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In 2009, Kim et al. gave some identities of symmetry for the twisted Euler polynomials of higherorder, recently. In this paper, we extend our result to the higher-order twisted $q$-Euler numbers and polynomials. The purpose of this paper is to establish various identities concerning higherorder twisted $q$-Euler numbers and polynomials by the properties of $p$-adic invariant integral on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Especially, if $q=1$, we derive the result of Kim et al. (2009).

## 1. Introduction

Let $p$ be a fixed odd prime number. Throughout this paper, the symbols $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_{p}, \mathbb{Q}_{p}, \mathbb{C}$, and $\mathbb{C}_{p}$ will denote the ring of rational integers, the ring of $p$-adic integers, the field of $p$-adic rational numbers, the complex number field, and the completion of the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, respectively. Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of natural numbers and $\mathbb{Z}_{+}=\mathbb{N} \bigcup\{0\}$. Let $v_{p}$ be the normalized exponential valuation of $\mathbb{C}_{p}$ with $|p|_{p}=p^{-v_{p}(p)}=1 / p$.

When one talks of $q$-extension, $q$ is variously considered as an indeterminate, a complex $q \in \mathbb{C}$, or a $p$-adic number $q \in \mathbb{C}_{p}$. If $q \in \mathbb{C}$, one normally assumes that $|q|<1$. If $q \in \mathbb{C}_{p}$, then we assume that $|q-1|_{p}<p^{-1 /(p-1)}$ so that $q^{x}=\exp (x \log q)$ for each $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. We use the following notation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x]_{q}=\frac{1-q^{x}}{1-q}, \quad[x]_{-q}=\frac{1-(-q)^{x}}{1+q} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a fixed positive integer $d$ with $(p, d)=1$, set

$$
\begin{gather*}
X=X_{d}=\frac{\lim _{\overleftarrow{n}} \mathbb{Z}}{d p^{n} \mathbb{Z}}, \quad X_{1}=\mathbb{Z}_{p} \\
X^{*}=\bigcup_{\substack{0<a<d p,(a, p)=1}}\left(a+d p \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right),  \tag{1.2}\\
a+d p^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{p}=\left\{x \in X \mid x \equiv a\left(\bmod d p^{n}\right)\right\},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies the condition $0 \leq a<d p^{n}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{q}\left(a+d p^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)=\frac{q^{a}}{\left[d p^{n}\right]_{q}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [1-13]) is known to be a distribution on $X$.
We say that $f$ is a uniformly differentiable function at $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and denote this property by $f \in U D\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ if the difference quotients

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{f}(x, y)=\frac{f(x)-f(y)}{x-y} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

have a limit $f^{\prime}(a)$ as $(x, y) \rightarrow(a, a)$.
For $f \in U D\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$, the fermionic $p$-adic invariant $q$-integral on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{-q}(f)=\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} f(x) d \mu_{-q}(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left[p^{n}\right]_{-q}} \sum_{x=0}^{p^{n}-1} f(x)(-q)^{x} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [14]). Let us define the fermionic $p$-adic invariant integral on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{-1}(f)=\lim _{q \rightarrow 1} I_{-q}(f)=\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} f(x) d \mu_{-1}(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{x=0}^{p^{n}-1} f(x)(-1)^{x} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see $[1-12,14-20]$ ). From the definition of $q$-integral, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{-1}\left(f_{1}\right)+I_{-1}(f)=2 f(0), \quad \text { where } f_{1}(x)=f(x+1) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $T_{p}$ be the $p$-adic locally constant space defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{p}=\bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{C}_{p^{n}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{C}_{p^{n}}=\mathbb{C}_{p^{\infty}} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{C}_{p^{n}}=\left\{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}_{p} \mid \zeta^{p^{n}}=1\right.$ for some $\left.n \geq 0\right\}$ is the cyclic group of order $p^{n}$.
It is well known that the twisted $q$-Euler polynomials of order $k$ are defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{x t}\left(\frac{2}{e^{t} \zeta q+1}\right)^{k}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n, \zeta, q}^{(k)}(x) \frac{t^{n}}{n!}, \quad \zeta \in T_{p} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $E_{n, \zeta, q}^{(k)}=E_{n, \zeta, q}^{(k)}(0)$ are called the twisted $q$-Euler numbers of order $k$. When $k=1$, the polynomials and numbers are called the twisted $q$-Euler polynomials and numbers, respectively. When $k=1$ and $q=1$, the polynomials and numbers are called the twisted Euler polynomials and numbers, respectively. When $k=1, q=1$, and $\zeta=1$, the polynomials and numbers are called the ordinary Euler polynomials and numbers, respectively.

In [15], Kim et al. gave some identities of symmetry for the twisted Euler polynomials of higher order, recently. In this paper, we extend our result to the higher-order twisted $q$-Euler numbers and polynomials.

The purpose of this paper is to establish various identities concerning higher-order twisted $q$-Euler numbers and polynomials by the properties of $p$-adic invariant integral on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Especially, if $q=1$, we derive the result of [15].

## 2. Some Identities of the Higher-Order Twisted $q$-Euler Numbers and Polynomials

Let $w_{1}, w_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ with $w_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ and $w_{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$.
For $\zeta \in T_{p}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{q}^{(m)}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}: \zeta\right)= & \frac{\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{m}} e^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}+w_{2} x\right) w_{1} t} \zeta^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}\right) w_{1}} q^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}\right) w_{1}} d \mu_{-1}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots d \mu_{-1}\left(x_{m}\right)}{\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} e^{w_{1} w_{2} x t} \zeta^{w_{1} w_{2} x} q^{w_{1} w_{2} x} d \mu_{-1}(x)}  \tag{2.1}\\
& \times \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{m}} e^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}+w_{1} y\right) w_{2} t} \zeta^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}\right) w_{2}} q^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}\right) w_{2}} d \mu_{-1}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots d \mu_{-1}\left(x_{m}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where

In (2.1), we note that $R_{q}^{(m)}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}: \zeta\right)$ is symmetric in $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$.

From (2.1), we derive that

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{q}^{(m)}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}: \zeta\right)= & e^{w_{1} w_{2} x t} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{m}} e^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}\right) w_{1} t} \zeta^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}\right) w_{1}} q^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}\right) w_{1}} d \mu_{-1}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots d \mu_{-1}\left(x_{m}\right) \\
& \times \frac{\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} e^{w_{2} x_{m} t} \zeta^{w_{2} x_{m}} q^{w_{2} x_{m}} d \mu_{-1}\left(x_{m}\right)}{\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} e^{w_{1} w_{2} x t} \zeta^{w_{1} w_{2} x} q^{w_{1} w_{2} x} d \mu_{-1}(x)} \\
& \times e^{w_{1} w_{2} y t} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}{ }^{m-1}} e^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x_{i}\right) w_{2} t} \zeta^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x_{i}\right) w_{2}} q^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x_{i}\right) w_{2}} d \mu_{-1}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots d \mu_{-1}\left(x_{m-1}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

From the definition of $q$-integral, we also see that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^{m}}} e^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}\right) w_{1} t} \zeta^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}\right) w_{1}} q^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}\right) w_{1}} d \mu_{-1}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots d \mu_{-1}\left(x_{m}\right) e^{w_{1} w_{2} x t} \\
=\left(\frac{2}{e^{w_{1} t} \zeta^{w_{1}} q^{w_{1}}+1}\right)^{m} e^{w_{1} w_{2} x t}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n, \zeta^{w_{1}}, q^{w_{1}}}^{(m)}\left(w_{2} x\right) \frac{w_{1}^{n} t^{n}}{n!} \tag{2.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} e^{x t} \zeta^{x} q^{x} d \mu(x)}{\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}} e^{w_{1} x t} \zeta^{w_{1} x} q^{w_{1} x} d \mu(x)}=\sum_{l=0}^{w_{1}-1}(-1)^{l} \zeta^{l} q^{l} e^{l t}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T_{k, q}\left(w_{1}-1: \zeta\right) \frac{t^{k}}{k!} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{k, q}\left(w_{1}-1: \zeta\right)=\sum_{l=0}^{w_{1}-1}(-1)^{l} \zeta^{l} q^{l} l^{k}$.
From (2.3), (2.4), and (2.5), we can derive

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{q}^{(m)}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}: \zeta\right) \\
& =\left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} E_{l, \zeta^{w_{1}}, q^{w_{1}}}^{(m)}\left(w_{2} x\right) \frac{w_{1}^{l} t^{l}}{l!}\right)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T_{k, q^{w_{2}}}\left(w_{1}-1: \zeta^{w_{2}}\right) \frac{w_{2}^{k} t^{k}}{k!}\right)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} E_{i, \zeta \zeta^{w_{2}}, q^{w_{2}}}^{(m-1)}\left(w_{1} y\right) \frac{w_{2}^{i} t^{i}}{i!}\right) \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j} w_{2}^{j} w_{1}^{n-j} E_{n-j, \zeta^{w_{1}}, q^{w_{1}}}^{(m)}\left(w_{2} x\right) \sum_{k=0}^{j} T_{k, q^{w_{2}}}\left(w_{1}-1: \zeta^{w_{2}}\right)\binom{j}{k} E_{j-k, \zeta \zeta^{w_{2}}, q^{w_{2}}}^{(m-1)}\left(w_{1} y\right)\right\} \frac{t^{n}}{n!} . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

From the symmetry of $R_{q}^{(m)}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}: \zeta\right)$ in $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$, we also see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{q}^{(m)}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}: \zeta\right) \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j} w_{1}^{j} w_{2}^{n-j} E_{n-j, \zeta^{w_{2}}, q^{w_{2}}}^{(m)}\left(w_{1} x\right) \sum_{k=0}^{j} T_{k, q^{w_{1}}}\left(w_{2}-1: \zeta^{w_{1}}\right)\binom{j}{k} E_{j-k, \zeta^{w_{1}}, q^{w_{1}}}^{(m-1)}\left(w_{2} y\right)\right\} \frac{t^{n}}{n!} . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing the coefficients on the both sides of (2.6) and (2.7), we obtain an identity for the twisted $q$-Euler polynomials of higher order as follows.

Theorem 2.1. Let $w_{1}, w_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ with $w_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ and $w_{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$.
For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j} w_{2}^{j} w_{1}^{n-j} E_{n-j, \xi^{w_{1}}, q^{w_{1}}}^{(m)}\left(w_{2} x\right) \sum_{k=0}^{j} T_{k, q^{w_{2}}}\left(w_{1}-1: \zeta^{w_{2}}\right)\binom{j}{k} E_{j-k, \xi^{w_{2}}, q^{w_{2}}}^{(m-1)}\left(w_{1} y\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j} w_{1}^{j} w_{2}^{n-j} E_{n-j, \xi^{w_{2}}, q^{w_{2}}}^{(m)}\left(w_{1} x\right) \sum_{k=0}^{j} T_{k, q^{w_{1}}}\left(w_{2}-1: \zeta^{w_{1}}\right)\binom{j}{k} E_{j-k, \xi^{w_{1}, q^{w_{1}}}(m-1)}^{\left(w_{2} y\right) .} \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 2.2. Taking $m=1$ and $y=0$ in Theorem 2.1, we can derive the following identity:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j} w_{2}^{j} w_{1}^{n-j} E_{n-j, j \zeta^{w_{1}}, q^{w_{1}}}\left(w_{2} x\right) \sum_{k=0}^{j} T_{k, q^{w_{2}}}\left(w_{1}-1: \zeta^{w_{2}}\right)\binom{j}{k}  \tag{2.9}\\
& \quad=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j} w_{1}^{j} w_{2}^{n-j} E_{n-j, \zeta^{w_{2}}, q^{w_{2}}}\left(w_{1} x\right) \sum_{k=0}^{j} T_{k, q^{w_{1}}}\left(w_{2}-1: \zeta^{w_{1}}\right)\binom{j}{k} .
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, if we take $x=0$ and $y=0$ in Theorem 2.1, then we have the following identity for the twisted $q$-Euler numbers of higher order.

Corollary 2.3. Let $w_{1}, w_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ with $w_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ and $w_{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j} w_{2}^{j} w_{1}^{n-j} E_{n-j, \xi^{w_{1}}, q^{w_{1}}}^{(m)} \sum_{k=0}^{j} T_{k, q^{w_{2}}}\left(w_{1}-1: \zeta^{w_{2}}\right)\binom{j}{k} E_{j-k, \xi^{w_{2}}, q^{w_{2}}}^{(m-1)} \\
& \quad=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j} w_{1}^{j} w_{2}^{n-j} E_{n-j, \zeta^{w_{2}}, q^{w_{2}}}^{(m)} \sum_{k=0}^{j} T_{k, q^{w_{1}}}\left(w_{2}-1: \zeta^{w_{1}}\right)\binom{j}{k} E_{j-k, \zeta^{w_{1}}, q^{w_{1}}}^{(m-1} . \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

We also note that taking $m=1$ in Corollary 1 shows the following identity:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j} w_{2}^{j} w_{1}^{n-j} E_{n-j, \zeta^{w_{1}}, q^{w_{1}}} \sum_{k=0}^{j} T_{k, q^{w_{2}}}\left(w_{1}-1: \zeta^{w_{2}}\right)\binom{j}{k}  \tag{2.11}\\
& \quad=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j} w_{1}^{j} w_{2}^{n-j} E_{n-j, \zeta^{w_{2}}, q^{w_{2}}} \sum_{k=0}^{j} T_{k, q^{w_{1}}}\left(w_{2}-1: \zeta^{w_{1}}\right)\binom{j}{k} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now we will derive another interesting identities for the twisted $q$-Euler numbers and polynomials of higher order. From (2.3), we can derive that

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{q}^{(m)}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}: \zeta\right) \\
& =\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{w_{1}-1}(-1)^{i} q^{w_{2} i} \zeta^{w_{2} i}\right\}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(E_{k, \zeta^{w_{1}}, q^{w_{1}}}^{(m)}\left(\frac{w_{2}}{w_{1}} i+w_{2} x\right) w_{1}^{k} \frac{t^{k}}{k!}\right\}\left\{\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\left(E_{l, \zeta^{w_{2}}, q^{w_{2}}}^{(m-1)}\left(w_{1} y\right) w_{2}^{l}\right) \frac{t^{l}}{l!}\right\}\right. \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} w_{1}^{k} w_{2}^{n-k} E_{n-k, \zeta^{w_{2}}, q^{w_{2}}}^{(m-1)}\left(w_{1} y\right) \sum_{i=0}^{w_{1}-1}(-1)^{i} \zeta^{w_{2} i} q^{w_{2} i} E_{k, \zeta^{w_{1}, q^{w_{1}}}}^{(m)}\left(w_{2} x+\frac{w_{2}}{w_{1}} i\right)\right\} \frac{t^{n}}{n!} \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

From the symmetry of $R_{q}^{(m)}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}: \zeta\right)$ in $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$, we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{q}^{(m)}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}: \zeta\right) \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} w_{2}^{k} w_{1}^{n-k} E_{n-k, \zeta^{w_{1}}, q^{w_{1}}}^{(m-1)}\left(w_{2} y\right) \sum_{i=0}^{w_{2}-1}(-1)^{i} \zeta^{w_{1} i} q^{w_{1} i} E_{k, \zeta}^{(m)} \zeta^{w_{2}}, q^{w_{2}}\right.  \tag{2.13}\\
& \left.\left(w_{1} x+\frac{w_{1}}{w_{2}} i\right)\right\} \frac{t^{n}}{n!}
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing the coefficients on the both sides of (2.12) and (2.13), we obtain the following theorem which shows the relationship between the power sums and the twisted $q$-Euler polynomials.

Theorem 2.4. Let $w_{1}, w_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ with $w_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ and $w_{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} w_{1}^{k} w_{2}^{n-k} E_{n-k, \zeta^{w_{2}}, q^{w_{2}}}^{(m-1)}\left(w_{1} y\right) \sum_{i=0}^{w_{1}-1}(-1)^{i} \zeta^{w_{2} i} q^{w_{2} i} E_{k, \zeta^{w_{1}}, q^{w_{1}}}^{(m)}\left(w_{2} x+\frac{w_{2}}{w_{1}} i\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} w_{2}^{k} w_{1}^{n-k} E_{n-k, \zeta^{w_{1}}, q^{w_{1}}}^{(m-1)}\left(w_{2} y\right) \sum_{i=0}^{w_{2}-1}(-1)^{i} \zeta^{w_{1} i} q^{w_{1} i} E_{k, \zeta^{w_{2}}, q^{w_{2}}}^{(m)}\left(w_{1} x+\frac{w_{1}}{w_{2}} i\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 2.5. Let $m=1$ and $y=0$ in Theorem 2. Then it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} w_{1}^{k} w_{2}^{n-k} \sum_{i=0}^{w_{1}-1}(-1)^{i} \zeta^{w_{2} i} q^{w_{2} i} E_{k, \zeta} \zeta^{w_{1}, q^{w_{1}}}\left(w_{2} x+\frac{w_{2}}{w_{1}} i\right)  \tag{2.15}\\
& \quad=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} w_{2}^{k} w_{1}^{n-k} \sum_{i=0}^{w_{2}-1}(-1)^{i} \zeta^{w_{1} i} q^{w_{1} i} E_{k, \zeta^{w_{2}}, q^{w_{2}}}\left(w_{1} x+\frac{w_{1}}{w_{2}} i\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, if we take $x=0$ and $y=0$ in Theorem 2.4, then we have the following identity for the twisted $q$-Euler numbers of higher order.

Corollary 2.6. Let $w_{1}, w_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ with $w_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod 2), w_{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} w_{1}^{k} w_{2}^{n-k} E_{n-k, \zeta^{w_{2}}, q^{w_{2}}}^{(m-1)} \sum_{i=0}^{w_{1}-1}(-1)^{i} \zeta^{w_{2} i} q^{w_{2} i} E_{k, \zeta^{w_{1}}, q^{w_{1}}}^{(m)}\left(\frac{w_{2}}{w_{1}} i\right)  \tag{2.16}\\
\quad=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} w_{2}^{k} w_{1}^{n-k} E_{n-k, \zeta^{w_{1}}, q^{w_{1}}}^{(m-1)} \sum_{i=0}^{w_{2}-1}(-1)^{i} \zeta^{w_{1} i} q^{w_{1} i} E_{k, \zeta^{w_{2}}, q^{w_{2}}}^{(m)}\left(\frac{w_{1}}{w_{2}} i\right)
\end{align*}
$$

If we take $m=1$ in Corollary 2.3, we derive the following identity for the twisted $q$-Euler polynomials: for $w_{1}, w_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ with $w_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod 2), w_{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} w_{1}^{k} w_{2}^{n-k} \sum_{i=0}^{w_{1}-1}(-1)^{i} \zeta^{w_{2} i} q^{w_{2} i} E_{k, \zeta^{w_{1}}, q^{w_{1}}}\left(\frac{w_{2}}{w_{1}} i\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} w_{2}^{k} w_{1}^{n-k} \sum_{i=0}^{w_{2}-1}(-1)^{i} \zeta^{w_{1} i} q^{w_{1} i} E_{k, \zeta^{w_{2}}, q^{w_{2}}}\left(\frac{w_{1}}{w_{2}} i\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 2.7. If $q=1$, we can observe the result of [15].
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