Research Article

On Properties of Differences Polynomials about Meromorphic Functions

Jianming Qi,^{1, 2, 3} Jie Ding,^{4, 5} and Wenjun Yuan^{2, 3}

- ¹ Department of Mathematics and Physics, Shanghai Dianji University, Shanghai 201306, China
- ² School of Mathematics and Information Science, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou 510006, China
- ³ Key Laboratory of Mathematics and Interdisciplinary Sciences of Guangdong Higher Education Institutes, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou 510006, China
- ⁴ School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, China

⁵ Mathematisches Seminar, Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel, Kiel 24098, Germany

Correspondence should be addressed to Wenjun Yuan, wjyuan1957@126.com

Received 23 February 2012; Revised 26 April 2012; Accepted 8 May 2012

Academic Editor: Risto Korhonen

Copyright © 2012 Jianming Qi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We study the value distribution of a special class difference polynomial about finite order meromorphic function. Our methods of the proof are also different from ones in the previous results by Chen (2011), Liu and Laine (2010), and Liu and Yang (2009).

1. Introduction and Results

A function f(z) is called meromorphic function, if it is analytic in the complex plane \mathbb{C} except at isolated poles. It is assumed that the reader is familiar with the standard symbols and fundamental results of Nevanlinna theory such as the characteristic function T(r, f), proximity function m(r, f), counting function N(r, f), and the first and second main theorem (see [1–3]). The notation S(r, f) denotes any quantity that satisfies the condition: S(r, f) =o(T(r, f)) as $r \to \infty$ possibly outside an exceptional set of r of finite linear measure. We use the notation $\tau(f)$ to denote the exponent of convergence of zeros of f(z), and use the notation $\sigma(f)$ to denote the order of growth of the meromorphic function f(z). Also, we give an estimate of numbers of b-points, namely, $\tau(f - b)$ for every $b \in \mathbb{C}$.

Next, we will introduce the notation of Borel exceptional value (see [1]).

Definition 1.1. Let *f* be a transcendental meromorphic function in \mathbb{C} with the order $\sigma(f)$. A complex number *a* is said to be a Borel exceptional value if

$$\overline{\lim} \frac{\log^+ n(r, 1/(f-a))}{\log r} < \sigma(f).$$
(1.1)

Here $\log^+ n(r, 1/(f - a))$ can be replaced by $\log^+ N(r, 1/(f - a))$.

In 1959, Hayman [4] proved the following Theorem.

Theorem A. Let f be a meromorphic function in \mathbb{C} , if $f' - af^n \neq b$, where n is a positive integer and a, b are two finite complex numbers such that $n \ge 5$ and $a \ne 0$, then f is a constant.

On the other hand, Mues [5] showed that for n = 3, 4 the conclusion is not valid.

Recently, as the significant results on Nevanlinna theory with respect to difference operators, see the papers [6, 7] by Halburd and Korhonen and [8] by Chiang and Feng. Many papers (see [2–4, 9–17]) have focused on complex differences and given many difference analogues in value distribution theory of entire functions.

In 2010, replacing f' by f(z + c) - f(z) in Theorem A, Liu and Laine [17] obtained the following result.

Theorem B (see [17]). Let f be a transcendental entire function of finite order, not of period c, where c is a nonzero constant, and let s(z) be a nonzero small function of f. Then the difference polynomial $f^n(z) + f(z+c) - f(z) - s(z)$ has infinitely many zeros in the complex plane, provided that $n \ge 3$.

In 2011, Chen [18] considered the difference counterpart of Theorem A and proved an almost direct difference analogue of Hayman's Theorem.

Theorem C (see [18, Theorem 1.1]). Let f be a transcendental entire function of finite order, not of period c, and let $a \ne 0$, b, $c \ne 0$ be three complex numbers. Then $\Psi_n(z) = f(z+c) - f(z) - af^n(z)$ assumes all finite values infinitely often, provided that $n \ge 3$ and $\tau(\Psi_n(z) - b) = \sigma(f)$ for every b.

In 1994, Ye [19] considered a similar problem and obtained that if f is a transcendental meromorphic function and a is a nonzero finite complex number, then $f + a(f')^n$ assumes every finite complex value infinitely often for $n \ge 3$. Ye [19] also asked whether the conclusion remains valid for n = 2.

In 2008, Fang and Zalcman [20] solved this problem and obtained the following result.

Theorem D. Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function and a be a nonzero complex number. Then $f + a(f')^n$ assumes every complex value infinitely often for each positive integer $n \ge 2$.

Just like Theorem B, it is natural to ask whether Theorem D can be improved by the ideas of difference operator. The purpose of this paper is to study value distribution of meromorphic function with respect to difference. Our methods of proof are also different from ones in previous Theorems (see [17, 18, 21]). We obtain the following results.

Theorem 1.2. Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order, not of period c, where c is a nonzero constant, and let s(z) be a small function of f, let a be a nonzero constant. Then

the difference polynomial $a f^n(z + c) + f(z) - s(z)$ has infinitely many zeros in the complex plane, provided that $n \ge 5$.

Corollary 1.3. Let f be a transcendental entire function of finite order, not of period c, where c is a nonzero constant, and let s(z) be a small function of f, let a be a nonzero constant. Then the difference polynomial $af^n(z + c) + f(z) - s(z)$ has infinitely many zeros in the complex plane, provided that $n \ge 3$.

Recently, Qi and Liu [22] obtained the following result.

Theorem E (see [22, Theorem 2]). Let f be a transcendental entire function of finite order, c be a nonzero constant, m and n be integers satisfying $n \ge m > 0$, and let λ , μ be two complex numbers such that $|\lambda| + |\mu| \ne 0$. If $n \ge 2$, then either $f^n(z)(\lambda f^m(z+c) + \mu f^m(z))$ assumes every nonzero finite value infinitely often or $f(z) = \exp\{(\log t/c)z\}g(z)$, where $t = (-\mu/\lambda)^{1/m}$, and g(z) is periodic function with period c.

Thus, it is natural to ask, what happens if n = 1 in Theorem E?

By the same method of [18, 23], we investigate this problem and obtain the following results.

Theorem 1.4. Let f be a transcendental entire function with finite order with a Borel exceptional value 0, c be a nonzero complex constant, and let λ , μ be two complex numbers such that $|\lambda| + |\mu| \neq 0$ and $\lambda f(z + c) + \mu f(z) \not\equiv 0$, then $H(z) := f(z)(\lambda f(z + c) + \mu f(z))$ assumes every nonzero value $a \in \mathbb{C}$ infinitely often and $\tau(H - a) = \sigma(f)$.

Theorem 1.5. Let f(z) be a transcendental entire function of finite order, $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ be a complex constant, and let λ , μ be two complex numbers such that $|\lambda| + |\mu| \neq 0$ and $\lambda f(z + c) + \mu f(z) \neq 0$. If f(z) has infinitely many multiple zeros, then $H(z) := f(z)(\lambda f(z + c) + \mu f(z))$ takes every value $a \in \mathbb{C}$ infinitely often.

Example 1.6. $f(z) = e^z$ satisfies $f(z+1) - ef(z) \equiv 0$. However, $f(z)(\lambda f(z+c) + \mu f(z))$ cannot assume any nonzero value $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

Remark 1.7. From the Example 1.6, the condition $(\lambda f(z + c) + \mu f(z)) \neq 0$ is necessary in Theorems 1.4 and 1.5.

Remark 1.8. Some ideas in this paper are based on [18, 23–25].

2. Some Lemmas

In order to prove our theorems, we need the following Lemmas.

The Lemma 2.1 is a difference analogue of the logarithmic derivative lemma, given by Halburd and Korhonen [7] and Chiang and Feng [8], independently.

Lemma 2.1 (see [7, Theorem 2.1]). Let f(z) be a meromorphic function of finite order, and let $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Then

$$m\left(r,\frac{f(z+c)}{f(z)}\right) + m\left(r,\frac{f(z)}{f(z+c)}\right) = O\left(\frac{T(r,f)}{r^{\delta}}\right) = S(r,f).$$
(2.1)

Lemma 2.2 (see [1, Theorem 1.12]). Let f(z) be a nonconstant meromorphic function, and let $P(f) = a_0 f^n + a_1 f^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n$, where $a_0 (\neq 0)$, a_1, \ldots, a_n are small function of f. Then

$$T(r, P(f)) = nT(r, f) + S(r, f).$$
(2.2)

By using the formulation (12) in [13], it is easy to get the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. Let f(z) be a meromorphic function of finite order, $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$N(r, f(z+c)) = N(r, f(z)) + S(r, f(z)).$$
(2.3)

Lemma 2.4. Let f(z) be a transcendental entire function of finite order ρ with a Borel exceptional value 0, $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ be complex constant, and let λ , μ be two complex numbers such that $|\lambda| + |\mu| \neq 0$ and $\lambda f(z+c) + \mu f(z) \not\equiv 0$, then $\sigma(H) = \sigma(f)$, where $H(z) := f(z)[\lambda f(z+c) + \mu f(z)]$.

Proof. Rewrite H(z) as the form

$$H(z) = f(z)^{2} \frac{\lambda f(z+c) + \mu f(z)}{f(z)}.$$
(2.4)

For each $\varepsilon > 0$, by Lemma 2.1 and (2.4), we get that

Because f(z) is a transcendental entire function of finite order ρ with a Borel exceptional value 0. Then we obtain

$$T(r,f) \le T(r,H) + O(r^{\rho-1+\varepsilon}).$$
(2.7)

Thus, (2.5) and (2.7) give that $\sigma(H) = \sigma(f)$.

Lemma 2.5 (see [1]). Let $f_j(z)$ (j = 1, ..., n) $(n \ge 2)$ be meromorphic functions, $g_j(z)$ (j = 1, ..., n) be entire functions, and satisfy

(i)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_j(z) e^{g_j(z)} \equiv 0$$
,

- (ii) when $1 \le j < k \le n$, $g_i(z) g_k(z)$ is not a constant,
- (iii) when $1 \le j \le n, 1 \le h < k \le n, T(r, f_j) = o\{T(r, e^{g_h g_k})\} (r \to \infty, r \notin E),$
- where $E \subset (1, \infty)$ is of finite linear measure or finite logarithmic measure. Then $f_j(z) \equiv 0$ (j = 1, ..., n).

Lemma 2.6 (see [1]). Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function of order $\sigma(f)$ and $\tau(f)$ be the convergence exponent of its zeros. Then $\tau(f) \leq \sigma(f)$.

Lemma 2.7 (see [1], Hadamard's factorization theorem). Let f be a transcendental entire function of finite order $\sigma(f)$ with zeros $\{z_1, z_2, \ldots\} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and a k-fold zero at origin. Then

$$f(z) = z^k \mathbf{E}(z) e^{Q(z)}, \tag{2.8}$$

where $\mathbf{E}(z)$ is the canonical product of f formed with the nonnull zeros of f, and Q(z) is a polynomial of degree $\leq \sigma(f)$.

Lemma 2.8 (see [1]). Let $\lambda(\mathbf{E})$ be the order of the canonical product $\mathbf{E}(z)$. We use $\tau(\mathbf{E})$ to denote the exponent of convergence of zeros of $\mathbf{E}(z)$. Then $\lambda(\mathbf{E}) = \tau(\mathbf{E})$.

3. Proofs of Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Set $\Phi(z) := af^n(z+c) + f(z) - s(z)$. Obviously, $\Phi(z) \neq C$. If it is false, then $af^n(z+c) \equiv s(z) + C - f(z)$. Thus we have that

$$T(r, f^{n}(z+c)) = nT(r, f(z+c)) = T(r, f(z)) + S(r, f),$$
(3.1)

where $n \ge 5$. Using Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3, we deduce that

$$T(r, f(z+c)) = m(r, f(z+c)) + N(r, f(z+c))$$

$$\leq m(r, f(z)) + m\left(r, \frac{f(z+c)}{f(z)}\right) + N(r, f(z)) + S(r, f(z))$$

$$= T(r, f(z)) + S(r, f(z)),$$

$$T(r, f(z)) = m(r, f(z)) + N(r, f(z))$$

$$\leq m(r, f(z+c)) + m\left(r, \frac{f(z)}{f(z+c)}\right) + N(r, f(z)) + S(r, f(z))$$

$$= m(r, f(z+c)) + N(r, f(z+c)) + S(r, f(z))$$

$$= T(r, f(z+c)) + S(r, f(z)),$$
(3.2)

Equations (3.1) and (3.2) imply T(r, f(z+c)) = S(r, f(z)), a contradiction, therefore $\Phi(z) \neq C$.

Furthermore, we claim that

$$\frac{\left(f^n(z+c)\right)'}{f^n(z+c)} - \frac{\Phi'}{\Phi} \neq 0.$$
(3.3)

Otherwise, $(f^n(z+c))'/f^n(z+c) - \Phi'/\Phi \equiv 0$. By integration, we obtain $\Phi(z) = bf^n(z+c)$, where *b* is a constant, hence $(b-a)f^n(z+c) = f(z) - s(z)$.

If b = a, we can deduce T(r, f(z)) = T(r, s(z)). This contradicts the hypothesis.

If $b \neq a$, by the same arguments of the proof of Case $\Phi(z) \equiv C$, we get the same contradiction.

By a simple calculation we get that

$$af^{n}(z+c) = \frac{(\Phi'/\Phi)[f(z) - s(z)] - [f(z) - s(z)]'}{(f^{n}(z+c))'/(f^{n}(z+c)) - \Phi'/\Phi}.$$
(3.4)

From Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 and some results of Nevanlinna Theory, we obtain that

$$T(r, af^{n}(z+c)) = nT(r, f(z+c)) + S(r, f(z+c))$$

$$= T\left(r, \frac{(\Phi'/\Phi)[f(z) - s(z)] - [f(z) - s(z)]'}{(f^{n}(z+c))'/(f^{n}(z+c)) - \Phi'/\Phi}\right)$$

$$\leq m(r, f(z)) + N\left(r, \frac{\Phi'}{\Phi}[f(z) - s(z)] - [f(z) - s(z)]'\right)$$

$$+ m\left(r, \frac{\Phi'}{\Phi} - \frac{[f(z) - s(z)]'}{[f(z) - s(z)]}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{(f^{n}(z+c))'}{f^{n}(z+c)} - \frac{\Phi'}{\Phi}\right)$$

$$+ N\left(r, \frac{(f^{n}(z+c))'}{f^{n}(z+c)} - \frac{\Phi'}{\Phi}\right) + S(r, f(z)).$$
(3.5)

Next, we will estimate $N(r, (\Phi'/\Phi)[f(z)-s(z)]-[f(z)-s(z)]')$ and $N(r, (f^n(z+c))'/f^n(z+c)-\Phi'/\Phi)$.

The poles of $\varphi_1(z) = (\Phi'/\Phi)[f(z) - s(z)] - [f(z) - s(z)]'$ come from the zeros of $\Phi(z)$, the poles of f(z + c), the poles of f(z), and the poles of s(z). By the hypothesis, we ignore the poles of s(z). If z_0 is a zero of $\Phi(z)$ or a pole of f(z + c) but not a pole of f(z), then z_0 is a simple pole of $\varphi_1(z)$. If z_0 is a common pole of f(z + c) and f(z), and the multiplicity is k and l, respectively, then z_0 is a pole of $\varphi_1(z)$ with the multiplicity of no more than l + 1. If z_0 is a pole of f(z + c), we obtain that z_0 is at most a simple pole of $\varphi_1(z)$ but not a pole of $\varphi_1(z)$ we can get that

$$N\left(r,\frac{\Phi'}{\Phi}\left[f(z)-s(z)\right] - \left[f(z)-s(z)\right]'\right) \le \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{\Phi(z)}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,f(z+c)\right) + N\left(r,f(z)\right) + S\left(r,f(z)\right)$$
$$= \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{\Phi(z)}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,f(z)\right) + N\left(r,f(z)\right) + S\left(r,f(z)\right).$$
(3.6)

We deal with the poles of s(z) as above. The zeros of $\Phi(z)$, the poles of f(z + c), the poles of f(z), and the zeros of f(z + c) compose the poles of $\varphi_2(z) = (f^n(z + c))'/f^n(z + c) - \Phi'/\Phi$. If z_0 is a zero of $\Phi(z)$, zero of f(z + c), or pole of f(z), then z_0 is a simple pole of $\varphi_2(z)$. If z_0 is a pole of f(z + c) but not a pole of f(z), using the Laurent series, we can get that $\varphi_2(z)$ is analytic at z_0 . Therefore, we conclude that

$$N\left(r,\frac{\left(f^{n}(z+c)\right)'}{f(z+c)}-\frac{\Phi'}{\Phi}\right) \leq \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{\Phi(z)}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{f(z+c)}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,f(z)\right) + S\left(r,f(z)\right).$$
(3.7)

Combining (3.2), (3.4), (3.5), and (3.6), we have that

$$nT(r, f(z+c)) \leq 2m\left(r, \frac{\Phi'}{\Phi}\right) + m(r, f(z)) + m\left(r, \frac{\left(f^n(z+c)\right)'}{f^n(z+c)}\right) \\ + m\left(r, \frac{\left[f(z) - s(z)\right]'}{f(z) - s(z)}\right) + 2\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\Phi(z)}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, f(z+c)\right) + N(r, f(z)) \\ + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z+c)}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, f(z)\right) + S(r, f(z)).$$

$$(3.8)$$

From (3.2) and Lemma 2.2, we deduce that $T(r, \Phi(z)) = O(T(r, f(z)))$. Therefore, we get that

$$m\left(r,\frac{f'(z+c)}{f(z+c)}\right) = S(r,f(z)), \qquad m\left(r,\frac{\Phi'}{\Phi}\right) = S(r,\Phi(z)) = S(r,f(z)). \tag{3.9}$$

By (3.2), (3.7), (3.9), and the First Fundamental Theorem, we can simplify (3.8) to be

$$(n-4)T(r,f(z)) \le 2\overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{\Phi(z)}\right) + S(r,f(z)).$$
(3.10)

Because $n \ge 5$, we deduce that

$$T(r,f(z)) \le C\overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{af^n(z+c)+f(z)-s(z)}\right) + S(r,f(z)).$$
(3.11)

If $af^n(z + c) + f(z) - s(z)$ has finite zeros, then T(r, f(z)) = S(r, f(z)), a contradiction. We complete the proof of the Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Corollary 1.3. The proof of Corollary 1.3 is the same as the proof of Theorem 1.2; note that f(z) is entire, some different places are stated below.

The poles of $\varphi_1(z) = (\Phi'/\Phi)[f(z) - s(z)] - [f(z) - s(z)]'$ come from the zeros of $\Phi(z)$. By the hypothesis, we ignore the poles of s(z). If z_0 be a zero of $\Phi(z)$, then z_0 is a simple pole of $\varphi_1(z)$. Using the Lemma 2.3, we can get that

$$N\left(r,\frac{\Phi'}{\Phi}\left[f(z)-s(z)\right]-\left[f(z)-s(z)\right]'\right) \le \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{\Phi(z)}\right)+S\left(r,f(z)\right).$$
(3.12)

The zeros of $\Phi(z)$ and the zeros of f(z + c) compose the poles of $\varphi_2(z) = (f^n(z + c))'/f^n(z + c) - \Phi'/\Phi$. If z_0 is a zero of $\Phi(z)$ or zero of f(z + c), then z_0 is a simple pole of $\varphi_2(z)$. Therefore, we conclude that

$$N\left(r,\frac{\left(f^{n}(z+c)\right)'}{f(z+c)}-\frac{\Phi'}{\Phi}\right) \leq \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{\Phi(z)}\right)+\overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{f(z+c)}\right)+S(r,f(z)).$$
(3.13)

Combining (3.2), (3.4), (3.5), and (3.12), we have that

$$nT(r, f(z+c)) \leq 2m\left(r, \frac{\Phi'}{\Phi}\right) + m(r, f(z)) + m\left(r, \frac{\left(f^n(z+c)\right)'}{f^n(z+c)}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{\left[f(z) - s(z)\right]'}{f(z) - s(z)}\right) + 2\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\Phi(z)}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f(z+c)}\right) + S(r, f(z)).$$

$$(3.14)$$

By (3.2), (3.13), (3.9), and the First Fundamental Theorem, we can simplify (3.14), to

$$(n-2)T(r,f(z)) \le 2\overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{\Phi(z)}\right) + S(r,f(z)).$$
(3.15)

Because $n \ge 3$, we deduce that

$$T(r,f(z)) \le C\overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{af^n(z+c)+f(z)-s(z)}\right) + S(r,f(z)).$$
(3.16)

If $af^n(z+c) + f(z) - s(z)$ has finite zeros, then T(r, f(z)) = S(r, f(z)), a contradiction. The proof of Corollary 1.3 is complete.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By Lemma 2.7, we write f(z) as follows

$$f(z) = z^k \mathbf{E}(z) e^{Q(z)},\tag{3.17}$$

where $\mathbf{E}(z)$ is the canonical product of f formed with the nonnull zeros of f, and Q(z) is a polynomial of degree $\leq \sigma(f)$.

be

Since 0 is the Borel exceptional value of f(z), by Definition 1.1 and Lemmas 2.6 and 2.8, we can rewrite f(z) as the form

$$f(z) = P(z)e^{sz^k},$$
 (3.18)

where P(z) is an entire function with $\sigma(P) < \sigma(f) = k$, $s(\neq 0)$ is a constant, k is a positive integer. Thus

$$f(z+c) = P(z+c)P_1(z)e^{sz^k},$$
(3.19)

where

$$P_1(z) = e^{skz^{k-1} + \dots + sc^k}, \qquad \sigma(P_1) = k - 1.$$
(3.20)

Now we suppose that $\tau(H - b) < \sigma(f)$. By Lemma 2.1, we see that $\sigma(H) = \sigma(f) = \sigma(H - b)$, so that $\tau(H - b) < \sigma(H - b) = \sigma(f) = k$ and H(z) - b can be rewritten as the form

$$H(z) - b = q(z)e^{\beta z^{k}},$$
 (3.21)

where $\beta \neq 0$ is a constant, q(z) is an entire function of

$$\sigma(q) \le \max\{\tau(H-b), k-1\}.$$
(3.22)

By (3.18)–(3.20), we get

$$\left(\lambda P(z)P(z+c)P_1(z) + \mu P^2(z)\right)e^{2sz^k} - b = q(z)e^{\beta z^k}.$$
(3.23)

Since $P(z)P(z+c)P_1(z) \neq 0$ and $q(z) \neq 0$, by comparing growths of both sides of (3.23), we see that $\beta = 2s$. Thus, by (3.23), we have

$$\left[\lambda P(z)P(z+c)P_1(z) + \mu P^2(z) - q(z)\right]e^{2sz^k} - b = 0.$$
(3.24)

By Lemma 2.5 and (3.24), we get that b = 0. This contradicts our assumption that $b \neq 0$. Hence $\tau(H - b) = \sigma(f)$.

The proof of Theorem 1.4 is complete.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We suppose that f(z) has infinitely many multiple zeros. If a = 0, then H(z) has obviously infinitely many zeros. Now we suppose that $a \neq 0$. If H(z) - a has only finitely many zeros, then H(z) - a can be rewritten as the form

$$H(z) - a = f(z) \left[\lambda f(z+c) + \mu f(z) \right] - a = p(z)e^{q(z)}, \tag{3.25}$$

where p(z), q(z) are polynomials, and $p(z) \neq 0$, deg $q(z) \ge 1$.

Differentiating (3.25), we obtain

$$[f(z)(\lambda f(z+c)) + \mu f(z)]' = (p'(z) + P(z)q'(z))e^{q(z)}.$$
(3.26)

From (3.25), we get $e^{q(z)} = (f(z)[\lambda f(z+c) + \mu f(z)] - a)/p(z)$. Substituting $e^{q(z)} = (f(z)[\lambda f(z+c) + \mu f(z)] - a)/p(z)$ into (3.26), we have

$$\frac{\left[f(z)(\lambda f(z+c)) + \mu f(z)\right]'}{f(z)(\lambda f(z+c) + \mu f(z))} = \frac{p'(z) + p(z)q'(z)}{p(z)} - a\frac{p'(z) + p(z)q'(z)}{p(z)}\frac{1}{f(z)(\lambda f(z+c)) + \mu f(z)}.$$
(3.27)

Since f(z) has infinitely many multiple zeros, there is a multiple zero z_0 such that $|z_0|$ is sufficiently large and $p(z_0) \neq 0$, $p'(z_0) + p(z_0)q'(z_0) \neq 0$. Thus, the right side of (3.27) has a multiple pole at z_0 , but the left side of (3.27) has only a simple pole at z_0 . This is a contradiction.

Hence H(z) takes any value $a \in \mathbb{C}$ infinitely often. The proof of Theorem 1.5 is complete.

At last, for further study, we pose a question.

Question. If $n \le 4$ in Theorem 1.2, what will happen?

Acknowledgments

The second author would like to thank the Department of Mathematics, Kiel University of Germany, for its hospitality during the study period there and would like to express his hearty thanks to Professor Walter Bergweiler for his valuable advice. This work was supported by the NNSF of China (no. 11171184, 10771220) and supported by project 10XKJ01, 12C401 and 12C104 from the Leading Academic Discipline Project of Shanghai Dian Ji University. This work was supported partially by the Visiting Scholar Program of Chern Institute of Mathematics at Nankai University when the authors worked as visiting scholars. The authors would like to express their hearty thanks to Chern Institute of Mathematics provided very comfortable research environments to them.

References

- C. C. Yang and H. X. Yi, Uniqueness Theory of Meromorphic Functions, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2004.
- [2] I. Laine, Nevanlinna Theory and Complex Differential Equations, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, Germany, 1993.
- [3] W. K. Hayman, Meromorphic Functions, Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK, 1964.
- [4] W. K. Hayman, "Picard values of meromorphic functions and their derivatives," Annals of Mathematics, vol. 70, pp. 9–42, 1959.
- [5] E. Mues, "Uberein problem von Hayman," Mathematische Zeitschrift, vol. 164, no. 3, pp. 239–259, 1979.

- [6] R. G. Halburd and R. J. Korhonen, "Difference analogue of the lemma on the logarithmic derivative with applications to difference equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 314, no. 2, pp. 477–487, 2006.
- [7] R. G. Halburd and R. J. Korhonen, "Nevanlinna theory for the difference operator," Annales Academiæ Scientiarium Fennicæ, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 463–478, 2006.
- [8] Y. M. Chiang and S. J. Feng, "On the Nevanlinna characteristic of $f(z + \eta)$ and difference equations in the complex plane," *Ramanujan Journal*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 105–129, 2008.
- [9] W. Bergweiler and J. K. Langley, "Zeros of differences of meromorphic functions," Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, vol. 142, no. 1, pp. 133–147, 2007.
- [10] Y. M. Chiang and S. J. Feng, "On the growth of logarithmic differences, difference quotients and logarithmic derivatives of meromorphic functions," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 361, no. 7, pp. 3767–3791, 2009.
- [11] R. G. Halburd and R. Korhonen, "Finite-order meromorphic solutions and the discrete Painlevé equations," *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 94, no. 2, pp. 443–474, 2007.
- [12] J. Heittokangas, R. Korhonen, I. Laine, J. Rieppo, and K. Tohge, "Complex difference equations of Malmquist type," *Computational Methods and Function Theory*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 27–39, 2001.
- [13] J. Heittokangas, R. Korhonen, I. Laine, J. Rieppo, and J. Zhang, "Value sharing results for shifts of meromorphic functions, and sufficient conditions for periodicity," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 355, no. 1, pp. 352–363, 2009.
- [14] K. Ishizaki and N. Yanagihara, "Wiman-Valiron method for difference equations," Nagoya Mathematical Journal, vol. 175, pp. 75–102, 2004.
- [15] I. Laine and C. C. Yang, "Clunie theorems for difference and q-difference polynomials," Journal of the London Mathematical Society, vol. 76, no. 3, pp. 556–566, 2007.
- [16] I. Laine and C. C. Yang, "Value distribution of difference polynomials," *Proceedings of the Japan Academy A*, vol. 83, no. 8, pp. 148–151, 2007.
- [17] K. Liu and I. Laine, "A note on value distribution of difference polynomials," Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society, vol. 81, no. 3, pp. 353–360, 2010.
- [18] Z. X. Chen, "On value distribution of difference polynomials of meromorphic functions," Abstract and Applied Analysis, vol. 2011, Article ID 239853, 9 pages, 2011.
- [19] Y. S. Ye, "A Picard type theorem and Bloch law," Chinese Annals of Mathematics B, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 75–80, 1994.
- [20] M. L. Fang and L. Zalcman, "On the value distribution of $f + a(f')^n$," Science in China A, vol. 51, no. 7, pp. 1196–1202, 2008.
- [21] K. Liu and L. Z. Yang, "Value distribution of the difference operator," Archiv der Mathematik, vol. 92, no. 3, pp. 270–278, 2009.
- [22] X. G. Qi and K. Liu, "Uniqueness and value distribution of differences of entire functions," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 379, no. 1, pp. 180–187, 2011.
- [23] X. Z. Chen, Z. B. Huang, and X. M. Zheng, "On properties of difference polynomials," Acta Mathematica Scientia B, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 627–633, 2011.
- [24] J. Ding, J. M. Qi, and L. Z. Yang, "Value distribution of differences of meromorphic functions," The Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 275–291, 2011.
- [25] J. Grahl, "Differential polynomials with dilations in the argument and normal families," Monatshefte für Mathematik, vol. 162, no. 4, pp. 429–452, 2011.



Advances in **Operations Research**

The Scientific

World Journal





Mathematical Problems in Engineering

Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com



Algebra



Journal of Probability and Statistics



International Journal of Differential Equations





International Journal of Combinatorics

Complex Analysis









Journal of Function Spaces



Abstract and Applied Analysis





Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society