Fixed Points of Log-Linear Discrete Dynamics

KEN SAWADA^{2,*} and YOSHIO TOGAWA^b

^a Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Commerce, Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan; ^b Department of Information Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Science University of Tokyo, Japan

(Received 6 December 1996)

In this paper we study the fixed points of the Log-linear discrete dynamics. We show that almost all Log-linear dynamics have at most two fixed points which is a generalization of Soni's result.

Keywords: Log-linear discrete dynamics, Fixed points

1 INTRODUCTION

The log-linear discrete dynamics

$$f_i(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \frac{c_i x_1^{a_{i1}} \cdots x_n^{a_{in}}}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_1^{a_{i1}} \cdots x_n^{a_{in}}}, \quad i = 1,\ldots,n,$$

have been studied originally as a socio-spacial dynamic model by Dendrinos and Sonis [1]. Many interesting phenomena, for example strange attractors, pitch folk like bifurcations and invariant circles [1–5] have been found to be contained in them.

The log-linear dynamics maps depict a family of dynamics defined systematically by matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ and vector $\vec{c} = (c_1, ..., c_n)^T$; like other such families of dynamics (for instance the Lotka-Volterra dynamics) they are a definitive object of mathematical studies. Therefore a thorough analysis of the log-linear dynamics is

necessary because of the importance not only from an applicational view point but also from a pure mathematical view point.

In this paper we investigate the fixed points of the dynamics as our first step of a more extended mathematical study of the log-linear discrete dynamics. We define a real valued function on R, which plays a key role in counting the number of the fixed points found in the map, and we prove that almost all dynamics have at most two fixed points. This result is a generalization of Sonis's result [4].

2 DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

We begin with some notations and definitions. For an *n*-dimensional vector $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$, let $(\vec{x})_i$ be the *i*th component of \vec{x} , i.e., $(\vec{x})_i = x_i$.

^{*} Corresponding author.

Let

the *n* dimensional unit matrix,
$$\vec{u} = (1, ..., 1)^{\mathrm{T}} \in R^{n},$$

$$R^{n+} = \{\vec{x} \in R^{n} \mid x_{i} > 0 \text{ for } i = 1, ..., n\},$$

$$\mathring{\Delta}^{n-1} = \{\vec{x} \in R^{n} \mid \vec{x} \cdot \vec{u} = 1, x_{i} > 0 \text{ for } i = 1, ..., n\}.$$

 $E = \operatorname{diag}(1, \ldots, 1),$

For an $n \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$, \vec{a}_i denotes the *i*th column vector of A, i.e.,

$$\vec{a}_i = (a_{1i}, \ldots, a_{ni})^{\mathrm{T}}, \quad A = (\vec{a}_1, \ldots, \vec{a}_n).$$

Given an $n \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ and n positive real numbers c_1, \ldots, c_n , we define a vector $\vec{\gamma}$ and functions g_i, \vec{g}, g, f_i and \vec{f} defined on R^{n+} as follows:

$$ec{\gamma} = (\log c_1, \dots, \log c_n)^{\mathrm{T}},$$
 $g_i(\vec{x}) = c_i \, x_1^{a_{i1}} \cdots x_n^{a_{in}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$
 $ec{g}(\vec{x}) = (g_1(\vec{x}), \dots, g_n(\vec{x}))^{\mathrm{T}},$
 $g(\vec{x}) = \vec{g} \cdot \vec{u} = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\vec{x}),$
 $f_i(\vec{x}) = \frac{g_i(\vec{x})}{g(\vec{x})},$
 $ec{f}(\vec{x}) = (f_1(\vec{x}), \dots, f_n(\vec{x}))^{\mathrm{T}}.$

Since $\vec{f}(\vec{x}) \cdot \vec{u} = 1$, the map \vec{f} gives dynamics on the (n-1)-simplex Δ^{n-1} .

We call this dynamics the log-linear discrete dynamics.

For a vector $\vec{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let

$$A[\vec{d}] = (\vec{a}_1 + d_1\vec{u}, \dots, \vec{a}_n + d_n\vec{u}).$$

If we modify a matrix A to a matrix $A[\vec{d}]$, then the function $g_i(\vec{x})$ becomes

$$c_i x_1^{a_{i1}+d_1} \cdots x_n^{a_{in}+d_n} = g_i(\vec{x}) x_1^{d_1} \cdots x_n^{d_n}$$

and the function $g(\vec{x})$ becomes

$$g(\vec{x})x_1^{d_1}\cdots x_n^{d_n}$$
.

This implies that the dynamics \vec{f} do not change under the modification \vec{A} to $A[\vec{d}]$.

Therefore as the canonical form of a matrix A, we can consider, for example [1],

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0, & \dots, & 0 \\ *, & \dots, & * \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ *, & \dots, & * \end{bmatrix}.$$

However we will not restrict a matrix A in the canonical form, to keep a free hand for perturbations in the set of $n \times n$ matrices M(n).

Let $V = \{A \in M(n) \mid \det(A-E) = 0\}$ and $\widetilde{M}(n) = M(n) - V = \{A \in M(n) \mid \det(A-E) \neq 0\}$. Then since $\det(A-E)$ is a polynomial function of a_{ij} 's, V is a (n^2-1) -dimensional surface in n^2 -dimensional space M(n). Hence V is a thin set in M(n) and almost all matrices belong to $\widetilde{M}(n)$. Moreover even if A is in V, one can modify A to $A[\vec{d}]$ in $\widetilde{M}(n)$ except for the few and rare cases discussed later.

Suppose that $A \in \widetilde{M}(n)$. We define functions of a positive variable t as follows:

$$\varphi_i(t) = \frac{t^{(B\vec{u})_i}}{e^{(B\vec{\gamma})_i}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$\vec{\varphi}(t) = (\varphi_1(t), \dots, \varphi_n(t)),$$

and

$$\Phi(t) = \vec{\varphi}(t) \cdot \vec{u},$$

where $B = (A - E)^{-1}$. Note that $\Phi(t)$ is not a constant function and that $\vec{\varphi}(t) \in \mathring{\Delta}^{n-1}$ if and only if $\Phi(t) = 1$.

3 FIXED POINTS

Suppose that \vec{x} is a fixed point of \vec{f} , that is $\vec{f}(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}$. We can find this fixed point of \vec{f} by

solving the nonlinear equation system

$$g_1(\vec{x}) = x_1 g(\vec{x}),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$g_n(\vec{x}) = x_n g(\vec{x}),$$

$$\vec{x} \cdot \vec{u} = 1.$$

However we note that it is difficult to solve this nonlinear equation system even numerically.

The following theorem shows that we can find all fixed points of \vec{f} by solving a single nonlinear equation,

$$\Phi(t) = 1, \quad t > 0 \tag{*}$$

whose numerical solutions can be easily obtained.

THEOREM 1 Let $A \in \widetilde{M}(n)$. Suppose that the equation (*) has m distinct solutions t_1, \ldots, t_m . Then \vec{f} has just m fixed points $\vec{\varphi}(t_1), \ldots, \vec{\varphi}(t_m)$.

Proof Suppose that $\vec{f}(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}$. Then $g_i(\vec{x}) = x_i t$, i = 1, ..., n, where $t = g(\vec{x})$ i.e.,

$$c_i x_1^{a_{i1}} \cdots x_n^{a_{in}} = x_i t, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Taking logarithms on both sides, we have

$$\gamma_i + \sum_j a_{ij} \log x_j = \log x_i + \log t, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$
$$(A - E)(\log x_1, \dots, \log x_n)^{\mathrm{T}} = -\vec{\gamma} + (\log t)\vec{u}.$$

Since A-E has the inverse matrix B, one has

$$(\log x_1, \dots, \log x_n)^{\mathrm{T}} = -B\vec{\gamma} + \log t \cdot B\vec{u},$$

$$\log x_i = -(B\vec{\gamma})_i + \log t \cdot (B\vec{u})_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Therefore one obtains

$$x_i = \frac{t^{(B\vec{u})_i}}{e^{(B\vec{\gamma})_i}} = \varphi_i(t), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Since $\vec{x} \cdot \vec{u} = 1$, t is a solution of $\Phi(t) = 1$.

Conversely we show that if \hat{t} is a solution of the equation (*), then $\vec{\varphi}(\hat{t})$ is a fixed point of \vec{f} .

First we notice that AB = B + E since E = (A-E)B = AB-B. Then

$$g_{i}(\varphi(t)) = c_{i}(\varphi(t))^{a_{i1}} \cdots (\varphi_{n}(t))^{a_{in}}$$

$$= c_{i} \left(\frac{t^{(B\vec{u})_{1}}}{e^{(B\vec{\gamma})_{1}}}\right)^{a_{i1}} \cdots \left(\frac{t^{(B\vec{u})_{n}}}{e^{(B\vec{\gamma})_{n}}}\right)^{a_{in}}$$

$$= c_{i} \frac{t^{a_{i1}(B\vec{u})_{1} + \cdots + a_{in}(B\vec{u})_{n}}}{e^{a_{i1}(B\vec{\gamma})_{1} + \cdots + a_{in}(B\vec{\gamma})_{n}}}$$

$$= c_{i} \frac{t^{(AB\vec{u})_{i}}}{e^{(AB\vec{\gamma})_{i}}} = c_{i} \frac{t^{(B\vec{u})_{i} + 1}}{e^{(B\vec{\gamma})_{i} + \gamma_{i}}}$$

$$= t\varphi_{i}(t), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

and

$$g(\vec{\varphi}(t)) = \vec{g}(\vec{\varphi}(t)) \cdot \vec{u}$$
$$= (t \vec{\varphi}(t)) \cdot \vec{u} = t\Phi(t).$$

Hence

$$f_i(\vec{\varphi}(t)) = \frac{g_i(\vec{\varphi}(t))}{g(\vec{\varphi}(t))} = \frac{\varphi_i(t)}{\Phi(t)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Therefore if \hat{t} is a solution of the equation, then

$$f_i(\vec{\varphi}(\hat{t})) = \frac{\varphi_i(\hat{t})}{\Phi(\hat{t})} = \varphi_i(\hat{t}), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

so that $\vec{\varphi}(\hat{t})$ is a fixed point of \vec{f} .

Finally if \hat{t} and \tilde{t} are distinct solutions of the equation, then $\varphi_1(\hat{t}) \neq \varphi_1(\tilde{t})$ since $\varphi_1(t)$ is a monotone function. Hence $\vec{\varphi}(t_1), \dots, \vec{\varphi}(t_m)$ are distinct.

In Section 5 we give Example 5 in which the coefficients c_1, c_2, c_3 are all equal to 1. Then the equation has no solution. In general:

PROPOSITION 1 Suppose that $A \in \widetilde{M}(n)$ and $c_1 = \cdots = c_n = 1$. Then the equation (*) has:

- 1. one solution if $(B\vec{u})_1 > 0, ..., (B\vec{u})_n > 0$,
- 2. one solution if $(B\vec{u})_1 < 0, \dots, (B\vec{u})_n < 0$,
- 3. no solution if $(B\vec{u})_i \ge 0$, $(B\vec{u})_j \le 0$ for some $1 \le i, j \le n$.

Proof In case (1) (resp. (2)), $\Phi(t)$ is an increasing (resp. decreasing) function and

$$\lim_{t\to+0}\Phi(t)=0\ (resp.\ \infty),\ \lim_{t\to\infty}\Phi(t)=\infty\ (resp.\ 0).$$

Therefore the equation has unique solution. In case (3)

$$\Phi(t) > \varphi_i(t) = \frac{t^{(B\vec{u})_i}}{e^{(B\vec{\gamma})_i}} = t^{(B\vec{u})_i} \ge 1 \quad \text{for any } t \ge 1$$

and

$$\Phi(t) > \varphi_j(t) = \frac{t^{(B\vec{u})_j}}{e^{(B\vec{\gamma})_j}} = t^{(B\vec{u})_j} \ge 1 \quad \text{for any } t < 1$$

since $\vec{\gamma} = \vec{0}$. Therefore the equation has no solution.

4 THE NUMBER OF FIXED POINTS

In this section we prove that *almost all* log-linear dynamics have at most two fixed points.

We first prove:

LEMMA 1 Suppose that

$$h(t) = a_1 t^{\alpha_1} + a_2 t^{\alpha_2} + \cdots + a_n t^{\alpha_n}$$

where $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \cdots > \alpha_n$ and $\alpha_n = 0$.

- (1) If $a_1, ..., a_n > 0$, then h(t) > 0 for all t > 0.
- (2) If $a_1, ..., a_k > 0$, $a_{k+1}, ..., a_n < 0$ for some $k (1 \le k < n)$, then

$$h(t) < 0, \quad 0 < t < t_0,$$

 $h(t_0) = 0, \quad t = t_0,$
 $h(t) > 0, \quad t_0 < t$

for some $t_0 > 0$.

Proof Note that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}h(t)=\infty.$$

Lemma 1 is true when n = 2. We may therefore proceed by induction, assuming Lemma 1 true for n.

Let

$$h(t) = a_1 t^{\alpha_1} + a_2 t^{\alpha_2} + \dots + a_n t^{\alpha_n} + a_{n+1} t^{\alpha_n + 1}$$

$$(\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \dots > \alpha_n > \alpha_{n+1} = 0).$$

Then

$$h'(t) = a_1 \alpha_1 t^{\alpha_1 - 1} + a_2 \alpha_2 t^{\alpha_2 - 1} + \dots + a_n \alpha_n t^{\alpha_n - 1}$$

since $\alpha_{n+1} = 0$. We write h'(t) in the form

$$h'(t) = t^{\alpha_n - 1} k(t),$$

where

$$k(t) = b_1 t^{\beta_1} + \dots + b_n t^{\beta_n},$$

$$b_1 = a_1 \alpha_1, \dots, b_n = a_n \alpha_n,$$

$$\beta_1 = \alpha_1 - \alpha_n, \dots, \beta_n = \alpha_n - \alpha_n = 0.$$

Note that $\beta_1 > \beta_2 > \cdots > \beta_n = 0$. If $a_1, \ldots, a_{n+1} > 0$, then $b_1, \ldots, b_n > 0$, so k(t) > 0, t > 0 by the assumption. Since $h(0) = a_{n+1} > 0$ and h'(t) > 0 for all t > 0, h(t) > 0 for all t > 0, so that (1) holds.

If $a_1, ..., a_n > 0$ and $a_{n+1} < 0$, then $b_1, ..., b_n > 0$, so $h(0) = a_{n+1} < 0$ and h'(t) > 0 for all t > 0. Since

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}h(t)=\infty,$$

there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that:

$$h(t) < 0, \quad 0 < t < t_0,$$

 $h(t_0) = 0, \quad t = t_0,$
 $h(t) > 0, \quad t_0 < t.$

If $a_1, \ldots, a_k > 0$ and $a_{k+1}, \ldots, a_{n+1} < 0$ for some $k \ (1 \le k < n)$, then $b_1, \ldots, b_k > 0$ and $b_{k+1}, \ldots, b_n < 0$. Hence there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that:

$$h'(t) < 0, \quad 0 < t < t_0,$$

 $h'(t_0) = 0, \quad t = t_0,$
 $h'(t) > 0, \quad t_0 < t.$

Moreover since $h(0) = a_{n+1} < 0$, h(t) < 0 for $0 < t \le t_0$.

Since h'(t) > 0 for all $t > t_0$ and $\lim_{t \to \infty} h(t) = \infty$, there exits $t'_0 > t_0 > 0$ such that:

$$h(t) < 0, \quad 0 < t < t'_0,$$

 $h(t_0) = 0, \quad t = t'_0,$
 $h(t) > 0, \quad t'_0 < t.$

Therefore (2) holds.

THEOREM 2 Almost all log-linear dynamics have at most two fixed points.

Proof It suffices to show that the equation (*)

$$\Phi(t) = 1, \quad t > 0 \tag{*}$$

has at most two solutions.

Without the loss of generality, we may write

$$\Phi(t) = a_1 t^{\alpha_1} + \dots + a_l t^{\alpha_l} + \text{const.}$$

where $a_1, \ldots, a_l > 0$ and $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \cdots \alpha_l$.

If $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l > 0$ (resp. < 0), then by the same arguments as the proof of Proposition 1, equation (*) has a unique solution.

Suppose the $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k > 0$ and $\alpha_{k+1}, \ldots, \alpha_l < 0$ for some $k \ (1 \le k < l)$. Then

$$\Phi'(t) = a_1 \alpha_1 t^{\alpha_1 - 1} + \dots + a_l \alpha_l t^{\alpha_l - 1}$$
$$= \alpha_1 a_1 t^{\alpha_l - 1} (b_1 t^{\beta_1} + \dots + b_l t^{\beta_l}),$$

where

$$\beta_1 = \alpha_1 - \alpha_l, \dots, \beta_l = \alpha_l - \alpha_l,$$

$$b_1 = 1, \quad b_2 = \frac{\alpha_2 a_2}{\alpha_1 a_1}, \dots, b_l = \frac{\alpha_l a_l}{\alpha_l a_l}.$$

Note that $\beta_1 > \cdots > \beta_l = 0$, $b_1, \ldots, b_k > 0$ and $b_{k+1}, \ldots, b_l < 0$. By Lemma 1, there exist $t_0 > 0$ such that:

$$\Phi'(t) < 0, \quad 0 < t < t_0,$$
 $\Phi'(t_0) = 0, \quad t = t_0,$
 $\Phi'(t) > 0, \quad t_0 < t.$

Therefore $\Phi(t)$ is monotonically decreasing for $t < t_0$ and $\Phi(t)$ is monotonically increasing for $t > t_0$.

Since

$$\lim_{t\to+0}\Phi(t)=\infty,\qquad \lim_{t\to\infty}\Phi(t)=\infty,$$

the number of solutions is 0, 1 or 2 depending on the value of $\Phi(t_0)$. Hence the number of the fixed points is at most two.

Remark We suppose in Theorems 1 and 2 that A-E is invertible. As the coefficients of A are taken randomly, the probability that A-E is noninvertible is zero. However, when the coefficients are restricted to integers, or when one changes an entry of A continuously, one often has to consider a matrix A with $\det(A-E)=0$. So we will study the case A-E when it is noninvertible.

Suppose that det(A-E) = 0. In this case one may try to modify A to $A[\vec{d}]$ so that $det(A[\vec{d}]-E) \neq 0$.

Let
$$C = (c_{ij}) = A - E$$
. Since

$$\det(A[\vec{d}] - E) = \det(C[\vec{d}]) = \det(C)$$

$$+ d_1 \det(\vec{u}, \vec{c}_2, \dots, \vec{c}_n)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$+ d_n \det(\vec{c}_1, \dots, \vec{c}_{n-1}, \vec{u}),$$

one can choose \vec{d} so that $\det(A[\vec{d}] - E) \neq 0$ except for the case where

$$\det(\vec{u}, \vec{c}_2, \dots, \vec{c}_n) = \dots = \det(\vec{c}_1, \dots, \vec{c}_{n-1}, \vec{u}) = 0.$$

Example Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\det(A - E) = \det\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 1\\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

174

and

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \det\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \det\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

So one cannot modify A to $A[\vec{d}]$ with $\det(A[\vec{d}] - E) \neq 0$. For this example, one can get fixed points by simple calculations.

Suppose that $c_1 = 1$. Then $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^T$ is a fixed point if and only if

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 1,$$
 $x_1, x_2, x_3 > 0,$

$$c_2x_1x_2x_3 = c_3(x_1x_2x_3)^2 = 1.$$

This system of equations has no solution except for the case where

$$c_3 = c_2^2, \quad c_2 > 27,$$

in which case the fixed points make a closed curve in the 2-simplex.

5 EXAMPLE

In this section we give some numerical examples illustrating the forms of the function $\Phi(t)$.

Example 1:

$$c_1 = 1, \quad c_2 = 1, \quad c_3 = 1,$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -3 & -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\Phi(t) = t + t^2 + t^3$$

is monotonically increasing and the equation has one solution.

Example 2:

$$c_1 = 1$$
, $c_2 = 1$, $c_3 = 1$,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\Phi(t) = \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{t^2} + \frac{1}{t^3}$$

is monotonically decreasing and the equation has one solution.

Example 3:

$$c_1 = 1$$
, $c_2 = 7$, $c_3 = 50$,

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 3 & 0 & 2.5 \\ 2 & 0.5 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Then

$$\Phi(t) = 0.209128t^{0.2} + 0.123576t^{0.488889} + \frac{0.768706}{t^{0.355556}}$$

has one minimum (< 1) and the equation has two solutions.

Example 4:

$$c_1 = 1$$
, $c_2 = 7$, $c_3 = 50$,

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 3 & 0 & -2.57419151135 \\ 2 & 0.5 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Then

$$\Phi(t) = 0.209128t^{0.2} + 0.124635t^{0.500423} + \frac{0.771993}{t^{0.349789}}$$

has one minimum (=1) and the equation has one solution.

Example 5:

$$c_1 = 1, \quad c_2 = 1, \quad c_3 = 1,$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 3 & 0 & -2.5 \\ 2 & 0.5 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\Phi(t) = t^{0.2} + t^{0.488889} + \frac{1}{t^{0.355556}}$$

has one minimum (>1) and the equation has no solution.

References

- [1] D.S. Dendrinos and M. Sonis, 1990, Chaos and sociospatial dynamics, *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 86, Springer-Verlag.
- [2] D.S. Dendrinos, 1993, Entropy oscillations and the discrete spacial relative dynamics map, *Socio-Spatial Dynamics* 4(1/2), 1–12.
- [3] D.S. Dendrinos, 1990, Spatial heterogeneity, relative dynamics, and chaotic behavior, *Socio-Spatial Dynamics* 4(3), 161–177.
- [4] M. Sonis, 1990, Universality of relative socio-spatial dynamics, *Socio-Spatial Dynamics* 1(3), 179–204.
- [5] M. Sonis, 1993, Entropy oscillations and the discrete spacial relative dynamics map: A rejoinder, *Socio-Spatial Dynamics* 4(1/2), 13-23.