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Using generalized variational principle and Riccati technique, new oscillation criteria are established for forced second-order differential equation with mixed nonlinearities, which improve and generalize some recent papers in the literature.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the second-order forced differential equation with mixed nonlinearities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t)\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+p(t)|y(t)|^{\alpha-1} y(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{m} q_{j}(t)|y(t)|^{\beta_{j}-1} y(t)=e(t), \quad t \geq t_{0} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r, p, q_{j}(1 \leq j \leq m), e \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ with $r(t)>0$ and $0<\alpha<\beta_{1}<\beta_{2}<\cdots<\beta_{m}$ are real numbers, $p, q_{j}(1 \leq j \leq m)$, and $e$ might change signs.

In this paper, we are concerned with the nonhomogeneous equation (1.1). By a solution of (1.1), we mean that a function $y \in C^{1}\left[T_{y}, \infty\right)\left(T_{y} \geq t_{0}\right.$, where $T_{y} \geq t_{0}$ depends on the particular solution) which has the property $p(t)\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} y^{\prime}(t) \in C^{1}\left[T_{y}, \infty\right)$ and satisfies (1.1). A nontrivial solution of (1.1) is called oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros; otherwise, it is said to be nonoscillatory. Equation (1.1) is said to be oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory.

When $m=0$, we have the following second-order half-linear differential equation without or with forcing term:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(r(t)\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+q(t)|y(t)|^{\alpha-1} y(t)=0, \quad t \geq t_{0}  \tag{1.2}\\
\left(r(t)\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+q(t)|y(t)|^{\alpha-1} y(t)=e(t), \quad t \geq t_{0} \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

There are a lot of papers involved oscillation (see [1-6]) for these equations since the foundation work of Elbert [2]. In paper [1], using Leighton's variational principle (see [3]) for (1.3), the following result was obtained by Li and Cheng.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose that for any $T \geq t_{0}$, there exist $T \leq s_{1}<t_{1} \leq s_{2}<t_{2}$ such that $e(t) \leq 0$ for $t \in\left[s_{1}, t_{1}\right]$ and $e(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in\left[s_{2}, t_{2}\right]$. Let $D\left(s_{i}, t_{i}\right)=\left\{u \in C^{1}\left[s_{i}, t_{i}\right]: u(t) \not \equiv 0, u\left(s_{i}\right)=u\left(t_{i}\right)=0\right\}$ for $i=1,2$. If there exist $H \in D\left(s_{i}, t_{i}\right)$ and a positive, nondecreasing function $\rho \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{s_{i}}^{t_{i}} H^{2}(t) \rho(t) q(t) d t>\left(\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\right)^{\alpha+1} \int_{s_{i}}^{t_{i}} \frac{r(t) \rho(t)}{|H(t)|^{\alpha-1}}\left(2\left|H^{\prime}(t)\right|+|H(t)| \frac{\rho^{\prime}}{\rho}\right)^{\alpha+1} d t \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=1,2$. Then, (1.3) is oscillatory.
Unfortunately, Theorem 1.1 cannot be applied to the case where $\alpha>1$, since for $\rho(t) \equiv$ 1 , the term $|H(t)|^{\alpha-1}$ will appear as a denominator in (1.4) so that the requirement $H\left(s_{i}\right)=$ $H\left(t_{i}\right)=0$ will cause trouble. This certainly calls for investigation of oscillation criteria that can handle with such cases.

When $\alpha=1$, (1.2) and (1.3) are reduced to the linear differential equation:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(r(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+q(t) y(t)=0, \quad t \geq t_{0}  \tag{1.5}\\
\left(r(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+q(t) y(t)=e(t), \quad t \geq t_{0} \tag{1.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

In paper [7], Wong proved the following result for (1.6).
Theorem 1.2. Suppose that for any $T \geq t_{0}$, there exist $T \leq s_{1}<t_{1} \leq s_{2}<t_{2}$ such that $e(t) \leq 0$ for $t \in\left[s_{1}, t_{1}\right]$ and $e(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in\left[s_{2}, t_{2}\right]$. Let $D\left(s_{i}, t_{i}\right)=\left\{u \in C^{1}\left[s_{i}, t_{i}\right]: u(t) \neq 0, u\left(s_{i}\right)=u\left(t_{i}\right)=0\right\}$ for $i=1$, 2. If there exists $u \in D\left(s_{i}, t_{i}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{i}(u):=\int_{s_{i}}^{t_{i}}\left[q(t) u^{2}(t)-r(t)\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}\right] d t>0, \quad i=1,2, \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (1.6) is oscillatory.
On the other hand, among the oscillation criteria, Komkov [8] gave a generalized Leighton's variational principle, which also can be applied to oscillation for (1.5).

Theorem 1.3. Suppose that there exist a $C^{1}$ function $u(t)$ defined on $\left[s_{1}, t_{1}\right]$ and a function $G(u)$ such that $G(u(t))$ is not constant on $\left[s_{1}, t_{1}\right], G\left(u\left(s_{1}\right)\right)=G\left(u\left(t_{1}\right)\right)=0, g(u)=G^{\prime}(u)$ is continuous,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{s_{1}}^{t_{1}}\left[q(t) G(u(t))-r(t)\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}\right] d t>0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $(g(u(t)))^{2} \leq 4 G(u(t))$ for $t \in\left[s_{1}, t_{1}\right]$. Then, every solution of (1.5) must vanish on $\left[s_{1}, t_{1}\right]$.
We note that when $G(u) \equiv u^{2}$, the left-hand side of (1.8) is the energy functional related to (1.5).

When $p(t) \equiv 0, m=1$, (1.1) turns into the quasilinear differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r(t)\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+q(t)|y(t)|^{\beta-1} y(t)=e(t), \quad t \geq t_{0} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p, q, e \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ with $p(t)>0$ and $0<\alpha \leq \beta$ being constants. In paper [9], using the generalized variational principle, Shao proved the following result for (1.9).

Theorem 1.4. Assume that for any $T \geq t_{0}$, there exist $T \leq s_{1}<t_{1} \leq s_{2}<t_{2}$ such that

$$
e(t) \begin{cases}\leq 0, & t \in\left[s_{1}, t_{1}\right]  \tag{1.10}\\ \geq 0, & t \in\left[s_{2}, t_{2}\right]\end{cases}
$$

Let $u \in C^{1}\left[s_{i}, t_{i}\right]$ and nonnegative functions $G_{1}, G_{2}$ satisfying $G_{i}\left(u\left(s_{i}\right)\right)=G_{i}\left(u\left(t_{i}\right)\right)=0, g_{i}(u)=$ $G_{i}^{\prime}(u)$ are continuous and $\left(g_{i}(u(t))\right)^{\alpha+1} \leq(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} G_{i}^{\alpha}(u(t))$ for $t \in\left[s_{i}, t_{i}\right], i=1,2$. If there exists a positive function $\phi \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{i}^{\phi}(u):=\int_{s_{i}}^{t_{i}} \phi(t)\left[Q_{e}(t) G_{i}(u(t))-r(t)\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|+\frac{G_{i}^{1 /(\alpha+1)}(u(t))\left|\phi^{\prime}(t)\right|}{(\alpha+1) \phi(t)}\right)^{\alpha+1}\right] d t>0 \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=1,2$. Then (1.9) is oscillatory, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{e}(t)=\alpha^{-\alpha / \beta} \beta(\beta-\alpha)^{(\alpha-\beta) / \beta}[q(t)]^{\alpha / \beta}|e(t)|^{(\beta-\alpha) / \beta} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the convention that $0^{0}=1$.
Recently, using Riccati transformation, the following oscillation criteria were given for (1.1) by Zheng et al. [10].

Theorem 1.5. Assume that for any $T \geq t_{0}$, there exist $T \leq s_{1}<t_{1} \leq s_{2}<t_{2}$ such that $q_{j}(t) \geq 0(1 \leq$ $j \leq m)$ for $t \in\left[s_{1}, t_{1}\right] \cup\left[s_{2}, t_{2}\right]$ and

$$
e(t) \begin{cases}\leq 0, & t \in\left[s_{1}, t_{1}\right]  \tag{1.13}\\ \geq 0, & t \in\left[s_{2}, t_{2}\right]\end{cases}
$$

Let $D\left(s_{i}, t_{i}\right)=\left\{u \in C^{1}\left[s_{i}, t_{i}\right]: u^{\alpha+1}(t)>0, t \in\left(s_{i}, t_{i}\right), u\left(s_{i}\right)=u\left(t_{i}\right)=0\right\}$ for $i=1$, 2. If there exist $H \in D\left(s_{i}, t_{i}\right)$ and a positive function $\phi \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{s_{i}}^{t_{i}} \phi(t)\left[\left(p(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{m} Q_{j}(t)\right) H^{\alpha+1}(t)-r(t)\left(\left|H^{\prime}(t)\right|+\frac{\left|H(t) \phi^{\prime}(t)\right|}{(\alpha+1) \phi(t)}\right)^{\alpha+1}\right] d t>0 \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=1,2$. Then (1.1) is oscillatory, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{j}(t)=\alpha^{-\alpha / \beta_{j}} \beta_{j}\left[m\left(\beta_{j}-\alpha\right)\right]^{\left(\alpha-\beta_{j}\right) / \beta_{j}}\left[q_{j}(t)\right]^{\alpha / \beta_{j}}|e(t)|^{\left(\beta_{j}-\alpha\right) / \beta_{j}}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m, \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the convention that $0^{0}=1$.
The purpose of this paper is to obtain new oscillation criteria for (1.1) based on generalized variational principles. Roughly, if the existence of a "positive" solution of a functional relation implies the "positivity" of an associated functional over a set of "admissible" functions, then we say that a variational oscillation principle is valid. For instance, in Theorem 1.1, $H \in D\left(s_{i}, t_{i}\right)$ is admissible, and the functional is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{s_{i}}^{t_{i}}\left\{\left(\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\right)^{\alpha+1} \frac{p(t) \rho(t)}{|H(t)|^{\alpha-1}}\left(2\left|H^{\prime}(t)\right|+|H(t)| \frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)}\right)^{\alpha+1}-H^{2}(t) \rho(t) q(t)\right\} d t \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our emphasis will be directed towards oscillation criteria that are closely related to the generalized energy functional (the generalization of ( $\alpha+1$ )-degree energy functional) for half-linear equations (see [4, 11-13] for more details on these functionals), which improve the results mentioned above. Examples will also be given to illustrate the effectiveness of our main results.

## 2. Main Results

Firstly, we give an inequality, which is a transformation of Young's inequality.
Lemma 2.1 (see [14]). Suppose that $X$ and $Y$ are nonnegative, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma X Y^{\gamma-1}-X^{r} \leq(\gamma-1) Y^{r}, \quad r>1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where equality holds if and only if $X=Y$.
Now, we will give our main results.
Theorem 2.2. Assume that for any $T \geq t_{0}$, there exist $T \leq s_{1}<t_{1} \leq s_{2}<t_{2}$ such that

$$
e(t) \begin{cases}\leq 0, & t \in\left[s_{1}, t_{1}\right]  \tag{2.2}\\ \geq 0, & t \in\left[s_{2}, t_{2}\right]\end{cases}
$$

Let $u \in C^{1}\left[s_{i}, t_{i}\right]$ and nonnegative functions $G_{1}, G_{2}$ satisfying $G_{i}\left(u\left(s_{i}\right)\right)=G_{i}\left(u\left(t_{i}\right)\right)=0, g_{i}(u)=$ $G_{i}^{\prime}(u)$ are continuous and $\left(g_{i}(u(t))\right)^{\alpha+1} \leq(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} G_{i}^{\alpha}(u(t))$ for $t \in\left[s_{i}, t_{i}\right], i=1,2$. If there exists a positive function $\phi \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{i}^{\phi}(u):=\int_{s_{i}}^{t_{i}} \phi(t) & {\left[G_{i}(u(t))\left(p(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{m} Q_{j}(t)\right)\right.}  \tag{2.3}\\
& \left.\quad-r(t)\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|+\frac{G_{i}^{1 /(\alpha+1)}(u(t))\left|\phi^{\prime}(t)\right|}{(\alpha+1) \phi(t)}\right)^{\alpha+1}\right] d t>0 \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

for $i=1,2$, where $Q_{j}(t)$ is defined as (1.15) with the convention that $0^{0}=1$. Then, (1.1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there is a nontrivial nonoscillatory solution $y=y(t)$. We assume that $y(t) \neq 0$ on $\left[T_{0}, \infty\right)$ for some $T_{0} \geq t_{0}$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(t)=\phi(t) \frac{r(t)\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} y^{\prime}(t)}{|y(t)|^{\alpha-1} y(t)}, \quad t \geq T_{0} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then differentiating (2.5) and making use of (1.1), it follows that for all $t \geq T_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}(t)=\frac{\phi^{\prime}(t)}{\phi(t)} w(t)-\phi(t) p(t)+\frac{\phi(t) e(t)}{|y(t)|^{\alpha-1} y(t)}-\alpha \frac{|w(t)|^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}}{(r(t) \phi(t))^{1 / \alpha}}-\phi(t) \sum_{j=1}^{m} q_{j}(t)|y|^{\beta_{j}-\alpha} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the assumptions, we can choose $s_{i}, t_{i} \geq T_{0}$ for $i=1,2$ so that $e(t) \leq 0$ on the interval $I_{1}=\left[s_{1}, t_{1}\right]$, with $s_{1}<t_{1}$ and $y(t) \geq 0$, or $e(t) \geq 0$ on the interval $I_{2}=\left[s_{2}, t_{2}\right]$, with $s_{2}<t_{2}$ and $y(t) \leq 0$. For given $t \in I_{1}$ or $t \in I_{2}$, set $F_{j}(x)=q_{j}(t) x^{\beta_{j}-\alpha}-e(t) / m x^{\alpha}, 1 \leq j \leq m$, we have $F_{j}^{\prime}\left(x_{j}^{*}\right)=0, F_{j}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{j}^{*}\right)>0$, where $x_{j}^{*}=\left[-\alpha e(t) / m\left(\beta_{j}-\alpha\right) q_{j}(t)\right]^{1 / \beta_{j}}$. So, $F_{j}(x)$ obtains it minimum on $x_{j}^{*}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{j}(x) \geq F_{j}\left(x_{j}^{*}\right)=Q_{j}(t) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

So on the interval $I_{1}$ or $I_{2}$, (2.6) and (2.2) imply that $w(t)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(t)\left(p(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{m} Q_{j}(t)\right) \leq-w^{\prime}(t)+\frac{\phi^{\prime}(t)}{\phi(t)} w(t)-\alpha \frac{|w(t)|^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}}{(r(t) \phi(t))^{1 / \alpha}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying $G_{i}(u(t))$ through (2.8) and integrating (2.8) from $s_{i}$ to $t_{i}$, using the fact that $G_{i}\left(u\left(s_{1}\right)\right)=G_{i}\left(u\left(t_{1}\right)\right)=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{s_{i}}^{t_{i}} \phi(t)\left(p(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{m} Q_{j}(t)\right) G_{i}(u(t)) d t \\
& \leq \int_{s_{i}}^{t_{i}} G_{i}(u(t))\left\{-w^{\prime}(t)+\frac{\phi^{\prime}(t)}{\phi(t)} w(t)-\alpha \frac{|w(t)|^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}}{(r(t) \phi(t))^{1 / \alpha}}\right\} d t \\
&=-\left.G_{i}(u(t)) w(t)\right|_{s_{i}} ^{t_{i}}+\int_{s_{i}}^{t_{i}} g_{i}(u(t)) u^{\prime}(t) w(t) d t \\
&+\int_{s_{i}}^{t_{i}} G_{i}(u(t))\left\{\frac{\phi^{\prime}(t)}{\phi(t)} w(t)-\alpha \frac{|w(t)|^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}}{(r(t) \phi(t))^{1 / \alpha}}\right\} d t \\
&= \int_{s_{i}}^{t_{i}}\left[g_{i}(u(t)) u^{\prime}(t)+G_{i}(u(t)) \frac{\phi^{\prime}(t)}{\phi(t)}\right] w(t) d t \\
&-\alpha \int_{s_{i}}^{t_{i}} G_{i}(u(t)) \frac{|w(t)|^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}}{(r(t) \phi(t))^{1 / \alpha}} d t  \tag{2.9}\\
& \leq \int_{s_{i}}^{t_{i}}\left[\left|g_{i}(u(t))\right|\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|+G_{i}(u(t)) \frac{\left|\phi^{\prime}(t)\right|}{\phi(t)}\right]|w(t)| d t \\
& \leq(\alpha+1) \int_{s_{i}}^{t_{i}}\left[G_{i}^{\alpha /(\alpha+1)}(u(t))\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|+G_{i}(u(t)) \frac{\left|\phi^{\prime}(t)\right|}{(\alpha+1) \phi(t)}\right]|w(t)| d t \\
&-\alpha \int_{s_{i}}^{t_{i}} G_{i}(u(t)) \frac{|w(t)|^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}}{(r(t) \phi(t))^{1 / \alpha}} d t \\
& \quad-\alpha \int_{s_{i}}^{t_{i}} G_{i}(u(t)) \frac{|w(t)|^{(\alpha+1) / \alpha}}{(r(t) \phi(t))^{1 / \alpha}} d t . \\
& \leq
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{gather*}
X=\left[\frac{\alpha}{(r(t) \phi(t))^{1 / \alpha}}\right]^{\alpha /(\alpha+1)} G_{i}^{\alpha /(\alpha+1)}|w(t)|, \quad r=1+\frac{1}{\alpha},  \tag{2.10}\\
Y=(\alpha \phi(t) r(t))^{\alpha /(\alpha+1)}\left[\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|+\frac{G_{i}^{1 /(\alpha+1)}\left|\phi^{\prime}(t)\right|}{(\alpha+1) \phi(t)}\right]^{\alpha},
\end{gather*}
$$

by Lemma 2.1 and (2.9), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{s_{i}}^{t_{i}} \phi(t)\left(p(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{m} Q_{j}(t)\right) G_{i}(u(t)) d t \leq \int_{s_{i}}^{t_{i}} \phi(t) r(t)\left[\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|+\frac{G_{i}^{1 /(\alpha+1)}(u(t))\left|\phi^{\prime}(t)\right|}{(\alpha+1) \phi(t)}\right]^{\alpha+1} d t \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contradicts with (2.3). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.
Corollary 2.3. If $\phi(t) \equiv 1$ in Theorem 2.2, and (2.3) is replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{i}(u):=\int_{s_{i}}^{t_{i}}\left[\left(p(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{m} Q_{j}(t)\right) G_{i}(u(t))-r(t)\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha+1}\right] d t>0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=1,2$. Then, (1.1) is oscillatory.
If we choose $G_{1}(u)=G_{2}(u)=u^{\alpha+1}$ in Corollary 2.3, then we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4. Suppose that for any $T \geq t_{0}$, there exist $T \leq s_{1}<t_{1} \leq s_{2}<t_{2}$ such that (2.2) is true. Let $D\left(s_{i}, t_{i}\right)=\left\{u \in C^{1}\left[s_{i}, t_{i}\right]: u(t) \not \equiv 0, u\left(s_{i}\right)=u\left(t_{i}\right)=0\right\}$ for $i=1$, 2. If there exist $u \in D\left(s_{i}, t_{i}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{Q}_{i}(u):=\int_{s_{i}}^{t_{i}}\left[\left(p(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{m} Q_{j}(t)\right)|u(t)|^{\alpha+1}-r(t)\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha+1}\right] d t>0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=1,2$. Then, (1.3) is oscillatory.
Remark 2.5. Corollary 2.4 is closely related to the $(\alpha+1)$-degree functional (1.8), so Theorem 2.2, Corollaries 2.3, and 2.4 are generalizations of Theorem 1.2, and improvement of Theorem 1.1 since the positive constant $\alpha$ in Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.3 can be selected as any number lying in $(0, \infty)$. We note further that in most cases, oscillation criteria are obtained using the same auxiliary function on $\left[s_{1}, t_{1}\right]$ and $\left[s_{2}, t_{2}\right]$, we note that such functions can be selected differently.

Remark 2.6. If $G(u) \equiv u^{\alpha+1}$, then Theorem 2.2 reduces to Theorem 1.5, and if $p(t) \equiv 0, j=1$, Theorem 2.2 reduces to Theorem 1.4. So Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.3 are generalizations of the papers by Zheng et al. [10] and Shao [9].

Remark 2.7. The hypothesis (2.2) in Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.3 can be replaced by the following condition:

$$
e(t) \begin{cases}\geq 0, & t \in\left[s_{1}, t_{1}\right]  \tag{2.14}\\ \leq 0, & t \in\left[s_{2}, t_{2}\right]\end{cases}
$$

The conclusion is still true for these cases.

Example 2.8. Consider the following forced mixed nonlinearities differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r t^{-\lambda / 3} y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+p(t) y(t)+q(t)|y(t)|^{2} y(t)=-\sin ^{3} t, \quad t \geq 2 \pi \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma, \lambda>0$ are constants, $q(t)=t^{-\lambda} \exp (3 \sin t), p(t)=t^{-\lambda / 3} \exp (\sin t)$, for $t \in[2 n \pi,(2 n+$ 1) $\pi)$, and $q(t)=t^{-\lambda} \exp (-3 \sin t), p(t)=t^{-\lambda / 3} \exp (-\sin t)$, for $t \in[(2 n+1) \pi,(2 n+2) \pi)$, $n>0$ is an integer, Shao [9] obtain oscillation for (2.15) when $K(t) \equiv 0$. Using Theorem 2.2, we can easily verify that $Q_{1}(t)=(3 / 2) \sqrt[3]{2} t^{-\lambda / 3} \exp (\sin t) \sin ^{2} t$ for $t \in[2 n \pi,(2 n+1) \pi)$, and $Q_{1}(t)=(3 / 2) \sqrt[3]{2} t^{-\lambda / 3} \exp (-\sin t) \sin ^{2} t$ for $t \in[(2 n+1) \pi,(2 n+2) \pi)$. For any $T \geq 1$, we choose $n$ sufficiently large so that $n \pi=2 k \pi \geq T$ and $s_{1}=2 k \pi$ and $t_{1}=(2 k+1) \pi$, we select $u(t)=\sin t \geq 0, G_{1}(u)=u^{2} \exp (-u)$ (we note that $\left(G_{1}^{\prime}(u)\right)^{2} \leq 4 G_{1}(u)$ for $\left.u \geq 0\right), \phi(t)=t^{\lambda / 3}$, then we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{s_{1}}^{t_{1}} \phi(t)\left(p(t)+Q_{1}(t)\right) G_{1}(u(t)) d t=\int_{0}^{\pi} \sin ^{2} t d t+\frac{3}{2} \sqrt[3]{2} \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin ^{4} t d t=\frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{9}{8} \sqrt[3]{2}, \\
\int_{s_{1}}^{t_{1}} \phi(t) p(t)\left[\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|+\frac{G_{1}^{1 /(\alpha+1)}(u(t))\left|\phi^{\prime}(t)\right|}{(\alpha+1) \phi(t)}\right]^{\alpha+1} d t  \tag{2.16}\\
= \\
<\int_{2 k \pi}^{(2 k+1) \pi}\left[|\cos t|+\frac{\lambda|\sin t| \exp (3 \sin t / 2)}{2 t}\right]^{2} d t \\
<\gamma \int_{2 k \pi}^{(2 k+1) \pi}\left(1+\frac{\lambda e^{3 / 2}}{2}\right)^{2} d t=\gamma\left(1+\frac{\lambda e^{3 / 2}}{2}\right)^{2} \pi
\end{gather*}
$$

So we have $Q_{1}^{\phi}(u)>0$ provided, $0<\gamma<(4 \pi+9 \sqrt[3]{2}) / 2\left(2+\lambda e^{3 / 2}\right)^{2} \pi$. Similarly, for $s_{2}=$ $(2 k+1) \pi$ and $t_{2}=(2 k+2) \pi$, we select $u(t)=\sin t \leq 0, G_{2}(u)=u^{2} \exp (u)$ (we note that $\left(G_{2}^{\prime}(u)\right)^{2} \leq 4 G_{2}(u)$ for $\left.u \leq 0\right)$, we can show that the integral inequality $Q_{2}^{\phi}(u)>0$ for $0<\gamma<$ $(4 \pi+9 \sqrt[3]{2}) / 2\left(2+\lambda e^{3 / 2}\right)^{2} \pi$. So (2.15) is oscillatory for $0<\gamma<(4 \pi+9 \sqrt[3]{2}) / 2\left(2+\lambda e^{3 / 2}\right)^{2} \pi$ by Theorem 2.2.

Example 2.9. Consider the following forced mixed nonlinearities differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t^{-\lambda}\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+p(t)|y(t)|^{\alpha-1} y(t)+q(t) y^{3}(t)=-\sin ^{1 / 3} t \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \geq 2 \pi$, where $p(t)=K t^{-\lambda} \exp (\sin t), q(t)=t^{-9 \lambda / 5} \exp (9 \sin t / 5)$, for $t \in[2 n \pi,(2 n+1) \pi)$, and $p(t)=K t^{-\lambda} \exp (-\sin t), q(t)=t^{-9 \lambda / 5} \exp (-9 \sin t / 5)$, for $t \in[(2 n+1) \pi,(2 n+2) \pi), n>0$ is an integer, $K, \lambda>0$ are constants and $\alpha=5 / 3>1, \beta=3$. Obviously, Theorem 1.1 cannot be applied to this case. However, we conclude that (2.17) is oscillatory for $K>(3 / 4)(1+$ 3 le $/ 8)^{8 / 3} \pi-9 / 5^{5 / 9} 4^{4 / 9}$. Since the zeros of the forcing term $-\sin ^{1 / 3} t$ are $n \pi$, let $u(t)=\sin t$ and $\phi(t)=t^{\lambda}$. Using Theorem 2.2, we can easily verify that $Q(t)=\left(9 / 5^{5 / 9} 4^{4 / 9}\right) t^{-\lambda} \exp (\sin t) \sin ^{4 / 27} t$ for $t \in[2 n \pi,(2 n+1) \pi)$, and $Q(t)=\left(9 / 5^{5 / 9} 4^{4 / 9}\right) t^{-\lambda} \exp (-\sin t) \sin ^{4 / 27} t$ for $t \in[(2 n+1) \pi,(2 n+$ 2) $\pi$ ). For any $T \geq 1$, choose $n$ sufficiently large so that $n \pi=2 k \pi \geq T$ and $s_{1}=2 k \pi$ and
$t_{1}=(2 k+1) \pi$. For $t \in\left[s_{1}, t_{1}\right]$, we select $G_{1}(u)=u^{8 / 3} \exp (-u)$ (we note that $\left(G_{1}^{\prime}(u)\right)^{8 / 3} \leq$ $(8 / 3)^{8 / 3}\left(G_{1}(u)\right)^{5 / 3}$ for $\left.u \geq 0\right)$. It is easy to verify the following estimations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{S_{1}}^{t_{1}} \phi(t) \\
=\int_{2 k \pi}^{(2 k+1) \pi} \sin ^{8 / 3} t\left(K+\frac{9}{5^{5 / 9} 4^{4 / 9}} \sin ^{4 / 27} t\right) d t \\
> \\
>\left(K+\frac{9}{5^{5 / 9} 4^{4 / 9}}\right) \int_{2 k \pi}^{(2 k+1) \pi} \sin ^{3} t d t=\frac{4}{3}\left(K+\frac{9}{5^{5 / 9} 4^{4 / 9}}\right),  \tag{2.18}\\
\int_{S_{1}}^{t_{1}} \phi(t) r(t)\left[\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|\right. \\
=\int_{2 k \pi}^{(2 k+1) \pi}\left[\frac{G_{1}^{1 /(\alpha+1)}(u(t))\left|\phi^{\prime}(t)\right|}{(\alpha+1) \phi(t)}\right]^{\alpha+1} d t \\
<\int_{2 k \pi}^{(2 k+1) \pi}\left(1+\frac{3 \lambda e}{8}\right)^{8 / 3} d t=\left(1+\frac{3 \lambda e}{8}\right)^{8 / 3} \pi
\end{gather*}
$$

So we have $Q_{1}^{\phi}(u)>0$. Similarly, for $s_{2}=(2 k+1) \pi$ and $t_{2}=(2 k+2) \pi$, we select $u(t)=\sin t<0$, $G_{2}(u)=u^{8 / 3} \exp (u)$ (we note that $\left(G_{2}^{\prime}(u)\right)^{8 / 3} \leq(8 / 3)^{8 / 3}\left(G_{2}(u)\right)^{5 / 3}$ for $\left.u \leq 0\right)$, we can show that the integral inequality $Q_{2}^{\phi}(u)>0$. So (2.17) is oscillatory for $K>(3 / 4)(1+3 \lambda e / 8)^{8 / 3} \pi-$ $9 / 5^{5 / 9} 4^{4 / 9}$ by Theorem 2.2.
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