

Research Article

Differential Subordination Results for Certain Integrodifferential Operator and Its Applications

M. A. Kutbi¹ and A. A. Attiya^{2,3}

¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80203, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

² Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Mansoura, Mansoura 35516, Egypt

³ Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Hail, Hail, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence should be addressed to A. A. Attiya, aattiy@mans.edu.eg

Received 8 October 2012; Accepted 27 November 2012

Academic Editor: Josip E. Pecaric

Copyright © 2012 M. A. Kutbi and A. A. Attiya. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We introduce an integrodifferential operator $J_{s,b}(f)$ which plays an important role in the *Geometric Function Theory*. Some theorems in differential subordination for $J_{s,b}(f)$ are used. Applications in *Analytic Number Theory* are also obtained which give new results for Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function and Polylogarithmic function.

1. Introduction

Let A denote the class of functions $f(z)$ normalized by

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$.

Also, let μ denote the class of analytic functions in the form

$$r(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k. \quad (1.2)$$

We begin by recalling that a general Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function $\Phi(z, s, b)$ defined by (cf., e.g., [1, P. 121 et seq.]

$$\Phi(z, s, b) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{(k+b)^s}, \quad (1.3)$$

($b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-, \mathbb{Z}_0^- = \mathbb{Z}^- \cup \{0\} = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$, $s \in \mathbb{C}$ when $z \in \mathbb{U}$, $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ when $|z| = 1$)

which contains important functions of *Analytic Number Theory*, as the Polylogarithmic function:

$$Li_s(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k^s} = z\Phi(z, s, 1), \quad (1.4)$$

($s \in \mathbb{C}$ when $z \in \mathbb{U}$, $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ when $|z| = 1$).

Several properties of $\Phi(z, s, b)$ can be found in the recent papers, for example Choi et al. [2], Ferreira and López [3], Gupta et al. [4], and Luo and Srivastava [5]. See, also [6–16].

Recently, Srivastava and Attiya [8] introduced the operator $J_{s,b}(f)$ which makes a connection between *Geometric Function Theory* and *Analytic Number Theory*, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} J_{s,b}(f)(z) &= G_{s,b}(z) * f(z), \\ (z \in \mathbb{U}; f \in A; b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-; s \in \mathbb{C}), \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

where

$$G_{s,b}(z) = (1+b)^s [\Phi(z, s, b) - b^{-s}] \quad (1.6)$$

and $*$ denotes the Hadamard product (or convolution).

Furthermore, Srivastava and Attiya [8] showed that

$$J_{s,b}(f)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+b}{k+b} \right)^s a_k z^k. \quad (1.7)$$

As special cases of $J_{s,b}(f)$, Srivastava and Attiya [8] introduced the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{0,b}(f)(z) &= f(z), \\
 J_{1,0}(f)(z) &= \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{t} dt = A(f)(z), \\
 J_{1,1}(f)(z) &= \frac{2}{z} \int_0^z f(t) dt = \mathcal{L}(f)(z), \\
 J_{1,\gamma}(f)(z) &= \frac{1+\gamma}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z f(t)t^{\gamma-1} dt = \mathcal{L}_\gamma(f)(z) \quad (\gamma \text{ real}; \gamma > -1), \\
 J_{\sigma,1}(f)(z) &= \frac{2^\sigma}{z\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_0^z \left(\log\left(\frac{z}{t}\right)\right)^{\sigma-1} f(t) dt = I^\sigma(f)(z) \quad (\sigma \text{ real}; \sigma > 0),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1.8}$$

where, the operators $A(f)$ and $\mathcal{L}(f)$ are the integral operators introduced earlier by Alexander [17] and Libera [18], respectively, $\mathcal{L}_\gamma(f)$ is the generalized Bernardi operator, $\mathcal{L}_\gamma(f)$ ($\gamma \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$) introduced by Bernardi [19], and $I^\sigma(f)$ is the Jung-Kim-Srivastava integral operator introduced by Jung et al. [20].

Moreover, in [8], Srivastava and Attiya defined the operator $J_{s,b}(f)$ for $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}^-$, by using the following relationship:

$$J_{s,0}(f)(z) = \lim_{b \rightarrow 0} J_{s,b}(f)(z).
 \tag{1.9}$$

Some applications of the operator $J_{s,b}(f)$ to certain classes in *Geometric Function Theory* can be found in [21, 22].

In our investigations we need the following definitions and lemma.

Definition 1.1. Let $f(z)$ and $F(z)$ be analytic functions. The function $f(z)$ is said to be subordinate to $F(z)$, written $f(z) \prec F(z)$, if there exists a function $w(z)$ analytic in \mathbb{U} , with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| \leq 1$, and such that $f(z) = F(w(z))$. If $F(z)$ is univalent, then $f(z) \prec F(z)$ if and only if $f(0) = F(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{U}) \subset F(\mathbb{U})$.

Definition 1.2. Let $\Psi : \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be analytic in domain \mathbb{D} , and let $h(z)$ be univalent in \mathbb{U} . If $p(z)$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $(p(z), zp'(z)) \in \mathbb{D}$ when $z \in \mathbb{U}$, then we say that $p(z)$ satisfies a first order differential subordination if

$$\Psi(p(z), zp'(z); z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).
 \tag{1.10}$$

The univalent function $q(z)$ is called dominant of the differential subordination (1.10), if $p(z) \prec q(z)$ for all $p(z)$ satisfying (1.10), if $\tilde{q}(z) \prec q(z)$ for all dominant of (1.10), then we say that $\tilde{q}(z)$ is the best dominant of (1.10).

Lemma 1.3 (see [8]). *If $z \in \mathbb{U}$, $f \in A$, $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}^-$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$, then*

$$zJ'_{s+1,b}(f)(z) = (1+b)J_{s,b}(f)(z) - bJ_{s+1,b}(f)(z).
 \tag{1.11}$$

The purpose of the present paper is to extend the use of $J_{s,b}(f)$ as integrodifferential operator, and some theorems in differential subordination for $J_{s,b}(f)$ are used. Applications in *Analytic Number Theory* are also obtained which give new results for Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function and Polylogarithmic function.

2. Making Use of $J_{s,b}(f)$ as a Differential Operator

From the definition of $J_{s,b}(f)$ in (1.5) and using (1.7), we obtain the following identities.

For $z \in \mathbb{U}$, $f \in A$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}^-$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{-1,0}(f)(z) &= zf'(z), \\
 J_{-1,1}(f)(z) &= \frac{1}{2}\{f(z) + zf'(z)\}, \\
 J_{-1,1/(1-\lambda)}(f)(z) &= \lambda f(z) + (1-\lambda)zf'(z) \quad (\lambda \neq 1), \\
 J_{-n,0}(f)(z) &= D^n(f)(z), \\
 J_{-n,(1/\lambda)-1}(f)(z) &= D_\lambda^n(f)(z) \quad (\lambda \neq 0), \\
 J_{-n,\lambda}(f)(z) &= I_\lambda^n(f)(z) \quad (\lambda > -1), \\
 J_{-n,1}(f)(z) &= I_n(f)(z),
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where $D^n(f)$ is the Sălăgean differential operator which introduced by Sălăgean [23], $D_\lambda^n(f)$ is the generalized of operator, $D_\lambda^n(f)$ ($\lambda > 0$; real) introduced by Al-Oboudi [24], $I_\lambda^n(f)$ was studied by Cho and Srivastava [25] and by Cho and Kim [26], and the operator $I_n(f)$ was studied by Uralegaddi and Somanatha [27].

Also, we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{-n,0}(f)(z) &= Li_{-n}(z) * f(z) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0; f \in A), \\
 J_{-n,1}(f)(z) &= \frac{Li_{-n}(z)}{z} * f(z) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0; f \in A),
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where $Li_s(z)$ is the Polylogarithmic function defined by (1.4).

Now, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *If $z \in \mathbb{U}$, $f \in A$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}^-$, then*

$$J_{-n,b}(f)(z) = \frac{1}{(1+b)^n} (zD+b)^n f(z) \left(D := \frac{d}{dz} \right), \tag{2.3}$$

where $(zD + b)^n = (zD + b) \circ (zD + b) \circ \dots \circ (zD + b)$ to n -times, and \circ denotes the composition $(I \circ J)(f)(z) = I(J(f(z)))$.

Proof. Putting $s = -n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}_0$) in (1.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + b)(J_{-n,b})(f)(z) &= \left[z \frac{d}{dz} J_{-n+1,b}(f)(z) + b J_{-n+1,b}(f)(z) \right] \\ &= (zD + b)J_{-n+1,b}(f)(z) \quad \left(D := \frac{d}{dz} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

therefore,

$$J_{-n,b}(f)(z) = \frac{1}{(1 + b)}(zD + b)J_{-n+1,b}(f)(z). \tag{2.5}$$

Noting that the relation (2.5) is a recurrence relation, by using mathematical induction, we get (2.3), which completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Putting $f(z) = f_0(z) = z/(1 - z)$ in Lemma 2.1, we obtain the following properties for both Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function $\Phi(z, s, b)$ and Polylogarithmic function $Li_s(z)$.

Corollary 2.2. *Let $\Phi(z, s, b)$ and $Li_s(z)$ be the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function and Polylogarithmic function defined by (1.3) and (1.4), respectively, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(z, -n, b) &= b^n + \left(z \frac{d}{dz} + b \right)^n \left(\frac{z}{1 - z} \right) \quad (|z| < 1), \\ Li_{-n}(z) &= z \left\{ 1 + \left(z \frac{d}{dz} + 1 \right)^n \left(\frac{z}{1 - z} \right) \right\} \quad (|z| < 1), \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Example 2.3. Using Corollary 2.2, we have the following well known results for $z(z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < 1)$.

- (i) $\Phi(z, 0, b) = 1/(1 - z)$.
- (ii) $\Phi(z, -1, b) = b + ((1 + b)z - bz^2)/(1 - z)^2$.
- (iii) $\Phi(z, -2, b) = b^2 + ((1 + b)^2z + (1 - 2b - 2b^2)z^2 + b^2z^3)/(1 - z)^3$.
- (iv) $Li_0(z) = z/(1 - z)$.
- (v) $Li_{-1}(z) = z/(1 - z)^2$.
- (vi) $Li_{-2}(z) = z(1 + z)/(1 - z)^3$.

3. Applications of Differential Subordination for $J_{s,b}(f)$

To prove our results, we need the following lemmas due to Hallenbeck and Ruscheweyh [28] and Miller and Mocanu [29], respectively, see also Miller and Mocanu [30].

Lemma 3.1. Let $h(z)$ be convex univalent in \mathbb{U} , with $h(0) = 1$, $\gamma \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\gamma) \geq 0$. If $q(z) \in \mu$ and

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{\gamma} < h(z), \quad (3.1)$$

then

$$q(z) < S(z) < h(z), \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$S(z) = \frac{\gamma}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z h(t)t^{\gamma-1} dt. \quad (3.3)$$

The function $S(z)$ is convex univalent and is the best dominant.

Lemma 3.2. Let $\lambda > 0$, and let $\beta_0 = \beta_0(\lambda)$ be the root of the equation as follows:

$$\beta\pi = \frac{3\pi}{2} - \tan^{-1}(\lambda\beta). \quad (3.4)$$

In addition, let $\alpha = \alpha(\beta, \lambda) = \beta + (2/\pi)\tan^{-1}(\lambda\pi)$, for $0 < \beta \leq \beta_0$.
If $p(z) \in \mu$ and

$$p(z) + \lambda zp'(z) < \left[\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right]^\alpha \quad (3.5)$$

then

$$p(z) < \left[\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right]^\beta. \quad (3.6)$$

Now, we define the function $L(f)(z) := L_{(s,b,\lambda)}(f)(z)$ as the following:

$$L(f)(z) = (1 - \lambda - \lambda b)J_{s,b}(f)(z) + \lambda(1 + b)J_{s-1,b}(f)(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (3.7)$$

$$(z \in \mathbb{U}; f \in A; b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}^-; \{s, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}; \lambda \neq 0; \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0\}).$$

Theorem 3.3. Let the function $L(f)(z)$ defined by (3.7) and for some $\alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1)$. If

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{L(f)(z)}{z} \right\} > \alpha, \quad (3.8)$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{J_{s,b}(f)(z)}{z} \right\} > (2\alpha - 1) + 2(1 - \alpha) {}_2F_1 \left(1, \frac{1}{\lambda}; \frac{1}{\lambda} + 1, -1 \right). \quad (3.9)$$

The constant $(2\alpha - 1) + 2(1 - \alpha) {}_2F_1(1, 1/\lambda; (1/\lambda) + 1, -1)$ is the best estimate.

Proof. Defining the function $q(z) = J_{s,b}(f)(z)/z$, then we have $q(z) \in \mu$.

If we take $\gamma = 1/\lambda$, and the convex univalent function $h(z)$ defined by

$$h(z) = \frac{1 + (2\alpha - 1)z}{1 + z}, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1, \quad (3.10)$$

then, we have

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{\gamma} = (1 - \lambda) \frac{J_{s,b}(f)(z)}{z} + \lambda J'_{s,b}(f)(z). \quad (3.11)$$

Using Lemma 1.3 and (3.7), therefore (3.11) can be written as

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{\gamma} = \frac{L(f)(z)}{z}, \quad (3.12)$$

then,

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{\gamma} < h(z), \quad (3.13)$$

where $h(z)$ is defined by (3.10) satisfying $h(0) = 1$.

Applying Lemma 3.1, we obtain that $J_{s,b}(f)(z)/z < S(z)$, where the convex univalent function $S(z)$ defined by

$$S(z) = \frac{1}{\lambda z^{1/\lambda}} \int_0^z \frac{1 + (2\alpha - 1)t}{1 + t} t^{((1/\lambda)-1)} dt. \quad (3.14)$$

Since $\operatorname{Re}\{h(z)\} > 0$ and $S(z) < h(z)$, we have $\operatorname{Re}\{S(z)\} > 0$.

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \operatorname{Re}\{S(z)\} &= S(1) = (2\alpha - 1) + \frac{2}{\lambda} (1 - \alpha) \int_0^1 \frac{u^{((1/\lambda)-1)}}{1 + u} du \\ &= (2\alpha - 1) + 2(1 - \alpha) \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{1 + t^\lambda} \\ &= (2\alpha - 1) + 2(1 - \alpha) {}_2F_1 \left(1, \frac{1}{\lambda}; \frac{1}{\lambda} + 1, -1 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Hence, the constant $(2\alpha - 1) + 2(1 - \alpha) {}_2F_1(1, 1/\lambda; (1/\lambda) + 1, -1)$ cannot be replaced by any larger one.

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.3. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let the function $L(f)(z)$ with $\lambda > 0$; real, defined by (3.7), and let β_0 satisfy the following equation:*

$$\beta_0\pi + \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\beta_0}{2}\right) = \frac{3\pi}{2}. \quad (3.16)$$

If

$$\frac{L(f)(z)}{z} < \left[\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right]^{\beta+(2/\pi)\tan^{-1}(\lambda\beta)}, \quad (3.17)$$

then

$$\frac{J_{s,b}(f)(z)}{z} < \left[\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right]^\beta \quad (0 < \beta \leq \beta_0). \quad (3.18)$$

Proof. Defining the function $p(z) = J_{s,b}(f)(z)/z \in \mu$, then we have

$$p(z) + \lambda zp'(z) = (1 - \lambda) \frac{J_{s,b}(f)(z)}{z} + \lambda J'_{s,b}(f)(z). \quad (3.19)$$

Using Lemma 1.3 and (3.7), therefore (3.11) can be written as

$$p(z) + \lambda zp'(z) = \frac{L(f)(z)}{z}. \quad (3.20)$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.4 after applying Lemma 3.2 \square

4. Applications in Analytic Number Theory

Putting $f(z) = f_0(z) = z/(1 - z)$ in Theorem 3.3, then we have the following property of Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function.

Corollary 4.1. *Let the function $G_{s,b}(z)$ defined by (1.6). If*

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{(1 - \lambda - \lambda b)G_{s,b}(z) + \lambda(1 + b)G_{s-1,b}(z)}{z}\right\} > \alpha, \quad (4.1)$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{G_{s,b}(z)}{z}\right\} > (2\alpha - 1) + 2(1 - \alpha) {}_2F_1\left(1, \frac{1}{\lambda}; \frac{1}{\lambda} + 1, -1\right), \quad (4.2)$$

where $z \in \mathbb{U}$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}^-$ and $\{s, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}; \lambda \neq 0; \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0\}$.

The constant $(2\alpha - 1) + 2(1 - \alpha) {}_2F_1(1, 1/\lambda; (1/\lambda) + 1, -1)$ is the best estimate.

Putting $f(z) = f_0(z) = z/(1 - z)$ in Theorem 3.4, then we have another property of Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function.

Corollary 4.2. *Let the function $G_{s,b}(z)$ defined by (1.6), and let β_0 satisfy the following equation:*

$$\beta_0\pi + \tan^{-1}(\lambda \beta_0) = \frac{3\pi}{2}. \tag{4.3}$$

If

$$\frac{(1 - \lambda - \lambda b)G_{s,b}(z) + \lambda(1 + b)G_{s-1,b}(z)}{z} < \left[\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right]^{\beta+(2/\pi)\tan^{-1}(\lambda\beta)}, \tag{4.4}$$

then

$$\frac{G_{s,b}(z)}{z} < \left[\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right]^\beta \quad (0 < \beta \leq \beta_0), \tag{4.5}$$

where $z \in \mathbb{U}$, $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}^-$, $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda > 0$; real.

Putting $f(z) = f_0(z) = z/(1 - z)$ and $b = 1$ in Theorem 3.3, then we have the following property of Polylogarithmic function.

Corollary 4.3. *Let the function $H_s(z)$ defined by*

$$H_s(z) = 2^s \left[\frac{Li_s(z)}{z} - 1 \right]. \tag{4.6}$$

If

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1 - 2\lambda)H_s(z) + 2\lambda H_{s-1}(z)}{z} \right\} > \alpha, \tag{4.7}$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{H_s(z)}{z} \right\} > (2\alpha - 1) + 2(1 - \alpha) {}_2F_1\left(1, \frac{1}{\lambda}; \frac{1}{\lambda} + 1, -1\right), \tag{4.8}$$

where $z \in \mathbb{U}$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $\{s, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}; \lambda \neq 0; \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0\}$.

The constant $(2\alpha - 1) + 2(1 - \alpha) {}_2F_1(1, 1/\lambda; (1/\lambda) + 1, -1)$ is the best estimate.

Putting $f(z) = f_0(z) = z/(1 - z)$ and $b = 1$ in Theorem 3.4, then we have the following property of Polylogarithmic function.

Corollary 4.4. Let the functions $G_{s,b}(z)$ and $H_s(z)$ defined by (1.6) and (4.6), respectively, and let β_0 satisfy the following:

$$\beta_0\pi + \tan^{-1}(\lambda\beta_0) = \frac{3\pi}{2}. \quad (4.9)$$

If

$$\frac{(1-2\lambda)H_s(z) + 2\lambda H_{s-1}(z)}{z} < \left[\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right]^{\beta+(2/\pi)\tan^{-1}(\lambda\beta)}, \quad (4.10)$$

then

$$\frac{G_{s,b}(z)}{z} < \left[\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right]^\beta \quad (0 < \beta \leq \beta_0), \quad (4.11)$$

where $z \in \mathbb{U}$, $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda > 0$; real.

Setting $f(z) = f_0(z) = z/(1-z)$, $b = 1$ and $\lambda = 1/2$ in Theorem 3.3, then we have the following property of Polylogarithmic function.

Corollary 4.5. Let the function $H_s(z)$ defined by (4.6).

If

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{H_{s-1}(z)}{z} \right\} > \alpha, \quad (4.12)$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{H_s(z)}{z} \right\} > 2(2\ln 2 - 1)\alpha + (3 - 4\ln 2), \quad (4.13)$$

where $z \in \mathbb{U}$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

The constant $2(2\ln 2 - 1)\alpha + (3 - 4\ln 2)$ is the best estimate.

Taking $f(z) = f_0(z) = z/(1-z)$, $b = 1$ and $\lambda = 1/2$ in Theorem 3.4, then we have the following property of polylogarithmic function.

Corollary 4.6. Let the function $H_s(z)$ defined by (4.6).

If

$$\frac{H_{s-1}(z)}{z} < \left[\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right]^{\beta+(2/\pi)\tan^{-1}(\beta)}, \quad (4.14)$$

then

$$\frac{H_s(z)}{z} < \left[\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right]^\beta \quad (0 < \beta \leq 1.3148754023\dots), \quad (4.15)$$

where $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

Corollary 4.7. *Let the function $H_s(z)$ defined by (4.6) as follows:*

If

$$\frac{H_{s-1}(z)}{z} < \left[\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right]^{3/2}, \quad (4.16)$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{H_{s+n}(z)}{z} \right\} > 1 - (4 \ln 2 - 2)^n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0), \quad (4.17)$$

where $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Let $H_{s-1}(z)$ satisfy the condition (4.16). Also, putting $f(z) = f_0(z) = z/(1-z)$, $b = 1$, $\lambda = 1/2$ and $\beta = 1$ in Theorem 3.4.

Using (4.16), then we have

$$\frac{H_s(z)}{z} < \left[\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right], \quad (4.18)$$

therefore

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{H_s(z)}{z} \right\} > 0. \quad (4.19)$$

Corollary 4.5, gives

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{H_{s+1}(z)}{z} \right\} > 3 - 4 \ln 2. \quad (4.20)$$

Applied (4.11) again and to n -times, which gives (4.17). This completes the proof of Corollary 4.7. \square

Finally, we can put Corollary 4.7 in the following form.

Corollary 4.8. *Let the function $H_s(z)$ defined by (4.6).*

If

$$\left| \operatorname{Arg} \left(\frac{H_{s-1}(z)}{z} \right) \right| < \frac{3\pi}{4}, \quad (4.21)$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{H_{s+n}(z)}{z} \right\} > 1 - (4 \ln 2 - 2)^n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0), \quad (4.22)$$

where $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

Acknowledgments

This research was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR), King Abdul-Aziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, under Grant no. 103-130-D1432. The authors, therefore, acknowledge with thanks DSR technical and financial support.

References

- [1] H. M. Srivastava and J. Choi, *Series Associated with the Zeta and Related Functions*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2001.
- [2] J. Choi, D. S. Jang, and H. M. Srivastava, "A generalization of the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function," *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, vol. 19, no. 1-2, pp. 65–79, 2008.
- [3] C. Ferreira and J. L. López, "Asymptotic expansions of the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 298, no. 1, pp. 210–224, 2004.
- [4] P. L. Gupta, R. C. Gupta, S.-H. Ong, and H. M. Srivastava, "A class of Hurwitz-Lerch zeta distributions and their applications in reliability," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 196, no. 2, pp. 521–531, 2008.
- [5] Q.-M. Luo and H. M. Srivastava, "Some generalizations of the Apostol-Bernoulli and Apostol-Euler polynomials," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 308, no. 1, pp. 290–302, 2005.
- [6] M. A. Kutbi and A. A. Attiya, "Differential subordination result with the Srivastava-Attiya integral operator," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2010, Article ID 618523, 10 pages, 2010.
- [7] G. Murugusundaramoorthy, "Subordination results for spiral-like functions associated with the Srivastava-Attiya operator," *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 97–103, 2012.
- [8] H. M. Srivastava and A. A. Attiya, "An integral operator associated with the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function and differential subordination," *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, vol. 18, no. 3-4, pp. 207–216, 2007.
- [9] S. Owa and A. A. Attiya, "An application of differential subordinations to the class of certain analytic functions," *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 13, no. 2A, pp. 369–375, 2009.
- [10] N. E. Cho, I. H. Kim, and H. M. Srivastava, "Sandwich-type theorems for multivalent functions associated with the Srivastava-Attiya operator," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 217, no. 2, pp. 918–928, 2010.
- [11] E. A. Elrifai, H. E. Darwish, and A. R. Ahmed, "Some applications of Srivastava-Attiya operator to p -valent starlike functions," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2010, Article ID 790730, 11 pages, 2010.
- [12] J.-L. Liu, "Sufficient conditions for strongly star-like functions involving the generalized Srivastava-Attiya operator," *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 79–90, 2011.
- [13] M. H. Mohd and M. Darus, "Differential subordination and superordination for Srivastava-Attiya operator," *International Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 2011, Article ID 902830, 19 pages, 2011.
- [14] K. I. Noor and S. Z. H. Bukhari, "Some subclasses of analytic and spiral-like functions of complex order involving the Srivastava-Attiya integral operator," *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, vol. 21, no. 12, pp. 907–916, 2010.
- [15] S.-M. Yuan and Z.-M. Liu, "Some properties of two subclasses of k -fold symmetric functions associated with Srivastava-Attiya operator," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 218, no. 3, pp. 1136–1141, 2011.
- [16] Z.-G. Wang, Z.-H. Liu, and Y. Sun, "Some properties of the generalized Srivastava-Attiya operator," *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 223–236, 2012.
- [17] J. W. Alexander, "Functions which map the interior of the unit circle upon simple regions," *Annals of Mathematics*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 12–22, 1915.
- [18] R. J. Libera, "Some classes of regular univalent functions," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 16, pp. 755–758, 1965.
- [19] S. D. Bernardi, "Convex and starlike univalent functions," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 135, pp. 429–446, 1969.
- [20] I. B. Jung, Y. C. Kim, and H. M. Srivastava, "The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain one-parameter families of integral operators," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 176, no. 1, pp. 138–147, 1993.

- [21] J.-L. Liu, "Subordinations for certain multivalent analytic functions associated with the generalized Srivastava-Attiya operator," *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, vol. 19, no. 11-12, pp. 893–901, 2008.
- [22] J. K. Prajapat and S. P. Goyal, "Applications of Srivastava-Attiya operator to the classes of strongly starlike and strongly convex functions," *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 129–137, 2009.
- [23] G. Sălăgean, "Subclasses of univalent functions," in *Complex Analysis*, vol. 1013 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pp. 362–372, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1983, Proceedings of the 5h Romanian-Finnish Seminar, Part 1, Bucharest, Romania, 1981.
- [24] F. M. Al-Oboudi, "On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, no. 25–28, pp. 1429–1436, 2004.
- [25] N. E. Cho and H. M. Srivastava, "Argument estimates of certain analytic functions defined by a class of multiplier transformations," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 37, no. 1-2, pp. 39–49, 2003.
- [26] N. E. Cho and T. H. Kim, "Multiplier transformations and strongly close-to-convex functions," *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 399–410, 2003.
- [27] B. A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha, "Certain classes of univalent functions," in *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, pp. 371–374, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, USA, 1992.
- [28] D. J. Hallenbeck and S. Ruscheweyh, "Subordination by convex functions," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 52, pp. 191–195, 1975.
- [29] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, "Marx-Strohhäcker differential subordination systems," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 99, no. 3, pp. 527–534, 1987.
- [30] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications*, vol. 225 of *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, USA, 2000.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

