Research Article **Solutions to a Three-Point Boundary Value Problem**

Jin Liang¹ and Zhi-Wei Lv^{2, 3}

¹ Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

² Department of Mathematics and Physics, Anyang Institute of Technology, Anyang, Henan 455000, China

³ Department of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Jin Liang, jinliang@sjtu.edu.cn

Received 25 November 2010; Accepted 19 January 2011

Academic Editor: Toka Diagana

Copyright © 2011 J. Liang and Z.-W. Lv. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

By using the fixed-point index theory and Leggett-Williams fixed-point theorem, we study the existence of multiple solutions to the three-point boundary value problem u'''(t) + a(t)f(t, u(t), u'(t)) = 0, 0 < t < 1; u(0) = u'(0) = 0; $u'(1) - \alpha u'(\eta) = \lambda$, where $\eta \in (0, 1/2]$, $\alpha \in [1/2\eta, 1/\eta)$ are constants, $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ is a parameter, and a, f are given functions. New existence theorems are obtained, which extend and complement some existing results. Examples are also given to illustrate our results.

1. Introduction

It is known that when differential equations are required to satisfy boundary conditions at more than one value of the independent variable, the resulting problem is called a multipoint boundary value problem, and a typical distinction between initial value problems and multipoint boundary value problems is that in the former case one is able to obtain the solutions depend only on the initial values, while in the latter case, the boundary conditions at the starting point do not determine a unique solution to start with, and some random choices among the solutions that satisfy these starting boundary conditions are normally not to satisfy the boundary conditions at the other specified point(s). As it is noticed elsewhere (see, e.g., Agarwal [1], Bisplinghoff and Ashley [2], and Henderson [3]), multi point boundary value problem has deep physical and engineering background as well as realistic mathematical model. For the development of the research of multi point boundary value problems in last decade, we refer the readers to, for example, [1, 4–9] and references therein.

In this paper, we study the existence of multiple solutions to the following three-point boundary value problem for a class of third-order differential equations with inhomogeneous three-point boundary values,

$$u'''(t) + a(t)f(t, u(t), u'(t)) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1,$$

$$u(0) = u'(0) = 0, \qquad u'(1) - \alpha u'(\eta) = \lambda,$$
(1.1)

where $\eta \in (0, 1/2]$, $\alpha \in [1/2\eta, 1/\eta)$, $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, and a, f are given functions. To the authors' knowledge, few results on third-order differential equations with inhomogeneous three-point boundary values can be found in the literature. Our purpose is to establish new existence theorems for (1.1) which extend and complement some existing results.

Let *X* be an Banach space, and let *Y* be a cone in *X*. A mapping β is said to be a nonnegative continuous concave functional on *Y* if $\beta : Y \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is continuous and

$$\beta(tx + (1-t)y) \ge t\beta(x) + (1-t)\beta(y), \quad x, y \in Y, \ t \in [0,1].$$
(1.2)

Assume that

(H)

$$a \in C((0,1), [0,\infty)), \quad 0 < \int_0^1 (1-s)sa(s)ds < \infty,$$

$$f \in C([0,1] \times [0,\infty) \times [0,\infty), [0,\infty)).$$
 (1.3)

Define

$$\max f_{0} = \lim_{v \to 0^{+}} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \sup_{u \in [0,+\infty)} \frac{f(t, u, v)}{v},$$

$$\min f_{0} = \lim_{v \to 0^{+}} \min_{t \in [0,1]} \inf_{u \in [0,+\infty)} \frac{f(t, u, v)}{v},$$

$$\max f_{\infty} = \lim_{v \to +\infty} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \sup_{u \in [0,+\infty)} \frac{f(t, u, v)}{v},$$

$$\min f_{\infty} = \lim_{v \to +\infty} \min_{t \in [0,1]} \inf_{u \in [0,+\infty)} \frac{f(t, u, v)}{v}.$$

(1.4)

This paper is organized in the following way. In Section 2, we present some lemmas, which will be used in Section 3. The main results and proofs are given in Section 3. Finally, in Section 4, we give some examples to illustrate our results.

2. Lemmas

Let $E = C^{1}[0, 1]$ be a Banach Space with norm

$$\|u\|_{1} = \max\{\|u\|, \|u'\|\},$$
(2.1)

where

$$\|u\| = \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u(t)|, \qquad \|u'\| = \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u'(t)|.$$
(2.2)

It is not hard to see Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2.

Lemma 2.1. Let $u \in C^1[0,1]$ be the unique solution of (1.1). Then

$$u(t) = \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)a(s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds + \frac{\alpha t^{2}}{2(1-\alpha\eta)}\int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta,s)a(s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds + \frac{\lambda t^{2}}{2(1-\alpha\eta)},$$
(2.3)

where

$$G(t,s) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{cases} (2t - t^2 - s)s, & s \le t, \\ (1 - s)t^2, & t \le s, \end{cases}$$

$$G_1(t,s) = \frac{\partial G(t,s)}{\partial t} = \begin{cases} (1 - t)s, & s \le t, \\ (1 - s)t, & t \le s. \end{cases}$$
(2.4)

Lemma 2.2. One has the following.

(i) $0 \le G_1(t,s) \le (1-s)s$, $(1/2)t^2(1-s)s \le G(t,s) \le G(1,s) = (1/2)(1-s)s$.

(ii) $G_1(t,s) \ge (1/4)G_1(s,s) = (1/4)(1-s)s$, for $t \in [1/4,3/4]$, $s \in [0,1]$.

(iii) $G_1(1/2, s) \ge (1/2)(1-s)s$, for $s \in [0, 1]$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $u \in C^1[0,1]$ be the unique solution of (1.1). Then u(t) is nonnegative and satisfies $||u||_1 = ||u'||$.

Proof. Let $u \in C^1[0,1]$ be the unique solution of (1.1). Then it is obvious that u(t) is nonnegative. By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we have the following.

(i) For $t \leq \eta$,

$$\begin{split} u(t) &= \frac{1}{2(1 - \alpha \eta)} \left\{ \int_{0}^{t} \left[\left(2ts - s^{2} \right) (1 - \alpha \eta) + t^{2}s(\alpha - 1) \right] a(s) f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds \\ &+ \int_{t}^{\eta} \left[t^{2} (1 - \alpha \eta) + t^{2}s(\alpha - 1) \right] a(s) f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds \\ &+ \int_{\eta}^{1} t^{2} (1 - s)a(s) f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds + \lambda t^{2} \right\}, \end{split}$$
(2.5)
$$u'(t) &= \frac{1}{2(1 - \alpha \eta)} \left\{ \int_{0}^{t} \left[2s(1 - \alpha \eta) + 2ts(\alpha - 1) \right] a(s) f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds \\ &+ \int_{t}^{\eta} \left[2t(1 - \alpha \eta) + 2ts(\alpha - 1) \right] a(s) f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds \\ &+ \int_{\eta}^{1} 2t(1 - s)a(s) f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds + 2\lambda t \right\}, \end{split}$$

that is, $u(t) \leq u'(t)$.

(ii) For $t \ge \eta$,

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{2(1 - \alpha \eta)} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\eta} \left[(2ts - s^{2})(1 - \alpha \eta) + t^{2}s(\alpha - 1) \right] a(s)f(s, u(s), u'(s))ds + \int_{\eta}^{t} \left[(2ts - s^{2})(1 - \alpha \eta) + t^{2}(\alpha \eta - s) \right] a(s)f(s, u(s), u'(s))ds + \int_{t}^{1} t^{2}(1 - s)a(s)f(s, u(s), u'(s))ds + \lambda t^{2} \right\},$$

$$u'(t) = \frac{1}{2(1 - \alpha \eta)} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\eta} \left[2s(1 - \alpha \eta) + 2ts(\alpha - 1) \right] a(s)f(s, u(s), u'(s))ds + \int_{\eta}^{t} \left[2s(1 - \alpha \eta) + 2t(\alpha \eta - s) \right] a(s)f(s, u(s), u'(s))ds + \int_{\eta}^{t} \left[2s(1 - \alpha \eta) + 2t(\alpha \eta - s) \right] a(s)f(s, u(s), u'(s))ds + \int_{\eta}^{t} \left[2t(1 - s)a(s)f(s, u(s), u'(s))ds + 2\lambda t \right].$$
(2.6)

On the other hand, for $\eta \leq s \leq t$, we have

$$2s(1 - \alpha \eta) + 2t(\alpha \eta - s) - \left[\left(2ts - s^2 \right) (1 - \alpha \eta) + t^2(\alpha \eta - s) \right]$$

= $\alpha \eta (t - s)(2 + s - t) + s(1 - t)(2 - t) + s(s - t)$
= $\alpha \eta (t - s) \left(2 + s - t - \frac{s}{\alpha \eta} \right) + s(1 - t)(2 - t).$ (2.7)

Since $\alpha \in [1/2\eta, 1/\eta)$,

$$2s(1-\alpha\eta)+2t(\alpha\eta-s) \ge (2ts-s^2)(1-\alpha\eta)+t^2(\alpha\eta-s).$$
(2.8)

So, $u(t) \le u'(t)$. Therefore, $u(t) \le u'(t)$, which means

$$\|u\| \le \|u'\|, \qquad \|u\|_1 = \|u'\|.$$
(2.9)

The proof is completed.

Lemma 2.4. Let $u \in C^1[0, 1]$ be the unique solution of (1.1). Then

$$\min_{t \in [1/4, 3/4]} u'(t) \ge \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_1.$$
(2.10)

Proof. From (2.3), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} u'(t) &= \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(t,s)a(s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds \\ &+ \frac{\alpha t}{1-\alpha \eta} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta,s)a(s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds + \frac{\lambda t}{1-\alpha \eta} \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{1} (1-s)sa(s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds \\ &+ \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha \eta} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta,s)a(s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds + \frac{\lambda}{1-\alpha \eta}. \end{aligned}$$
(2.11)

Hence,

$$\|u\|_{1} = \|u'\| \leq \int_{0}^{1} (1-s)sa(s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha\eta} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta,s)a(s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds + \frac{\lambda}{1-\alpha\eta}.$$
(2.12)

By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we get, for any $t \in [1/4, 3/4]$,

$$\min_{t \in [1/4,3/4]} u'(t) = \min_{t \in [1/4,3/4]} \left(\int_0^1 G_1(t,s) a(s) f(s,u(s),u'(s)) ds + \frac{\lambda t}{1-\alpha\eta} \int_0^1 G_1(\eta,s) a(s) f(s,u(s),u'(s)) ds + \frac{\lambda t}{1-\alpha\eta} \right) \\
\geq \frac{1}{4} \left(\int_0^1 (1-s) sa(s) f(s,u(s),u'(s)) ds + \frac{\lambda}{1-\alpha\eta} \int_0^1 G_1(\eta,s) a(s) f(s,u(s),u'(s)) ds + \frac{\lambda}{1-\alpha\eta} \int_0^1 G_1(\eta,s) a(s) f(s,u(s),u'(s)) ds + \frac{\lambda}{1-\alpha\eta} \right).$$
(2.13)

Thus,

$$\min_{t \in [1/4, 3/4]} u'(t) \ge \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_1.$$
(2.14)

Define a cone by

$$K = \left\{ u \in E : u \ge 0, \min_{t \in [1/4, 3/4]} u'(t) \ge \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_1 \right\}.$$
 (2.15)

Set

$$K_{r} = \{ u \in K : ||u||_{1} < r \}, \quad \partial K_{r} = \{ u \in K : ||u||_{1} = r \}, \quad r > 0,$$

$$\overline{K_{r}} = \{ u \in K : ||u||_{1} \le r \}, \quad K(\beta, r, s) = \{ u \in K : r \le \beta(u), ||u||_{1} \le s \}, \quad s > r > 0.$$
(2.16)

Define an operator T by

$$Tu(t) = \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)a(s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds + \frac{\alpha t^{2}}{2(1-\alpha\eta)}\int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta,s)a(s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds + \frac{\lambda t^{2}}{2(1-\alpha\eta)}.$$
(2.17)

Lemma 2.1 implies that (1.1) has a solution u = u(t) if and only if u is a fixed point of T. From Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 and the Ascoli-Arzela theorem, the following follow.

Lemma 2.5. The operator defined in (2.17) is completely continuous and satisfies $T(K) \subset K$.

Theorem 2.6 (see [10]). Let *E* be a real Banach Space, let $K \in E$ be a cone, and $\Omega_r = \{u \in K : ||u|| \le r\}$. Let operator $T : K \cap \Omega_r \to K$ be completely continuous and satisfy $Tx \ne x$, for all $x \in \partial \Omega_r$. Then

- (i) if $||Tx|| \le ||x||$, for all $x \in \partial \Omega_r$, then $i(T, \Omega_r, K) = 1$,
- (ii) if $||Tx|| \ge ||x||$, for all $x \in \partial \Omega_r$, then $i(T, \Omega_r, K) = 0$.

Theorem 2.7 (see [8]). Let $T : \overline{P_c} \to \overline{P_c}$ be a completely continuous operator and β a nonnegative continuous concave functional on P such that $\beta(x) \leq ||x||$ for all $x \in \overline{P_c}$. Suppose that there exist $0 < d_0 < a_0 < b_0 \leq c$ such that

- (a) $\{x \in P(\beta, a_0, b_0) : \beta(x) > a_0\} \neq \emptyset$ and $\beta(Tx) > a_0$ for $x \in P(\beta, a_0, b_0)$,
- (b) $||Tx|| < d_0$ for $||x|| \le d_0$,
- (c) $\beta(Tx) > a_0$ for $x \in P(\beta, a_0, c)$ with $||Tx|| > b_0$.

Then, T has at least three fixed points x_1, x_2 , and x_3 in $\overline{P_c}$ satisfying

$$||x_1|| < d_0, \quad a_0 < \beta(x_2), \quad ||x_3|| > d_0, \quad \beta(x_3) < a_0.$$
 (2.18)

3. Main Results

In this section, we give new existence theorem about two positive solutions or three positive solutions for (1.1).

Write

$$\Lambda_{1} = \left(\int_{0}^{1} (1-s)sa(s)ds + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha\eta} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta,s)a(s)ds \right)^{-1},$$

$$\Lambda_{2} = \left(\int_{1/4}^{3/4} (1-s)sa(s)ds + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha\eta} \int_{1/4}^{3/4} G_{1}(\eta,s)a(s)ds \right)^{-1}.$$
(3.1)

Theorem 3.1. Assume that

- $(H_1) \min f_0 = \min f_\infty = +\infty;$
- (*H*₂) there exists a constant $\rho_1 > 0$ such that $f(t, u, v) \leq (1/2)\Lambda_1\rho_1$, for $t \in [0, 1]$, $u \in [0, \rho_1]$ and $v \in [0, \rho_1]$.

Then, the problem (1.1) has at least two positive solutions u_1 and u_2 such that

$$0 < \|u_1\|_1 < \rho_1 < \|u_2\|_1, \tag{3.2}$$

for λ small enough.

Proof. Since

$$\min f_0 = \lim_{v \to 0+} \min_{t \in [0,1]} \inf_{u \in [0,+\infty)} \frac{f(t, u, v)}{v} = +\infty,$$
(3.3)

there is $\rho_0 \in (0, \rho_1)$ such that

$$f(t, u, v) \ge 8\Lambda_2 v$$
, for $t \in [0, 1]$, $u \in [0, +\infty)$, $v \in [0, \rho_0]$, $\Lambda_2 > 0$. (3.4)

Let

$$\Omega_{\rho_0} = \{ u \in K : \|u\|_1 < \rho_0 \}.$$
(3.5)

Then, for any $u \in \partial \Omega_{\rho_0}$, it follows from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 and (3.4) that

$$Tu'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2},s\right) a(s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds + \frac{\alpha}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta,s)a(s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds + \frac{\lambda}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \geq \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2},s\right) a(s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds + \frac{\alpha}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta,s)a(s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{1/4}^{3/4} (1-s)sa(s)8\Lambda_{2}u'(s)ds + \frac{\alpha}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \int_{1/4}^{3/4} G_{1}(\eta,s)a(s)8\Lambda_{2}u'(s)ds \geq 4\Lambda_{2}\left(\int_{1/4}^{3/4} (1-s)sa(s)ds + \frac{\alpha}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \int_{1/4}^{3/4} G_{1}(\eta,s)a(s)ds\right) \frac{1}{4} ||u_{1}||_{1} = ||u||_{1}.$$
(3.6)

Hence,

$$||Tu'|| \ge ||u||_1.$$
 (3.7)

So

$$\|Tu\|_1 \ge \|u\|_1, \quad \forall u \in \partial\Omega_{\rho_0}.$$
(3.8)

By Theorem 2.6, we have

$$i(T, \Omega_{\rho_0}, K) = 0.$$
 (3.9)

On the other hand, since

$$\min f_{\infty} = \lim_{v \to +\infty} \min_{t \in [0,1]} \inf_{u \in [0,+\infty)} \frac{f(t,u,v)}{v} = +\infty,$$
(3.10)

there exist $\rho_0^*, \rho_0^* > \rho_1$ such that

$$f(t, u, v) \ge 8\Lambda_2 v, \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1], \ u \in [0, +\infty), \ v \ge \frac{1}{4}\rho_0^*.$$
 (3.11)

Let $\Omega_{\rho_0^*} = \{u \in K : \|u\|_1 < \rho_0^*\}$. Then, by a argument similar to that above, we obtain

$$\|Tu\|_1 \ge \|u\|_1, \quad \forall u \in \partial \Omega_{\rho_0^*}. \tag{3.12}$$

By Theorem 2.6,

$$i(T, \Omega_{\rho_0^*}, K) = 0.$$
 (3.13)

Finally, let $\Omega_{\rho_1} = \{u \in K : ||u||_1 < \rho_1\}$, and let λ satisfy $0 < \lambda \le (1/2)(1 - \alpha \eta)\rho_1$ for any $u \in \partial \Omega_{\rho_1}$. Then, (H_2) implies

$$\begin{aligned} Tu'(t) &= \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(t,s)a(s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds \\ &+ \frac{\alpha t}{1-\alpha \eta} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta,s)a(s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds + \frac{\lambda t}{1-\alpha \eta} \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{1} (1-s)sa(s)\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_{1}\rho_{1}ds + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha \eta} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta,s)a(s)\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_{1}\rho_{1}ds + \frac{\lambda}{1-\alpha \eta} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_{1} \left(\int_{0}^{1} (1-s)sa(s)ds + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha \eta} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta,s)a(s)ds \right) \rho_{1} + \frac{(1/2)(1-\alpha \eta)\rho_{1}}{1-\alpha \eta} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\rho_{1} + \frac{1}{2}\rho_{1} \\ &= \|u\|_{1}, \end{aligned}$$
(3.14)

which means that $||Tu'|| \le ||u||_1$. Thus, $||Tu||_1 \le ||u||_1$, for all $u \in \partial \Omega_{\rho_1}$. Using Theorem 2.6, we get

$$i(T, \Omega_{\rho_1}, K) = 1.$$
 (3.15)

From (3.9)–(3.15) and $\rho_0 < \rho_1 < \rho_0^*$, it follows that

$$i\left(T,\Omega_{\rho_{0}^{*}}\setminus\overline{\Omega}_{\rho_{1}},K\right)=-1, \qquad i\left(T,\Omega_{\rho_{1}}\setminus\overline{\Omega}_{\rho_{0}},K\right)=1.$$
(3.16)

Therefore, *T* has fixed point $u_1 \in \Omega_{\rho_1} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{\rho_0}$ and fixed point $u_2 \in \Omega_{\rho_0^*} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{\rho_1}$. Clearly, u_1 , u_2 are both positive solutions of the problem (1.1) and

$$0 < \|u_1\|_1 < \rho_1 < \|u_2\|_1. \tag{3.17}$$

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed.

Theorem 3.2. Assume that

- $(H_3) \max f_0 = \max f_\infty = 0;$
- (*H*₄) there exists a constant $\rho_2 > 0$ such that $f(t, u, v) \ge 2\Lambda_2\rho_2$, for $t \in [0, 1], u \in [0, \rho_2]$ and $v \in [(1/4)\rho_2, \rho_2]$.

Then, the problem (1.1) has at least two positive solutions u_1 and u_2 such that

$$0 < \|u_1\|_1 < \rho_2 < \|u_2\|_1 \tag{3.18}$$

for λ small enough.

Proof. By

$$\max f_0 = \lim_{v \to 0^+} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \sup_{u \in [0,+\infty)} \frac{f(t,u,v)}{v} = 0,$$
(3.19)

we see that there exists $\rho_* \in (0, \rho_2)$ such that

$$f(t, u, v) \le \frac{1}{2} \Lambda_1 v, \text{ for } t \in [0, 1], \ u \in [0, +\infty), \ v \in [0, \rho_*].$$
 (3.20)

Put

$$\Omega_{\rho_*} = \{ u \in K : \|u\|_1 < \rho_* \}, \tag{3.21}$$

and let λ satisfy

$$0 < \lambda \le \frac{1}{2} (1 - \alpha \eta) \rho_*. \tag{3.22}$$

Then Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 and (3.20) implies that for any $u \in \partial \Omega_{\rho_*}$,

$$Tu'(t) = \int_0^1 G_1(t, s) a(s) f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds + \frac{\alpha t}{1 - \alpha \eta} \int_0^1 G_1(\eta, s) a(s) f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds + \frac{\lambda t}{1 - \alpha \eta}$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{1} (1-s)sa(s)\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_{1}u'(s)ds + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha\eta} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta,s)a(s)\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_{1}u'(s)ds + \frac{\lambda}{1-\alpha\eta}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_{1}\left(\int_{0}^{1} (1-s)sa(s)ds + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha\eta} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta,s)a(s)ds\right) \|u\|_{1} + \frac{(1-\alpha\eta)\rho_{*}}{2(1-\alpha\eta)}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{1} + \frac{1}{2}\rho_{*}$$

$$= \|u\|_{1}.$$
(3.23)

So $||Tu'|| \le ||u||_1$. Hence, $||Tu||_1 \le ||u||_1$, for all $u \in \partial \Omega_{\rho_*}$. Applying Theorem 2.6, we have

$$i(T, \Omega_{\rho_*}, K) = 1.$$
 (3.24)

Next, by

$$\max f_{\infty} = \lim_{v \to +\infty} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \sup_{u \in [0,+\infty)} \frac{f(t, u, v)}{v} = 0,$$
(3.25)

we know that there exists $r_0 > \rho_2$ such that

$$f(t, u, v) \le \frac{1}{2} \Lambda_1 v, \text{ for } t \in [0, 1], \ u \in [0, +\infty), \ v \ge r_0.$$
 (3.26)

Case 1. max_{$t \in [0,1]} <math>f(t, u, v)$ is unbounded. Define a function $f^* : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ by</sub>

$$f^*(\rho) = \max\{f(t, u, v) : t \in [0, 1], \ u, v \in [0, \rho]\}.$$
(3.27)

Clearly, f^* is nondecreasing and $\lim_{\rho \to +\infty} f^*(\rho) / \rho = 0$, and

$$f^*(\rho) \le \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_1 \rho, \text{ for } \rho > r_0.$$
 (3.28)

Taking $\rho^* \ge \max\{2r_0, 2\lambda/(1 - \alpha\eta), 2\rho_2\}$, it follows from (3.26)–(3.28) that

$$f(t, u, v) \le f^*(\rho^*) \le \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_1 \rho^*, \text{ for } t \in [0, 1], u, v \in [0, \rho^*].$$
 (3.29)

By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 and (3.28), we have

$$Tu'(t) = \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(t,s)a(s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds + \frac{\lambda t}{1-\alpha\eta} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta,s)a(s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds + \frac{\lambda t}{1-\alpha\eta} \\ \leq \int_{0}^{1} (1-s)sa(s)\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_{1}\rho^{*}ds + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha\eta} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta,s)a(s)\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_{1}\rho^{*}ds + \frac{\lambda}{1-\alpha\eta} \\ \leq \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_{1} \left(\int_{0}^{1} (1-s)sa(s)ds + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha\eta} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta,s)a(s)ds \right) \rho^{*} + \frac{\rho^{*}}{2} \\ = \rho^{*}.$$
(3.30)

So $||Tu'|| \le \rho^*$, and then $||Tu||_1 \le \rho^*$.

Case 2. $\max_{t \in [0,1]} f(t, u, v)$ is bounded.

In this case, there exists an M > 0 such that

$$\max_{t \in [0,1]} f(t, u, v) \le M, \quad \text{for } t \in [0,1], \ u, \ v \in [0, +\infty).$$
(3.31)

Choosing $\rho^* \ge \max\{2\rho_2, 2M/\Lambda_1, 2\lambda/(1-\alpha\eta)\}$, we see by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 and (3.31) that

$$Tu'(t) = \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(t,s)a(s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds + \frac{\alpha t}{1-\alpha \eta} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta,s)a(s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds + \frac{\lambda t}{1-\alpha \eta} \leq M \left(\int_{0}^{1} (1-s)sa(s)ds + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha \eta} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta,s)a(s)ds \right) + \frac{\rho^{*}}{2}$$
(3.32)
$$\leq \frac{\rho^{*}}{2} + \frac{\rho^{*}}{2} = \rho^{*},$$

which implies $||Tu'|| \le \rho^*$, and then $||Tu||_1 \le \rho^*$.

Therefore, in both cases, taking

$$\Omega_{\rho^*} = \{ u \in K : \|u\|_1 < \rho^* \}, \tag{3.33}$$

we get

$$\|Tu\|_{1} \le \|u\|_{1}, \quad \forall u \in \partial\Omega_{\rho^{*}}.$$

$$(3.34)$$

By Theorem 2.6, we have

$$i(T, \Omega_{\rho^*}, K) = 1.$$
 (3.35)

Finally, put $\Omega_{\rho_2} = \{u \in K : ||u||_1 < \rho_2\}$. Then (H_4) implies that

$$Tu'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2},s\right) a(s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds + \frac{\alpha}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta,s)a(s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds + \frac{\lambda}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \geq \int_{1/4}^{3/4} \frac{1}{2}(1-s)sa(s)2\Lambda_{2}\rho_{2}ds + \frac{\alpha}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \int_{1/4}^{3/4} G_{1}(\eta,s)a(s)2\Lambda_{2}\rho_{2}ds$$
(3.36)
$$\geq \Lambda_{2}\rho_{2}\left(\int_{1/4}^{3/4} (1-s)sa(s)ds + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha\eta} \int_{1/4}^{3/4} G_{1}(\eta,s)a(s)ds\right) = \rho_{2},$$

that is, $||Tu'|| \ge \rho_2$, and then $||Tu||_1 \ge ||u||_1$, for all $u \in \partial \Omega_{\rho_2}$. By virtue of Theorem 2.6, we have

$$i(T, \Omega_{\rho_2}, K) = 0.$$
 (3.37)

From (3.24), (3.35), (3.37), and $\rho_* < \rho_2 < \rho^*$, it follows that

$$i\left(T,\Omega_{\rho^*}\setminus\overline{\Omega}_{\rho_2},K\right)=1, \qquad i\left(T,\Omega_{\rho_2}\setminus\overline{\Omega}_{\rho_*},K\right)=-1.$$
(3.38)

Hence, *T* has fixed point $u_1 \in \Omega_{\rho_2} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{\rho_*}$ and fixed point $u_2 \in \Omega_{\rho^*} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{\rho_2}$. Obviously, u_1 , u_2 are both positive solutions of the problem (1.1) and

$$0 < \|u_1\|_1 < \rho_2 < \|u_2\|_1. \tag{3.39}$$

The proof of Theorem 3.2 is completed.

Theorem 3.3. Let there exist d_0 , a_0 , b_0 , and c with

$$0 < d_0 < a_0 < 32a_0 < b_0 \le c, \tag{3.40}$$

such that

$$f(t, u, v) \le \frac{1}{4} \Lambda_1 d_0, \quad t \in [0, 1], \ u \in [0, d_0], \ v \in [0, d_0],$$
(3.41)

$$f(t, u, v) \ge 35\Lambda_2 a_0, \quad t \in [0, 1], \ u \in [a_0, b_0], \ v \in [a_0, b_0], \tag{3.42}$$

$$f(t, u, v) \le \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_1 c, \quad t \in [0, 1], \ u \in [0, c], \ v \in [0, c].$$
 (3.43)

Then problem (1.1) has at least three positive solutions u_1 , u_2 , u_3 satisfying

$$||u_1||_1 < d_0, \quad a_0 < \beta(u_2), \quad ||u_3||_1 > d_0, \quad \beta(u_3) < a_0,$$
 (3.44)

for $\lambda \leq (1/2)(1 - \alpha \eta)d_0$.

Proof. Let

$$\beta(u) = \min_{t \in [1/4, 3/4]} |u(t)|, \quad u \in K.$$
(3.45)

Then, β is a nonnegative continuous concave functional on K and $\beta(u) \leq ||u||_1$ for each $u \in K$. Let u be in $\overline{K_c}$. Equation (3.43) implies that

$$Tu'(t) = \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(t,s)a(s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds + \frac{\alpha t}{1-\alpha \eta} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta,s)a(s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds + \frac{\lambda t}{1-\alpha \eta}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_{1}\left(\int_{0}^{1} (1-s)sa(s)ds + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha \eta}\int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta,s)a(s)ds\right)c + \frac{(1/2)(1-\alpha \eta)c}{(1-\alpha \eta)}$$

$$= c.$$
(3.46)

Hence, $||Tu||_1 \le c$. This means that $T : \overline{K_c} \to \overline{K_c}$. Take

$$u_0(t) = \frac{1}{2}(a_0 + b_0), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$
 (3.47)

Then,

$$u_0 \in \{ u \in K(\beta, a_0, b_0) : \beta(u) > a_0 \} \neq \emptyset.$$
(3.48)

By (3.42), we have, for any $u \in K(\beta, a_0, b_0)$,

$$\begin{split} \beta(Tu) &= \min_{t \in [1/4,3/4]} \left[\int_0^1 G(t,s) a(s) f(s,u(s),u'(s)) ds \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha t^2}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \int_0^1 G_1(\eta,s) a(s) f(s,u(s),u'(s)) ds + \frac{\lambda t^2}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \right] \\ &\geq \min_{t \in [1/4,3/4]} \left[\int_0^1 \frac{1}{2} t^2 s(1-s) a(s) f(s,u(s),u'(s)) ds \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha t^2}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \int_0^1 G_1(\eta,s) a(s) f(s,u(s),u'(s)) ds + \frac{\lambda t^2}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \right] \\ &\geq \int_{1/4}^{3/4} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{4} \right)^2 s(1-s) a(s) 35 \Lambda_2 a_0 ds + \frac{\alpha}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \left(\frac{1}{4} \right)^2 \int_{1/4}^{3/4} G_1(\eta,s) a(s) 35 \Lambda_2 a_0 ds \\ &= \frac{35}{32} a_0 \\ &> a_0. \end{split}$$

(3.49)

Therefore, (a) in Theorem 2.7 holds.

By (3.41), we see that for any $||u||_1 \le d_0$

$$Tu'(t) = \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(t,s)a(s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds + \frac{\alpha t}{1-\alpha \eta} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta,s)a(s)f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds + \frac{\lambda t}{1-\alpha \eta} \leq \int_{0}^{1} (1-s)sa(s)\frac{1}{4}\Lambda_{1}d_{0}ds + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha \eta} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta,s)a(s)\frac{1}{4}\Lambda_{1}d_{0}ds + \frac{(1/2)(1-\alpha \eta)d_{0}}{(1-\alpha \eta)} = \frac{3}{4}d_{0}.$$
(3.50)

So, $||Tu||_1 \le (3/4)d_0 < d_0$. This means that (b) of Theorem 2.7 holds.

Moreover, for any $u \in K(\beta, a_0, c)$ with $||Tu||_1 > b_0$, we have

$$\begin{split} \beta(Tu) &= \min_{t \in [1/4,3/4]} \left[\int_0^1 G(t,s) a(s) f(s,u(s),u'(s)) ds \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{\alpha t^2}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \int_0^1 G_1(\eta,s) a(s) f(s,u(s),u'(s)) ds + \frac{\lambda t^2}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \right] \end{split}$$

$$\geq \min_{t \in [1/4,3/4]} \left[\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2} t^{2} (1-s) sa(s) f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\alpha t^{2}}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta, s) a(s) f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds + \frac{\lambda t^{2}}{2(1-\alpha\eta)} \right]$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{16} \left[\int_{0}^{1} (1-s) sa(s) f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha\eta} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta, s) a(s) f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds + \frac{\lambda}{1-\alpha\eta} \right]$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{32} \left[\int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(t, s) a(s) f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha\eta} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta, s) a(s) f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds + \frac{\lambda}{1-\alpha\eta} \right]$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{32} \left[\int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(t, s) a(s) f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha\eta} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta, s) a(s) f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\alpha t}{1-\alpha\eta} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta, s) a(s) f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds + \frac{\lambda t}{1-\alpha\eta} \right]$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{32} \left[\int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta, s) a(s) f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds \right]$$

$$\left. + \frac{\alpha t}{1-\alpha\eta} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta, s) a(s) f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds + \frac{\lambda t}{1-\alpha\eta} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{32} T u'(t),$$

$$(3.51)$$

which implies

$$\beta(Tu) \ge \frac{1}{32} \|Tu\|_1 > \frac{1}{32} b_0 > a_0.$$
(3.52)

So, (c) in Theorem 2.7 holds. Thus, by Theorem 2.7, we know that the operator *T* has at least three positive fixed points $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in \overline{K_c}$ satisfying

$$\|u_1\|_1 < d_0, \quad a_0 < \beta(u_2), \quad \|u_3\|_1 > d_0, \quad \beta(u_3) < a_0.$$
(3.53)

4. Examples

In this section, we give three examples to illustrate our results.

Example 4.1. Consider the problem

$$u'''(t) + \frac{1}{10}2^{t-1}\left(1+2^{-u(t)}\right)\left[\left(u'(t)\right)^{1/2} + \left(u'(t)\right)^2\right] = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1,$$

$$u(0) = u'(0) = 0, \quad u'(1) - u'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \lambda,$$

(4.1)

where $\eta = 1/2$, $\alpha = 1$. Set

$$a(t) = \frac{1}{10}, \quad f(t, u(t), u'(t)) = 2^{t-1} \left(1 + 2^{-u(t)}\right) \left[\left(u'(t)\right)^{1/2} + \left(u'(t)\right)^2 \right].$$
(4.2)

Then,

$$\min f_0 = \min f_\infty = +\infty. \tag{4.3}$$

So, the condition (H_1) is satisfied. Observe

$$\Lambda_{1} = \left(\int_{0}^{1} (1-s)sa(s)ds + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha\eta} \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(\eta,s)a(s)ds \right)^{-1}$$

$$= \left[\int_{0}^{1} (1-s)sa(s)ds + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha\eta} \left(\int_{0}^{\eta} (1-\eta)sa(s)ds + \int_{\eta}^{1} \eta(1-s)a(s)ds \right) \right]^{-1}$$

$$= \left[\int_{0}^{1} (1-s)s\frac{1}{10}ds + \frac{1}{1-(1/2)} \left(\int_{0}^{1/2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \right)s\frac{1}{10}ds + \int_{1/2}^{1} \frac{1}{2}(1-s)\frac{1}{10}ds \right) \right]^{-1}$$

$$= 24.$$

Taking

$$\rho_1 = 4, \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1], \ u \in [0, \rho_1], \ v \in [0, \rho_1],$$
(4.5)

we have

$$f(t, u, v) \le (1+1)(2+16) = 36 = 9\rho_1 < \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_1\rho_1 = 12\rho_1.$$
(4.6)

Thus, condition (H_2) is satisfied.

Therefore, by Theorem 3.1, the problem (4.1) has at least two positive solutions u_1 and u_2 such that

$$0 < \|u_1\|_1 < 4 < \|u_2\|_1, \tag{4.7}$$

for

$$0 < \lambda \le \frac{1}{2} (1 - \alpha \eta) \rho_1 = \frac{1}{4} \rho_1.$$
(4.8)

Example 4.2. Consider the problem

$$u'''(t) + 2 \times 5^{8}(1+t)(2+\sin u(t))(u'(t))^{2}5^{-u'(t)} = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1,$$

$$u(0) = u'(0) = 0, \quad u'(1) - u'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \lambda,$$

(4.9)

where $\eta = 1/2$, $\alpha = 1$. Set

$$a(t) = 2, \quad f(t, u, v) = 5^8 (1+t)(2+\sin u(t)) (u'(t))^2 5^{-u'(t)}. \tag{4.10}$$

Then,

$$\max f_0 = \max f_\infty = 0, \tag{4.11}$$

that is, the condition (H_3) is satisfied. Moreover,

$$\Lambda_{2} = \left(\int_{1/4}^{3/4} (1-s)sa(s)ds + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha\eta} \int_{1/4}^{3/4} G_{1}(\eta,s)a(s)ds\right)^{-1}$$
$$= \left[\int_{1/4}^{3/4} (1-s)s2ds + \frac{1}{1-(1/2)} \left(\int_{1/4}^{1/2} \left(1-\frac{1}{2}\right)s2ds + \int_{1/2}^{3/4} \frac{1}{2}(1-s)2ds\right)\right]^{-1}$$
(4.12)
$$= \frac{48}{29}.$$

Taking

$$\rho_2 = 8, \text{ for } t \in [0,1], \ u \in [0,\rho_2], \ v \in \left[\frac{1}{4}\rho_2,\rho_2\right],$$
(4.13)

we get

$$f(t, u, v) \ge 5^8 8^2 5^{-8} = 8^2 = 8\rho_2 > 2\Lambda_2\rho_2 = \frac{96}{29}\rho_2.$$
(4.14)

Thus, condition (H_4) is satisfied.

Consequently, by Theorem 3.2, we see that for

$$0 < \lambda \le \frac{1}{2} (1 - \alpha \eta) \rho_* \le \frac{1}{4} \rho_2, \tag{4.15}$$

the problem (4.9) has at least two positive solutions u_1 and u_2 such that

$$0 < \|u_1\|_1 < 8 < \|u_2\|_1. \tag{4.16}$$

Example 4.3. For the problem (1.1), take $\eta = 1/2$, $\alpha = 1$, and a(t) = 2. Then,

$$\Lambda_1 = \frac{6}{5}, \qquad \Lambda_2 = \frac{48}{29}. \tag{4.17}$$

Let

$$d_{0} = 1, \quad a_{0} = 2, \quad b_{0} = 99, \quad c = \frac{1425}{6},$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1-t}{10} + \frac{t^{2}u}{10} + \frac{tv}{10}, \quad t \in [0,1], \ u \in [0,1], \ v \in [0,1].\\ \frac{1-t}{10} + \frac{t^{2}}{10} + \frac{t}{10}\\ +70(u-1) + 70(v-1), \quad t \in [0,1], \ u \in [1,2], \ v \in [1,2], \quad (4.18) \end{cases}$$

$$f(t, u, v) = \begin{cases} \frac{1-t}{10} + \frac{t^{2}}{10} + \frac{t}{10}\\ \frac{1-t}{10} + \frac{t^{2}}{10} + \frac{t}{10} + 140, \quad t \in [0,1], \ u \in [2,99], \ v \in [2,99], \\ \frac{1-t}{10} + \frac{t^{2}}{10} + \frac{t}{10} + 140\\ +2 \left| \sin \frac{2v(u-99)(v-99)}{1 + t^{2}u^{2}} \right|, \quad t \in [0,1], \ u \in [99, +\infty), \ v \in [99, +\infty). \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$\begin{split} f(t,u,v) &= \frac{1-t}{10} + \frac{t^2u}{10} + \frac{tv}{10} \le \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10} = \frac{3}{10} \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\Lambda_1 d_0, \quad t \in [0,1], \ u \in [0,1], \ v \in [0,1], \\ f(t,u,v) &= \frac{1-t}{10} + \frac{t^2}{10} + \frac{t}{10} + 140 \ge 140 > 35\Lambda_2 a_0 \\ &= 35 \times \frac{48}{29} \times 2, \quad t \in [0,1], \ u \in [2,99], \ v \in [2,99], \\ f(t,u,v) &= \frac{1-t}{10} + \frac{t^2}{10} + \frac{t}{10} + 140 + 2 \left| \sin \frac{2v(u-99)(v-99)}{1+t^2u^2} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{3}{10} + 140 + 2 = \frac{1423}{10} < \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_1 c \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{6}{5} \times \frac{1425}{6}, \quad t \in [0,1], \ u \in [99, +\infty), \ v \in [99, +\infty), \end{split}$$

which implies

$$f(t, u, v) < \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_1 c, \quad t \in [0, 1], \ u \in [0, c], \ v \in [0, c].$$
 (4.20)

That is, the conditions of Theorem 3.3 are satisfied. Consequently, the problem (1.1) has at least three positive solutions $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in \overline{K_c}$ for

$$\lambda \le \frac{1}{2} (1 - \alpha \eta) d_0 = \frac{1}{4}$$
 (4.21)

satisfying

$$||u_1||_1 < 1, \quad 2 < \beta(u_2), \quad ||u_3||_1 > 1, \quad \beta(u_3) < 2.$$
 (4.22)

Acknowledgments

This paper was supported partially by the NSF of China (10771202) and the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China (2007035805).

References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, Focal Boundary Value Problems for Differential and Difference Equations, vol. 436 of Mathematics and Its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1998.
- [2] R. L. Bisplinghoff and H. Ashley, *Principles of Aeroelasticity*, Dover Publications, Mineola, NY, USA, 2002.
- [3] J. Henderson, Ed., Boundary Value Problems for Functional-Differential Equations, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, USA, 1995.
- [4] D. R. Anderson, "Green's function for a third-order generalized right focal problem," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 288, no. 1, pp. 1–14, 2003.
- [5] R. I. Avery and A. C. Peterson, "Three positive fixed points of nonlinear operators on ordered Banach spaces," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 42, no. 3–5, pp. 313–322, 2001.
- [6] A. Boucherif and N. Al-Malki, "Nonlinear three-point third-order boundary value problems," Applied Mathematics and Computation, vol. 190, no. 2, pp. 1168–1177, 2007.
- [7] G. L. Karakostas, K. G. Mavridis, and P. C. Tsamatos, "Triple solutions for a nonlocal functional boundary value problem by Leggett-Williams theorem," *Applicable Analysis*, vol. 83, no. 9, pp. 957– 970, 2004.
- [8] R. W. Leggett and L. R. Williams, "Multiple positive fixed points of nonlinear operators on ordered Banach spaces," *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 673–688, 1979.
- [9] Y. Sun, "Positive solutions for third-order three-point nonhomogeneous boundary value problems," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 45–51, 2009.
- [10] D. J. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones, vol. 5 of Notes and Reports in Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Academic Press, Boston, Mass, USA, 1988.