarrow \infty} T_{p q}(x)=L ; L=C_{1}-\lim x\right\}, \\
T_{p q}(x)=\frac{1}{(p+1)(q+1)} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \sum_{k=1}^{q} x_{j k}^{m n} . \tag{1.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

We shall denote by $C_{1}$ the space of Cesáro convergent ( $C_{1}$-convergent) double sequences.
A matrix $A=\left(a_{j k}^{m n}\right)$ is said to be $C_{1}$-multiplicative if $A x \in C_{1}$ for $x=\left[x_{j k}\right] \in c_{2}^{\infty}$ with $C_{1}-\lim A x=\alpha \lim x$, and in this case we write $A \in\left(c_{2}^{\infty}, C_{1}\right)_{\alpha}$. Note that if $\alpha=1$, then $C_{1}$-multiplicative matrices are said to be $C_{1}$-regular matrices.

Recall that the Knopp core (or K-core) of a real number single sequence $x=\left(x_{k}\right)$ is defined by the closed interval $[\ell(x), L(x)]$, where $\ell(x)=\liminf x$ and $L(x)=\lim \sup x$. The well-known Knopp core theorem states (cf., Maddox [7] and Knopp [8]) that in order that $L(A x) \leq L(x)$ for every bounded real sequence $x$, it is necessary and sufficient that $A=\left(a_{n k}\right)$ should be regular and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{n k}\right|=1$. Patterson [9] extended this idea for double sequences by defining the Pringsheim core (or P-core) of a real bounded double sequence $x=\left[x_{j k}\right]$ as the closed interval $[P-\lim \inf x, P-\lim \sup x]$. Some inequalities related to the these concepts have been studied in [5, 9, 10]. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& L^{*}(x)=\limsup _{p, q \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{s, t} \frac{1}{p q} \sum_{j=0}^{p} \sum_{k=0}^{q} x_{j+s, k+t,}  \tag{1.9}\\
& C_{\sigma}(x)=\limsup _{p, q \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{s, t} \frac{1}{p q} \sum_{j=0}^{p} \sum_{k=0}^{q} x_{\sigma^{j}(s), \sigma^{k}(t)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then, MR- (Moricz-Rhoades) and $\sigma$-core of a double sequence have been introduced by the closed intervals $\left[-L^{*}(-x), L^{*}(-x)\right]$ and $\left[-C_{\sigma}(-x), C_{\sigma}(x)\right]$, and also the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(A x) \leq L^{*}(x), L^{*}(A x) \leq L(x), L^{*}(A x) \leq L^{*}(x), L(A x) \leq C_{\sigma}(x), C_{\sigma}(A x) \leq L(x) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

have been studies in [3-5, 11].

In this paper, we introduce the concept of $C_{1}$-multiplicative matrices and determine the necessary and sufficient conditions for a matrix $A=\left(a_{j k}^{m n}\right)$ to belong to the class $\left(c_{2}^{\infty}, C_{1}\right)_{\alpha}$. Further we investigate the necessary and sufficient conditions for the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}^{*}(A x) \leq \alpha L(x) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \ell_{\infty}^{2}$.

## 2. Main Results

Let us write

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}^{*}(x)=\limsup _{p, q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(p+1)(q+1)} \sum_{j=0}^{p} \sum_{k=0}^{q} x_{j k} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we will define the $P_{C}$-core of a realvalued bounded double sequence $x=\left[x_{j k}\right]$ by the closed interval $\left[-C_{1}^{*}(-x), C_{1}^{*}(x)\right]$. Since every bounded convergent double sequence is Cesáro convergent, we have $C_{1}^{*}(x) \leq P-\lim \sup x$, and hence it follows that $P_{C}$-core $(x) \subseteq P$-core $(x)$ for a bounded double sequence $x=\left[x_{j k}\right]$.

Lemma 2.1. A matrix $A=\left(a_{j k}^{m n}\right)$ is $C_{1}$-multiplicative if and only if

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{p, q \rightarrow \infty} \beta(j, k, p, q)=0 \quad(j, k=0,1, \ldots),  \tag{2.2}\\
\lim _{p, q \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta(j, k, p, q)=\alpha,  \tag{2.3}\\
\lim _{p, q \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}|\beta(j, k, p, q)|=0 \quad(k=0,1, \ldots),  \tag{2.4}\\
\lim _{p, q \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|\beta(j, k, p, q)|=0 \quad(j=0,1, \ldots),  \tag{2.5}\\
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{j k}^{m n}\right| \leq C<\infty, \quad(m, n=0,1, \ldots), \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the $\lim$ means $P-\lim$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(j, k, p, q)=\frac{1}{(p+1)(q+1)} \sum_{j=0}^{p} \sum_{k=0}^{q} a_{j k}^{m n} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Sufficiency. Suppose that the conditions (2.2)-(2.6) hold and $x=\left[x_{j k}\right] \in c_{2}^{\infty}$ with $P$ $\lim _{j, k} x_{j k}=L$, say. So that for every $\epsilon>0$ there exists $N>0$ such that $\left|x_{j k}\right|<|\ell|+\epsilon$ whenever $j, k>N$.

Then, we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta(j, k, p, q) x_{j k}= & \sum_{j=0}^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \beta(j, k, p, q) x_{j k}+\sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \beta(j, k, p, q) x_{j k} \\
& +\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \beta(j, k, p, q) x_{j k}+\sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \beta(j, k, p, q) x_{j k} \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta(j, k, p, q) x_{j k}\right| \leq & \|x\| \sum_{j=0}^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N}|\beta(j, k, p, q)|+\|x\| \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\left|\beta(j, k, p, q) x_{j k}\right| \\
& +\|x\| \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty}|\beta(j, k, p, q)|  \tag{2.9}\\
& +(|L|+\epsilon)\left|\sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \beta(j, k, p, q)\right| .
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $p, q \rightarrow \infty$ and using the conditions (2.2)-(2.6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\lim _{p, q \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta(j, k, p, q) x_{j k}\right| \leq(|L|+\epsilon) \alpha \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\epsilon$ is arbitrary, $C_{1}-\lim A x=\alpha L$. Hence $A \in\left(c_{2}^{\infty}, C_{1}\right)_{\alpha}$, that is, $A$ is $C_{1}$-multiplicative.
Necessity 1. Suppose that $A$ is $C_{1}$-multiplicative. Then, by the definition, the A-transform of $x$ exists and $A x \in C_{1}$ for each $x \in c_{2}^{\infty}$. Therefore, $A x$ is also bounded. Then, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{m, n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{j k}^{m n} x_{j k}\right|<M<\infty, \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $x \in c_{2}^{\infty}$. Now, let us define a sequence $y=\left[y_{j k}\right]$ by

$$
y_{j k}= \begin{cases}\operatorname{sgn} a_{j k}^{m n}, & 0 \leq j \leq r, 0 \leq k \leq r  \tag{2.12}\\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

$m, n=0,1,2, \ldots$. Then, the necessity of (10) follows by considering the sequence $y=\left[y_{j k}\right]$ in (2.11).

Also, by the assumption, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{p, q \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta(j, k, p, q) x_{j k}=\alpha \lim _{j, k \rightarrow \infty} x_{j k} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let us define the sequence $e^{i l}$ as follows:

$$
e^{i l}= \begin{cases}1, & (j, k)=(i, l)  \tag{2.14}\\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and write $s^{l}=\sum_{i} e^{i l}(l \in \mathbb{N})$, $r^{i}=\sum_{l} e^{i l}(i \in \mathbb{N})$. Then, the necessity of (2.2), (2.4), and (2.5) follows from $C_{1}-\lim A e^{i l}, C_{1}-\lim A r^{j}$ and $C_{1}-\lim A s^{k}$, respectively.

Note that when $\alpha=1$, the above theorem gives the characterization of $A \in\left(c_{2}^{\infty}, C_{1}\right)_{\text {reg }}$. Now, we are ready to construct our main theorem.

Theorem 2.2. For every bounded double sequence $x$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}^{*}(A x) \leq \alpha L(x) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

or $\left(P_{C}-\operatorname{core}\{A x\} \subseteq \alpha(P-\operatorname{core}\{x\})\right)$ if and only if $A$ is $C_{1}$-multiplicative and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{p, q \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|\beta(j, k, p, q)|=\alpha \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Necessity. Let (2.15) hold and for all $x \in \ell_{\infty}^{2}$. So, since $c_{2}^{\infty} \subset \ell_{\infty}^{2}$, then, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(-L(-x)) \leq-C_{1}^{*}(-A x) \leq C_{1}^{*}(A x) \leq \alpha L(x) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \liminf x \leq-C_{1}^{*}(-A x) \leq C_{1}^{*}(A x) \leq \alpha \lim \sup x \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
-C_{1}^{*}(-A x)=\liminf _{p, q \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta(j, k, p, q) x_{j k} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

By choosing $x=\left[x_{j k}\right] \in c_{\infty}^{2}$, we get from (2.17) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-C_{1}^{*}(-A x)=C_{1}^{*}(A x)=C_{1}-\lim A x=\alpha \lim x . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that $A$ is $C_{1}$-multiplicative.

By Lemma 3.1 of Patterson [9], there exists a $y \in \ell_{\infty}^{2}$ with $\|y\| \leq 1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}^{*}(A y)=\limsup _{p, q \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta(j, k, p, q) . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we choose $y=v=\left[v_{j k}\right]$, it follows

$$
v_{j k}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } j=k  \tag{2.22}\\ 0, & \text { elsewhere }\end{cases}
$$

Since $\left\|v_{j k}\right\| \leq 1$, we have from (2.15) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=C_{1}^{*}(A v)=\lim _{p, q \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|\beta(j, k, p, q)| \leq \alpha L\left(v_{j k}\right) \leq \alpha\|v\| \leq \alpha . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives the necessity of (2.16).
Sufficiency 1. Suppose that $A$ is $C_{1}$-regular and (2.16) holds. Let $x=\left[x_{j k}\right]$ be an arbitrary bounded sequence. Then, there exist $M, N>0$ such that $x_{j k} \leq K$ for all $j, k \geq 0$. Now, we can write the following inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta(j, k, p, q) x_{j k}\right|= & \left\lvert\, \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{|\beta(j, k, p, q)|+\beta(j, k, p, q)}{2}\right.\right. \\
\leq & \left.-\frac{|\beta(j, k, p, q)|-\beta(j, k, p, q)}{2}\right) x_{j k} \mid \\
& +\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|\beta(j, k, p, q)|\left|x_{j k}\right| \\
\leq & \|x\| \sum_{j=0}^{M} \sum_{k=0}^{N}\left|\beta(|\beta(j, k, p, q)|-\beta(j, k, p, q)) x_{j k}\right| \\
& +\|x\| \sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{N}|\beta(j, k, p, q)| \\
& +\|x\| \sum_{j=0}^{M} \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty}|\beta(j, k, p, q)|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\sup _{j, k \geq M, N}\left|x_{j k}\right| \sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty}|\beta(j, k, p, q)| \\
& +\|x\| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(|\beta(j, k, p, q)|-\beta(j, k, p, q)) \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the condition of $C_{1}$-multiplicative and condition (2.16), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}^{*}(A x) \leq \alpha L(x) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.
Theorem 2.3. For $x, y \in \ell_{2}^{\infty}$, if $C_{1}-\lim |x-y|=0$, then $C_{1}-\operatorname{core}\{x\}=C_{1}-\operatorname{core}\{y\}$.
Proof. Since $C_{2}-\lim |x-y|=0$, we have $C_{1}-\lim (x-y)=0$ and $C_{1}-\lim (-(x-y))=0$. Using definition of $C_{1}$ - core, we take $C_{1}^{*}(x-y)=-C_{1}^{*}(-(x-y))=0$. Since $C_{1}^{*}$ is sublinear,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=-C_{1}^{*}(-(x-y)) \leq-C_{1}^{*}(-x)-C_{1}^{*}(y) \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $C_{1}^{*}(y) \leq-C_{1}^{*}(-x)$. Since $-C_{1}^{*}(-x) \leq C_{1}^{*}(x)$, this implies that $C_{1}^{*}(y) \leq C_{1}^{*}(x)$. By an argument similar as above, we can show that $C_{1}^{*}(x) \leq C_{1}^{*}(y)$. This completes the proof.
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