## Research Article

# **Fixed Points of Generalized Contractive Maps**

## Abdul Latif1 and Afrah A. N. Abdou2

Correspondence should be addressed to Abdul Latif, latifmath@yahoo.com

Received 13 October 2008; Accepted 27 January 2009

Recommended by Hichem Ben-El-Mechaiekh

We prove some results on the existence of fixed points for multivalued generalized *w*-contractive maps not involving the extended Hausdorff metric. Consequently, several known fixed point results are either generalized or improved.

Copyright © 2009 A. Latif and A. A. N. Abdou. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, unless otherwise specified, X is a metric space with metric d. Let  $2^X$ , Cl(X), and CB(X) denote the collection of nonempty subsets of X, nonempty closed subsets of X, and nonempty closed bounded subsets of X, respectively. Let H be the Hausdorff metric on CB(X), that is,

$$H(A,B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in A} d(x,B), \sup_{y \in B} d(y,A) \right\}, \quad A,B \in CB(X).$$
 (1.1)

A multivalued map  $T: X \to CB(X)$  is called

(i) *contraction* [1] if for a fixed constant  $h \in (0,1)$  and for each  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$H(T(x), T(y)) \le hd(x, y); \tag{1.2}$$

(ii) generalized contraction [2] if for any  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$H(T(x), T(y)) \le k(d(x, y))d(x, y), \tag{1.3}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80203, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Girls College of Education, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 55002, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

where k is a function from  $[0,\infty)$  to [0,1) with  $\limsup_{r\to t^+} k(r) < 1$ , for every  $t\in [0,\infty)$ ;

(iii) *contractive* [3] if there exist constants  $b, h \in (0,1), h < b$  such that for any  $x \in X$  there is  $y \in I_b^x$  satisfying

$$d(y, T(y)) \le hd(x, y), \tag{1.4}$$

where  $I_h^x = \{ y \in T(x) : bd(x, y) \le d(x, T(x)) \};$ 

(iv) generalized contractive [4] if there exist  $b \in (0,1)$  such that for any  $x \in X$  there is  $y \in I_b^x$  satisfying

$$d(y,T(y)) \le k(d(x,y))d(x,y),\tag{1.5}$$

where k is a function from  $[0,\infty)$  to [0,b) with  $\limsup_{r\to t^+} k(r) < b$ , for every  $t\in [0,\infty)$ .

An element  $x \in X$  is called a *fixed point* of a multivalued map  $T: X \to 2^X$  if  $x \in T(x)$ . We denote  $Fix(T) = \{x \in X : x \in T(x)\}$ .

A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X is called an *orbit* of T at  $x_0 \in X$  if  $x_n \in T(x_{n-1})$  for all  $n \ge 1$ . A map  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is called *lower semicontinuous* if for any sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  with  $x_n \to x \in X$  imply that  $f(x) \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} f(x_n)$ .

Using the concept of Hausdorff metric, Nadler Jr. [1] established the following fixed point result for multivalued contraction maps which in turn is a generalization of the well-known Banach contraction principle.

**Theorem 1.1** (see [1]). Let X be a complete space and let  $T: X \to CB(X)$  be a contraction map. Then  $Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$ .

This result has been generalized in many directions. For instance, Mizoguchi and Takahashi [2] have obtained the following general form of the Nadler's theorem.

**Theorem 1.2** (see [2]). Let X be a complete space and let  $T: X \to CB(X)$  be a generalized contraction map. Then  $Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$ .

Another extension of Nadler's result obtained recently by Feng and Liu [3]. Without using the concept of the Hausdorff metric, they proved the following result.

**Theorem 1.3** (see [3]). Let X be a complete space and let  $T: X \to Cl(X)$  be a multivalued contractive map. Suppose that a real-valued function g on X, g(x) = d(x,T(x)), is lower semicontinuous. Then  $Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$ .

Most recently, Klim and Wardowski [4] generalized Theorem 1.3 as follows:

**Theorem 1.4** (see [4]). Let X be a complete metric space and let  $T: X \to Cl(X)$  be a multivalued generalized contractive map such that a real-valued function g on X, g(x) = d(x, T(x)) is lower semicontinuous. Then  $Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$ .

Recently, Kada et al. [5] introduced the concept of *w*-distance on a metric space as follows.

A function  $\omega: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  is called *w-distance* on *X* if it satisfies the following for any  $x, y, z \in X$ :

- $(w_1) \omega(x,z) \leq \omega(x,y) + \omega(y,z);$
- $(w_2)$  a map  $\omega(x,\cdot): X \to 0, \infty)$  is lower semicontinuous;
- ( $w_3$ ) for any e>0, there exists  $\delta>0$  such that  $\omega(z,x)\leq \delta$  and  $\omega(z,y)\leq \delta$  imply  $d(x,y)\leq e$ .

Using the concept of w-distance, they improved Caristi's fixed point theorem, Ekland's variational principle, and Takahashi's existence theorem. In [6], Susuki and Takahashi proved a fixed point theorem for contractive type multivalued maps with respect to w-distance. See also [7–12].

Let us give some examples of w-distance [5].

- (a) The metric d is a w-distance on X.
- (b) Let X be normed space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Then the functions  $\omega_1, \omega_2 : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  defined by  $\omega_1(x, y) = \|x\| + \|y\|$  and  $\omega_2(x, y) = \|y\|$  for every  $x, y \in X$ , are w-distance.

The following lemmas concerning *w*-distance are crucial for the proofs of our results.

**Lemma 1.5** (see [5]). Let  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  be sequences in X and let  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  be sequences in  $[0, \infty)$  converging to 0. Then, for the w-distance  $\omega$  on X the following hold for every  $x, y, z \in X$ :

- (a) if  $\omega(x_n, y) \le \alpha_n$  and  $\omega(x_n, z) \le \beta_n$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then y = z; in particular, if  $\omega(x, y) = 0$  and  $\omega(x, z) = 0$ , then y = z;
- (b) if  $\omega(x_n, y_n) \le \alpha_n$  and  $\omega(x_n, z) \le \beta_n$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\{y_n\}$  converges to z;
- (c) if  $\omega(x_n, x_m) \le \alpha_n$  for any  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  with m > n, then  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence;
- (d) if  $\omega(y, x_n) \le \alpha_n$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

**Lemma 1.6** (see [9]). Let K be a closed subset of X and let  $\omega$  be a w-distance on X. Suppose that there exists  $u \in X$  such that  $\omega(u, u) = 0$ . Then  $\omega(u, K) = 0 \Leftrightarrow u \in K$ . (where  $\omega(u, K) = \inf_{y \in K} \omega(u, y)$ .)

We say a multivalued map  $T: X \to 2^X$  is *generalized w-contractive* if there exist a *w*-distance  $\omega$  on X and a constant  $b \in (0,1)$  such that for any  $x \in X$  there is  $y \in J_b^x$  satisfying

$$\omega(y, T(y)) \le k(\omega(x, y))\omega(x, y),\tag{1.6}$$

where  $J_b^x = \{y \in T(x) : b\omega(x,y) \le \omega(x,T(x))\}$  and k is a function from  $[0,\infty)$  to [0,b) with  $\limsup_{r \to t^+} k(r) < b$ , for every  $t \in [0,\infty)$ .

Note that if we take  $\omega = d$ , then the definition of generalized w-contractive map reduces to the definition of generalized contractive map due to Klim and Wardowski [4]. In particular, if we take a constant map k = h < b,  $h \in (0,1)$  then the map T is weakly contractive (in short, w-contractive) [8], and further if we take  $\omega = d$ , then we obtain  $J_b^x = I_b^x$  and T is contractive [3].

In this paper, using the concept of *w*-distance, we first establish key lemma and then obtain fixed point results for multivalued generalized *w*-contractive maps not involving the extended Hausdorff metric. Our results either generalize or improve a number of fixed point results including the corresponding results of Feng and Liu [3], Latif and Albar [8], and Klim and Wardowski [4].

#### 2. Results

First, we prove key lemma in the setting of metric spaces.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $T: X \to Cl(X)$  be a generalized w-contractive map. Then, there exists an orbit  $\{x_n\}$  of T in X such that the sequence of nonnegative real numbers  $\{\omega(x_n, T(x_n))\}$  is decreasing to zero and the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy.

*Proof.* Since for each  $x \in X$ , T(x) is closed, the set  $J_b^x$  is nonempty for any  $b \in (0,1)$ . Let  $x_o$  be an arbitrary but fixed element of X. Since T is generalized w-contractive, there is  $x_1 \in J_b^{x_o} \subseteq T(x_o)$  such that

$$\omega(x_1, T(x_1)) \le k(\omega(x_0, x_1))\omega(x_0, x_1), \quad k(\omega(x_0, x_1)) < b, \tag{2.1}$$

$$b\omega(x_0, x_1) \le \omega(x_0, T(x_0)). \tag{2.2}$$

Using (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$\omega(x_0, T(x_0)) - \omega(x_1, T(x_1)) \ge b\omega(x_0, x_1) - k(\omega(x_0, x_1))\omega(x_0, x_1)$$

$$= [b - k(\omega(x_0, x_1))]\omega(x_0, x_1) > 0.$$
(2.3)

Similarly, there is  $x_2 \in J_h^{x_1} \subseteq T(x_1)$  such that

$$\omega(x_2, T(x_2)) \le k(\omega(x_1, x_2))\omega(x_1, x_2), \quad k(\omega(x_1, x_2)) < b,$$
 (2.4)

$$b\omega(x_1, x_2) \le \omega(x_1, T(x_1)). \tag{2.5}$$

Using (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$\omega(x_1, T(x_1)) - \omega(x_2, T(x_2)) \ge b\omega(x_1, x_2) - k(\omega(x_1, x_2))\omega(x_1, x_2)$$

$$= [b - k(\omega(x_1, x_2))]\omega(x_1, x_2) > 0.$$
(2.6)

From (2.5) and (2.1), it follows that

$$\omega(x_1, x_2) \le \frac{1}{h} \omega(x_1, T x_1) \le \frac{1}{h} k(\omega(x_0, x_1)) \omega(x_0, x_1) \le \omega(x_0, x_1). \tag{2.7}$$

Continuing this process, we get an orbit  $\{x_n\}$  of T in X such that  $x_{n+1} \in J_b^{x_n}$ ,

$$b\omega(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) \leq \omega(x_{n}, T(x_{n})),$$

$$\omega(x_{n+1}, T(x_{n+1})) \leq k(\omega(x_{n}, x_{n+1}))\omega(x_{n}, x_{n+1}), \quad k(\omega(x_{n}, x_{n+1})) < b.$$
(2.8)

Using (2.8), we get

$$\omega(x_{n}, T(x_{n})) - \omega(x_{n+1}, T(x_{n+1})) \ge b\omega(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) - k(\omega(x_{n}, x_{n+1}))\omega(x_{n}, x_{n+1})$$

$$= [b - k(\omega(x_{n}, x_{n+1})]\omega(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) > 0,$$
(2.9)

and thus for all n

$$\omega(x_n, T(x_n)) > \omega(x_{n+1}, T(x_{n+1})),$$
 (2.10)

$$\omega(x_{n}, x_{n+1}) \le \omega(x_{n-1}, x_n). \tag{2.11}$$

Note that the sequences  $\{\omega(x_n, T(x_n))\}$  and  $\{\omega(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$  are decreasing, and thus convergent. Now, by the definition of the function k there exists  $\alpha \in [0, b)$  such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup k(\omega(x_n, x_{n+1})) = \alpha. \tag{2.12}$$

Thus, for any  $b_0 \in (\alpha, b)$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$k(\omega(x_n, x_{n+1})) < b_0, \quad \forall n > n_0,$$
 (2.13)

and thus for all  $n > n_0$ , we have

$$k(\omega(x_n, x_{n+1})) \times \cdots \times k(\omega(x_{n_0+1}, x_{n_0+2})) < b_0^{n-n_0}.$$
 (2.14)

Also, it follows from (2.9) that for all  $n > n_0$ ,

$$\omega(x_n, T(x_n)) - \omega(x_{n+1}, T(x_{n+1})) \ge \beta \omega(x_n, x_{n+1}), \tag{2.15}$$

where  $\beta = b - b_0$ . Note that for all  $n > n_0$ , we have

$$\omega(x_{n+1}, T(x_{n+1})) \leq k(\omega(x_n, x_{n+1}))\omega(x_n, x_{n+1}) 
\leq \frac{1}{b}k(\omega(x_n, x_{n+1}))\omega(x_n, T(x_n)) 
\leq \frac{1}{b}\frac{1}{b}k(\omega(x_n, x_{n+1}))k(\omega(x_{n-1}, x_n))\omega(x_{n-1}, T(x_{n-1})) 
\vdots 
\leq \frac{1}{b^n}[k(\omega(x_n, x_{n+1})) \times \cdots \times k(\omega(x_1, x_2))]\omega(x_1, T(x_1)) 
= \frac{k(\omega(x_n, x_{n+1})) \times \cdots \times k(\omega(x_{n_0+1}, x_{n_0+2}))}{b^{n-n_0}} 
\times \frac{k(\omega(x_{n_0}, x_{n_0+1})) \times \cdots \times k(\omega(x_1, x_2))\omega(x_1, T(x_1))}{b^{n_0}},$$

and thus

$$\omega(x_{n+1}, T(x_{n+1})) < \left(\frac{b_0}{b}\right)^{n-n_0} \frac{k(\omega(x_{n_0}, x_{n_0+1})) \times \cdots \times k(\omega(x_1, x_2))\omega(x_1, T(x_1))}{b^{n_0}}.$$
 (2.17)

Now, since  $b_0 < b$ , we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (b_0/b)^{n-n_0} = 0$ , and hence the decreasing sequence  $\{\omega(x_n, T(x_n))\}$  converges to 0. Now, we show that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Note that for all  $n > n_0$ ,

$$\omega(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le \gamma^n \omega(x_0, x_1), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$
 (2.18)

where  $\gamma = b_0/b < 1$ . Now, for any  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m > n > n_0$ ,

$$\omega(x_n, x_m) \leq \sum_{j=n}^{m-1} \omega(x_j, x_{j+1})$$

$$\leq (\gamma^n + \gamma^{n+1} + \dots + \gamma^{m-1}) \omega(x_o, x_1)$$

$$\leq \frac{\gamma^n}{1 - \gamma} \omega(x_o, x_1),$$
(2.19)

and thus by Lemma 1.5,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Using Lemma 2.1, we obtain the following fixed point result which is an improved version of Theorem 1.4 and contains Theorem 1.3 as a special case.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let X be a complete space and let  $T: X \to Cl(X)$  be a generalized w-contractive map. Suppose that a real-valued function g on X defined by  $g(x) = \omega(x, T(x))$  is lower semicontinous. Then there exists  $v_o \in X$  such that  $g(v_o) = 0$ . Further, if  $\omega(v_o, v_o) = 0$ , then  $v_o \in Fix(T)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $T: X \to Cl(X)$  is a generalized w-contractive map, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that there exists a Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X such that the decreasing sequence  $\{g(x_n)\} = \{\omega(x_n, T(x_n))\}$  converges to 0. Due to the completeness of X, there exists some  $v_0 \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = v_0$ . Since g is lower semicontinuous, we have

$$0 \le g(v_o) \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} g(x_n) = 0, \tag{2.20}$$

and thus,  $g(v_o) = \omega(v_o, T(v_o)) = 0$ . Since  $\omega(v_o, v_o) = 0$ , and  $T(v_o)$  is closed, it follows from Lemma 1.6 that  $v_o \in T(v_o)$ .

As a consequence, we also obtain the following fixed point result.

**Corollary 2.3** (see [8]). Let X be a complete space and let  $T: X \to Cl(X)$  be a w-contractive map. If the real-valued function g on X defined by  $g(x) = \omega(x, T(x))$  is lower semicontinous, then there exists  $v_o \in X$  such that  $\omega(v_o, T(v_o)) = 0$ . Further, if  $\omega(v_o, v_o) = 0$ , then  $v_o \in Fix(T)$ .

Applying Lemma 2.1, we also obtain a fixed point result for multivalued generalized w-contractive map satisfying another suitable condition.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let X be a complete space and let  $T: X \to Cl(X)$  be a generalized w-contractive map. Assume that

$$\inf\{\omega(x,v) + \omega(x,T(x)) : x \in X\} > 0, \tag{2.21}$$

for every  $v \in X$  with  $v \notin T(v)$ . Then  $Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1, there exists an orbit  $\{x_n\}$  of T, which is a Cauchy sequence in X. Due to the completeness of X, there exists  $v_0 \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = v_o$ . Since  $\omega(x_n,\cdot)$  is lower semicontinuous and  $x_m\to v_0\in X$ , it follows from the proof of Lemma 2.1 that for all  $n>n_0$ 

$$\omega(x_n, v_o) \le \liminf_{m \to \infty} \omega(x_n, x_m) \le \frac{\gamma^n}{1 - \gamma} \omega(x_o, x_1), \tag{2.22}$$

where  $\gamma = b_0/b < 1$ . Also, we get

$$\omega(x_n, T(x_n)) \le \omega(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le \gamma^n \omega(x_0, x_1). \tag{2.23}$$

Assume that  $v_o \notin T(v_o)$ . Then, we have

$$0 < \inf \left\{ \omega(x, v_o) + \omega(x, T(x)) : x \in X \right\}$$

$$\leq \inf \left\{ \omega(x_n, v_o) + \omega(x_n, T(x_n)) : n > n_0 \right\}$$

$$\leq \inf \left\{ \frac{\gamma^n}{1 - \gamma} \omega(x_o, x_1) + \gamma^n \omega(x_o, x_1) : n > n_0 \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \frac{2 - \gamma}{1 - \gamma} \omega(x_o, x_1) \right\} \inf \left\{ \gamma^n : n > n_0 \right\} = 0,$$

$$(2.24)$$

which is impossible and hence  $v_o \in Fix(T)$ .

**Corollary 2.5** (see [8]). Let X be a complete space and let  $T: X \to Cl(X)$  be w-contractive map. Assume that

$$\inf\{\omega(x,u) + \omega(x,T(x)) : x \in X\} > 0,$$
 (2.25)

for every  $u \in X$  with  $u \notin T(u)$ . Then  $Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$ .

### Acknowledgment

The authors thank the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions.

#### References

- [1] S. B. Nadler Jr., "Multi-valued contraction mappings," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 475–488, 1969.
- [2] N. Mizoguchi and W. Takahashi, "Fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings on complete metric spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 141, no. 1, pp. 177–188, 1989.
- [3] Y. Feng and S. Liu, "Fixed point theorems for multi-valued contractive mappings and multi-valued Caristi type mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 317, no. 1, pp. 103–112, 2006.
- [4] D. Klim and D. Wardowski, "Fixed point theorems for set-valued contractions in complete metric spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 334, no. 1, pp. 132–139, 2007.
- [5] O. Kada, T. Suzuki, and W. Takahashi, "Nonconvex minimization theorems and fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces," *Mathematica Japonica*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 381–391, 1996.
- [6] T. Suzuki and W. Takahashi, "Fixed point theorems and characterizations of metric completeness," *Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 371–382, 1996.
- [7] Q. H. Ansari, "Vectorial form of Ekeland-type variational principle with applications to vector equilibrium problems and fixed point theory," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 334, no. 1, pp. 561–575, 2007.
- [8] A. Latif and W. A. Albar, "Fixed point results in complete metric spaces," *Demonstratio Mathematica*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 145–150, 2008.
- [9] L.-J. Lin and W.-S. Du, "Some equivalent formulations of the generalized Ekeland's variational principle and their applications," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 67, no. 1, pp. 187–199, 2007.
- [10] T. Suzuki, "Several fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces," *Yokohama Mathematical Journal*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 61–72, 1997.

- [11] W. Takahashi, Nonlinear Functional Analysis: Fixed Point Theory and Its Application, Yokohama, Yokohama, Japan, 2000.
- [12] J. S. Ume, B. S. Lee, and S. J. Cho, "Some results on fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings in complete metric spaces," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 319–325, 2002.