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We study of warped product submanifolds, especially warped product hemi-slant submanifolds of LP-Sasakian manifolds. We obtain the results on the nonexistance or existence of warped product hemi-slant submanifolds and give some examples of LP-Sasakian manifolds. The existence of warped product hemi-slant submanifolds of an LP-Sasakian manifold is also ensured by an interesting example.

## 1. Introduction

The notion of warped product manifolds was introduced by Bishop and $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ Neill [1], and later it was studied by many mathematicians and physicists. These manifolds are generalization of Riemannian product manifolds. The existence or nonexistence of warped product manifolds plays some important role in differential geometry as well as in physics.

On the analogy of Sasakian manifolds, in 1989, Matsumoto [2] introduced the notion of LP-Sasakian manifolds. The same notion is also introduced by Mihai and Roşca [3] and obtained many interesting results. Later on, LP-Sasakian manifolds are also studied by several authors.

The notion of slant submanifolds in a complex manifold was introduced and studied by Chen [4], which is a natural generalization of both invariant and anti-invariant submanifolds. Chen [4] also found examples of slant submanifolds of complex Euclidean spaces $C^{2}$ and $C^{4}$. Then, Lotta [5] has defined and studied the slant immersions of a Riemannian manifold into an almost contact metric manifold and proved some properties of such
immersions. Also, Cabrerizo et al. [6] studied slant immersions of K-contact and Sasakian manifolds.

In 1994, Papaghuic [7] introduced the notion of semi-slant submanifolds of almost Hermitian manifolds. Then, Cabrerizo et. al [8] defined and investigated semi-slant submanifolds of Sasakian manifolds. The idea of hemi-slant submanifolds was introduced by Carriazo as a particular class of bi-slant submanifolds and he called them anti-slant submanifolds [9]. Recently, these submanifolds were studied by Sahin for their warped products of Kähler manifolds [10]. Recently, Uddin [11] studied warped product CR-submanifolds of LPSasakian manifolds.

The purpose of the present paper is to study the warped product hemi-slant submanifolds of LP-Sasakian manifolds. The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is concerned with some preliminaries. Section 3 deals with the study of warped and doubly warped product submanifolds of LP-Sasakian manifolds. In Section 4, we define hemi-slant submanifolds of LP-contact manifolds and investigate their warped products. Section 5 consists some examples of LP-Sasakian manifolds and their warped products.

## 2. Preliminaries

An $n$-dimensional smooth manifold $M$ is said to be an LP-Sasakian manifold [3] if it admits a $(1,1)$ tensor field $\phi$, a unit timelike contravariant vector field $\xi$, an 1-form $\eta$, and $a$ Lorentzian metric $g$, which satisfy

$$
\begin{gather*}
\eta(\xi)=-1, \quad g(X, \xi)=\eta(X), \quad \phi^{2} X=X+\eta(X) \xi,  \tag{2.1}\\
g(\phi X, \phi Y)=g(X, Y)+\eta(X) \eta(Y), \quad \bar{\nabla}_{X} \xi=\phi X,  \tag{2.2}\\
\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} \phi\right)(Y)=g(X, Y) \xi+\eta(Y) X+2 \eta(X) \eta(Y) \xi \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\bar{\nabla}$ denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the Lorentzian metric $g$. It can be easily seen that, in an LP-Sasakian manifold, the following relations hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi \xi=0, \quad \eta(\phi X)=0, \quad \operatorname{rank} \phi=n-1 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega(X, Y)=g(X, \phi Y) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y$ tangent to $M$. The tensor field $\Omega(X, Y)$ is a symmetric $(0,2)$ tensor field [2]. Also, since the vector field $\eta$ is closed in an LP-Sasakian manifold, we have [2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} \eta\right)(Y)=\Omega(X, Y), \quad \Omega(X, \xi)=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any vector fields $X$ and $Y$ tangent to $M$.

Let $N$ be a submanifold of an LP-Sasakian manifold $M$ with induced metric $g$ and let $\nabla$ and $\nabla^{\perp}$ be the induced connections on the tangent bundle $T N$ and the normal bundle $T^{\perp} N$ of $N$, respectively. Then, the Gauss and Weingarten formulae are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+h(X, Y)  \tag{2.7}\\
& \bar{\nabla}_{X} V=-A_{V} X+\nabla_{X}^{\perp} V \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $X, Y \in T N$ and $V \in T^{\perp} N$, where $h$ and $A_{V}$ are second fundamental form and the shape operator (corresponding to the normal vector field $V$ ), respectively, for the immersion of $N$ into $M$. The second fundamental form $h$ and the shape operator $A_{V}$ are related by [12]

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(h(X, Y), V)=g\left(A_{V} X, Y\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $X, Y \in T N$ and $V \in T^{\perp} N$
For any $X \in T N$, we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi X=E X+F X \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E X$ is the tangential component and $F X$ is the normal component of $\phi X$.
Also, for any $V \in T^{\perp} N$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi V=B V+C V \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B V$ and $C V$ are the tangential and normal components of $\phi V$, respectively. The covariant derivatives of the tensor fields $E$ and $F$ are defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} E\right) Y=\nabla_{X} E Y-E \nabla_{X} Y  \tag{2.12}\\
& \left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} F\right) Y=\nabla_{X}^{\perp} F Y-F \nabla_{X} Y \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $X, Y \in T N$.
Throughout the paper, we consider $\xi$ to be tangent to $N$. The submanifold $N$ is said to be invariant if $F$ is identically zero, that is, $\phi X \in T N$ for any $X \in T N$. On the other hand, $N$ is said to anti-invariant if $E$ is identically zero, that is, $\phi X \in T^{\perp} N$ for any $X \in T N$.

Furthermore, for a submanifold tangent to the structure vector field $\xi$, there is another class of submanifolds which is called a slant submanifold. For each nonzero vector $X$ tangent to $N$ at $x \in N$, the angle $\theta(X), 0 \leq \theta(X)(\pi / 2)$ between $\phi X$ and $E X$ is called the slant angle or wirtinger angle. If the slant angle is constant then the submanifold is called aslant submanifold. Invariant and anti-invariant submanifolds are particular classes of slant submanifolds with slant angle $\theta=0$ and $\theta=\pi / 2$, respectively. A slant submanifold is said to be proper slant if the slant angle $\theta$ lies strictly between 0 and $\pi / 2$, that is, $0<\theta<\pi / 2$ [6].

Theorem 2.1 (see [13]). Let $N$ be a submanifold of a Lorentzian almost paracontact manifold $M$ such that $\xi$ is tangent to $N$. Then, $N$ is slant submanifold if and only if there exists a constant $\lambda \in$ $[0,1]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{2}=\lambda(I+\eta \otimes \xi) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, if $\theta$ is the slant angle of $N$, then $\lambda=\cos ^{2} \theta$. Also from (2.14), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
g(E X, E Y) & =\cos ^{2} \theta[g(X, Y)+\eta(X) \eta(Y)]  \tag{2.15}\\
g(F X, F Y) & =\sin ^{2} \theta[g(X, Y)+\eta(X) \eta(Y)] \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $X, Y$ tangent to $N$.
The study of semi-slant submanifolds of almost Hermitian manifolds was introduced by Papaghuic [7], which was extended to almost contact manifold by Cabrerizo et al. [8]. The submanifold $N$ is called semi-slant submanifold of $M$ if there exist an orthogonal direct decomposition of $T N$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T N=D_{1} \oplus D_{2} \oplus\{\xi\} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{1}$ is an invariant distribution, that is, $\phi\left(D_{1}\right)=D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ is slant with slant angle $\theta \neq 0$. The orthogonal complement of $F D_{2}$ in the normal bundle $T^{\perp} N$ is an invariant subbundle of $T^{\perp} N$ and is denoted by $\mu$. Thus, we have for a semi-slant submanifold

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\perp} N=F D_{2} \oplus \mu \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For an LP-contact manifold this study is extended by Yüksel et al. [13].

## 3. Warped and Doubly Warped Products

The notion of warped product manifolds was introduced by Bishop and O'Neill [1]. They defined the warped product manifolds as follows.

Definition 3.1. Let $\left(N_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ and $\left(N_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ be two semi-Riemannian manifolds and $f$ be a positive differentiable function on $N_{1}$. Then, the warped product of $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ is a manifold, denoted by $N_{1} \times{ }_{f} N_{2}=\left(N_{1} \times N_{2}, g\right)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=g_{1}+f^{2} g_{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A warped product manifold $N_{1} \times{ }_{f} N_{2}$ is said to be trivial if the warping function $f$ is constant.
More explicitely, if the vector fields $X$ and $Y$ are tangent to $N_{1} \times_{f} N_{2}$ at $(x, y)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(X, Y)=g_{1}\left(\pi_{1} * X, \pi_{1} * Y\right)+f^{2}(x) g_{2}\left(\pi_{2} * X, \pi_{2} * Y\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi_{i}(i=1,2)$ are the canonical projections of $N_{1} \times N_{2}$ onto $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$, respectively, and * stands for the derivative map.

Let $N=N_{1} \times{ }_{f} N_{2}$ be a warped product manifold, which means that $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ are totally geodesic and totally umbilical submanifolds of $N$, respectively.

For the warped product manifolds, we have the following result for later use [1].
Proposition 3.2. Let $N=N_{1} \times{ }_{f} N_{2}$ be a warped product manifold. Then,
(I) $\nabla_{X} Y \in T N_{1}$ is the lift of $\nabla_{X} Y$ on $N_{1}$,
(II) $\nabla_{U} X=\nabla_{X} U=(X \ln f) U$,
(III) $\nabla_{U} V=\nabla_{U}^{\prime} V-g(U, V) \nabla \ln f$,
for any $X, Y \in T N_{1}$ and $U, V \in T N_{2}$, where $\nabla$ and $\nabla^{\prime}$ denote the Levi-Civita connections on $N$ and $N_{2}$, respectively.

Doubly warped product manifolds were introduced as a generalization of warped product manifolds by Ünal [14]. A doubly warped product manifold of $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$, denoted as $f_{2} N_{1} \times_{f_{1}} N_{2}$ is endowed with a metric $g$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=f_{2}^{2} g_{1}+f_{1}^{2} g_{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are positive differentiable functions on $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$, respectively.
In this case formula (II) of Proposition 3.2 is generalized as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} Z=\left(X \ln f_{1}\right) Z+\left(Z \ln f_{2}\right) X \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $X$ in $T N_{1}$ and $Z$ in $T N_{2}$ [15].
One has the following theorem for doubly warped product submanifolds of an LPSasakian manifold [11].

Theorem 3.3. Let $N={ }_{f_{2}} N_{1} \times{ }_{f_{1}} N_{2}$ be a doubly warped product submanifold of an LP-Sasakian manifold $M$ where $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ are submanifolds of $M$. Then, $f_{2}$ is constant and $N_{2}$ is anti-invariant if the structure vector field $\xi$ is tangent to $N_{1}$, and $f_{1}$ is constant and $N_{1}$ is anti-invariant if $\xi$ is tangent to $N_{2}$.

The following corollaries are immediate consequences of the above theorem.
Corollary 3.4. There does not exist a proper doubly warped product submanifold in LP-Sasakian manifolds.

Corollary 3.5. There does not exist a warped product submanifold $N_{1} \times{ }_{f} N_{2}$ of an LP-Sasakian manifold $M$ such that $\xi$ is tangent to $N_{2}$.

From the above theorem and Corollary 3.5, we have only the remaining case is to study the warped product submanifold $N_{1} \times{ }_{f} N_{2}$ with structure vector field $\xi$ is tangent to $N_{1}$.

## 4. Warped Product Hemi-Slant Submanifolds

In this section, first we define hemi-slant submanifolds of an LP-contact manifold and then we will discuss their warped products.

Definition 4.1. A submanifold $N$ of an LP-contact manifold $M$ is said to be a hemi-slant submanifold if there exist two orthogonal complementary distributions $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ satisfying:
(i) $T N=D_{1} \oplus D_{2} \oplus\langle\xi\rangle$,
(ii) $D_{1}$ is a slant distribution with slant angle $\theta \neq \pi / 2$,
(iii) $D_{2}$ is anti-invariant, that is, $\phi D_{2} \subseteq T^{\perp} N$.

If $\mu$ is $\phi$-invariant subspace of the normal bundle $T^{\perp} N$, then in case of hemi-slant submanifold, the normal bundle $T^{\perp} N$ can be decomposed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\perp} N=F D_{1} \oplus F D_{2} \oplus \mu \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we discuss the warped product hemi-slant submanifolds of an LP-Sasakian manifold $M$. If $N=N_{1} \times{ }_{f} N_{2}$ be a warped product hemi-slant submanifold of an LP-Sasakian manifold $M$ and $N_{\theta}$ and $N_{\perp}$ are slant and anti-invariant submanifolds of an LP-Sasakian manifold $M$, respectively then their warped product hemi-slant submanifolds may be given by one of the following forms:
(i) $N_{\perp} \times{ }_{f} N_{\theta}$,
(ii) $N_{\theta} \times{ }_{f} N_{\perp}$.

In the following theorem, we start with the case (i).
Theorem 4.2. There does not exist a proper warped product hemi-slant submanifold $N=N_{\perp} \times{ }_{f} N_{\theta}$ of an LP-Sasakian manifold $M$ such that $\xi$ is tangent to $N_{\theta}$, where $N_{\perp}$ and $N_{\theta}$ are anti-invariant and proper slant submanifolds of $M$, respectively.

Proof. Let $N=N_{\perp} \times{ }_{f} N_{\theta}$ be a proper warped product hemi-slant submanifold of an LPSasakian manifold $M$ such that $\xi$ is tangent to $N_{\theta}$. Then, for any $X \in T N_{\theta}$ and $U \in T N_{\perp}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} \phi\right) U=\bar{\nabla}_{X} \phi U-\phi \bar{\nabla}_{X} U \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of (2.3) and (2.7)-(2.11), it follows from (4.2) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta(U) X= & -A_{F U} X+\nabla_{X}^{\perp} F U-E \nabla_{X} U  \tag{4.3}\\
& -F \nabla_{X} U-B h(X, U)-C h(X, U) .
\end{align*}
$$

Using Proposition 3.2(II) in (4.3) and then equating the tangential components, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(U) X=A_{F U} X+(U \ln f) E X+B h(X, U) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the inner product with $E X$ in (4.4) and using the fact that $X$ and $E X$ are mutually orthogonal vector fields, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(A_{F U} X, E X\right)+(U \ln f) g(E X, E X)+g(B h(X, U), E X)=0 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.9) and (2.15), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-(U \ln f) \cos ^{2} \theta\|X\|^{2}=g(h(X, E X), F U)-g(h(X, U), F E X) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $X$ by EX in (4.6) and using (2.14), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-(U \ln f) \cos ^{2} \theta\|X\|^{2}=-g(h(X, E X), F U)+g(h(E X, U), E X) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding (4.6) and (4.7), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(U \ln f) \cos ^{2} \theta\|X\|^{2}=0 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $N_{\theta}$ is proper slant and $X$ is nonnull, (4.8) yields $U \ln f=0$, which shows that $f$ is constant and consequently the theorem is proved.

The second case is dealt with the following theorem.
Theorem 4.3. Let $N=N_{\theta} \times{ }_{f} N_{\perp}$ be a warped product hemi-slant submanifold of an LP-Sasakian manifold $M$ such that $N_{\theta}$ is a proper slant submanifold tangent to $\xi$ and $N_{\perp}$ is an anti-invariant submanifold of $M$. Then, $\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} F\right)(U)$ lies in the invariant normal subbundle $\mu$, for each $X \in T N_{\theta}$ and $U \in T N_{\perp}$.

Proof. Consider $N=N_{\theta} \times{ }_{f} N_{\perp}$ be a warped product hemi-slant submanifold of an LPSasakian manifold $M$ such that $N_{\theta}$ is a proper slant submanifold tangent to $\xi$ and $N_{\perp}$ is an anti-invariant submanifold of $M$. Then, for any $X \in T N_{\theta}$ and $U \in T N_{\perp}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\nabla}_{X} \phi U=\phi \bar{\nabla}_{X} U \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.7) and (2.8), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-A_{F U} X+\nabla_{X}^{\perp} F U=\phi\left(\nabla_{X} U+h(X, U)\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of (2.10), (2.11) and Proposition 3.2(II), it follows from (4.10) that

$$
\begin{align*}
-A_{F U} X+\nabla_{X}^{\perp} F U= & (X \ln f) E U+(X \ln f) F U \\
& +B h(X, U)+C h(X, U) \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Equating the normal components, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X}^{\perp} F U=(X \ln f) F U+C h(X, U) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the inner product of with $F W_{1}$, for any $W_{1} \in T N_{\perp}$ in (4.13), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
g\left(\nabla_{X}^{\perp} F U, F W_{1}\right) & =(X \ln f) g\left(F U, F W_{1}\right)+g\left(C h(X, U), F W_{1}\right) \\
& =(X \ln f) g\left(\phi U, \phi W_{1}\right)+g\left(\phi h(X, U), \phi W_{1}\right)  \tag{4.13}\\
& =(X \ln f) g\left(U, W_{1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Also for any $X \in T N_{\theta}$ and $U \in T N_{\perp}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} F\right) U=\nabla_{X}^{\perp} F U-(X \ln f) F U . \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the inner product $F W_{1}$ for any $W_{1} \in T N_{\perp}$ in (4.14) and using (2.1) and (2.2), we derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} F\right) U, F W_{1}\right)=g\left(\nabla_{X}^{\perp} F U, F W_{1}\right)-(X \ln f) g\left(U, W_{1}\right) . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of (4.13), the above equation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} F\right) U, F W_{1}\right)=0, \quad \text { for any } X \in T N_{\theta}, U, W_{1} \in T N_{\perp} . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, if any $W_{2} \in T N_{\theta}$, then from (2.13), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} F\right) U, \phi W_{2}\right)=g\left(\nabla_{X}^{\perp} F U, \phi W_{2}\right)-g\left(F \nabla_{X} U, \phi W_{2}\right) . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the product of tangential component with normal is zero and $N_{\theta}$ is a proper slant submanifold, we may conclude from (4.17) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} F\right) U, \phi W_{2}\right)=0 \quad \text { for any } X, W_{2} \in T N_{\theta}, U \in T N_{\perp} . \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.16) and (4.18), it follows that $\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} F\right)(U) \in \mu$ and hence the proof is complete.

## 5. Examples on LP-Sasakian Manifolds

Example 5.1. We consider a 3-dimensional manifold $M=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}: z>0\right\}$, where $(x, y, z)$ are the standard coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Let $\left\{E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}\right\}$ be a linearly independent global frame on $M$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}=e^{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad E_{2}=e^{z-a x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad E_{3}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is a nonzero constant such that $a \neq 1$. Let $g$ be the Lorentzian metric defined by $g\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right)=g\left(E_{2}, E_{3}=g\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)=0, g\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)=g\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)=1, g\left(E_{3}, E_{3}\right)=-1\right.$. Let $\eta$ be
the 1-form defined by $\eta(U)=g\left(U, E_{3}\right)$ for any $U \in T M$. Let $\theta$ be the $(1,1)$ tensor field defined by $\eta E_{1}=-E_{1}, \phi E_{2}=-E_{2}$, and $\phi E_{3}=0$. Then, using the linearity of $\phi$ and $g$ we have $\eta\left(E_{3}\right)=$ $-1, \phi^{2} U=U+\eta(U) E_{3}$, and $g(\phi U, \phi W)=g(U, W)+\eta(U) \eta(W)$ for any $U, W \in T M$. Thus for $E_{3}=\xi,(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ defines a Lorentzian paracontact structure on $M$.

Let $\nabla$ be the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the Lorentzian metric $g$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[E_{1}, E_{2}\right]=-a e^{z} E_{2}, \quad\left[E_{1}, E_{3}\right]=-E_{1}, \quad\left[E_{2}, E_{3}\right]=-E_{2} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Koszul formula for the Lorentzian metric $g$, we can easily calculate

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla_{E_{1}} E_{1}=-E_{3}, \quad \nabla_{E_{1}} E_{2}=0, \quad \nabla_{E_{1}} E_{3}=-E_{1}, \\
\nabla_{E_{2}} E_{1}=a e^{z} E_{2}, \quad \nabla_{E_{2}} E_{2}=-a e^{z} E_{1}-E_{3}, \quad \nabla_{E_{2}} E_{3}=-E_{2},  \tag{5.3}\\
\nabla_{E_{3}} E_{1}=0, \quad \nabla_{E_{3}} E_{2}=0, \quad \nabla_{E_{3}} E_{3}=0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

From the above computations, it can be easily seen that for $E_{3}=\xi,(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an LP-Sasakian structure on $M$. Consequently, $M^{3}(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an LP-Sasakian manifold.

Example 5.2 (see [16]). Let $\mathbb{R}^{5}$ be the 5-dimensional real number space with a coordinate system $(x, y, z, t, s)$. Define

$$
\begin{gather*}
\eta=d s-y d x-t d z, \quad \xi=\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \\
g=\eta \otimes \eta-(d x)^{2}-(d y)^{2}-(d z)^{2}-(d t)^{2} \\
\phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)=-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}-y \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \quad \phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)=-\frac{\partial}{\partial y}  \tag{5.4}\\
\phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)=-\frac{\partial}{\partial z}-t \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \quad \phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)=-\frac{\partial}{\partial t^{\prime}}, \quad \phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

the structure $(\phi, \eta, \xi, g)$ becomes an LP-Sasakian structure in $\mathbb{R}^{5}$.
Example 5.3. Consider a 4-dimensional submanifold $N$ of $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ with the cordinate system $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{6}, t\right)$ and the structure is defined as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}, \quad(i=1,2,3) \\
\phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}, \quad(j=4,5,6)  \tag{5.5}\\
\eta=d t, \quad \xi=-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad \phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)=0 \\
g=d x_{i}^{2}+d x_{j}^{2}+\eta \otimes \eta
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence, the structure $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an LP-contact structure on $\mathbb{R}^{7}$. Now, for any $\alpha \in(0, \pi / 2)$ and nonzero $u$ and $v$, we define the submanifold $N$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(u, v, \alpha, t)=2(u, v, u \cos \alpha,-v \sin \alpha, u \sin \alpha, v \cos \alpha, t) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the tangent space $T N$ is spanned by the vectors:

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+\cos \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}+\sin \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{5}}, \\
& e_{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}-\sin \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{4}}+\cos \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{6}}, \\
& e_{3}=-u \sin \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}-v \cos \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{4}}+u \cos \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{5}}-v \sin \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{6}},  \tag{5.7}\\
& e_{4}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then the distributions $D_{\theta}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{4}\right\}$ is a slant distribution tangent to $\xi=e_{4}$ and $D^{\perp}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{3}\right\}$ is an anti-invariant distribution, respectively. Let us denote by $N_{\theta}$ and $N_{\perp}$ their integral submanifolds, then the metric $g$ on $N$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=2\left(d u^{2}+d v^{2}\right)+\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right) d \alpha^{2} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the submanifold $N=N_{\theta} \times{ }_{f} N_{\perp}$ is a hemi-slant-warped product submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ with the warping function $f=\sqrt{\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)}$.
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