Hindawi Publishing Corporation
Boundary Value Problems
Volume 2011, Article ID 192156, 11 pages
doi:10.1155/2011/192156

Research Article

Existence and Uniqueness of Periodic Solution for Nonlinear Second-Order Ordinary Differential Equations

Jian Zu

College of Mathematics, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Jian Zu, zujian1984@gmail.com

Received 22 May 2010; Accepted 6 March 2011

Academic Editor: Kanishka Perera

We study periodic solutions for nonlinear second-order ordinary differential problem $x'' + f(t,x,x') = 0$. By constructing upper and lower boundaries and using Leray-Schauder degree theory, we present a result about the existence and uniqueness of a periodic solution for second-order ordinary differential equations with some assumption.

1. Introduction

The study on periodic solutions for ordinary differential equations is a very important branch in the differential equation theory. Many results about the existence of periodic solutions for second-order differential equations have been obtained by combining the classical method of lower and upper solutions and the method of alternative problems (The Lyapunov-Schmidt method) as discussed by many authors [1–10]. In [11], the author gives a simple method to discuss the existence and uniqueness of nonlinear two-point boundary value problems. In this paper, we will extend this method to the periodic problem.

We consider the second-order ordinary differential equation

$$x'' + f(t,x,x') = 0. \tag{1.1}$$

Throughout this paper, we will study the existence of periodic solutions of (1.1) with the following assumptions:

(H_1) $f,\ f_x,\ and\ f_{x'}$ are continuous in $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}$, and

$$f(t,x\ x') = f(t+2\pi,x,x'), \tag{1.2}$$

(H_2)

$$N^2 < \alpha - \frac{\gamma^2}{4} \leq \beta < (N+1)^2,$$
$$\sin \frac{\pi\sqrt{4\alpha - \gamma^2}}{4N} < \sqrt{1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{4\alpha}} \quad \text{if } N > 0, \tag{1.3}$$
$$\gamma < \frac{4(N+1)}{\pi}\left[1 - \frac{\beta}{(N+1)^2}\right],$$

where N is some positive integer,

$$\alpha = \inf_{\mathbb{R}^3}(f_x), \qquad \beta = \sup_{\mathbb{R}^3}(f_x), \qquad \gamma = \sup_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f_{x'}|. \tag{1.4}$$

The following is our main result.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that* (H_1) *and* (H_2) *hold, then* (1.1) *has a unique* 2π*-periodic solution.*

2. Basic Lemmas

The following results will be used later.

Lemma 2.1 (see [12]). *Let* $x \in C^1([0,h],\mathbb{R})$ $(h > 0)$ *with*

$$x(0) = x(h) = 0, \quad x(t) > 0 \quad \text{for } t \in (0,h), \tag{2.1}$$

then

$$\int_0^h |x(t)x'(t)|dt \leq \frac{h}{4}\int_0^h x'^2(t)dt, \tag{2.2}$$

and the constant $h/4$ *is optimal.*

Lemma 2.2 (see [12]). *Let* $x \in C^1([a,b],\mathbb{R})$ $(a, b \in \mathbb{R},\ a < b)$ *with the boundary value conditions* $x(a) = x(b) = 0$, *then*

$$\int_a^b x^2(t)dt \leq \frac{(b-a)^2}{\pi^2}\int_a^b x'^2(t)dt. \tag{2.3}$$

Consider the periodic boundary value problem

$$x'' + p(t)x' + q(t)x = 0,$$
$$x(0) = x(2\pi), \qquad x'(0) = x'(2\pi). \tag{2.4}$$

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that p, q are L^2-integrable 2π-periodic function, where p, q satisfy the condition (H_2), with*

$$\alpha = \inf_{[0,2\pi]} q(t), \qquad \beta = \sup_{[0,2\pi]} q(t), \qquad \gamma = \sup_{[0,2\pi]} |p(t)|, \tag{2.5}$$

then (2.4) *has only the trivial 2π-periodic solution $x(t) \equiv 0$.*

Proof. If on the contrary, (2.4) has a nonzero 2π-periodic solution $x(t)$, then using (2.4), we have

$$\left(e^{\int_{t_0}^{t} p(s)ds} x'\right)' + e^{\int_{t_0}^{t} p(s)ds} q(t)x = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

where $t_0 \in [0, 2\pi]$ is undetermined.

Firstly, we prove that $x(t)$ has at least one zero in $(0, 2\pi)$. If $x(t) \neq 0$, we may assume $x(t) > 0$. Since $x(t)$ is a 2π-periodic solution, there exists a $t_0 \in [0, 2\pi]$ with $x'(t_0) = 0 = x'(t_0 + 2\pi)$. Then,

$$0 = \int_{t_0}^{t_0+2\pi} \left(e^{\int_{t_0}^{t} p(s)ds} x'\right)' dt = -\int_{t_0}^{t_0+2\pi} e^{\int_{t_0}^{t} p(s)ds} q(t)x dt < 0, \tag{2.7}$$

we could get a contradiction.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x(0) = x(2\pi) = 0$, $x'(0) = x'(2\pi) = A > 0$; then there exists a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ such that $x(\delta/2) > 0$, $x(2\pi - \delta/2) < 0$. Since $x(t)$ is a continuous function, there must exist a $t' \in [\delta/2, 2\pi - \delta/2]$ with $x(t') = 0$.

Secondly, we prove that $x(t)$ has at least $2N+2$ zeros on $[0, 2\pi]$. Considering the initial value problem

$$\varphi'' - \gamma\varphi' + \alpha\varphi = 0, \qquad \varphi(0) = 0, \qquad \varphi'(0) = A. \tag{2.8}$$

Obviously,

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{2A}{\sqrt{4\alpha - \gamma^2}} e^{\gamma t/2} \sin \frac{\sqrt{4\alpha - \gamma^2}}{2} t \tag{2.9}$$

is the solution of (2.8) and

$$\varphi'(t) = 2A\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{4\alpha - \gamma^2}} e^{\gamma t/2} \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{4\alpha - \gamma^2}}{2} t + \theta\right), \tag{2.10}$$

where $\theta \in (0, \pi/2]$ with $\sin\theta = \sqrt{(4\alpha - \gamma^2)/4\alpha}$. Since

$$N < \frac{\sqrt{4\alpha - \gamma^2}}{2} < N + 1 \tag{2.11}$$

holds under the assumptions of (H_2), there is a $t_0 \in (0, \pi)$, such that

$$\frac{\sqrt{4\alpha - \gamma^2}}{2} t_0 + \theta = \pi, \qquad \text{i.e.,}\ \frac{\pi}{2} \le \frac{\sqrt{4\alpha - \gamma^2}}{2} t_0 < \pi. \tag{2.12}$$

Now, let $N > 0$. By the conditions (H_2), (2.11), and (2.12), we have

$$\sin\frac{\sqrt{4\alpha - \gamma^2}}{2} t_0 = \sin\theta = \sqrt{\frac{4\alpha - \gamma^2}{4\alpha}} > \sin\frac{\pi\sqrt{4\alpha - \gamma^2}}{4N}, \tag{2.13}$$

$$\frac{\pi}{2} < \frac{\pi\sqrt{4\alpha - \gamma^2}}{4N} < \pi. \tag{2.14}$$

Since $\sin t$ is decreasing in $[\pi/2, \pi)$, we have $0 < t_0 < \pi/2N$. Therefore,

$$\varphi'(t) > 0, \quad \varphi(t) > 0, \quad \text{for } t \in (0, t_0),\ \varphi'(t_0) = 0. \tag{2.15}$$

We also consider the initial value problem

$$\psi'' + \gamma\psi' + \alpha\psi = 0, \qquad \psi(t_0) = \varphi(t_0), \qquad \psi'(t_0) = 0. \tag{2.16}$$

Clearly,

$$\psi(t) = 2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{4\alpha - \gamma^2}}\varphi(t_0)e^{-\gamma(t-t_0)/2}\sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{4\alpha - \gamma^2}}{2}(t - t_0) + \theta\right) \tag{2.17}$$

is the solution of (2.16), where θ is the same as the previous one, and

$$\psi'(t) = -\frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{4\alpha - \gamma^2}}\varphi(t_0)e^{-\gamma(t-t_0)/2}\sin\frac{\sqrt{4\alpha - \gamma^2}}{2}(t - t_0). \tag{2.18}$$

Hence, there exists a $t_1 \in (0, 2\pi)$ with $t_1 - t_0 \in (0, \pi)$, such that

$$\frac{\sqrt{4\alpha - \gamma^2}}{2}(t_1 - t_0) + \theta = \pi. \tag{2.19}$$

Then,

$$\varphi(t_1) = 0. \tag{2.20}$$

From (2.12) and (2.19), it follows that

$$\frac{\sqrt{4\alpha - \gamma^2}}{4} t_1 = \pi - \theta, \qquad \text{i.e., } \frac{\pi}{2} \le \frac{\sqrt{4\alpha - \gamma^2}}{4} t_1 < \pi. \tag{2.21}$$

By (H_2) and (2.21), we have

$$\sin \frac{\sqrt{4\alpha - \gamma^2}}{4} t_1 = \sin\theta = \sqrt{\frac{4\alpha - \gamma^2}{4\alpha}} > \sin \frac{\pi\sqrt{4\alpha - \gamma^2}}{4N}. \tag{2.22}$$

Since $\sin t$ is decreasing on $[\pi/2, \pi)$, we have $0 < t_1 < \pi/N$, and

$$\varphi'(t) < 0, \quad \varphi(t) > 0, \quad \text{for } t \in (t_0, t_1). \tag{2.23}$$

We now prove that $x(t)$ has a zero point in $(0, t_1]$. If on the contrary $x(t) > 0$ for $t \in (0, t_1]$, then we would have the following inequalities:

$$x(t) \le \varphi(t), \quad \text{for } t \in [0, t_0], \tag{2.24}$$

$$x(t) \le \varphi(t), \quad \text{for } t \in [t_0, t_1]. \tag{2.25}$$

In fact, from(2.4), (2.8), and (2.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\varphi'(t)x(t) - \varphi(t)x'(t)\right)' \\
&\quad = \varphi''(t)x(t) + \varphi'(t)x'(t) - \varphi'(t)x'(t) - \varphi(t)x''(t) \\
&\quad = \left(\gamma\varphi'(t) - \alpha\varphi(t)\right)x(t) - \varphi(t)\left(-p(t)x'(t) - q(t)x(t)\right) \\
&\quad = \left(\gamma + p(t)\right)\varphi'(t)x(t) + \left(-p(t)\right)\left(\varphi'(t)x(t) - \varphi(t)x'(t)\right) + \left(q(t) - \alpha\right)\varphi(t)x(t) \\
&\quad \ge \left(-p(t)\right)\left(\varphi'(t)x(t) - \varphi(t)x'(t)\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

with $t \in [0, t_0]$. Setting $y = \varphi'(t)x(t) - \varphi(t)x'(t)$, and since

$$y' \ge -p(t)y, \tag{2.27}$$

we obtain

$$\left(y e^{\int_0^t p(s)ds}\right)' \ge 0, \quad t \in [0, t_0]. \tag{2.28}$$

Notice that $\varphi(0) = x(0) = 0$, which implies

$$y(0) = 0, \quad ye^{\int_0^t p(s)ds} \geq 0, \quad t \in [0, t_0]. \tag{2.29}$$

So, we have

$$\varphi'(t)x(t) - \varphi(t)x'(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in [0, t_0], \quad \text{i.e.,} \left(\frac{\varphi(t)}{x(t)}\right)' \geq 0, \quad t \in (0, t_0]. \tag{2.30}$$

Integrating from 0 to $t \in (0, t_0]$, we obtain

$$0 \leq \int_0^t \left(\frac{\varphi(s)}{x(s)}\right)' ds = \frac{\varphi(t)}{x(t)} - \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{\varphi(t)}{x(t)} = \frac{\varphi(t)}{x(t)} - \frac{\varphi'(0)}{x'(0)}. \tag{2.31}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\varphi(t)}{x(t)} \geq 1, \quad t \in (0, t_0], \tag{2.32}$$

which implies (2.24). By a similar argument, we have (2.25). Therefore, $0 < x(t_1) \leq \varphi(t_1) = 0$, a contradiction, which shows that $x(t)$ has at least one zero in $(0, t_1]$, with $t_1 < \pi/N$.

We let $x(t^1) = 0$, $t^1 \in (0, t_1]$. If $t^1 + t_1 < 2\pi$, then from a similar argument, there is a $t^2 \in (t^1, t^1 + t_1)$, such that $x(t^2) = 0$ and so on. So, we obtain that $x(t)$ has at least $2N + 2$ zeros on $[0, 2\pi]$.

Thirdly, we prove that $x(t)$ has at least $2N + 3$ zeros on $[0, 2\pi]$. If, on the contrary, we assume that $x(t)$ only has $2N + 2$ zeros on $[0, 2\pi]$, we write them as

$$0 = t^0 < t^1 < \cdots < t^{2N+1} = 2\pi. \tag{2.33}$$

Obviously,

$$x'\left(t^i\right) \neq 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, 2N + 1. \tag{2.34}$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x'(t^0) > 0$. Since

$$x'\left(t^i\right)x'\left(t^{i+1}\right) < 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, 2N, \tag{2.35}$$

we obtain $x'(t^{2N+1}) < 0$, which contradicts $x'(t^{2N+1}) = x'(t^0) > 0$. Therefore, $x(t)$ has at least $2N + 3$ zeros on $[0, 2\pi]$.

Finally, we prove Lemma 2.3. Since $x(t)$ has at least $2N+3$ zeros on $[0,2\pi]$, there are two zeros ξ_1 and ξ_2 with $0<\xi_2-\xi_1\le \pi/(N+1)$. By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} x'^2(t)dt &= -\int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} x(t)x''(t)dt = \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} p(t)x(t)x'(t)dt + \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} q(t)x^2(t)dt \\ &\le \left[\frac{\gamma}{4}(\xi_2-\xi_1)+\frac{\beta}{\pi^2}(\xi_2-\xi_1)^2\right]\int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} x'^2(t)dt.\end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

From (H_2), it follows that

$$\frac{\gamma}{4}(\xi_2-\xi_1)+\frac{\beta}{\pi^2}(\xi_2-\xi_1)^2 \le \frac{\pi\gamma}{4(N+1)}+\frac{\beta}{(N+1)^2}<1. \tag{2.37}$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} x'^2(t)dt = 0, \tag{2.38}$$

which implies $x'(t)=0$ for $t\in[\xi_1,\xi_2]$. Also $x(\xi_1)=0$. Therefore, $x(t)\equiv 0$ for $t\in[0,2\pi]$, a contradiction. The proof is complete. □

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Firstly, we prove the existence of the solution. Consider the homotopy equation

$$x''+\alpha x = \lambda(-f(t,x,x')+\alpha x) \equiv \lambda F(t,x,x'), \tag{3.1}$$

where $\lambda\in[0,1]$ and $\alpha=\inf_{\mathbb{R}^3}(f_x)$. When $\lambda=1$, it holds (1.1). We assume that $\Phi(t)$ is the fundamental solution matrix of $x''+\alpha x=0$ with $\Phi(0)=I$. Equation (3.1) can be transformed into the integral equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \end{pmatrix}(t) = \Phi(t)\left(\begin{pmatrix} x(0) \\ x'(0) \end{pmatrix} + \int_0^t \Phi^{-1}(s)\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \lambda F(s,x(s),x'(s)) \end{pmatrix}ds\right). \tag{3.2}$$

From (H_1), $x(t)$ is a 2π-periodic solution of (3.2), then

$$(I-\Phi(2\pi))\begin{pmatrix} x(0) \\ x'(0) \end{pmatrix} = \Phi(2\pi)\int_0^{2\pi} \Phi^{-1}(s)\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \lambda F(s,x(s),x'(s)) \end{pmatrix}ds. \tag{3.3}$$

For $(I-\Phi(2\pi))$ is invertible,

$$\begin{pmatrix} x(0) \\ x'(0) \end{pmatrix} = (I-\Phi(2\pi))^{-1}\Phi(2\pi)\int_0^{2\pi} \Phi^{-1}(s)\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \lambda F(s,x(s),x'(s)) \end{pmatrix}ds. \tag{3.4}$$

We substitute (3.4) into (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \end{pmatrix}(t) &= \Phi(t)(I-\Phi(2\pi))^{-1}\Phi(2\pi)\int_0^{2\pi}\Phi^{-1}(s)\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \lambda F(s,x(s),x'(s)) \end{pmatrix}ds \\ &\quad + \Phi(t)\int_0^t \Phi^{-1}(s)\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \lambda F(s,x(s),x'(s)) \end{pmatrix}ds.\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Define an operator

$$P_\lambda : C^1[0,2\pi] \longrightarrow C^1[0,2\pi], \tag{3.6}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned}P_\lambda\left[\begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \end{pmatrix}\right](t) &\equiv \Phi(t)(I-\Phi(2\pi))^{-1}\Phi(2\pi)\int_0^{2\pi}\Phi^{-1}(s)\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \lambda F(s,x(s),x'(s)) \end{pmatrix}ds \\ &\quad + \Phi(t)\int_0^t \Phi^{-1}(s)\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \lambda F(s,x(s),x'(s)) \end{pmatrix}ds.\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Clearly, P_λ is a completely continuous operator in $C^1[0,2\pi]$.

There exists $B > 0$, such that every possible periodic solution $x(t)$ satisfies $\|x\| \le B$ ($\|\cdot\|$ denote the usual normal in $C^1[0,2\pi]$). If not, there exists $\lambda_k \to \lambda_0$ and the solution $x_k(t)$ with $\|x_k\| \to \infty$ $(k \to \infty)$.

We can rewrite (3.1) in the following form:

$$x_k'' + \alpha x_k = -\lambda_k \int_0^1 f_{x'}(t,x_k,\theta x_k')d\theta x_k' - \lambda_k \int_0^1 f_x(t,\theta x_k,0)d\theta x_k - \lambda_k f(t,0,0) + \lambda_k \alpha x_k. \tag{3.8}$$

Let $y_k = x_k/\|x_k\|$ $(t \in \mathbb{R})$, obviously $\|y_k\| = 1$ $(k = 1,2,\ldots)$. It satisfies the following problem:

$$y_k'' + \alpha y_k = -\lambda_k \textstyle\int_0^1 f_{x'}(t,x_k,\theta x_k')d\theta y_k' - \lambda_k \int_0^1 f_x(t,\theta x_k,0)d\theta y_k - \lambda_k f(t,0,0)/\|x_k\| + \lambda_k \alpha y_k, \tag{3.9}$$

in which we have

$$\frac{f(t,0,0)}{\|x_k\|} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (k \longrightarrow \infty). \tag{3.10}$$

Since $\{y_k\}$, $\{y_k'\}$ are uniformly bounded and equicontinuous, there exists continuous function $u(t)$, $v(t)$ and a subsequence of $\{k\}_1^\infty$ (denote it again by $\{k\}_1^\infty$), such that $\lim_{k\to\infty} y_k(t) = u(t)$, $\lim_{k\to\infty} y_k'(t) = v(t)$ uniformly in $\mathbb{R}$. Using (H_1) and (H_2), $\{\int_0^1 f_x(t,\theta x_k,0)d\theta\}_1^\infty$ and

$\{\int_0^1 f_{x'}(t,x_k,\theta x_k')d\theta\}_1^\infty$ are uniformly bounded. By the Hahn-Banach theorem, there exists L^2-integrable function $p(t)$, $q(t)$, and a subsequence of $\{k\}_1^\infty$ (denote it again by $\{k\}_1^\infty$), such that

$$\int_0^1 f_x(t,\theta x_k,0)d\theta \xrightarrow{\omega} q(t), \qquad \int_0^1 f_{x'}(t,x_k,\theta x'_k)d\theta \xrightarrow{\omega} p(t), \tag{3.11}$$

where $\xrightarrow{\omega}$ denotes "weakly converges to" in $L^2[0,2\pi]$. As a consequence, we have

$$u''(t)+\alpha u(t) = -\lambda_0 p(t)u'(t) - \lambda_0 q(t)u(t) + \lambda_0\alpha u(t), \tag{3.12}$$

that is,

$$u''(t)+\lambda_0 p(t)u'(t) + \left(\lambda_0 q(t) + (1-\lambda_0)\alpha\right)u(t) = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

Denote that $\widetilde{p}(t) = \lambda_0 p(t)$, $\widetilde{q}(t) = \lambda_0 q(t) + (1-\lambda_0)\alpha$, then we get

$$\left|\widetilde{p}(t)\right| = \lambda_0\left|p(t)\right| \le \gamma, \qquad \lambda_0\alpha + (1-\lambda_0)\alpha \le \widetilde{q}(t) \le \lambda_0\beta + (1-\lambda_0)\alpha, \tag{3.14}$$

which also satisfy the condition (H_2). Notice that $\widetilde{p}(t)$ and $\widetilde{q}(t)$ are L^2-integrable on $[0,2\pi]$, so $u(t)$ satisfies Lemma 2.3. Hence, we have $u(t)\equiv 0$ for $t\in[0,2\pi)$, which contradicts $\|u\| = 1$. Therefore, $PC^1[0,2\pi]$ is bounded.

Denote

$$\Omega = \left\{x \in C^1[0,2\pi], \|x\| < B+1\right\}, \qquad h_\lambda(x) = x - P_\lambda x. \tag{3.15}$$

Because $0\notin h_\lambda(\partial\Omega)$ for $\lambda\in[0,1]$, by Leray-Schauder degree theory, we have

$$\deg(x-Px,\Omega,0) = \deg(h_1(x),\Omega,0) = \deg(h_0(x),\Omega,0) \ne 0. \tag{3.16}$$

So, we conclude that P has at least one fixed point in Ω, that is, (1.1) has at least one solution.

Finally, we prove the uniqueness of the equation when the condition (H_1) and (H_2) holds. Let $x_1(t)$ and $x_2(t)$ be two 2π-periodic solutions of the problem. Denote $x_0(t) = x_1(t) - x_2(t)$, $t\in[0,2\pi]$, then $x_0(t)$ is a solution of the following problem:

$$x'' + \int_0^1 f_{x'}(t,x_2+x_0,x_2'+\theta x'_0)d\theta x' + \int_0^1 f_x(t,x_2+\theta x_0,x_2')d\theta x = 0, \qquad x(0)=x(2\pi), \quad x'(0)=x'(2\pi). \tag{3.17}$$

By Lemma 2.3, we have $x_0(t)\equiv 0$ for $t\in[0,2\pi]$.

Let $\tilde{x}(t+2k\pi) = x(t),\ t \in [0,2\pi],\ k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have

$$\tilde{x}''(t+2k\pi) = x''(t) = -f(t,x,x') = -f(t,\tilde{x},\tilde{x}') = -f(t+2k\pi,\tilde{x},\tilde{x}'), \tag{3.18}$$

with $t \in [0,2\pi],\ k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote $\tilde{x}(t+2k\pi)\ (t \in [0,2\pi])$ by $x(t)\ (t \in \mathbb{R})$. So, $x(t)$ is the solution of the problem (1.1). The proof is complete.

4. An Example

Consider the system

$$x'' + \frac{2}{3}\sin t x' + 6x + \cos x = p(t), \tag{4.1}$$

where $p(t) = p(t+2\pi)$ is a continuous function. Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \inf_{\mathbb{R}^3}(f_x) = \inf_{\mathbb{R}^3}(6-\sin x) = 5, \\ \beta &= \sup_{\mathbb{R}^3}(f_x) = \sup_{\mathbb{R}^3}(6-\sin x) = 7, \\ \gamma &= \sup_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f_{x'}| = \sup_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left|\frac{2}{3}\sin t\right| = \frac{2}{3} \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

satisfy Theorem 1.1, then there is a unique 2π-periodic solution in this system.

Acknowledgments

The author expresses sincere thanks to Professor Yong Li for useful discussion. He would like to thank the reviewers for helpful comments on an earlier draft of this paper.

References

[1] C. Bereanu and J. Mawhin, "Existence and multiplicity results for some nonlinear problems with singular ϕ-Laplacian," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 243, no. 2, pp. 536–557, 2007.
[2] J. Ehme, P. W. Eloe, and J. Henderson, "Upper and lower solution methods for fully nonlinear boundary value problems," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 180, no. 1, pp. 51–64, 2002.
[3] R. Kannan and V. Lakshmikantham, "Existence of periodic solutions of nonlinear boundary value problems and the method of upper and lower solutions," *Applicable Analysis*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 103–113, 1983.
[4] H.-W. Knobloch, "On the existence of periodic solutions for second order vector differential equations," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 9, pp. 67–85, 1971.
[5] H. W. Knobloch and K. Schmitt, "Non-linear boundary value problems for systems of differential equations," *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh. Section A*, vol. 78, no. 1-2, pp. 139–159, 1977.
[6] Y. Liu and W. Ge, "Positive periodic solutions of nonlinear Duffing equations with delay and variable coefficients," *Tamsui Oxford Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 235–255, 2004.
[7] R. Ortega and M. Tarallo, "Almost periodic upper and lower solutions," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 193, no. 2, pp. 343–358, 2003.

[8] I. Rachůnková and M. Tvrdý, "Existence results for impulsive second-order periodic problems," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 59, no. 1-2, pp. 133–146, 2004.
[9] K. Schmitt, "Periodic solutions of linear second order differential equations with deviating argument," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 26, pp. 282–285, 1970.
[10] S. Sędziwy, "Nonlinear periodic boundary value problem for a second order ordinary differential equation," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 32, no. 7, pp. 881–890, 1998.
[11] Y. Li, "Boundary value problems for nonlinear ordinary differential equations," *Northeastern Mathematical Journal*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 297–302, 1990.
[12] D. S. Mitrinović, *Analytic Inequalities*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1970.